



HAL
open science

A simple approximation algorithm for WIS based on the approximability in k-partite graphs

Jérôme Monnot

► **To cite this version:**

Jérôme Monnot. A simple approximation algorithm for WIS based on the approximability in k-partite graphs. 2003. hal-00004072

HAL Id: hal-00004072

<https://hal.science/hal-00004072>

Preprint submitted on 26 Jan 2005

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A simple approximation algorithm for WIS based on the approximability in k -partite graphs

Jérôme Monnot*

Résumé

Dans cette note, nous montrons comment une solution optimale ou une solution approchée du stable pondéré dans les graphes k -partis peut permettre de récupérer une bonne solution approchée du stable pondéré dans les graphes généraux. Plus précisément, un stable pondéré optimal dans les graphes bipartis nous permet d'obtenir une $\frac{2}{\Delta(G)}$ -approximation et, plus généralement, une ρ solution approchée dans les graphes k -partis fournit une $\frac{k}{\Delta(G)}\rho$ solution approchée dans les graphes généraux.

Mots-clefs : Algorithme approché, coloration, stable pondéré, graphe k -parti.

Abstract

In this note, we show how optimal or approximate weighted independent sets in k -partite graphs may yield to a good approximate weighted independent set in general graphs. Precisely, optimal solutions in bipartite graphs do yield to a $\frac{2}{\Delta(G)}$ -approximation and, more generally, ρ -approximate solutions in k -partite graphs yield to a $\frac{k}{\Delta(G)}\rho$ -approximation in general graphs.

Key words : Approximation algorithms, Coloring, Weighted Independent Set, k -partite graphs.

* LAMSADE, Université Paris-Dauphine, 75775 Paris cedex 16, France.
{monnot}@lamsade.dauphine.fr

1 Introduction

In the *Maximum Weighted Independent Set problem* (WIS, for short), we are given a simple, connected, undirected and loop-free graph $G = (V, E)$ on n vertices, with maximum degree $\Delta(G)$. Each vertex v in V is labelled with a positive weight $w(v) \geq 0$. For a subset $S \subseteq V$ of vertices, we denote by $w(S) = \sum_{v \in S} w(v)$ the sum of the weights of the elements in S . The goal of WIS is to find an independent set S (that is a subset of pairwise non-adjacent vertices) in G that maximizes $w(S)$. When the weight of each vertex is equal to one, this problem is usually called *Maximum Independent Set problem* (IS, for short).

WIS is known to be **NP-hard** in general graphs, but also for certain classes of graphs (see Garey and Johnson [5]). On the other hand, for a variety of graphs from both practical and theoretical frameworks, which includes the Perfect graphs family, this problem is polynomial, Grotschel et al. [6]. Very recently, Alekseev and Lozin, [1] provided a complete characterization of the (p, q) -colorable graphs for which WIS is polynomial, where we recall that a graph is (p, q) -colorable if it can be partitioned into at most p cliques and q independent sets. The main result states that WIS is polynomial on (p, q) -colorable graphs if and only if $q \leq 2$ (under the assumption $\mathbf{P} \neq \mathbf{NP}$). In particular, since a bipartite graph is a perfect graph as well as a $(0, 2)$ -colorable graph, then the Maximum Weighted Independent Set problem is polynomial on bipartite graphs.

Because WIS is one of the most important problem from both a practical and a theoretical point of view, many approximation results have been found out by several authors (see, for instance Hochbaum [9], Halldórsson and Lau [7] for performance ratio only depending on $\Delta(G)$, Halldórsson [8], Halldórsson and Lau [7] and Demange and Paschos [4]). Very recently, Sakai et al. [13] studied the behavior of several greedy strategies on WIS. In particular, they proved that one of these greedy algorithms is a $\frac{1}{\Delta(G)}$ -approximation and that this ratio is tight. This algorithm selects, as long as the current graph G_i is not empty, a vertex v maximizing $\frac{w(v)}{d_{G_i}(v)+1}$ (where $d_{G_i}(v)$ is the degree of v in the current graph G_i), and then deletes v and its neighborhood from the current graph.

