$W^{1,1}_0(\Omega)$ in some borderline cases of elliptic equations with degenerate coercivity

Lucio Boccardo, Gisella Croce

► To cite this version:

Lucio Boccardo, Gisella Croce. $W^{1,1}_0(\Omega)$ in some borderline cases of elliptic equations with degenerate coercivity. Analysis and Topology of Nonlinear Differential Equations, 85, 2014. hal-00821278

HAL Id: hal-00821278

<https://hal.science/hal-00821278>

Submitted on 10 May 2013

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

$W_0^{1,1}$ SOLUTIONS IN SOME BORDERLINE CASES OF ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS WITH DEGENERATE COERCIVITY

LUCIO BOCCARDO, GISELLA CROCE

ABSTRACT. We study a degenerate elliptic equation, proving existence results of distributional solutions in some borderline cases.

Bernardo, come vide li occhi miei ...
(Dante, Paradiso XXXI)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

This paper contains developments of the results presented by the first author at IX WNDE (João Pessoa, 18.9.2012), *on the occasion of the sixtieth birthday of Bernhard Ruf*", and it is dedicated to him.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Sobolev space $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is the natural functional framework (see [10], [12]) to find weak solutions of nonlinear elliptic problems of the following type

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{a(x)\nabla u}{(1+|u|)^\theta} \right) = f, & \text{in } \Omega; \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where the function f belongs to the dual space of $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$, Ω is a bounded, open subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$, with $N > 2$, θ is a real number such that

$$(2) \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 1,$$

and $a : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a measurable function satisfying the following conditions:

$$(3) \quad \alpha \leq a(x) \leq \beta,$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J61, 35J70, 35J75.

Key words and phrases. Elliptic equations; $W^{1,1}$ solutions; Degenerate equations.

for almost every $x \in \Omega$, where α and β are positive constants. The main difficulty to use the general results of [10], [12] is the fact that

$$A(v) = -\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{a(x)\nabla v}{(1+|v|)^\theta} \right),$$

is not coercive. Papers [7], [4] and [3] deal with the existence and summability of solutions to problem (1) if $f \in L^m(\Omega)$, for some $m \geq 1$.

Despite the lack of coercivity of the differential operator $A(v)$ appearing in problem (1), in the papers [7], [4] and [1], the authors prove the following existence results of solutions of problem (1), under assumption (3):

- A) a weak solution $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$, if $m > \frac{N}{2}$ and (2) holds true;
- B) a weak solution $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^{m^{**}(1-\theta)}(\Omega)$, where $m^{**} = (m^*)^* = \frac{mN}{N-2m}$, if

$$0 < \theta < 1, \quad \frac{2N}{N+2-\theta(N-2)} \leq m < \frac{N}{2};$$

- C) a distributional solution u in $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$, $q = \frac{Nm(1-\theta)}{N-m(1+\theta)} < 2$,
if

$$\frac{1}{N-1} \leq \theta < 1, \quad \frac{N}{N+1-\theta(N-1)} < m < \frac{2N}{N+2-\theta(N-2)}.$$

- D) an entropy solution $u \in M^{m^{**}(1-\theta)}$, with $|\nabla u| \in M^q(\Omega)$, for $1 \leq m \leq \max \left\{ 1, \frac{N}{N+1-\theta(N-1)} \right\}$.

The borderline case $\theta = 1$ was studied in [3], proving the existence of a solution $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^p(\Omega)$ for every $p < \infty$. The case where the source is $\frac{A}{|x|^2}$ was analyzed too.

About the different notions of solutions mentioned above, we recall that the notion of entropy solution was introduced in [2]. Let

$$(4) \quad T_k(s) = \begin{cases} s & \text{if } |s| \leq k, \\ k \frac{s}{|s|} & \text{if } |s| > k. \end{cases}$$

Then u is an entropy solution to problem (1) if $T_k(u) \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ for every $k > 0$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)\nabla u}{(1+|u|)^\theta} \cdot \nabla T_k(u-\varphi) \leq \int_{\Omega} f T_k(u-\varphi), \quad \forall \varphi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega).$$

Moreover, we say that u is a distributional solution of (1) if

$$(5) \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x) \nabla u}{(1 + |u|)^{\theta}} \cdot \nabla \varphi = \int_{\Omega} f \varphi, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega).$$

The figure below can help to summarize the previous results, where the name of a given region corresponds to the results that we have just cited.

