



HAL
open science

Primitives of p-adic meromorphic functions

Kamal Boussaf, Alain Escassut, Jacqueline Ojeda

► **To cite this version:**

Kamal Boussaf, Alain Escassut, Jacqueline Ojeda. Primitives of p-adic meromorphic functions. Contemporary mathematics, 2011, 551, pp.51-56. hal-00682126

HAL Id: hal-00682126

<https://hal.science/hal-00682126>

Submitted on 23 Mar 2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Primitives of p -adic meromorphic functions

Kamal Boussaf, Alain Escassut and Jacqueline Ojeda

Dedicated to the memory of Nicole De Grande-De Kimpe

ABSTRACT. Let K be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0, complete with respect to an ultrametric absolute value. We show that a meromorphic function in K or in an open disk admits primitives if and only if all its residues are null.

1. Introduction and results

Notation and definitions: Let K be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0, complete with respect to an ultrametric absolute value $|\cdot|$. Given $\alpha \in K$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$, we denote by $d(\alpha, r)$ the disk $\{x \in K \mid |x - \alpha| \leq r\}$ and by $d(\alpha, r^-)$ the disk $\{x \in K \mid |x - \alpha| < r\}$, by $\mathcal{A}(K)$ the K -algebra of analytic functions in K (i.e. the set of power series with an infinite radius of convergence) and by $\mathcal{M}(K)$ the field of meromorphic functions in K [2],[4].

In the same way, given $\alpha \in K$, $r > 0$ we denote by $\mathcal{A}(d(\alpha, r^-))$ the K -algebra of analytic functions in $d(\alpha, r^-)$ (i.e. the set of power series with an infinite radius of convergence $\geq r$) and by $\mathcal{M}(d(\alpha, r^-))$ the field of fractions of $\mathcal{A}(d(\alpha, r^-))$.

Here we mean to characterize meromorphic functions in K or inside an open disk that admit primitives. In complex analysis, a meromorphic function having all its residues equal to 0 admits primitives. On our field K , such a conclusion seems obvious but apparently has not been published in any paper. Actually, the proof requires the use of analytic elements [1], [3].

Notation and definitions: Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(d(a, R^-))$ have a pole of order q at a : f then admits an expansion in a Laurent series inside a disk $d(anr^-)$ of the form $f(x) = \sum_{n=-q}^{\infty} a_n(x-a)^n$. As usual, a_{-1} is called *residue of f at a* and will be denoted by $\text{res}_a(f)$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 12J25 Secondary 46S10.

Key words and phrases. p -adic meromorphic functions, primitives, residues.

Partially supported by CONICYT N°9090014 (*Inserción de Capital Humano a la Academia*).

Main Theorem : *Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(K)$ (resp. $f \in \mathcal{M}(d(a, R^-))$). Then f admits primitives in $\mathcal{M}(K)$ (resp. in $\mathcal{M}(d(a, R^-))$) if and only if f has no residue different from zero.*

2. The proofs

Let D be a subset of K . If D is bounded of diameter R we denote by \tilde{D} the disk $d(a, R)$ for any $a \in D$. If D is not bounded we put $\tilde{D} = K$. Given a point $a \in K$ we put $\delta(a, D) = \inf\{|x - a| \mid x \in D\}$. Then $\delta(a, D)$ is named *the distance of a to D* .

Recall that given any subset D of K , $\tilde{D} \setminus \overline{D}$ admits a unique partition of the form $(T_i)_{i \in I}$, whereas each T_i is a disk of the form $d(a_i, r_i^-)$ with $r_i = \delta(a_i, D)$. Such disks $d(a_i, r_i^-)$ are called *the holes* of D [1].

Given $a \in K$ and $r', r'' \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ with $r' < r''$, we denote by $\Gamma(a, r', r'')$ the annulus $\{x \in K \mid r' < |x - a| < r''\}$.

