



HAL
open science

Effects of climate warming and declining species richness in grassland model ecosystems: acclimation of CO₂ fluxes

S. Vicca, P. Serrano-Ortiz, H. J. de Boeck, C. M. H. M. Lemmens, I. Nijs, R.
Ceulemans, A. S. Kowalski, I. A. Janssens

► To cite this version:

S. Vicca, P. Serrano-Ortiz, H. J. de Boeck, C. M. H. M. Lemmens, I. Nijs, et al.. Effects of climate warming and declining species richness in grassland model ecosystems: acclimation of CO₂ fluxes. *Biogeosciences Discussions*, 2006, 3 (5), pp.1473-1498. hal-00297848

HAL Id: hal-00297848

<https://hal.science/hal-00297848>

Submitted on 18 Jun 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Biogeosciences Discussions is the access reviewed discussion forum of *Biogeosciences*

**Thermal acclimation
of ecosystem CO₂
fluxes**

S. Vicca et al.

Effects of climate warming and declining species richness in grassland model ecosystems: acclimation of CO₂ fluxes

S. Vicca¹, P. Serrano-Ortiz², H. J. De Boeck¹, C. M. H. M. Lemmens¹, I. Nijs¹, R. Ceulemans¹, A. S. Kowalski², and I. A. Janssens¹

¹Research Group of Plant and Vegetation Ecology, Department of Biology, University of Antwerp (Campus Drie Eiken), Universiteitsplein 1, 2610 Wilrijk, Belgium

²Department of Applied Physics, University of Granada, C/ Fuentenueva S/N, 18071 Granada, Spain

Received: 28 August 2006 – Accepted: 1 September 2006 – Published: 22 September 2006

Correspondence to: S. Vicca (sara.vicca@ua.ac.be)

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Abstract

To study the effects of warming and declining species richness on the carbon balance of grassland communities, model ecosystems containing one, three or nine species were exposed to ambient and elevated (ambient +3°C) air temperature. In this paper, we analyze measured ecosystem CO₂ fluxes to test whether ecosystem photosynthesis and respiration had acclimated to warming after 28 months of continuous heating, and whether the degree of acclimation depended on species richness. At first sight, we found no signs of acclimation in photosynthesis or respiration. However, because plant cover was significantly higher in the heated treatment, normalization for plant cover revealed down-regulation of both photosynthesis and respiration. Although CO₂ fluxes were larger in communities with higher species richness, species richness did not affect the degree of acclimation to warming. These results imply that models need to take into account thermal acclimation to simulate photosynthesis and respiration in a warmer world.

1 Introduction

Both photosynthesis and respiration are known to increase with temperature, albeit not necessarily to the same degree, until an optimum temperature is reached (Saxe et al., 2001; Larcher, 2003). Because rising global temperatures could influence the global carbon balance, it is important to study to what extent future climate will affect the carbon balance of terrestrial ecosystems.

Although photosynthetic and respiratory rates increase with temperature (Larcher, 2003), both processes and their resulting CO₂ fluxes may also acclimate to warming conditions (Rook, 1969; Körner and Larcher, 1988; Bryla et al., 1997 and 2001; Atkin et al., 2000 and 2006; Atkin and Tjoelker, 2003; King et al., 2006). Acclimation can be defined as the adjustment of processes such that plant performance is adapted to the new growth temperature (Lambers et al., 1998). Acclimation could mitigate, offset, or even

BGD

3, 1473–1498, 2006

Thermal acclimation of ecosystem CO₂ fluxes

S. Vicca et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

enhance the predicted increases in photosynthesis and respiration. According to Atkin and Tjoelker (2003), acclimation of respiration can be associated with temperature-mediated changes in respiratory capacity, availability of substrates, and/or the demand for respiratory energy. Heterotrophic respiration (R_h) is reported to acclimate to higher temperatures as well (Luo et al., 2001), which is most likely due to depletion of labile soil organic carbon pools (Melillo et al., 2002; Kirschbaum, 2004; Eliasson et al., 2005). Acclimation of photosynthesis, on the other hand, might be due to inactivation of Rubisco and/or leakiness of photosynthetic membranes (Sharkey, 2005). Photosynthetic acclimation also can result from either a shift in optimum temperature or an adjustment of photosynthetic rates at all temperatures (Berry and Björkman, 1980; Saxe et al., 2001).

Given that photosynthesis and autotrophic respiration are interdependent (Hoefnagel et al., 1998), their degree of acclimation is expected to be coupled as well. In particular, respiratory rates rely on the substrate provided by photosynthesis (Bouma et al., 1995), whereas respiration itself is crucial for the maintenance of photosynthetic activity. This is mostly due to the energy demands for sucrose synthesis, but also for prevention of photoinhibition and avoidance of structural damage to the photosynthetic apparatus (Krömer, 1995; Hoefnagel et al., 1998).

Climate warming may lead not only to physiological changes but also to alterations in phenology and morphology. Chmielewsky and Rötzer (2001), for example, reported extended growing season length as a result of increased temperatures, whereas experimental warming in high-arctic tundra enhanced green cover and delayed the senescence process (Marchand et al., 2004). Furthermore, Atkin et al. (2006) observed a decreasing leaf mass per area (LMA) with increasing growth temperature.

Global change comprises several factors beyond climate warming, among which the loss of biodiversity is key. The functional importance of biodiversity is reported in numerous studies, describing for example reductions in biomass resulting from declining species richness (e.g. Hector et al., 1999; Van Ruijven and Berendse, 2005). Three mechanisms might explain how diversity influences productivity in plant communities:

Thermal acclimation of ecosystem CO₂ fluxes

S. Vicca et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

(a) complementarity, (b) facilitation, and (c) the sampling effect (Fridley, 2001).

