HAL
archives-ouvertes.fr

On Summable Positive Sequences

Ruiming Zhang

▶ To cite this version:

Ruiming Zhang. On Summable Positive Sequences. Doctoral. China. 2015. <cel-01215340>

HAL Id: cel-01215340
https://hal.inria.fr/cel-01215340

Submitted on 14 Oct 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

On Summable Positive Sequences

University of Lille 1, France, October 19-23, 2015

Ruiming Zhang

College of Science
Northwest A&F University
Yangling City, Shannxi 712100
P. R. China.

October 13, 2015

Abstract

In this talk we first derive necessary conditions for a class of entire functions having only positive zeros. They are stated in terms of positive semidefinite matrices that have logarithmic derivatives of the function as elements. By applying this necessary condition to the Riemann Xi function we prove that the Riemann hypothesis is false.

Talk Outline

- Preliminaries;
- A Lemma;
- A Theorem;
- A Corollary.

Euler Γ function

- Definition:

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{z-1} dt, \quad \Re(z) > 0.$$

- Recurrence:

$$\Gamma(z) = \frac{\Gamma(z+1)}{z}, \quad -z \notin \mathbb{N}_0.$$

- Singularities:

$$\mathrm{Residue}_{z=-n}\Gamma(z) = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Riemann ζ,ξ functions

- Riemann Zeta Function:

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}, \quad \Re(s) > 1.$$

- The Riemann ξ function:

$$\xi(s) = -\frac{s(1-s)}{2} \pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s), \quad s \in \mathbb{C}.$$

- Functional Equation

$$\xi(s) = \xi(1-s), \quad s \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Riemann Ξ function

- Riemann Ξ function:

$$\Xi(z) = \xi\left(\frac{1}{2} + iz\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

- Integral representation:

$$\Xi(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-itz}\phi(t)dt = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-z^2)^n}{(2n)!} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^{2n}\phi(t)dt.$$

- Integrand:

$$\phi(t) \quad = \quad 2\pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{2\pi n^4 e^{-\frac{9t}{2}} - 3n^2 e^{-\frac{5t}{2}}\right\} \exp\left(-n^2\pi e^{-2t}\right).$$

Some Observations

- Observation:

$$\Xi(it) > 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- Observation:

$$\xi(\rho) = 0 \iff \Xi\left(\frac{\rho - \frac{1}{2}}{i}\right) = 0.$$

- Observation:

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} + iz \iff 1 - \rho = \frac{1}{2} - iz.$$

- Conclusion: zeros z_n of $\Xi(z)$ are symmetric with respect to $\Re(z) = 0$, and $\Re(z_n) \neq 0$.

Riemann Hypothesis

Let

$$\rho_1, \rho_2, \ldots, \rho_n, \ldots$$

be the set of zeros of $\xi(s)$ with $\Im(\rho_n) > 0$, then

$$z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n, \ldots$$

is the set of zeros with $\Re(z_n) > 0$ such that $\rho_n = \frac{1}{2} + iz_n$. The Riemann hypothesis is $\Re(\rho_n) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$ if we state it in terms of $\xi(s)$, it is $\Im(z_n) = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$ if we state it in terms of $\Xi(z)$.

Infinite Product Representation

In section 2.8 of his book, H. M. Edward essentially proved

$$\frac{\xi(s)}{\xi(\frac{1}{2})} = \prod_{\rho} \left(1 - \frac{s - \frac{1}{2}}{\rho - \frac{1}{2}}\right), \quad s \in \mathbb{C}$$

with ρ and $1 - \rho$ paired. In terms of $\Xi(z)$ is

$$\frac{\Xi(z)}{\Xi(0)} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{z_n^2}\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Ingredients of the proof - a

- Series expansion:

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-\lambda_n z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-z)^n}{(2n)!}\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}t^{2n}\phi(t)dt}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\phi(t)dt}.$$

- Infinite product:

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-\lambda_n z)=\frac{\Xi(\sqrt{z})}{\Xi(0)},\quad 0\leq \arg z<2\pi.$$