A set D is said to be *infraconnected* if for every $a \in D$, the mapping I_a from D to \mathbb{R}_+ defined by $I_a(x) = |x - a|$ has an image whose closure in \mathbb{R}_+ is an interval. In other words, a set D is not infraconnected if and only if there exist a and $b \in D$ and an annulus $\Gamma(a, r_1, r_2)$ with $0 < r_1 < r_2 < |a - b|$ such that $\Gamma(a, r_1, r_2) \cap D = \emptyset$.

Given a subset E of K , we denote by $R(E)$ the algebra of rational functions with no pole in E and the functions that are uniform limits of a sequence of $R(E)$ are called *analytic elements on E* . We denote by $H(E)$ the set of analytic elements on E . Moreover, we denote by $R_b(E)$ the sub- K -algebra of $R(E)$ consisting of the $h \in R(E)$ that are bounded in E . So, $R_b(E)$ admits the norm of uniform convergence as a K -algebra norm and we denote by $H_b(E)$ its completion that consists of all $h \in H(E)$ that are bounded in E . The algebra $H_b(E)$ is then a K -Banach algebra. Moreover, when E is unbounded, we denote by $H_0(E)$ the set of $f \in H(E)$ such that $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty, x \in E} f(x) = 0$. Particularly, when E is of the form $K \setminus d(a, R^-)$, then $H_0(E)$ is K -Banach algebra with respect to the norm of uniform convergence on E denoted by $\| \cdot \|_E$.

Lemma 1: *Let $f \in H(d(0, R))$ and let $r \in]0, R[$. Then f admits primitives in $H(d(0, r))$.*

Proof: Since f lies in $H(d(0, R))$, $f(x)$ has expansion of the form $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n| R^n = 0$. Particularly, the radius of convergence

$$\rho = \frac{1}{\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|}}$$

is such that $\rho \geq R$. But by Theorem 1.5.4 [2] the primitive $F(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n+1} x^{n+1}$ also has a radius of convergence equal to S . Consequently, for all $r < R$, by Proposition 13.3 [1], F belongs to $H(d(0, r))$.

In the proof of the Main Theorem, we need Krasner's Mittag-Leffler Theorem. Here for simplicity, we will only state it when the set D is closed and bounded.

Theorem A : (Krasner-Mittag-Leffler Theorem) [1], [3] *Let D be a closed and bounded infraconnected subset of K and let $f \in H(D)$. There exists a unique sequence of holes $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ of D and a unique sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $H(D)$ such that $f_0 \in H(\tilde{D})$, $f_n \in H_0(K \setminus T_n)$ ($n > 0$), $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = 0$ and*

$$(1) \quad f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n \text{ and } \|f\|_D = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_n\|_D.$$

Moreover for every hole $T_n = d(a_n, r_n^-)$, we have

$$(2) \quad \|f_n\|_D = \|f_n\|_{K \setminus T_n} \leq \|f\|_D.$$

If $\tilde{D} = d(a, r)$ we have

$$(3) \quad \|f_0\|_D = \|f_0\|_{\tilde{D}} \leq \|f\|_D.$$

Let us recall Theorems B and C [1].

Theorem B (Theorem 19.5 [1]): *Let E be an open set in K such that \overline{E} also is open. Then E is infraconnected if and only if for every $f \in H(E)$ such that $f'(x) = 0$ whenever $x \in E$, we have $f = ct$.*

Theorem C (Theorem 25.5 [1]): *Let $(a_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $d(0, r^-)$ such that $|a_n| \leq |a_{n+1}|$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n| = r$. Let $(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{N}^* and let $B \in]1, +\infty[$. There exists $f \in A(d(0, r^-))$ satisfying*

$$i) \quad f(0) = 1$$

$$ii) \quad \|f\|_{d(0, |a_n|)} \leq B \prod_{j=0}^n \left| \frac{a_n}{a_j} \right|^{q_j} \text{ whenever } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$iii) \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad a_n \text{ is a zero of } f \text{ of order } z_n \geq q_n.$$

Proof of the Main Theorem: Let α be a pole of f . According to the Laurent series of f at α , if f admits primitives then f has no residue different from zero at α because $\frac{1}{x - \alpha}$ has no primitive in $H(d(\alpha, r))$ (whenever $r > 0$).