1. Complementarity implies the variation in location, time and type of resource utilization by different species. This can result in reduced competition and more complete exploitation of resources in more species-rich communities (Fridley, 2001).

2. Vandermeer (1989) defined facilitation as the circumstance where a species modifies its environment in a way favorable to co-occurring species. Examples of facilitative mechanisms are: nitrogen enrichment by N-fixers, water sharing via hydraulic lift (Caldwell et al., 1998), and nutrient sharing via mycorrhizal networks (Read, 1997).

3. The sampling effect can be separated into the greater likelihood of selecting a species (i) better adapted to the particular site conditions, and (ii) of higher potential growth rate or larger mature size (Fridley, 2001).

In accordance with these mechanisms, declining species richness may result in reduced plant productivity (Tillman et al., 1996; Symstad et al., 1998), and subsequently in smaller CO₂ fluxes. In particular, functional group diversity is assumed to be an important factor (Spheh et al., 2000; Roy, 2001), because between-functional-group differences are larger than within-functional-group differences, and thus complementarity, facilitation and sampling effects will be more pronounced in communities with higher functional diversity (Spheh et al., 2000).

Because the effects of different global change factors are not simply additive, it is important to know to what extent factors such as climate warming and biodiversity loss may interact. However, to our knowledge, experiments investigating interactions between these two types of global change have not been performed before. In this paper we present results from a long-term warming experiment in which different species richness levels are incorporated. We test the hypothesis that both photosynthesis and total ecosystem respiration acclimate to elevated temperatures after long-term, continuous heating. The ratio of respiration to photosynthesis is, however, hypothesized to remain

BGD

3, 1473–1498, 2006

Thermal acclimation of ecosystem CO₂ fluxes

S. Vicca et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

unaffected. Last, we hypothesize that, although loss of biodiversity may influence plant biomass, declined species richness should not affect the degree of acclimation.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study site

5 This study was conducted at the Drie Eiken Campus of the University of Antwerp (Belgium, 51°09' N, 04°24' E). The climate of northern Belgium is characterized by mild winters and cool summers, with average annual air temperatures (T_{air}) varying around 9.6°C. Annual precipitation averages 776 mm, and is more or less equally distributed throughout the year.

10 In July 2003, an experimental platform containing 288 artificially assembled grassland model ecosystems was established. The platform consisted of 12 sunlit, climate-controlled chambers, of which six were exposed to ambient T_{air} (unheated chambers). The other six (heated chambers) were continuously heated 3°C above T_{air} , such that temperatures in these chambers varied to the same degree as T_{air} . Each of these 12
15 chambers contained 24 different grassland communities of varying plant species richness (S): nine $S=1$ communities, nine $S=3$ communities and six $S=9$ communities. The position of the different communities varied between the chambers, one unheated and one heated chamber always containing the same order. Each plant community was placed in a PVC tube with a height of 60 cm and an inner diameter of 24 cm.
20 We selected species from three functional groups, which were equally represented at each S level: three grass species (*Dactylis glomerata* L., *Festuca arundinacea* Schreb., *Lolium perenne* L.), three N-fixing dicots (*Trifolium repens* L., *Medicago sativa* L., *Lotus corniculatus* L.), and three non-N-fixing dicots (*Bellis perennis* L., *Rumex acetosa* L., *Plantago lanceolata* L.). Species, representing the three functional groups, were
25 used to create the $S=3$ communities, and each species combination occurred only once. The $S=9$ communities contained all nine species. Further details regarding the

BGD

3, 1473–1498, 2006

Thermal acclimation of ecosystem CO₂ fluxes

S. Vicca et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

experimental set-up are given by Lemmens et al. (2006).

2.2 Measurements of carbon dioxide fluxes

Carbon dioxide fluxes were measured in November and December 2005, 28 months after the start of the experiment. Flux measurements were performed using a dynamic, closed-chamber IRGA system. We coupled a transparent polymethyl pentene cuvette (60 cm high; 25 cm in diameter) to an infrared gas analyzer (IRGA; EGM-4; PP Systems, Hitchin, UK). Inside the cuvette, a quantum sensor (JYP 1000, SDEC, France) measured photosynthetic photon flux density (*PPFD*). Two aerators guaranteed well-mixed air. After placing the cuvette, net ecosystem exchange of CO₂ (*NEE*) was measured. Measurements of total ecosystem respiration (*TER*) were performed by darkening the cuvette using a black cloth, preventing photosynthesis. Gross primary productivity (*GPP*) could then be calculated as $GPP = NEE - TER$. Fluxes were measured in the eight central plant communities (three of $S=1$, three of $S=3$ and two of $S=9$) inside each of six chambers (three unheated and three heated). Inside each of the chambers air temperature (T_{air}) and relative humidity were measured continuously with a combined QFA66 humidity-temperature sensor (Siemens, type QFA66, Germany), and 30-min means were stored.

To assess acclimation, CO₂ fluxes from all plant communities were measured twice at three different air temperatures. Measurements were performed during three periods of approximately one week. One month earlier, from 24 October until 28 October, aboveground biomass was harvested at 3.5 cm height. Two days before each measurement period, air temperatures inside the chambers were altered in order to enlarge the temperature range (T_{air} was still fluctuating in accordance with ambient temperatures). This was necessary for making regressions of *TER* versus temperature. In Table 1 the experimental set-up of the measurements is presented.