- Riemann hypothesis:

$$\lambda_n=\frac{1}{z_n^2}>0,\quad n\in\mathbb{N}.$$

Ingredients of the proof - b

- Riemann zeta function: For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, as $s \to +\infty$ we have

$$\zeta(s) = 1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{2^s}\right), \quad (\log \zeta(s))^{(n)} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{2^s}\right).$$

- Euler's Gamma Function: as $s \to +\infty$ we have

$$\log \Gamma(z) = \frac{\log 2\pi}{2} + \left(s - \frac{1}{2}\right) \log s - s + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{B_{2j}}{2j(2j-1)} \frac{1}{s^{2j-1}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{s^{2m+1}}\right),$$

where

$$\frac{z}{e^z - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_j}{j!} z^j, \quad |z| < 2\pi.$$

Statement

Let $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of complex numbers such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n| < \infty$. Let

$$f(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \lambda_n z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Then

$$p_k = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^k = \frac{-1}{(k-1)!} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial z^k} \log f(z) \Big|_{z=0}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof for the lemma

For $|z| \leq r < \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n|\right)^{-1}$,

$$\frac{\partial^k}{\partial z^k} \log f(z) = -(k-1)! \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n^k}{(1-\lambda_n z)^k}.$$

Statement

- Assume that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\lambda_n > 0, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n < \infty, \quad \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{\lambda_n\} < 1.$$

Let $f(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1 - \lambda_n z)$ and

$$a_{ij}(x) = \frac{-1}{(i+j+1)!} \frac{d^{i+j+1}}{dx^{i+j+1}} \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}, \; b_{ij}(x) = \frac{-1}{(i+j)!} \frac{d^{i+j}}{dx^{i+j}} \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)},$$

for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\lambda_n^{-1}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Then, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\lambda_n^{-1}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ the matrices $(a_{ij}(x))_{i,j=0}^{n}$ are positive semidefinite, and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $x < \frac{1}{\lambda_1}$ the matrices $(b_{ij}(x))_{i,j=0}^{n}$are all positive semidefinite.

Proof for the theorem-1

From

$$p_k = \frac{-1}{(k-1)!} \frac{d^{k-1}}{dz^{k-1}} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}\bigg|_{z=0}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k x^k = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^k \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \frac{d^{k-1}}{dz^{k-1}} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}\bigg|_{z=0} = -x \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}.$$

Proof for the theorem-2

Let $\mu(x)$ be

$$\mu(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \delta(x - \lambda_n),$$

then for $0 < x < 1$ we have

$$p_k = \int_0^1 t^{k-1} d\mu(t), \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k x^k = x \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1 - xt} d\mu(t).$$

Proof for the theorem-3

Hence for $0 < x < 1$, we have

$$\int_0^1 \frac{t^n}{(1-xt)^{n+1}} d\mu(t) = -\frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}.$$

Since both sides of the last equation is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \left\{ \lambda_n^{-1} \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, therefore, the above equation is valid throughout $\mathbb{C} \setminus \left\{ \lambda_n^{-1} \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

Proof for the theorem-4

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \left\{\lambda_n^{-1}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i,j=0}^{n} a_{ij}(x) c_i c_j &= \sum_{i,j=0}^{n} \frac{-c_i c_j}{(i+j+1)!} \frac{d^{i+j+1}}{dx^{i+j+1}} \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} \\
&= \sum_{i,j=0}^{n} c_i c_j \int_0^1 \frac{t^{i+j+1}}{(1-xt)^{i+j+2}} d\mu(t) \\
&= \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{c_i t^i}{(1-xt)^i} \right)^2 \frac{t d\mu(t)}{(1-xt)^2} \geq 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof for the theorem-5

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x < \frac{1}{\lambda_1}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i,j=0}^{n} b_{ij}(x) c_i c_j &= \sum_{i,j=0}^{n} \frac{-c_i c_j}{(i+j+1)!} \frac{d^{i+j}}{dx^{i+j}} \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} \\
&= \sum_{i,j=0}^{n} c_i c_j \int_0^1 \frac{t^{i+j}}{(1-xt)^{i+j+1}} d\mu(t) \\
&= \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{c_i t^i}{(1-xt)^i} \right)^2 \frac{d\mu(t)}{(1-xt)} \geq 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Statement

The Riemann hypothesis is false.