Our results are the following.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let f be a function in $L^m(\Omega)$. Assume (3) and*

$$(6) \quad m = \frac{N}{N + 1 - \theta(N - 1)}, \quad \frac{1}{N - 1} < \theta < 1$$

Then there exists a $W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$ distributional solution to problem (1).

Observe that this case corresponds to the curve between the regions C and D of the figure. Note that $m > 1$ if and only if $\theta > \frac{1}{N-1}$.

In the following result too, we will prove the existence of a $W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$ solution.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let f be a function in $L^m(\Omega)$. Assume (3), $f \log(1 + |f|) \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $\theta = \frac{1}{N-1}$. Then there exists a $W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$ distributional solution of (1).*

We end our introduction just mentioning a uniqueness result of solutions to problem (1) can be found in [13].

Moreover, in [4, 5, 11, 6] it was showed that the presence of a lower order term has a regularizing effect on the existence and regularity of the solutions.

To prove our results, we will work by approximation, using the following sequence of problems:

$$(7) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{a(x) \nabla u_n}{(1 + |u_n|)^\theta} \right) = T_n(f), & \text{in } \Omega; \\ u_n = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

The existence of weak solutions $u_n \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ to problem (7) is due to [7].

2. $W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$ SOLUTIONS

In the sequel C will denote a constant depending on α , N , $\operatorname{meas}(\Omega)$, θ and the $L^m(\Omega)$ norm of the source f .

We are going to prove Theorem 1.1, that is, the existence of a solution to problem (1) in the case where $m = \frac{N}{N+1-\theta(N-1)}$ and $\frac{1}{N-1} < \theta < 1$. Note that $m > 1$ if and only if $\theta > \frac{1}{N-1}$.

Proof. (of Theorem 1.1) We consider $T_k(u_n)$ as a test function in (7): then

$$(8) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla T_k(u_n)|^2 \leq \frac{(1+k)^\theta \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)}}{\alpha}$$

by assumption (3) on a .

Choosing $[(1 + |u_n|)^p - 1] \operatorname{sign}(u_n)$, for $p = \theta - \frac{1}{N-1}$, as a test function in (7) we have, by Hölder's inequality on the right hand side and assumption (3) on the left one

$$(9) \quad \alpha p \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|\nabla u_n|}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\frac{N}{2(N-1)}}} \right)^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} |f| [(1 + |u_n|)^p - 1] \leq \|f\|_{L^m(\Omega)} \left[\int_{\Omega} [(1 + |u_n|)^p - 1]^{m'} \right]^{\frac{1}{m'}}.$$

The Sobolev embedding used on the left hand side implies

$$\left[\int_{\Omega} \left\{ (1 + |u_n|)^{\frac{N-2}{2(N-1)}} - 1 \right\}^{\frac{2N}{N-2}} \right]^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq C \left[\int_{\Omega} [(1 + |u_n|)^p - 1]^{m'} \right]^{\frac{1}{m'}}.$$

We observe that $\frac{N-2}{2(N-1)} \frac{2N}{N-2} = pm'$; moreover $\frac{2}{2^*} > \frac{1}{m'}$, since $m < \frac{N}{2}$. Therefore the above inequality implies that

$$(10) \quad \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{\frac{N}{N-1}} \leq C.$$

One deduces that

$$(11) \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^2}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} \leq C$$

from (10) and (9). Let $v_n = \frac{2(N-1)}{N-2}(1 + |u_n|)^{\frac{N-2}{2(N-1)}} \text{sign}(u_n)$. Estimate (11) is equivalent to say that $\{v_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$; therefore, up to a subsequence, there exists $v \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ such that $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ weakly in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and a.e. in Ω . If we define the function $u = \left(\left[\frac{2(N-1)}{N-2} |v| \right]^{\frac{2(N-1)}{N-2}} - 1 \right) \text{sign}(v)$, the weak convergence of $\nabla v_n \rightharpoonup \nabla v$ means that

$$(12) \quad \frac{\nabla u_n}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\frac{N}{2(N-1)}}} \rightharpoonup \frac{\nabla u}{(1 + |u|)^{\frac{N}{2(N-1)}}} \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(\Omega).$$

Moreover, the Sobolev embedding for v_n implies that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^s(\Omega)$, for every $1 \leq s < \frac{N}{N-1}$.