BGD

3, 1473–1498, 2006

Thermal acclimation of ecosystem CO₂ fluxes

S. Vicca et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

2.3 Water supply

One day before each of the three measurement periods, soil water content (*SWC*) was measured in all communities with a TRIME portable TDR soil moisture meter (MESA systems Co., USA). When *SWC* differed between temperature treatments, we adjusted the water supply, such that variation in *SWC* between heated and unheated communities was minimal. During the flux measurements, *SWC* never differed significantly between temperature treatments (*p* values being 0.46, 0.37 and 0.59 for periods 1, 2 and 3, respectively; paired *t*-test). Thus, observed differences in CO₂ fluxes should not be due to variations in *SWC*.

2.4 Plant cover and specific leaf area

Because ecosystem-scale CO₂ effluxes include not only physiological temperature responses, but also phenological and allometrical responses, we determined total green plant cover and specific leaf area for each of the 48 plant communities. Green plant cover, a measure for plant biomass, was estimated using the pin-frame method. We recorded the plant species touched by a vertical needle at each point of a 60 point matrix. Specific leaf area (*SLA*) (m² kg⁻¹) of each species was also determined for each community. For this purpose, we sampled three leaves of all plant species in each of the 48 plant communities. Surface areas were measured with a Li-3000A area meter (Li-Cor, Nebraska, USA), and after being dried, all samples were weighed. Subsequently, we calculated average *SLA* (average (area/mass)) for all plant species, such that differences between unheated and heated chambers could be computed.

2.5 Data analysis

The aim of our research was to determine whether acclimation had occurred. Because *T*_{air} was constantly fluctuating, we wanted to compare respiration rates at a standard temperature (7°C), which occurred in all plant communities. In order to estimate *TER* at

BGD

3, 1473–1498, 2006

Thermal acclimation of ecosystem CO₂ fluxes

S. Vicca et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

7°C (TER_7), we fitted regressions for TER in Origin7 (Origin 7, Originlab, Northampton, MA, USA), using the following function:

$$TER = TER_7 * Q_{10}^{(T_{air}-7)/10} \quad (1)$$

in which Q_{10} is the temperature sensitivity of TER , and T_{air} (in °C) is the recorded air temperature at the time of measurement.

Photosynthesis correlated better with light intensity than with T_{air} . Therefore, regressions for GPP were calculated as a function of $PPFD$. Since light intensities fluctuated continuously as well, GPP_{100} (GPP at a $PPFD$ value of $100 \mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) was estimated for each community. For this purpose, we used the following function:

$$GPP = (QE * P_{max} * PPFD) / (QE * PPFD + P_{max}) \quad (2)$$

in which QE is the quantum efficiency and P_{max} the maximum photosynthesis. In order to obtain GPP_{100} , $PPFD$ in Eq. (2) was set at $100 \mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$.

Since plant cover varied strongly among temperature treatments, it was also necessary to correct for plant cover in order to make CO_2 fluxes of different communities comparable. To this end, the following function was fitted to the data, for both TER_7 and GPP_{100} :

$$y = a * b^x \quad (3)$$

in which y is TER_7 or GPP_{100} and x is the plant cover. Values a and b are fitted constants, determined by Origin7. Subsequently, we used the fitted functions to calculate $TER_{7(pc1)}$ and $GPP_{100(pc1)}$ for each plant community, at the corresponding plant cover. (To clarify: $TER_{7(pc1)}$ and $GPP_{100(pc1)}$ are predicted flux rates based on the measured plant cover and Eq. (3), whereas TER_7 and GPP_{100} are measured fluxes, albeit normalized for temperature or light). Residuals were then computed as $TER_7 - TER_{7(pc1)}$ and $GPP_{100} - GPP_{100(pc1)}$, such that unheated and heated communities with equal species composition could be compared. Residuals were termed $Resid_{TER}$ and $Resid_{GPP}$.

**Thermal acclimation
of ecosystem CO_2
fluxes**

S. Vicca et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

In order to calculate the degree of acclimation, we fitted regressions for both temperature treatments separately (again using Eq. 3). These fitted functions were then used to calculate $TER_{7(pc2)}$ and $GPP_{100(pc2)}$ for all plant communities (at the corresponding plant cover), such that CO_2 fluxes at a specified plant cover could be compared between temperature treatments.

In summary, whereas $TER_{7(pc1)}$ and $GPP_{100(pc1)}$ are predicted fluxes based on one fit for both temperature treatments together and are computed merely for the sake of calculating residuals for individual communities that could be compared statistically, $TER_{7(pc2)}$ and $GPP_{100(pc2)}$ are predicted fluxes based on separate fits for unheated and heated communities and are computed to test for differences among temperature treatments, not communities.

2.6 Statistics

All statistical analyses were performed in SAS (SAS system 9.1, SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). To test whether TER_7 was correlated with plant cover, a spearman-rank correlation was used, since these data were not normally distributed. For GPP_{100} , a Pearson correlation test could be used. Comparisons of unheated and heated communities with equal species composition were performed with a paired t-test. Multiple analysis of variance (MANOVA) was used to test effects of species richness and to check whether the $TER_7:GPP_{100}$ ratio differed among temperature treatments. For all tests, p values lower than or equal to 0.05 were considered to indicate significant effects or correlations. Outliers (more than three standard deviations from the mean), were not included in the analysis.

BGD

3, 1473–1498, 2006

Thermal acclimation of ecosystem CO_2 fluxes

S. Vicca et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

3 Results

3.1 Phenological/allometrical responses

Plant cover differed significantly between temperature treatments ($p=0.02$; paired t-test), with an average cover of 66% in unheated communities and 81% in heated communities. This difference in cover was most pronounced in the monocultures, where plant cover was on average 33% higher in the heated communities ($p=0.07$; paired t-test). For both $S=3$ and $S=9$ plant cover was only 11% and 9% higher in the heated communities and this difference was statistically not significant ($p=0.17$ and $p=0.99$, respectively; paired t-test). Species richness affected plant cover as well, with lower average cover in monocultures than in $S=3$ and $S=9$ ($p=0.05$ and $p=0.05$, respectively; MANOVA). We observed no difference between $S=3$ and $S=9$ ($p=0.98$; MANOVA). Furthermore, no interactions were found between temperature treatment and species richness level ($p=0.45$; MANOVA).

