

From Stochastic Integration w.r.t. Fractional Brownian Motion to Stochastic Integration wrt Multifractional Brownian Motion

Joachim Lebovits

► **To cite this version:**

Joachim Lebovits. From Stochastic Integration w.r.t. Fractional Brownian Motion to Stochastic Integration wrt Multifractional Brownian Motion. *Annals of the University of Bucharest. Mathematical series*, București : Editura Universității din București, 2013. hal-00818321

HAL Id: hal-00818321

<https://hal.inria.fr/hal-00818321>

Submitted on 26 Apr 2013

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

From Stochastic Integral *w.r.t.* Fractional Brownian Motion to Stochastic Integral *w.r.t.* Multifractional Brownian Motion

To appear in Annals of the University of Bucarest

JOACHIM LÉBOVITS

Abstract - Because of numerous applications *e.g.* in finance and in Internet traffic modelling, stochastic integration w.r.t. fractional Brownian motion (fBm) became a very popular topic in recent years. However, since fBm is not a semi-martingale the Itô integration can not be used for integration w.r.t. fBm and one then needs specific developments. Multifractional Brownian motion (mBm) is a Gaussian process that generalizes fBm by letting the local Hölder exponent vary in time. In addition to the fields mentioned above, it is useful in many and various areas such as geology and biomedicine. In this work we start from the fact, established in [9, Thm 2.1.(i)], that an mBm may be approximated, in law, by a sequence of "tangent" fBms. We used this result to show how one can define a stochastic integral w.r.t. mBm from the stochastic integral w.r.t. fBm, defined in [4], in the white noise theory sense.

Key words and phrases : Fractional and multifractional Brownian motions, Gaussian processes, convergence in law, white noise theory, Wick-Itô integral.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) : 60G22, 60G15, 60H40, 60H05.

1 Background and motivation

Fractional Brownian motion (fBm) is a centred Gaussian process that has been used a lot, and still is, these recent years to model many, natural or artificial, phenomena such as physics, geophysics, financial and Internet traffic modeling, image analysis and synthesis and more. One of the advantages, that makes the use of fBm so popular to model phenomena, is the fact that fBm is a long range dependence process, that has the ability to match any prescribed constant local regularity. For any H in $(0, 1)$, its covariance function R_H reads:

$$R_H(t, s) := \frac{\gamma_H}{2} (|t|^{2H} + |s|^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H}),$$

where γ_H is a positive constant. The parameter H is called the Hurst index. Besides, when $H = \frac{1}{2}$, fBm reduces to standard Brownian motion. Various

integral representations of fBm are known, including the harmonizable and moving average ones [13], as well as representations by integrals over a finite domain [1, 7].

The fact the Hölder exponent of a fBm remains constant and the same all along its trajectory restricts its application in some situations and more importantly does not seem to be adapted to describe or to model phenomena that present in the same time a long range dependance (which requires $H > 1/2$) and irregular trajectories (which requires $H < 1/2$). Multifractional Brownian motion was introduced to overcome these limitations. The basic idea is to replace the real H by a function $t \mapsto h(t)$, ranging in $(0, 1)$.

Several definitions of multifractional Brownian motion exist. The first ones were proposed in [12] and in [3]. They then have been extended in [14]. Finally, a more general definition of mBm, that includes all the definitions given in [12, 3, 14], has been introduced in [9]. In the present work, and for sake of simplicity, we will only deal with the harmonizable version of mBm, which we will call “the” mBm.

More precisely, a deterministic function $h : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ being fixed, the mBm of functional parameter h , noted $B^h := (B_t^h)_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$, is defined by:

$$B_t^h := \frac{1}{c_{h(t)}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{e^{itu} - 1}{|u|^{h(t)+1/2}} \widetilde{W}(du), \quad (1.1)$$

where $c_x := \left(\frac{2 \cos(\pi x) \Gamma(2-2x)}{x(1-2x)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, for every x in $(0, 1)$, and where \widetilde{W} denotes a complex-valued Gaussian measure. Denote R_h the covariance function of B^h . Thanks to [2], we know that:

$$R_h(t, s) = \frac{c_{h_{t,s}}^2}{c_{h(t)} c_{h(s)}} \left[\frac{1}{2} (|t|^{2h_{t,s}} + |s|^{2h_{t,s}} - |t-s|^{2h_{t,s}}) \right],$$

where $h_{t,s} := \frac{h(t)+h(s)}{2}$.