Holdër's inequality with exponent 2 applied to

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n| = \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u_n|}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\frac{N}{2N-2}}} (1 + |u_n|)^{\frac{N}{2N-2}}$$

gives

$$(13) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n| \leq C,$$

due to (10) and (11). We are now going to estimate $\int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} |\nabla u_n|$. By using $[(1 + |u_n|)^p - (1 + k)^p]^+ \text{sign}(u_n)$ as a test function in (7), we have

$$\int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^2}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} \leq C \left[\int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} |f|^m \right]^{\frac{1}{m}} \left[\int_{\Omega} (1 + |u_n|)^{\frac{N}{N-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{m'}},$$

which implies, by (10),

$$(14) \quad \int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^2}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} \leq C \left[\int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} |f|^m \right]^{\frac{1}{m}}.$$

Hölder's inequality, estimates (10) and (14) on

$$\int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} |\nabla u_n| = \int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} \frac{|\nabla u_n|}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\frac{N}{2N-2}}} (1 + |u_n|)^{\frac{N}{2N-2}} \leq C \left[\int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} |f|^m \right]^{\frac{1}{2m}},$$

give
(15)

$$\int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} |\nabla u_n| = \int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} \frac{|\nabla u_n|}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\frac{N}{2N-2}}} (1 + |u_n|)^{\frac{N}{2N-2}} \leq C \left[\int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} |f|^m \right]^{\frac{1}{2m}}.$$

Thus, for every measurable subset E , due to (8) and (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right| &\leq \int_E |\nabla u_n| \leq \int_E |\nabla T_k(u_n)| + \int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} |\nabla u_n| \\ (16) \quad &\leq \text{meas}(E)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\frac{(1+k)^\theta \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)}}{\alpha} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + C \left[\int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} |f|^m \right]^{\frac{1}{2m}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we are going to prove that u_n weakly converges to u in $W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$ following [5]. Estimates (16) and (10) imply that the sequence $\{\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i}\}$ is equiintegrable. By Dunford-Pettis theorem, and up to subsequences, there exists Y_i in $L^1(\Omega)$ such that $\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i}$ weakly converges to Y_i in $L^1(\Omega)$. Since $\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i}$ is the distributional partial derivative of u_n , we have, for every n in $\mathbb{N}$,

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \varphi = - \int_{\Omega} u_n \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i}, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

We now pass to the limit in the above identities, using that $\partial_i u_n$ weakly converges to Y_i in $L^1(\Omega)$, and that u_n strongly converges to u in $L^1(\Omega)$: we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} Y_i \varphi = - \int_{\Omega} u \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i}, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

This implies that $Y_i = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$, and this result is true for every i . Since Y_i belongs to $L^1(\Omega)$ for every i , u belongs to $W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$.

We are now going to pass to the limit in problems (7). For the limit of the left hand side, it is sufficient to observe that $\frac{\nabla u_n}{(1+|u_n|)^{\frac{N}{2(N-1)}}} \rightharpoonup \frac{\nabla u}{(1+|u|)^{\frac{N}{2(N-1)}}}$ weakly in $L^2(\Omega)$ due to (12) and that $|a(x)\nabla \varphi|$ is bounded. $\square$

We prove Theorem 1.2, that is, the existence of a $W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$ solution in the case where $\theta = \frac{1}{N-1}$ and $f \log(1 + |f|) \in L^1(\Omega)$.