Now suppose that for every pole α of f we have $res_\alpha(f) = 0$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that f admits infinitely many poles and has no pole at the origin. Moreover, when f is a meromorphic function in $d(a, R^-)$, we may assume that $a = 0$.

Let $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence of poles of f in K (resp. in $d(0, R^-)$), with $|a_n| \leq |a_{n+1}|$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, each pole a_n being of order q_n and let $\psi(x) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{x}{a_n}\right)^{q_n - 1}$.

For each $S > 0$ (resp. for each $S \in]0, R[$), we denote by $t(S)$ the greatest integer n such that $|a_n| \leq S$. And for each $r \in]0, S[$, we set $D(r, S) = d(0, S^-) \setminus \left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{t(S)} d(a_j, r^-)\right)$.

According to results on analytic elements [1], [2], f obviously defines an element of $H(D(r, S))$.

Let us take $r < \min(|a_i - a_j|, 0 \leq i < j \leq t(S))$. In each hole $d(a_n, r^-)$ of $D(r, S)$, f has a unique pole that is a_n . It is of order q_n and the Mittag-Leffler term f_n of f in the hole $d(a_n, r^-)$ is of the form $\sum_{i=2}^{q_n} \frac{\lambda_{n,i}}{(x - a_n)^i}$ because, by hypothesis, the residue of f at a_n is null. On the other hand, as an element of $H(D(r, S))$,

by Theorem A, the Mittag-Leffler decomposition of f on the infraconnected set $D(r, S)$ is of the form $\sum_{n=0}^{t(S)} f_n + g_S$ with $g_S \in H(d(0, S))$. Moreover, we notice that there exists $S' > S$ (resp $S' \in]S, R[$) such that f admits no pole $b \in \Gamma(0, S, S')$. Consequently, the holes of $D(r, S)$ are the same as these of $D(r, S')$ and therefore, the Mittag-Leffler decomposition of f on $D(r, S)$ also holds on $D(r, S')$. Hence g_S belongs to $H(d(0, S'))$. But then by Lemma 1, g_S admits primitives in $H(d(0, S))$.

Now, as an element of $H(D(r, S))$, each f_n admits a primitive F_n of the form

$$-\sum_{i=2}^{q_n} \frac{\lambda_{n,i}}{(i-1)(x-a_n)^{i-1}}.$$

And as an element of $H(D(r, S))$ again, f admits a primitive L_S satisfying $L_S(0) = 0$, equal to $\sum_{n=0}^{t(S)} F_n + G_S$ with $G_S \in H(d(0, S))$.

Now, fixing S we can take r arbitrary small: the Mittag-Leffler terms $f_1, \dots, f_{t(S)}$ and G_S remain the same because if $r' < r < \min(|a_i - a_j| \mid 0 \leq i < j \leq t(S))$, the Mittag-Leffler expansions on $D(r', S)$ holds on $D(r, S)$ and the two expansions are equal, due to the properties of the Mittag-Leffler expansions. Thus, the function L_S is defined in $d(0, S) \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_{t(S)}\}$ and satisfies $(L_S)'(x) = f(x)$, $\forall x \in d(0, S) \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_{t(S)}\}$.

Consider first the case when f belongs to $\mathcal{M}(K)$. The function

$$\psi(x) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{x}{a_n}\right)^{q_n-1}$$

is an entire function, hence an element of $H(d(0, S))$.

Let $\phi_S(x) = L_S(x)\psi(x)$. Then for any $r > 0$, ϕ_S is an element of $H(D(r, S))$ meromorphic on each hole of $D(r, S)$ [1], (chap. 31). But actually, by construction, ϕ_S has no pole in any hole of $D(r, S)$. Consequently, ϕ belongs to $H(d(0, S))$.