A word on notation: B^H or $B^{h(t)}$ will always denote an fBm with Hurst index H or $h(t)$, while B^h will stand for an mBm. Note that $B_t^h := B_t^{h(t)}$, for every real t . Besides, and in order to simplify some notations in the sequel, denote $\mathbf{B}(t, H) := \frac{1}{c_H} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{e^{itu} - 1}{|u|^{H+1/2}} \widetilde{W}(du)$, for every H in $(0, 1)$.

Outline of the paper

The remaining of this paper is organized as follows. We explain in the first part of Section 2 how an mBm can be approximated in law by a sequence of “tangent” fBms. The second part of Section 2 is devoted to some heuristics about the way to define a stochastic integral w.r.t. mBm as a limit of integrals w.r.t. approximating fBms. Section 3 provides the background on white noise theory and on fractional white noise that will allow us to define, in a rigorous manner, the limiting fractional Wick-Itô integral in Section 4. The main result of Section 4 being Theorem 4.1. Finally, we compare in Section

5 the limiting fractional Wick-Itô integral to the stochastic integral with respect to mBm that has been defined in [11].

2 Approximation of mBm and some heuristics

We start this section with the following result, that will be useful in all this paper. Recalling the definition of \mathbf{B} given at the end of the previous section.

Proposition 2.1 *For every $[a, b] \times [c, d]$ in $\mathbf{R} \times (0, 1)$, there exists Λ in \mathbf{R}_+^* such that for every (t, s, H, H') in $[a, b]^2 \times [c, d]^2$,*

$$\mathbf{E}[(\mathbf{B}(t, H) - \mathbf{B}(s, H'))^2] \leq \Lambda (|t - s|^{2c} + |H - H'|^2),$$

where $\mathbf{E}[Y]$ denotes the expectation of a real random variable Y , which belongs to $L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$.

Proof. Let (s, t, H, H') be fixed in $[a, b]^2 \times [c, d]^2$. Since, for every H in $[c, d]$, the process $\{\mathbf{B}(t, H), t \in \mathbf{R}\}$ is a fractional Brownian motion of Hurst index H , we know, using the triangular inequality, that it is sufficient to show that $I_t^{H, H'} := \mathbf{E}[(\mathbf{B}(t, H) - \mathbf{B}(t, H'))^2] \leq \Lambda |H - H'|^2$. One has:

$$I_t^{H, H'} = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left| \frac{e^{it\xi} - 1}{\xi} \right|^2 \left| \frac{1}{c_H} |\xi|^{1/2-H} - \frac{1}{c_{H'}} |\xi|^{1/2-H'} \right|^2 d\xi.$$

For ξ in \mathbf{R}^* , the map $f_\xi : [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$, defined by $f_\xi(H) := \frac{1}{c_H} |\xi|^{1/2-H}$ is C^1 , since $H \mapsto c_H$ is C^∞ on $(0, 1)$. Thus there exists a positive real D such that, for all (ξ, H) in $\mathbf{R}^* \times [c, d]$,

$$|f'_\xi(H)| \leq D |\xi|^{1/2-H} (1 + |\ln(|\xi|)|) \leq D (|\xi|^{1/2-c} + |\xi|^{1/2-d}) (1 + |\ln(|\xi|)|).$$

Thanks to the mean-value theorem, one can write

$$\begin{aligned} I_t^{H, H'} &\leq C |H - H'|^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{|e^{it\xi} - 1|^2}{|\xi|^2} (|\xi|^{1/2-c} + |\xi|^{1/2-d})^2 (1 + |\ln(|\xi|)|)^2 d\xi \\ &\leq C |H - H'|^2 \left(\int_{|\xi|>1} \frac{(1 + \ln(|\xi|))^2}{|\xi|^{1+2c}} d\xi + \int_{|\xi|\leq 1} |\xi|^{1-2d} (1 + |\ln(|\xi|)|)^2 d\xi \right) \\ &\leq C |H - H'|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where C stands for a constant, independent of t and H , whose precise value is unimportant and which may change from line to line. \square

Remark 2.1 Since $\mathbf{B} := (\mathbf{B}(t, H))_{(t, H) \in \mathbf{R} \times [0, 1]}$ is a Gaussian field, the previous result, as well as Kolmogorov's criterion, entail that the field \mathbf{B} has a d -Hölder continuous version for any d in $(0, \frac{1}{2} \wedge c)$. In the sequel we will always work with such a version.