Comparison of *SLA* of each species between both temperature treatments did not reveal a significant heating effect for any of the species (Table 2).

3.2 Temperature responses of CO₂ fluxes

Neither TER_7 , nor GPP_{100} was affected by heating (Fig. 1), not even when comparing unheated and heated communities with equal species composition ($p=0.57$ and $p=0.98$, for TER_7 and GPP_{100} , respectively; paired t-test). Similar to plant cover, both CO₂ fluxes were significantly lower in monocultures than in $S=3$ and $S=9$ (TER_7 : $p=0.02$ and $p=0.01$; GPP_{100} : $p=0.004$; $p=0.01$; each time comparing $S=1$ with $S=3$ and $S=9$, respectively; MANOVA). Again, we observed no difference between $S=3$ and $S=9$ ($p=0.84$ and $p=0.99$, for TER_7 and GPP_{100} , respectively; MANOVA). We also found no interaction between temperature treatment and S level, neither for TER_7 , nor for GPP_{100} ($p=0.81$ and $p=0.94$, respectively; MANOVA).

The $TER_7:GPP_{100}$ ratio did not differ between unheated and heated communities

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

with equal species composition ($p=0.09$; paired t-test), and also species richness did not have any influence on this ratio ($p=0.89$; MANOVA).

3.3 CO₂ fluxes normalized by plant cover

Because TER_7 and GPP_{100} are both strongly (positively) correlated with plant cover ($\rho=0.79$, $p=0.0001$ and $\rho=0.80$, $p=0.0001$, respectively), it was necessary to take plant cover into account in order to verify whether physiological acclimation could have occurred. Therefore, Eq. (3) was fitted to the data to calculate $TER_{7(pc1)}$ and $GPP_{100(pc1)}$ (see Materials and Methods; Fig. 2 and Table 3). Subsequently, $Resid_{TER}$ and $Resid_{GPP}$ were computed. We observed a reduction of both $Resid_{TER}$ and $Resid_{GPP}$ in the heated chambers as compared to the unheated chambers ($p=0.01$ and $p=0.01$, respectively; paired t-test; Fig. 3), suggesting that, across the entire plant cover spectrum, TER_7 and GPP_{100} were lower in the heated than in the unheated treatment. Species richness did not have any effect on $Resid_{TER}$ or $Resid_{GPP}$ ($p=0.96$ and $p=0.92$, respectively; MANOVA), and no interactions were observed between temperature treatment and species richness level ($p=0.50$ and $p=0.90$, for $Resid_{TER}$ and $Resid_{GPP}$, respectively; MANOVA). For this reason it was not necessary to determine the effects of heating for each S level separately, and thus, all S levels could be treated together.

In order to determine the degree of acclimation, $TER_{7(pc2)}$ and $GPP_{100(pc2)}$ were computed (see Materials and Methods; Fig. 2 and Table 3). At a standard plant cover of 75%, we obtained a 39% lower $TER_{7(pc2)}$ and a 23% lower $GPP_{100(pc2)}$ in the heated chambers as compared to the unheated chambers. The difference in $TER_{7(pc2)}$ was significant at $p=0.012$, whereas the difference in $GPP_{100(pc2)}$ was only borderline significant ($p=0.069$). This larger reduction in $TER_{7(pc2)}$ than in $GPP_{100(pc2)}$ may be taken to suggest a different degree of acclimation of both processes. However, error propagation indicated that the degrees of acclimation did not differ significantly (at $p=0.05$). Furthermore, when comparing the $TER_7:GPP_{100}$ ratio of heated and unheated communities with equal species composition, we observed no statistical difference either

Thermal acclimation of ecosystem CO₂ fluxes

S. Vicca et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

($p=0.09$; paired t-test). Hence, we conclude that there was no difference in the degree of acclimation between total ecosystem respiration and photosynthesis.

4 Discussion

4.1 Heating effect

5 The higher plant cover in the heated chambers points towards a phenological response to heating, and probably results from postponed senescence. According to Herrick and Thomas (2003), delayed leaf senescence can have a significant effect on ecosystem productivity. Moreover, Lemmens et al. (2006) also observed delayed senescence in our heated chambers after measuring several leaf parameters in September and October 2003. In addition, elevated temperature itself could also have influenced productivity (Pollock, 1990), resulting in the higher plant cover found in the heated communities.

In contrast to our results, Lemmens et al. (2006) reported a negative temperature effect on plant biomass at the November 2003 harvest, in the same set-up. This reduction in biomass was, however, most likely due to enhanced soil drought in the heated chambers during summer 2003 (Lemmens et al., 2006), an effect that was negligible during the post-harvest time preceding our measurements (data not shown). Moreover, water supply was adjusted in May 2004, such that drought stress in the heated communities was similar to that in the control treatment (data not shown), and during our three measurement periods, differences in *SWC* were avoided. Thus, because *SWC* was sufficiently high during this study and air temperatures were suboptimal, heated chambers likely provided better growing conditions, such that the postponed leaf senescence could result in higher biomass production in the heated communities.

Despite the positive heating effect on plant cover, neither TER_7 , nor GPP_{100} differed among temperature treatments. This result points towards the occurrence of thermal acclimation. When normalized for plant cover, heated communities did indeed exhibit lower values for both CO_2 fluxes than did unheated communities, which is evidence for

Thermal acclimation of ecosystem CO_2 fluxes

S. Vicca et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

acclimation to elevated temperatures. Thermal acclimation of CO_2 fluxes was found in several other studies (Rook, 1969; Larigauderie and Körner, 1995; Loveys et al., 2003; Tjoelker et al., 1998; Atkin et al., 2006), although it did not occur in some studies on root respiration (Sowel and Spomer, 1986; Weger and Guy, 1991; Zogg et al., 1996; Burton and Pregitzer, 2003).