Results of this paper. In this paper, we show how an optimum weighted independent set in bipartite graphs and a ρ -approximation of WIS in k -partite graphs respectively allows to obtain a $\frac{2}{\Delta(G)}$ -approximation and a $\frac{k}{\Delta(G)}\rho$ -approximation in general graphs. In order to build a k -partite graph from a given graph, we use the notion of coloring, that is a partition of the vertices into independent sets (see, Paschos [12], for a survey on the approximability of the coloring problem). Already in the past, Hochbaum [9], exploits this notion of coloring to obtain a $\frac{2}{\Delta(G)}$ -approximation of WIS, but in a complete different way. The algorithm of [9] is based on a preprocessing due to Nemhauser and Trotter [11] which provides two disjoint subgraphs, including an independent set; it then computes

on the other subgraph a coloring from which it selects the best independent set which is finally added to the first subgraph. More recently, Halldórsson and Lau [7] proposed an elegant algorithm which consists in partitioning G into at most $\lceil \frac{\Delta(G)+1}{3} \rceil$ subgraphs G_i of degree at most 2; then, for each G_i , an optimum weighted independent set in G_i is computed and finally, the best of these solutions is returned. The performance ratio of this algorithm is $1/\lceil (\Delta(G) + 1)/3 \rceil$, that is better than $2/\Delta(G)$ as soon as $\Delta(G) \geq 7$ or $\Delta(G) = 5$. Our algorithm computes, for every k -partite graph built on the coloring, an optimum or an approximate solution; it then returns the best one as a solution of the initial problem.

2 The Algorithm

In the first algorithm, we only use the notion of bipartite graph.

Algorithm 1

- 1 Find a coloring $\mathcal{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_\ell)$ by using a polynomial-time algorithm A ;
 - 2 For any $1 \leq i < j \leq \ell$ do
 - 2.1 Find an optimal independent set $S_{i,j}$ of bipartite graph induced by $S_i \cup S_j$;
 - 3 Return $S = \operatorname{argmax}\{w(S_{i,j}) : 1 \leq i < j \leq \ell\}$;
-

This algorithm is trivially polynomial since we apply at most $O(\ell^2)$ times a polynomial procedure.

Theorem 2.1 *Algorithm 1 is a $\frac{2}{\ell}$ -approximation for WIS in general graphs.*

Proof. Let $I = (G, w)$ be an instance of WIS and let S^* be an optimal solution with value $\operatorname{opt}(I) = w(S^*)$. We set $S_i^* = S^* \cap S_i$ for $i \leq \ell$ where $\mathcal{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_\ell)$ is the coloring provided by algorithm A .

For any i, j with $j > i$, the following key result holds:

$$w(S) \geq w(S_i^*) + w(S_j^*) \tag{1}$$

Let us explain why this result is true: on the one hand, the set $S_i^* \cup S_j^*$ is an independent set of the bipartite graph induced by $S_i \cup S_j$ and, on the other hand, by construction of the

algorithm, $S_{i,j}$ is an optimal solution of this bipartite graph. Thus, since $w(S) \geq w(S_{i,j})$, we deduce the expected result.

Summing the inequalities (1) for $i = 1$ to $i = \ell - 1$ and $j = i + 1$ to $j = \ell$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\ell} w(S) &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\ell} (w(S_i^*) + w(S_j^*)) \\
&\geq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} (\ell - i) w(S_i^*) + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\ell} w(S_j^*) \\
&\geq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} (\ell - i) w(S_i^*) + \sum_{j=2}^{\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} w(S_j^*) \\
&\geq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} (\ell - i) w(S_i^*) + \sum_{j=2}^{\ell} (j - 1) w(S_j^*) \\
&\geq (\ell - 1) w(S_1^*) + \sum_{i=2}^{\ell-1} (\ell - i) w(S_i^*) + \sum_{i=2}^{\ell-1} (i - 1) w(S_i^*) + (\ell - 1) w(S_{\ell}^*) \\
&\geq (\ell - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} w(S_i^*)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, since $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} w(S_i^*) = w(S^*)$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\ell} w(S) = \frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{2} w(S)$, we obtain:

$$\frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{2} w(S) \geq (\ell-1) \text{opt}(I) \tag{2}$$

and the result follows. ■

We know that we can easily obtain a coloring using at most $\Delta(G) + 1$ colors (see Berge [2]): starting from an arbitrary coloring, pick up each vertex v with a color at least $\Delta(G) + 2$ and recolor it with a compatible color among $\{1, \dots, \Delta(G) + 1\}$ (this is always possible, since v has at most $\Delta(G)$ neighbors). Thus, using Theorem 2.1 and the inequality $\ell \leq \Delta(G) + 1$, we deduce:

Corollary 2.2 *WIS is $\frac{2}{\Delta(G)+1}$ -approximable and this ratio is tight.*

Proof. We show that this ratio is tight, even in the basis case of the maximum independent set problem (i.e., $\forall v \in V, w(v) = 1$). We consider a graph $G = (V, E)$ on $2n(\Delta + 1)$ vertices which are partitioned into a coloring $\mathcal{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_{\Delta+1})$ where $S_i = \{v_{i,1}, \dots, v_{i,2n}\}$. Moreover, there is an edge between every couple of vertices $(v_{i,k}, v_{j,n+k})$ and $(v_{i,n+k}, v_{j,k})$ where $j \neq i$ and $k = 1, \dots, n$.

Observe that G is Δ -regular. Assume that the algorithm A produces the coloring $\mathcal{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_{\Delta+1})$; then, the Algorithm 1 returns S_1 with a size $2n$ whereas an optimal solution is given by the set $\{v_{i,j} : 1 \leq i \leq \Delta + 1, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ with a size $(\Delta + 1)n$. ■

Remark that this instance also allows us to prove that even a more sophisticated algorithm has no better performance. In this algorithm, we first apply Algorithm 1 and then compute the best weighted independent set on every bipartite graph induced

by $S_{i_1, j_1} \cup S_{i_2, j_2}$, where the sets S_{i_1, j_1} and S_{i_2, j_2} describe the optimal independent sets produced by Algorithm 1.

We can slightly improve this bound to $\frac{2}{\Delta(G)}$, by using the Brook's theorem, [3] and the constructive proof of Lovasz [10].

Corollary 2.3 *WIS is $\frac{2}{\Delta(G)}$ -approximable, when G is $K_{\Delta(G)+1}$ -free.*

One way to improve this bound is to consider k -partite graphs instead of bipartite graphs, where k is a universal constant. Thus, the new algorithm consists in modifying the step 2.1 by finding an optimal independent set S_{i_1, \dots, i_k} on the k -partite graph induced by $S_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup S_{i_k}$ for each $i_1 < \dots < i_k$. Some algebra shows that this algorithm is a $\frac{k}{\ell}$ -approximation for WIS. Unfortunately, such an algorithm does not run in polynomial time (even for $k = 3$), since computing an optimal independent set in k -partite graphs is **NP-hard** (see the characterization of the complexity of WIS in (p, q) -colorable graphs in [1]). In those circumstances, we replace an optimal solution by an approximate solution, and the whole algorithm writes now:

Algorithm 2

- 1 Find a coloring $\mathcal{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_\ell)$ by using a polynomial-time algorithm A ;
 - 2 For any $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq \ell$ do
 - 2.1 Find an approximate independent set S_{i_1, \dots, i_k} of the k -partite graph induced by $S_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup S_{i_k}$ using an algorithm B ;
 - 3 Return $S = \operatorname{argmax}\{w(S_{i_1, \dots, i_k}) : 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq \ell\}$;
-

This algorithm is polynomial as soon as the algorithm B runs in polynomial time (k being a constant not depending on the instance size).

Theorem 2.4 *If algorithm B is a ρ -approximation of WIS in k -partite graphs, then Algorithm 2 is an $\frac{k}{\ell}\rho$ -approximation for WIS in general graphs.*

Proof. Let B be an algorithm which yields to a ρ -approximation of WIS in k -partite graphs, and let S^* be an optimal solution on a given graph G . As previously, we set $S_i^* = S^* \cap S_i$ for $i \leq \ell$ where $\mathcal{S} = (S_1, \dots, S_\ell)$ is the coloring provided by the algorithm A .