2.1 Approximation of multifractional Brownian motion

Since a mBm is generalization of fBm, it is a natural question to wonder if an mBm may be approximated by patching adequately chosen fBms; the sense of this approximation remaining to define. For notational simplicity we take $[a, b] := [0, 1]$ in the sequel. Heuristically, we divide $[0, 1]$ into “small” intervals $[t_i, t_{i+1})$, and replace on each of these B^h by the fBm B^{H_i} where $H_i = h(t_i)$. It seems reasonable to expect that the resulting process $\sum_i B_t^{H_i} \mathbb{1}_{[t_i, t_{i+1})}(t)$ will converge, in law, to B^h when the sizes of the intervals $[t_i, t_{i+1})$ go to 0. The notations remains the same as previously. Let $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a continuous deterministic function and denote B^h the fixed mBm of functional parameter h . Let us explain how this mBm can be approximated on $[0, 1]$ by patching together fractional Brownian motions defined on a sequence of partitions of $[0, 1]$.

In that view, we choose an increasing sequence $(q_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ of integers such that $q_0 := 1$ and $2^n \leq q_n \leq 2^{2^n}$ for all n in \mathbf{N}^* . For any n in \mathbf{N} , define $x^{(n)} := \{x_k^{(n)}; k \in \llbracket 0, q_n \rrbracket\}$ where $x_k^{(n)} := \frac{k}{q_n}$ for k in $\llbracket 0, q_n \rrbracket$ (for integers p and q with $p < q$, $\llbracket p, q \rrbracket$ denotes the set $\{p; p+1; \dots; q\}$). Define, for n in \mathbf{N} , the partition $\mathcal{A}_n := \{[x_k^{(n)}, x_{k+1}^{(n)}]; k \in \llbracket 0, q_n - 1 \rrbracket\} \cup \{x_{q_n}^{(n)}\}$. It is clear that $\mathcal{A} := (\mathcal{A}_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is a decreasing nested sequence of subdivisions of $[a, b]$ (i.e. $\mathcal{A}_{n+1} \subset \mathcal{A}_n$, for every n in \mathbf{N}).

For t in $[0, 1]$ and n in \mathbf{N} there exists a unique integer p in $\llbracket 0, q_n - 1 \rrbracket$ such that $x_p^{(n)} \leq t < x_{p+1}^{(n)}$. We will note $x_t^{(n)}$ the real $x_p^{(n)}$ in the sequel. It is clear that the sequence $(x_t^{(n)})_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is increasing and converges to t as n tends to $+\infty$. Besides, define for n in \mathbf{N} , the function $h_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1)$ by setting $h_n(1) = h(1)$ and, for any t in $[0, 1)$, $h_n(t) := h(x_t^{(n)})$. The sequence of step functions $(h_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ converges pointwise to h on $[0, 1]$. Define, for t in $[0, 1]$ and n in \mathbf{N} , the process

$$B_t^{h_n} := \mathbf{B}(t, h_n(t)) = \sum_{k=0}^{q_n-1} \mathbb{1}_{[x_k^{(n)}, x_{k+1}^{(n)})}(t) \mathbf{B}(t, h(x_k^{(n)})) + \mathbb{1}_{\{1\}}(t) \mathbf{B}(1, h(1)). \quad (2.1)$$

Note that, despite the notation, the process B^{h_n} is not an mBm, as h_n is not continuous.

The following theorem, the proof of which can be found in [9, Thm 2.1.(i)], shows that mBm appears naturally as a limit object of sums of fBms.

Theorem 2.1 (Approximation theorem) *Let \mathcal{A} be a sequence of partitions of $[0, 1]$ as defined above, and consider the sequence of processes defined in (2.1).*

If h is β -Hölder continuous for some positive real β , then the sequence of processes $(B^{h_n})_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ converges, in law, to the process B^h . In other words,

$$\{B_t^{h_n}; t \in [a, b]\} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow +\infty]{law} \{B_t^h; t \in [a, b]\}.$$

2.2 Some Heuristics about Stochastic integrals w.r.t. mBm as limits of integrals w.r.t. fBm

In the remaining of this paper, we consider a C^1 deterministic function $h : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow (0, 1)$. Moreover \mathbf{B} still denotes the Gaussian field defined at the end of Section 1 and B^h the mBm defined by (1.1). The mBm B^h then verifies $B_t^h \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} \mathbf{B}(t, h(t))$, for every t .