Proof. (of Theorem 1.2) Let $k \geq 0$ and take $[\log(1 + |u_n|) - \log(1 + k)]^+ \text{sign}(u_n)$, as a test function in problems (7). By assumption (3) on

a one has

$$\alpha \int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^2}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\theta+1}} \leq \int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} |f| \log(1 + |u_n|).$$

We now use the following inequality on the left hand side:

$$(17) \quad a \log(1 + b) \leq \frac{a}{\rho} \log \left(1 + \frac{a}{\rho} \right) + (1 + b)^\rho$$

where a, b are positive real numbers and $0 < \rho < \frac{N-2}{N-1}$. This gives, for any $k \geq 0$

$$(18) \quad \alpha \int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^2}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\theta+1}} \leq \int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} \frac{|f|}{\rho} \log \left(1 + \frac{|f|}{\rho} \right) + \int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} (1 + |u_n|)^\rho.$$

In particular, for $k \geq 1$ we have

$$(19) \quad \frac{\alpha}{2^{\theta+1}} \int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^2}{|u_n|^{\theta+1}} \leq \int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} \frac{|f|}{\rho} \log \left(1 + \frac{|f|}{\rho} \right) + 2^\rho \int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} |u_n|^\rho.$$

Writing the above inequality for $k = 1$ and using the Sobolev inequality on the left hand side, one has

$$\left[\int_{\Omega} (|u_n|^{\frac{1-\theta}{2}} - 1)_+^{2^*} \right]^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq C \int_{\{1 \leq |u_n|\}} \frac{|f|}{\rho} \log \left(1 + \frac{|f|}{\rho} \right) + C \int_{\{1 \leq |u_n|\}} |u_n|^\rho,$$

which implies that

$$\left[\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{\frac{(1-\theta)2^*}{2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2^*}} \leq C + C \sqrt{\int_{\{1 \leq |u_n|\}} \frac{|f|}{\rho} \log \left(1 + \frac{|f|}{\rho} \right)} + C \sqrt{\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^\rho}.$$

By using Hölder's inequality with exponent $\frac{(1-\theta)2^*}{2\rho}$ on the last term of the right hand side, we get

$$\left[\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{\frac{(1-\theta)2^*}{2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2^*}} \leq C + C \sqrt{\int_{\{1 \leq |u_n|\}} \frac{|f|}{\rho} \log \left(1 + \frac{|f|}{\rho} \right)} + C \left[\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{\frac{(1-\theta)2^*}{2}} \right]^{\frac{\rho}{(1-\theta)2^*}}.$$

By the choice of ρ , this inequality implies that

$$(20) \quad \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{\frac{(1-\theta)2^*}{2}} \leq C.$$

Inequalities (20) and (18) written for $k = 0$ imply that $\{v_n\} = \{\frac{2}{1-\theta}(1 + |u_n|)^{\frac{1-\theta}{2}} \text{sign}(u_n)\}$ is a bounded sequence in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$, as in the proof

of Theorem 1.1. Therefore, up to a subsequence there exists $v \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ such that $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ weakly in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and a.e. in Ω . Let $u = \left\{ \left[\frac{1-\theta}{2} v \right]^{\frac{2}{1-\theta}} - 1 \right\} \text{sign}(v)$; the weak convergence of $\nabla v_n \rightharpoonup \nabla v$ means that

$$(21) \quad \frac{\nabla u_n}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\frac{\theta+1}{2}}} \rightharpoonup \frac{\nabla u}{(1 + |u|)^{\frac{\theta+1}{2}}} \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(\Omega).$$

Moreover, the Sobolev embedding for v_n implies that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^s(\Omega)$, $s < \frac{N}{N-1}$.

By (8) one has

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n| = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla T_1(u_n)| + \int_{\{1 \leq |u_n|\}} |\nabla u_n| \leq C + \int_{\{1 \leq |u_n|\}} \frac{|\nabla u_n|}{|u_n|^{\frac{\theta+1}{2}}} |u_n|^{\frac{\theta+1}{2}}.$$

Hölder's inequality on the right hand side, and estimates (19) written with $k = 1$ and (20) imply that the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$.