The degree of acclimation appeared to differ slightly between TER_7 and GPP_{100} . At 75% plant cover, we observed a higher degree of acclimation for TER_7 than for GPP_{100} , which was, however, not significant. The 75% plant cover was chosen because it occurred in both temperature treatments, and because at 75% plant cover we believe to have mitigated “the compression effect”. By compression effect we refer to the fact that, whereas increases in LAI are theoretically unlimited, plant cover can never exceed 100%. At high values, plant cover will therefore saturate if LAI continues to increase. Since respiration and photosynthesis both depend on LAI , it was important to restrict the use of plant cover to a range where its changes are not uncoupled from those in LAI .

Although TER_7 trended to acclimate to a higher degree than GPP_{100} , the $TER_7:GPP_{100}$ ratio did not differ among temperature treatments, indicating no difference in acclimation degrees. This latter result is considered more accurate, since the $TER_7:GPP_{100}$ ratio was determined for each community separately, such that unheated and heated communities with equal species composition could be compared. Therefore, we believe that both respiration and photosynthesis exhibited equal degrees of acclimation. Moreover, acclimation degrees are highly variable amongst species (Larigauderie and Körner, 1995; Turnbull et al., 2001; Atkin and Tjoelker, 2003, Loveys et al., 2003) and therefore comparisons should be made between communities with equal species composition. Thus, whereas the first method, computing the degree of acclimation over all plant communities, gives us an impression about the overall degree of acclimation, the second method can be considered as evidence for equal acclimation degrees for respiration and photosynthesis.

In accordance with our results, Gifford (1994, 1995) reported the ratio of respira-

**Thermal acclimation
of ecosystem CO_2
fluxes**

S. Vicca et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

tion to photosynthesis in diverse species to be remarkably insensitive to growth temperature. Furthermore, he noted that the assumption that plant respiration is more temperature-dependent than photosynthesis (Ryan et al., 1996; Woodwell, 1990) is based on short-term experiments (Gifford, 1994). The equal $TER_7:GPP_{100}$ ratio in our unheated and heated communities points towards the interdependence of respiratory and photosynthetic rates (Hoefnagel et al., 1998). Such interdependence of respiration and photosynthesis was reported in several other experiments (e.g. Ziska and Bunce, 1998; Loveys et al., 2003; Atkin et al., 2006), and this information could be essential for determining whether global warming could alter the carbon balance of terrestrial ecosystems.

In order to determine whether the lower normalized flux rates in the heated chambers could be caused by differences in leaf morphology, we verified whether the specific leaf area differed among temperature treatments. For all species, SLA appeared to be unaffected by heating. This result is in accordance with Lemmens et al. (2006), who observed no temperature effect on SLA either, in the same set-up in September and October 2003. By contrast, Loveys et al. (2002) reported increases in SLA with increasing growth temperature in 14 out of 16 species. Since we did not find a significant heating effect on SLA , we believe that in our study, acclimation was not caused by differences in specific leaf area.

4.2 Effects of declined species richness

The second global change factor in this experiment, declining species richness, significantly affected plant cover, with monocultures exhibiting the lowest plant cover. This is in accordance with our expectations, since complementarity and facilitation can only occur in communities with more than one species. The fact that plant cover did not differ between $S=3$ and $S=9$, which both contained three functional groups, is in agreement with the reported importance of functional group diversity (Spheh et al., 2000). In accordance, we found values for both TER_7 and GPP_{100} (not normalized for plant cover) to be lower in the monocultures than in $S=3$ and $S=9$ communities. Because the S

BGD

3, 1473–1498, 2006

Thermal acclimation of ecosystem CO_2 fluxes

S. Vicca et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

level no longer affected respiration or photosynthesis when normalized for plant cover ($Resid_{TER}$ and $Resid_{GPP}$ were unaffected by S level), the effect of species richness can be ascribed to differences in plant biomass.

As a final point, the S level did not influence the $TER_7:GPP_{100}$ ratio, and also $Resid_{TER}$ and $Resid_{GPP}$ remained unaffected. Furthermore, we observed no interactions between temperature treatment and species richness level. This implies that species richness had no effect on the normalized CO_2 fluxes and therefore, we assume that species richness will not have had any influence on the degree of thermal acclimation beyond its direct effect via altered plant cover.

5 Conclusions

In this study, we tested the hypotheses that (1) both photosynthesis and total ecosystem respiration acclimate to elevated temperatures after long-term, continuous heating; (2) that both CO_2 fluxes acclimate to the same degree; and (3) that declined species richness does not affect the degree of acclimation.

In accordance with our first hypothesis, we observed physiological acclimation of both photosynthesis and total ecosystem respiration after 28 months of continuous heating. This physiological acclimation was, however, entirely compensated by the higher biomass in the heated chambers. Hence, at equal air temperatures, both temperature treatments exhibited similar ecosystem-scale CO_2 fluxes. Moreover, our second hypothesis could not be falsified either, since heating had no effect on the ratio of respiration to photosynthesis, and degrees of acclimation are thus considered equal for both CO_2 fluxes.

Declined species richness, on the other hand, resulted in reduced plant cover, and accordingly also in decreases in both TER_7 and GPP_{100} . Nonetheless, neither the $TER_7:GPP_{100}$ ratio, nor $Resid_{TER}$ or $Resid_{GPP}$ was affected by species richness. Thus, declined species richness did not induce or affect the observed physiological response to long-term heating, which is in accordance with our last hypothesis.