The result of Theorem 2.1 suggests that one may define stochastic integrals with respect to mBm as limits of integrals with respect to approximating fBms. Let first notice the following fact, the proof of which can be found in [9, Appendix B], that will be useful to define the integral with respect to mBm.

Proposition 2.2 *For every real t , the map $H \mapsto \mathbf{B}(t, H)$, from $(0, 1)$ to $L^2(\Omega)$, is C^1 . Moreover, it fulfills the following Hölder condition. For all $[a, b] \times [c, d] \subset \mathbf{R} \times (0, 1)$ there exists Δ in \mathbf{R}_+^* such that:*

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial H}(t, H) - \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial H}(s, H')\right)^2\right] \leq \Delta (|t - s|^2 + |H - H'|^2),$$

where $\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial H}(t, H)$ denotes, for every real t , the derivative with respect to H of the map $H \mapsto \mathbf{B}(t, H)$.

Let us explain now, in a heuristic way, how to define an integral with respect to mBm using approximating fBms. Write the “differential” of $\mathbf{B}(t, H)$:

$$d\mathbf{B}(t, H) = \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}(t, H) dt + \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial H}(t, H) dH.$$

Of course, this is only formal as $t \mapsto \mathbf{B}(t, H)$ is not differentiable in the L^2 -sense nor almost surely with respect to t . It is, however, in the sense of Hida distributions (this will be made precise in Section 3, see in particular (3.2)). With a differentiable function h in place of H , this (again formally) yields

$$d\mathbf{B}(t, h(t)) = \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}(t, h(t)) dt + h'(t) \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial H}(t, h(t)) dt. \quad (2.2)$$

Of course the first term of the right hand side of (2.2) has no meaning *a priori* since mBm is not differentiable with respect to t . However, continuing with our heuristic reasoning, we then approximate $\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}(t, h(t))$ by $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{q_n-1} \mathbb{1}_{[x_k^{(n)}, x_{k+1}^{(n)}]}(t) \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}(t, h_n(t))$. This formally yields:

$$d\mathbf{B}(t, h(t)) \approx \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{q_n-1} \mathbb{1}_{[x_k^{(n)}, x_{k+1}^{(n)}]}(t) \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}(t, h_n(t)) dt + h'(t) \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial H}(t, h(t)) dt. \quad (2.3)$$

For the sake of notational simplicity, we will consider integrals over the interval $[0, 1]$. Let us note $\int_{[0,1]} Y_t d^\circ B_t^H$ the integral of a process Y with respect to a fBm of Hurst index H , in the white noise theory sense (that will be fully detailed in the next section), assuming it exists.

From (2.3), and assuming we may exchange integrals and limits, we would thus like to define, for suitable processes Y , the integral with respect to the mBm B^h , noted $\int_0^1 Y_t d^\circ B_t^h$, by setting,

$$\int_0^1 Y_t d^\circ B_t^h = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{q_n-1} \int_{x_k^{(n)}}^{x_{k+1}^{(n)}} Y_t d^\circ B_t^{h(x_k^{(n)})} + \int_0^1 Y_t h'(t) \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial H}(t, h(t)) dt, \quad (2.4)$$

where the first term of the right-hand side of (2.4) is a limit, in a sense to be made precise of a sum of integrals with respect to fBms and the second term is a weak integral (see Section 3). The following notation will be useful:

Notation (integral with respect to lumped fBms) *Let $Y := (Y_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ be a real-valued process on $[0, 1]$ which is integrable with respect to all fBms of index H in $h([0, 1])$ in the white noise theory sense. We denote the integral with respect to lumped fBms by:*

$$\int_0^1 Y_t d^\circ B_t^{h_n} := \sum_{k=0}^{q_n-1} \int_0^1 \mathbb{1}_{[x_k^{(n)}, x_{k+1}^{(n)}]}(t) Y_t d^\circ B_t^{h(x_k^{(n)})}, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}, \quad (2.5)$$

where $(q_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ and $x^{(n)} := \{x_k^{(n)}; k \in \llbracket 0, q_n \rrbracket\}$ have been defined in Section 2.1. With this notation, our tentative definition of an integral w.r.t. to mBm (2.4) reads:

$$\int_0^1 Y_t d^\circ B_t^h = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^1 Y_t d^\circ B_t^{h_n} + \int_0^1 Y_t h'(t) \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial H}(t, h(t)) dt,$$