The inequality 1 becomes: for any i_1, \dots, i_k with $i_k > \dots > i_1$, we have

$$w(S) \geq \rho \sum_{j=1}^k w(S_{i_j}^*) \quad (3)$$

In order to see that, just remark that $S_{i_1}^* \cup \dots \cup S_{i_k}^*$ is a feasible independent set on the k -partite graph induced by $S_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup S_{i_k}$ (we denoted by G' , this graph); since S_{i_1, \dots, i_k} is a ρ -approximation on G' , we get $w(S_{i_1, \dots, i_k}) \geq \rho \text{opt}(G') \geq \rho(w(S_{i_1}^*) + \dots + w(S_{i_k}^*))$.

Summing up inequalities (3) for all i_1, \dots, i_k such that $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq \ell$, we obtain:

$$\frac{\ell(\ell-1)\dots(\ell-k+1)}{k(k-1)\dots 2} w(S) \geq \rho \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{(\ell-1)\dots(\ell-k+1)}{(k-1)\dots 2} w(S_i^*) \quad (4)$$

Actually, when summing the inequalities (3), the term $w(S)$ appears exactly as many times as the number of choices of k elements among ℓ , and each $w(S_i^*)$ appears as many times as the number of choices of $k-1$ elements among $\ell-1$. Finally, since $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} w(S_i^*) = w(S^*)$, the result follows. ■

This theorem becomes interesting when having some good bounds of the approximability of WIS in k -partite graphs. For instance, using Theorem 2.1, we obtain the bound $\rho = \frac{2}{3}$ for tripartite graphs; unfortunately, this does not allow to improve the bound of Theorem 2.1. Thus, we aim at strictly improving this bound of $\frac{2}{3}$ in order to improve the best performance ratio of $\frac{2}{\Delta(G)}$ or $1/\lceil(\Delta(G)+1)/3\rceil$ when $\Delta(G)$ is small (i.e., $\Delta(G) = 3, 4, 6$).

Références

- [1] V. E. ALEKSEEV AND V. V. LOZIN [2003]. Independent sets of maximum weight in (p, q) -colorable graphs. *Discrete Math.*, (in press).
- [2] C. BERGE [1973]. *Graphs and hypergraphs*. North Holland, Amsterdam.
- [3] R. L. BROOKS [1941]. On colouring the nodes of a network. *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.*, 37, 194–197.
- [4] M. DEMANGE AND V. PASCHOS [2004]. Improved approximations for weighted and unweighted graph problems. *Theory of Comp. Sys.*, (To appear)
- [5] M. R. GAREY AND D. S. JOHNSON [1979]. *Computers and intractability. A guide to the theory of NP-completeness*. W. H. Freeman, San Francisco.

- [6] M. GROTSCHEL AND L. LOVASZ AND A. SCHRIJVER [1984]. Polynomial algorithms for perfect graphs. *Ann. Discrete Math.*, 21, 325–356.
- [7] M. HALLDÓRSSON AND H. C. LAU [1997]. Low-degree graph partitioning via local search with applications to constraint satisfaction, max cut, and 3-coloring. *J. Graph Algorithms and Applications*, 1, 1–13.
- [8] M. HALLDÓRSSON [2000]. Approximations of Weighted Independent Set and Hereditary Subset Problems. *J. Graph Algorithms and Applications*, 4, 1–16.
- [9] D. S. HOCHBAUM [1983]. Efficient bounds for the stable set, vertex cover and set packing problems. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 6(3), 243–254.
- [10] L. LOVASZ [1975]. Three short proofs in graph theory. *J. Combin. Theory*, 19, 269–271.
- [11] G. L. NEMHAUSER AND L. E. TROTTER [1975]. Vertex packing: structural properties and algorithms. *Mathematical Programming*, 8, 232–248.
- [12] V. TH. PASCHOS [2003]. Polynomial Approximation and Graph-Coloring. *Computing*, 70(1), 41–86.
- [13] S. SAKAI AND M. TOGASAKI AND K. YAMAZAKI [2003]. A note on greedy algorithms for the maximum weighted independent set problem. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 126, 313–322.