BGD

3, 1473–1498, 2006

Thermal acclimation of ecosystem CO_2 fluxes

S. Vicca et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

With regard to global warming, we conclude that models need to take into account the opposing effects of increasing plant cover and physiological down-regulation of photosynthesis and respiration in a warmer world.

Acknowledgements. This research was funded by the Fund for Scientific Research – Flanders (Belgium) as project “effects of biodiversity loss and climate warming on carbon sequestration mechanisms in terrestrial ecosystems”, contract #G.0434.03N. H. J. De Boeck holds a grant from the Institute for the Promotion of Innovation by science and Technology in Flanders. P. Serrano-Ortiz benefits from a pre-doctoral grant from the Junta de Andalucía. We thank F. Kockelbergh for invaluable technical assistance throughout the experiment.

References

- Atkin, O. K., Bruhn, D., Hurry, V. M., and Tjoelker, M. G.: Response of root respiration to changes in temperature and its relevance to global warming, *New Phytol.*, 147, 141–154, 2000.
- Atkin, O. K., Scheurwater, I., and Pons T. L.: High thermal acclimation potential of both photosynthesis and respiration in two lowland *Plantago* species in contrast to an alpine congeneric, *Global Change Biol.*, 12, 500–515, 2006.
- Atkin, O. K. and Tjoelker, M. G.: Thermal acclimation and the dynamic response of plant respiration to temperature, *Trends in Plant Sci.*, 8, 343–351, 2003.
- Berry, J. A. and Björkman, O.: Photosynthetic response and adaptation to temperature in higher plants, *Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol.*, 31, 491–543, 1980.
- Bouma, T. J., De Visser, R., Van Leeuwen, P. H., De Kock, M. J., and Lambers, H.: The respiratory energy requirements involved in nocturnal carbohydrate export from starch-storing mature source leaves and their contribution to leaf dark respiration, *J. of Exp. Bot.*, 46, 1185–1194, 1995.
- Bryla, D. R., Bouma, T. J., and Eissenstat, D. M.: Root respiration in citrus acclimates to temperature and slows during drought, *Plant Cell Environ.*, 20, 1411–1420, 1997.
- Bryla, D. R., Bouma, T. J., Hartmond, U., and Eissenstat, D. M.: Influence of temperature and soil drying on respiration of individual roots in citrus: integrating greenhouse observations into a predictive model for the field, *Plant Cell Environ.*, 24, 781–790, 2001.

BGD

3, 1473–1498, 2006

Thermal acclimation of ecosystem CO₂ fluxes

S. Vicca et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

- Burton, A. and Pregitzer, K. S.: Field measurements of root respiration indicate little to no seasonal temperature acclimation for sugar maple and red pine, *Tree Physiol.*, 23, 273–280, 2003.
- Caldwell, M. M., Dawson, T. E., and Richards, J. H.: Hydraulic lift: consequences of water efflux from the roots of plants, *Oecologia*, 113, 151–161, 1998.
- Chmielewsky F.-M. and Rötzer T.: Response of tree phenology to climate change across Europe, *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, 108, 101–112, 2001.
- Davidson, E. A. and Janssens, I. A.: Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition and feedbacks to climate change, *Nature*, 440, 165–173, 2006.
- Eliasson, P. E., McMurtrie, R. E., Pepper, D. A., Strömberg, M., Linder, S., and Agren, G. I.: The response of heterotrophic CO₂ flux to soil warming, *Global Change Biol.*, 11, 167–181, 2005.
- Fridley, D.: The influence of species diversity on ecosystem productivity: how, where and why?, *Oikos*, 93, 514–526, 2001.
- Gifford, G. M.: The global carbon cycle: A viewpoint on the missing sink, *Austr. J. Plant Physiol.*, 21, 1–15, 1994.
- Gifford, G. M.: Whole plant respiration and photosynthesis of wheat under increased CO₂ concentration and temperature: long-term vs. short-term distinctions for modeling, *Global Change Biol.* 1, 385–396, 1995.
- Hector, A., Schmid, B., Beierkuhnlein, C., Caldeira, M. C., Diemer, M., Dimitrakopoulos, P. G., Finn, J. A., Freitas, H., Gilles, P. S., Good, J., Harris, R., Höglberg, P., Huss-Danell, K., Joshi, J., Jumpponen, A., Körner, C., Leadley, P. W., Loreau, M., Minns, A., Mulde, C. P. H., O'Donovan, G., Otway, S. J., Pereira J.S., Prinz A., Read D.J., Scherer-Lorenzen M., Schulze E.-D., Siamantziouras, A.-S. D., Spehn, E. M., Terry, A. C., Troumbis, A. Y., Woodward, F. I., Yachi, S., and Lawton, J. H.: Plant diversity and productivity experiments in European grasslands, *Science*, 286, 1123–1127, 1999.
- Herrick, J. D. and Thomas, R. B.: Leaf senescence and late-season net photosynthesis of sun and shade leaves of overstory sweetgum (*Lyquidambar styraciflua*) grown in elevated and ambient carbon dioxide concentrations, *Tree Physiol.*, 23, 109–118, 2003.
- Hoefnagel, M. H. N., Atkin, O. K., and Wiskich, J. T.: Interdependence between chloroplasts and mitochondria in the light and the dark, *Biochim. Bioph. Acta – Bioenerg.*, 1366, 235–255: 1998.
- King, A. W., Gunderson, C. A., Post, W. M., Weston, D. J., and Wullschleger, S. D.: Plant