Moreover, due to (19)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} |\nabla u_n| &= \int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} \frac{|\nabla u_n|}{|u_n|^{\frac{\theta+1}{2}}} |u_n|^{\frac{\theta+1}{2}} \leq \\ &\leq C \sqrt{\int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} \frac{|f|}{\rho} \log \left(1 + \frac{|f|}{\rho} \right) + \int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} |u_n|^{\rho}}. \end{aligned}$$

For every measurable subset E , the previous inequality and (8) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right| &\leq \int_E |\nabla u_n| \leq \int_E |\nabla T_k(u_n)| + \int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} |\nabla u_n| \\ &\leq C \text{meas}(E)^{\frac{1}{2}} (1+k)^{\frac{\theta}{2}} + C \sqrt{\int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} \frac{|f|}{\rho} \log \left(1 + \frac{|f|}{\rho} \right) + \int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} |u_n|^{\rho}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\rho < \frac{(1-\theta)2^*}{2}$, by using Hölder's inequality on the last term and estimate (20), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E \left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_i} \right| &\leq C \text{meas}(E)^{\frac{1}{2}} (1+k)^{\frac{\theta}{2}} + \\ &+ C \sqrt{\int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} \frac{|f|}{\rho} \log \left(1 + \frac{|f|}{\rho} \right) + \text{meas}(\{|u_n| \geq k\})^{1 - \frac{2\rho}{(1-\theta)2^*}}}. \end{aligned}$$

One can argue as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 to deduce that $u_n \rightarrow u$ weakly in $W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$.

To pass to the limit in problems (7), as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, it is sufficient to observe that $\frac{\nabla u_n}{(1+|u_n|)^{\frac{N}{2(N-1)}}} \rightharpoonup \frac{\nabla u}{(1+|u|)^{\frac{N}{2(N-1)}}}$ weakly in $L^2(\Omega)$, due to (21). $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Alvino, L. Boccardo, V. Ferone, L. Orsina, G. Trombetti: Existence results for nonlinear elliptic equations with degenerate coercivity; Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 182 (2003), 53–79.
- [2] P. Benilan, L. Boccardo, T. Gallouët, R. Gariepy, M. Pierre, and J.L. Vazquez: An L^1 theory of existence and uniqueness of nonlinear elliptic equations; Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. 22 (1995), 240–273.
- [3] L. Boccardo: Some elliptic problems with degenerate coercivity; Adv. Nonlinear Stud. 6 (2006), 1–12.
- [4] L. Boccardo, H. Brezis: Some remarks on a class of elliptic equations with degenerate coercivity; Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. 6 (2003), 521–530.
- [5] L. Boccardo, G. Croce, L. Orsina: Nonlinear degenerate elliptic problems with $W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$ solutions; Manuscripta Mathematica 137 (2012), 419–439.
- [6] L. Boccardo, G. Croce, L. Orsina: A semilinear problem with a $W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$ solution; Rend. Lincei. Mat. Appl. 23 (2012), 97–103.
- [7] L. Boccardo, A. Dall’Aglio, L. Orsina: Existence and regularity results for some elliptic equations with degenerate coercivity; Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena 46 (1998), 51–81.
- [8] L. Boccardo, T. Gallouët: Nonlinear elliptic equations with right-hand side measures; Comm. Partial Differential Equations 17 (1992), 641–655.
- [9] L. Boccardo, T. Gallouët: $W_0^{1,1}$ solutions in some borderline cases of Calderon-Zygmund theory; J. Differential Equations 253 (2012), 2698–2714.
- [10] H. Brezis: Equations et inéquations non linéaires dans les espaces vectoriels en dualité; Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), 18 (1968), 115–175.
- [11] G. Croce: The regularizing effects of some lower order terms in an elliptic equation with degenerate coercivity; Rendiconti di Matematica 27 (2007), 299–314.
- [12] J. Leray, J.L. Lions: Quelques résultats de Višik sur les problèmes elliptiques semi-linéaires par les méthodes de Minty et Browder; Bull. Soc. Math. France, 93 (1965), 97–107.
- [13] A. Porretta: Uniqueness and homogenization for a class of non coercive operators in divergence form; Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena 46 (1998), 915–936.

L.B. – DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, “SAPIENZA” UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA,
P.LE A. MORO 2, 00185 ROMA (ITALY)
E-mail address: boccardo@mat.uniroma1.it

G.C. – LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES APPLIQUÉES DU HAVRE, UNIVERSITÉ DU HAVRE, 25, RUE PHILIPPE LEBON, 76063 LE HAVRE (FRANCE)
E-mail address: gisella.croce@univ-lehavre.fr