The drawback with the previous definition is that, when $\int_0^1 Y_t h'(t) \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial H}(t, h(t)) dt$ will belong to $L^2(\Omega)$, it will not be centred, *a priori*. For this reason and because one can see the Wick product as a centered product, we would rather choose, as a definition of $\int_0^1 Y_t d^\circ B_t^h$, the following one:

$$\int_0^1 Y_t d^\circ B_t^h := \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^1 Y_t d^\circ B_t^{h_n} + \int_0^1 h'(t) Y_t \diamond \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial H}(t, h(t)) dt, \quad (2.6)$$

where \diamond denotes the Wick product (that will be rigorously defined in the next section).

In order to make the previous statement rigorous, we need to give a precise meaning to the right hand side of (2.6). In particular, giving a precise meaning to $\int_0^1 Y_t d^\circ B_t^H$ and thus to $\int_0^1 Y_t d^\circ B_t^{h_n}$ is crucial. This is the aim of the next section.

3 Backgrounds on white noise theory and Fractional Wick-Itô Integral in the Bochner sense

This section is divided into two parts. In the first one we briefly recall some basic facts about white noise theory and the Bochner integral. In the second part we particularize the definition of the Fractional Wick-Itô Integral, defined in [4, 5, 6, 8], into the framewok of Bochner integral.

3.1 Recalls on white noise theory and the Bochner integral

3.1.1 White noise theory

The following subsection being on purpose extremely short. The reader who is no familiar with white noise theory should refer to [10] and references therein.

Define the measurable space (Ω, \mathcal{F}) by setting $\Omega := \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R})$ and $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}))$, where \mathcal{B} denotes the σ -algebra of Borel sets. Denotes μ the unique probability measure on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) such that, for every f in $L^2(\mathbf{R})$, the map $\langle \cdot, f \rangle : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined by $\langle \cdot, f \rangle(\omega) = \langle \omega, f \rangle$ (where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ continuously in $L^2(\mathbf{R})$ extends the action of tempered distributions on Schwartz functions) is a centred Gaussian random variable with variance equal to $\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R})}^2$ under μ .

We also denote (L^2) the space $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mu)$ where \mathcal{G} is the σ -field generated by $(\langle \cdot, f \rangle)_{f \in L^2(\mathbf{R})}$, and for every n in \mathbf{N} , define the n -th Hermite function by $e_n(x) := (-1)^n \pi^{-1/4} (2^n n!)^{-1/2} e^{x^2/2} \frac{d^n}{dx^n}(e^{-x^2})$. Denote A the operator defined on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$ by $A := -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x^2 + 1$ and $\Gamma(A)$ the second quantization operator of A (see [10, Section 4.2]).

Denote, for φ in (L^2) , $\|\varphi\|_0^2 := \|\varphi\|_{(L^2)}^2$ and, for n in \mathbf{N} , let $\mathbb{D}\text{om}(\Gamma(A)^n)$ be the domain of the n -th iteration of $\Gamma(A)$. Define the family of norms $(\|\cdot\|_p)_{p \in \mathbf{Z}}$ by:

$$\|\Phi\|_p := \|\Gamma(A)^p \Phi\|_0 = \|\Gamma(A)^p \Phi\|_{(L^2)}, \quad \forall p \in \mathbf{Z}, \quad \forall \Phi \in (L^2) \cap \mathbb{D}\text{om}(\Gamma(A)^p).$$

For p in \mathbf{N} , define $(\mathcal{S}_p) := \{\Phi \in (L^2) : \Gamma(A)^p \Phi \text{ exists and belongs to } (L^2)\}$ and define (\mathcal{S}_{-p}) as the completion of the space (L^2) with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{-p}$. As in [10], we let (\mathcal{S}) denote the projective limit of the sequence $((\mathcal{S}_p))_{p \in \mathbf{N}}$ and $(\mathcal{S})^*$ the inductive limit of the sequence $((\mathcal{S}_{-p}))_{p \in \mathbf{N}}$. This means that we have the equalities $(\mathcal{S}) = \bigcap_{p \in \mathbf{N}} (\mathcal{S}_p)$ (resp. $(\mathcal{S})^* = \bigcup_{p \in \mathbf{N}} (\mathcal{S}_{-p})$) and that convergence in (\mathcal{S}) (resp. in $(\mathcal{S})^*$) means convergence in (\mathcal{S}_p) for every p in \mathbf{N} (resp. convergence in (\mathcal{S}_{-p}) for some p in \mathbf{N}).