Proof for the corollary-1

Let $x = e^{\pi i}u, \quad u > \frac{1}{4}$ we have

$$f(x) = g(u) = \frac{\Xi(i\sqrt{u})}{\Xi(0)} = \frac{\Xi(-i\sqrt{u})}{\Xi(0)} = \frac{1-4u}{\pi^{\sqrt{u}/2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{\sqrt{u}}{2}\right)\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\sqrt{u}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)},$$

and

$$a_{00}(-u) = -\frac{d^2}{du^2}\left(\log \frac{1-4u}{\pi^{\sqrt{u}/2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{\sqrt{u}}{2}\right)\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\sqrt{u}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}\right)$$

$$a_{01}(-u) = a_{10}(-u) = \frac{1}{2!}\frac{d^3}{du^3}\left(\log \frac{1-4u}{\pi^{\sqrt{u}/2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{\sqrt{u}}{2}\right)\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\sqrt{u}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}\right)$$

$$a_{11}(-u) = \frac{-1}{3!}\frac{d^4}{du^4}\left(\log \frac{1-4u}{\pi^{\sqrt{u}/2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{\sqrt{u}}{2}\right)\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\sqrt{u}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}\right).$$

Proof for the corollary-2

Since as $u \to +\infty$ we have

$$\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\sqrt{u}\right)=1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{u}}\right), \quad \log\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\sqrt{u}\right)=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{u}}\right),$$

then for any fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and arbitrary $n > 0$

$$\frac{d^k}{du^k}\log\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\sqrt{u}\right)=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{u^n}\right).$$

Proof for the corollary-3

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{00}(-u) &= \frac{\log u - \log(4\pi^2) - 2}{16u^{3/2}} + \frac{7}{8u^2} \\
&+ \frac{1}{64u^{5/2}} + \frac{9}{16u^3} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{u^{7/2}}\right), \\
a_{01}(-u) &= a_{10}(-u) = \frac{3\log u - \log\left(64\pi^6\right) - 8}{64u^{5/2}} + \frac{7}{8u^3} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{u^{7/2}}\right), \\
a_{11}(-u) &= \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{u^{9/2}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{00}(-u)a_{11}(-u) - a_{01}(-u)^2 = &-\frac{\left(3\log u - \log\left(64\pi^6\right) - 8\right)^2}{4096u^5} \\
&- \frac{7\left(3\log u - \log\left(64\pi^6\right) - 8\right)}{256u^{11/2}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{u^6}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof for the corollary-4

- The matrix $(a_{ij}(-u))_{i,j=0}^{1}$ is not positive semidefinite as $u \to \infty$.
- Thus some numbers $\lambda_k = \frac{1}{z_k^2}$ are not positive.
- Thus some zeros z_k of Riemann Xi function $\Xi(z)$ are not real.
- Thus some zeros of Riemann xi function $\xi(s)$ with real part other than $\frac{1}{2}$.
- Thus the Riemann hypothesis is false.

Thanks

Best wishes to all!

From:
Ruiming Zhang, PhD
ruimingzhang@yahoo.com
QQ: 2633574918

References

- G. E. Andrews, R. Askey and R. Roy, *Special Functions,* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- G. Csordas, T. S. Norfolk and R. S. Varga, The Riemann Hypothesis and the Turán Inequalities, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society Vol. 296, No.2 (Aug., 1986), pp. 521-541.
- H. Davenport, *Multiplicative Number Theory*, 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.
- H. M. Edwards, Riemann's Zeta Function, Dover Publications Inc. New York, 1974.
- E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of Riemann Zeta Function*, second edition, Clarendon Press, New York, 1987.