BGD

3, 1473–1498, 2006

Thermal acclimation of ecosystem CO₂ fluxes

S. Vicca et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

- respiration in a warmer world, *Science*, 312, 536–537, 2006.
- Kirschbaum, M. U.: Soil respiration under prolonged soil warming: are rate reductions caused by acclimation or substrate loss? *Global Change Biol.*, 10, 1870–1877, 2004.
- Krömer, S.: Respiration during photosynthesis, *Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. Mol. Biol.*, 46, 45–70, 1995.
- Lambers, H., Chapin, F. S., and Pons, T. L.: *Plant Physiological Ecology*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- Larcher, W.: *Physiological Plant Ecology* (fourth edition). Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 2003.
- Larigauderie, A. and Körner, C.: Acclimation of leaf dark respiration to temperature in alpine and lowland plant species, *Ann. Bot.*, 76, 245–252, 1995.
- Lemmens, C. M. H. M., De Boeck, H. J., Gielen, B., Bossuyt, H., Malchair, S., Carnol, M., Merckx, R., Nijs, I., and Ceulemans, R.: End-of-season effects of elevated temperature on ecophysiological processes of grassland species at different species richness levels, *Environ. Exp. Bot.*, 56, 245–254, 2006.
- Loveys, B. R., Atkinson, L. J., Sherlock, D. J., Roberts, R. L., Fitter, A. H., and Atkin, O. K.: Thermal acclimation of leaf and root respiration: an investigation comparing inherently fast- and slow-growing plant species, *Global Change Biol.*, 9, 895–910, 2003.
- Loveys, B. R., Scheurwater, I., Pons, T. L., Fitter, A. H., and Atkin, O. K.: Growth temperature influences the underlying components of relative growth rate: an investigation using inherently fast- and slow-growing plant species, *Plant Cell Environ.*, 25, 975–987, 2002.
- Luo, Y. Q., Wan, S. Q., Hui, D. F., and Wallace, L. L.: Acclimatization of soil respiration to warming in a tall grass prairie, *Nature*, 413, 622–625, 2001.
- Marchand, F., Nijs, I., de Boeck, H., Kockelbergh, F., and Mertens, S.: Increased turnover but little change in the carbon balance of High-Arctic tundra exposed to whole growing season warming, *Act., Antarct., Alp. Res.*, 36, 297–306, 2004.
- Melillo, J. M., Steudler, P. A., Aber, J. D., Newkirk, K., Lux, H., Bowles, F. P., Catricala, C., Magill, A., Ahrens, T., and Morrisseau, S.: Soil warming and carbon cycle feedbacks to the climate system, *Science*, 298, 2173–2175, 2002.
- Pollock, C. J.: The response of plants to temperature change, *J. Agric. Sci.*, 115, 1–5, 1990.
- Read, D.: Mycorrhizal fungi – The ties that bind, *Nature*, 388, 517–518, 1997.
- Rook, D.: The influence of growing temperature on photosynthesis and respiration of *Pinus radiata* seedlings, *New Zealand J. Bot.*, 7, 43–55, 1969.

BGD

3, 1473–1498, 2006

Thermal acclimation of ecosystem CO₂ fluxes

S. Vicca et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

- Roy, J.: Biodiversity Control of Primary Production, in: Terrestrial Global Productivity, edited by: Roy, J., Saugier, B., and Mooney, H. A., Academic Press, San Diego, USA, 169–181, 2001.
- Ryan, M. G., Hunt, E. R., McMurtrie, R. E., Agren, G. I., Aber, J. D., Friend, A. D., Rastetter, E. B., Pulliam, W. M., Raison, R. J., and Linder, S.: Comparing Models of Ecosystem Function for Temperate Conifer Forests. I. Model Description and Validation, in: Global Change: Effects on Coniferous Forests and Grasslands, edited by: Breymeyer, A. I., Hall, D. O., Melillo, J. M., and Agren, G. I., SCOPE 56, Scientific Committee on Problems of the Environment/Wiley, Chichester, UK, 313–362, 1996.
- Salvucci, M. E. and Crafts-Brandner, S. J.: Relationship between the heat tolerance of photosynthesis and the thermal stability of Rubisco activase in plants from contrasting thermal environments, *Plant Physiol.*, 134, 1460–1470, 2004.
- Saxe, H., Cannell, M. G. R., Johnsen, O., Ryan, M. G., and Vourlitis, G.: Tree and forest functioning in response to global warming, *New Phytol.*, 149, 369–400, 2001.
- Sharkey, T. D.: Effects of moderate heat stress on photosynthesis: importance of thylakoid reactions, rubisco deactivation, reactive oxygen species, and thermotolerance provided by isoprene, *Plant Cell Environ.*, 28, 269–277, 2005.
- Sowell, J. B. and Spomer G. G.: Ecotypic variation in root respiration rate among elevational population of *Abies lasiocarpa* and *Picea engelmannii*, *Oecologia* 68, 375–379, 1986.
- Spehn, E. M., Joshi, J., Schmid, B., Diemer, M., and Körner, C.: Above-ground resource use increases with plant species richness in experimental grassland ecosystems, *Funct. Ecol.*, 14, 326–337, 2000.
- Tillman, D., Wedin, D., and Knops, J.: Productivity and sustainability influenced by biodiversity in grassland ecosystems, *Nature*, 379, 718–720, 1996.
- Tjoelker, M. G., Oleksyn, J., and Reich, P. B.: Seedlings of five boreal tree species differ in acclimation of net photosynthesis to elevated CO₂ and temperature, *Tree Physiol.*, 18, 715–726, 1998.
- Turnbull, M. H., Whitehead, D., Tissue, D. T., Schuster, W. S. F., Brown, K. J., and Griffin, K. L.: Responses of leaf respiration to temperature and leaf characteristics in three deciduous tree species vary with site water availability, *Tree Physiol.*, 21, 571–578, 2001.
- Symstad, A. J., Tilman, D., Willson, J., and Knops, J. M. H.: Species loss and ecosystem functioning: effects of species identity and community composition, *Oikos*, 81, 389–397, 1998.
- Van Ruijven, J. and Berendse, F.: Diversity-productivity relationship: Initial effects, long-term