The space (\mathcal{S}) is called the space of stochastic test functions and $(\mathcal{S})^*$ the space of Hida distributions. Since $(\mathcal{S})^*$ is the dual space of (\mathcal{S}) . We will note $\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket$ the duality bracket between $(\mathcal{S})^*$ and (\mathcal{S}) . If ϕ and Φ both belong to (L^2) then we have the equality $\llbracket \Phi, \varphi \rrbracket = \langle \Phi, \varphi \rangle_{(L^2)} = \mathbf{E}[\Phi \varphi]$.

A function $\Phi : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow (\mathcal{S})^*$ is called a stochastic distribution process, or an $(\mathcal{S})^*$ -process, or a Hida process. A Hida process Φ is said to be differentiable at $t_0 \in \mathbf{R}$ if $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^{-1}(\Phi(t_0 + r) - \Phi(t_0))$ exists in $(\mathcal{S})^*$.

The S -transform of an element Φ of $(\mathcal{S})^*$, noted $S(\Phi)$, is defined as the function from $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$ to \mathbf{R} given, for every η in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$, by $S(\Phi)(\eta) := \ll \Phi, e^{\langle \cdot, f \rangle - \frac{1}{2}|f|_0^2} \gg$, where $(|\cdot|_p)_{p \in \mathbf{Z}}$ is the family norms defined by $|f|_p^2 := \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} (2k+2)^{2p} \langle f, e_k \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{R})}^2$, for all (p, f) in $\mathbf{Z} \times L^2(\mathbf{R})$.

Finally for every $(\Phi, \Psi) \in (\mathcal{S})^* \times (\mathcal{S})^*$, there exists a unique element of $(\mathcal{S})^*$, called the Wick product of Φ and Ψ and noted $\Phi \diamond \Psi$, such that $S(\Phi \diamond \Psi)(\eta) = S(\Phi)(\eta) S(\Psi)(\eta)$ for every η in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$.

3.1.2 Fractional and multifractional White noise

We now introduce two operators, denoted M_H and $\frac{\partial M_H}{\partial H}$, that will prove useful for the definition of the integral with respect to fBm and mBm.

Operators M_H and $\frac{\partial M_H}{\partial H}$

Let H be a fixed real in $(0, 1)$. Following [8] and references therein, define the operator M_H , specified in the Fourier domain, by $\widehat{M_H(u)}(y) := \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{c_H} |y|^{1/2-H} \widehat{u}(y)$ for every y in \mathbf{R}^* . This operator is well defined on the homogeneous Sobolev space of order $1/2 - H$, denoted $L_H^2(\mathbf{R})$ and defined by $L_H^2(\mathbf{R}) := \{u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}) : \widehat{u} \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbf{R}) \text{ and } \|u\|_H < +\infty\}$, where the norm $\|\cdot\|_H$ derives from the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H$ defined on $L_H^2(\mathbf{R})$ by $\langle u, v \rangle_H := \frac{1}{c_H^2} \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\xi|^{1-2H} \widehat{u}(\xi) \overline{\widehat{v}(\xi)} d\xi$ and where c_H was given in (1.1).

The definition of the operator $\frac{\partial M_H}{\partial H}$ is quite similar. More precisely, define for every H in $(0, 1)$, the space $\Gamma_H(\mathbf{R}) := \{u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}) : \widehat{u} \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbf{R}) \text{ and } \|u\|_{\delta_H(\mathbf{R})} < +\infty\}$, where the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\delta_H(\mathbf{R})}$ derives from the inner product on $\Gamma_H(\mathbf{R})$ defined by $\langle u, v \rangle_{\delta_H} := \frac{1}{c_H^2} \int_{\mathbf{R}} (\beta_H + \ln |\xi|)^2 |\xi|^{1-2H} \widehat{u}(\xi) \overline{\widehat{v}(\xi)} d\xi$. Following [11], define the operator $\frac{\partial M_H}{\partial H}$ from $(\Gamma_H(\mathbf{R}), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\delta_H(\mathbf{R})})$ to $(L^2(\mathbf{R}), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{R})})$, in the Fourier domain, by: $\widehat{\frac{\partial M_H}{\partial H}(u)}(y) := -(\beta_H + \ln |y|) \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{c_H} |y|^{1/2-H} \widehat{u}(y)$, for every y in \mathbf{R}^* . The reader interested in the properties of M_H and $\frac{\partial M_H}{\partial H}$ may refer to [11, Sections 2.2 and 4.2].