Now, let $S' > S$. Similarly we can make a function $\phi_{S'} = L_{S'}\psi$. We will show that the restriction of $\phi_{S'}$ to $d(0, S)$ is ϕ_S . Indeed, by definition, both functions L_S and $L_{S'}$ are null at 0 and have a derivative equal to f in $D(r, S)$, for any $r > 0$. Consequently, L_S and $L_{S'}$ coincide in a disk and therefore so do ϕ_S and $\phi_{S'}$. Hence the equality $\phi_S(x) = \phi_{S'}(x)$ holds in all $d(0, S)$. Thus, we can define the function $\phi(x) = \phi_S(x)$, $\forall x \in d(0, S)$. Since ϕ_S belongs to $H(d(0, S))$, ϕ belongs to $H(d(0, S))$ for all $S > 0$ and therefore is an entire function. Now, we can set $F(x) = \frac{\phi(x)}{\psi(x)}$ and then F belongs to $\mathcal{M}(K)$. On the other hand, since $(L_S)' = f$ and since $F(x) = L_S(x)$ in $d(0, S) \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots\}$, we have $F'(x) = f(x)$, $\forall d(0, S) \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots\}$ and hence, by Theorem B we know that the equality $F'(x) = f(x)$ holds in each set $D(r, S)$, for all $r > 0$, hence in all $K \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots\}$.

Similarly, consider the case when f belongs to $\mathcal{M}(d(0, R^-))$. By Theorem C, we can find a function $\psi(x) \in \mathcal{A}(d(0, R^-))$ admitting each a_n as a zero of order $s_n \geq q_n - 1$. Then, as in the previous case, using the same notation, we can show that $L_S(x)\psi(x)$ lies in $H(d(0, S))$ for every $S < R$, because it has no pole in $d(0, S)$. Now, for every $S < S' < R$, ϕ_S is the restriction of $\phi_{S'}$ to $d(0, S)$. Let ϕ be defined as $\phi(x) = \phi_S(x)$ for all $x \in d(0, S)$, $\forall S < R$. Then ϕ belongs to $\mathcal{A}(d(0, R^-))$ and

hence the function $F(x) = \frac{\phi(x)}{\psi(x)}$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}(d(0, R^-))$. And similarly, we have $F' = f$, which ends the proof.

References

- [1] **Escassut, A.** *Analytic Elements in p-adic Analysis*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd. (Singapore, 1995).
- [2] **Escassut, A.** *p-adic Value Distribution*. Some Topics on Value Distribution and Differentiability in Complex and P-adic Analysis, p.42- 138. Mathematics Monograph, Series 11. Science Press.(Beijing 2008)
- [3] **Krasner, M.** *Prolongement analytique uniforme et multiforme dans les corps valués complets. Les tendances géométriques en algèbre et théorie des nombres*, Clermont-Ferrand, p.94-141 (1964). Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (1966), (Colloques internationaux du C.N.R.S. Paris, 143).
- [4] **Hu, P.C. and Yang, C.C.** *Meromorphic Functions over non-Archimedean Fields*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, (2000).

Current address: Laboratoire de Mathématiques, UMR 6620, Université Blaise Pascal & CNRS, Complexe scientifique des Cézeaux, BP 80026, 63171 Aubière Cedex, France, Laboratoire de Mathématiques, UMR 6620, Université Blaise Pascal & CNRS, Complexe scientifique des Cézeaux, BP 80026, 63171 Aubière Cedex, France, Departamento de Matemática Facultad de Ciencias Físicas y Matemáticas Universidad de Concepción Chile

E-mail address: `kamal.boussaf@math.univ-bpclermont.fr`, `alain.escassut@math.univ-bpclermont.fr`, `jacqojeda@udc.cl`