BGD

3, 1473–1498, 2006

Thermal acclimation of ecosystem CO₂ fluxes

S. Vicca et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

- patterns, and underlying mechanisms, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 102, 695–700, 2005
- Vandermeer, J. H.: The Ecology of Intercropping, Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- Weger, H. G. and Guy, R. D.: Cytochrome and alternative pathway respiration in white spruce (*Picea glauca*) roots. Effects of growth and measurement temperature, Physiol. Plantarum, 83, 675–681, 1991.
- 5 Woodwell, G. M.: The effects of global warming, in: Global Warming: the Greenpeace Report, edited by: Leggett, J., Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 116–132, 1990.
- Ziska, L. H. and Bunce, J. A.: The influence of increasing growth temperature and CO₂ concentration on the ratio of respiration to photosynthesis in soybean seedlings, Global Change Biol., 4, 637–643, 1998.
- 10 Zogg, G. P., Zak, D. R., Burton, A. J., and Pregitzer, K. S.: Fine root respiration in northern hardwood forests in relation to temperature and nitrogen availability, Tree Physiol., 16, 719–725, 1996.

BGD

3, 1473–1498, 2006

**Thermal acclimation
of ecosystem CO₂
fluxes**

S. Vicca et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Thermal acclimation
of ecosystem CO₂
fluxes**

S. Vicca et al.

Table 1. Experimental set-up of the measurements.

PERIOD	TEMPERATURE TREATMENT	
	Unheated	Heated
1 (22–25 Nov 2005)	ambient	ambient + 3°C
2 (28–30 Nov 2005)	ambient +8°C	ambient +8°C
3 (5–8 Dec 2005)	ambient +3°C	ambient

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Thermal acclimation of ecosystem CO₂ fluxes

S. Vicca et al.

Table 2. Comparison of specific leaf area (*SLA*) (m²/kg) in unheated (U) and heated (H) plant communities. Values for *n* correspond to the number of plant communities in which leaves of the respective species were sampled. Values for *SLA* represent the average value for all plant communities in the temperature treatment. *Bellis perennis* L. is not shown, because it only occurred in two communities and therefore we could not carry out any statistical analysis for this species.

Species	n (U)	<i>SLA</i> (U)	n (H)	<i>SLA</i> (H)	p value
<i>Dactylis glomerata</i> L.	7	27.81	10	27.80	0.86
<i>Festuca arudinacea</i> Schreb.	6	19.97	7	19.37	0.85
<i>Lolium perenne</i> L.	3	19.08	3	19.70	0.70
<i>Lotus corniculatus</i> L.	2	24.10	5	20.18	0.50
<i>Medicago sativa</i> L.	8	25.76	9	25.29	0.67
<i>Plantago lanceolata</i> L.	2	26.60	7	22.00	0.12
<i>Rumex acetosa</i> L.	9	23.70	7	24.86	0.47
<i>Trifolium repens</i> L.	2	24.43	2	22.97	0.80

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Thermal acclimation of ecosystem CO₂ fluxes

S. Vicca et al.

Table 3. Parameter values for the fitted regressions (displayed in Fig. 2). Besides the parameters for this regression, we also present R² and p values for the linear regressions fitted for measured versus predicted fluxes (m vs. p).

	UNHEATED ONLY		HEATED ONLY		BOTH TEMPERATURE TREATMENTS COMBINED	
	<i>TER</i> ₇	<i>GPP</i> ₁₀₀	<i>TER</i> ₇	<i>GPP</i> ₁₀₀	<i>TER</i> ₇	<i>GPP</i> ₁₀₀
a	0.146 (0.058)	0.838 (0.287)	0.037 (0.034)	0.355 (0.159)	0.068 (0.033)	0.592 (0.173)
b	1.030 (0.004)	1.025 (0.003)	1.043 (0.008)	1.033 (0.004)	1.037 (0.004)	1.028 (0.003)
R ²	0.771	0.729	0.481	0.680	0.592	0.680
R ² (m vs. p)	0.212	0.287	0.564	0.383	0.339	0.303
p value (m vs. p)	0.041	0.015	0.0002	0.005	0.0001	0.0003

Values in parentheses represent the standard errors.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Thermal acclimation
of ecosystem CO₂
fluxes**

S. Vicca et al.




Fig. 1. (a) Total ecosystem respiration at 7°C (TER_7) and (b) gross primary productivity at 100 $\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (GPP_{100}) and their 95% confidence levels (error bars) for the two temperature treatments (unheated and heated).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Thermal acclimation of ecosystem CO₂ fluxes

S. Vicca et al.




Fig. 2. (a) Total ecosystem respiration at 7°C (TER_7) and (b) gross primary productivity at 100 $\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ (GPP_{100}) in function of plant cover. \square = unheated communities; \bullet = heated communities. Exponential regressions are given for the temperature treatments separately as well as combined. — = unheated and heated communities together; -- = unheated communities only; — = heated communities only. Parameter values for all regressions are provided in Table 3.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Thermal acclimation
of ecosystem CO₂
fluxes**

S. Vicca et al.




Fig. 3. Residuals between **(a)** measured total ecosystem respiration at 7°C (TER_7); and **(b)** gross primary productivity at 100 $\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ (GPP_{100}) and the predicted values based on the observed plant cover. Error bars indicate the 95% confidence level. \square = unheated communities; \bullet = heated communities.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)