Fractional and multifractional White noise

Recall the following result ([11, (5.10)]): Almost surely, for every t ,

$$B_t^h = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left(\int_0^t M_{h(t)}(e_k)(s) ds \right) \langle \cdot, e_k \rangle. \quad (3.1)$$

We now define the derivative in the sense of $(\mathcal{S})^*$ of mBm. Define the $(\mathcal{S})^*$ -valued process $W^h := (W_t^h)_{t \in [0,1]}$ by

$$W_t^h := \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[\frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_0^t M_{h(t)}(e_k)(s) ds \right) \right] \langle \cdot, e_k \rangle. \quad (3.2)$$

Theorem-Definition 3.1 [11, Theorem-definition 5.1] *The process W^h defined by (3.2) is an $(\mathcal{S})^*$ -process which verifies, in $(\mathcal{S})^*$, the following equality:*

$$W_t^h = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} M_{h(t)}(e_k)(t) \langle \cdot, e_k \rangle + h'(t) \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left(\int_0^t \frac{\partial M_H}{\partial H}(e_k)(s) \Big|_{H=h(t)} ds \right) \langle \cdot, e_k \rangle. \quad (3.3)$$

Moreover the process B^h is $(\mathcal{S})^*$ -differentiable on $[0,1]$ and verifies $\frac{dB^h}{dt}(t) = W_t^h$ in $(\mathcal{S})^*$.

When the function h is constant and identically equal to H , we will write $W^H := (W_t^H)_{t \in [0,1]}$ and call the $(\mathcal{S})^*$ -process W^H a fractional white noise. Note that (3.3) may be written as

$$W_t^h = W_t^{h(t)} + h'(t) \frac{\partial B}{\partial H}(t, h(t)), \quad (3.4)$$

where $W_t^{h(t)}$ is nothing but $W_t^H|_{H=h(t)}$ and where the equality holds in $(\mathcal{S})^*$.

3.1.3 Bochner integral

Since the objects we are dealing with are no longer random variables in general, the Riemann or Lebesgue integrals are not relevant here. However, taking advantage of the fact that we are working with vector linear spaces, we may use Pettis or Bochner integrals. In the frame of the Wick-Itô integral, and in view of the result that will be provided by Lemma 3.1 below, the use of Bochner integral appears to be relevant. Indeed, Lemma 3.1 gives an easy criterion for integrability, w.r.t. fBm, of any $(\mathcal{S})^*$ -valued process Y . Thus we give here a brief statement on Bochner integral. However, and in order not to weigh down this statement we will only give the necessary tools to proceed (see [10, p.247] for more details about Bochner integral).

Definition 3.1 (Bochner integral [10], p.247) *Let I be a Borel subset of $[0,1]$ and $\Phi := (\Phi_t)_{t \in I}$ be an $(\mathcal{S})^*$ -valued process verifying:*

- (i) *the process Φ is weakly measurable on I i.e. the map $t \mapsto \ll \Phi_t, \varphi \gg$ is measurable on I , for every φ in (\mathcal{S}) .*
- (ii) *there exists $p \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\Phi_t \in (\mathcal{S}_{-p})$ for almost every $t \in I$ and $t \mapsto \|\Phi_t\|_{-p}$ belongs to $L^1(I)$.*

Then there exists an unique element in $(\mathcal{S})^*$, noted $\int_I \Phi_u du$, called the Bochner integral of Φ on I such that, for all φ in (\mathcal{S}) ,

$$\ll \int_I \Phi_u du, \varphi \gg = \int_I \ll \Phi_u, \varphi \gg du.$$

In this latter case one says that Φ is Bochner-integrable on I with index p .

Proposition 3.1 *If $\Phi: I \rightarrow (\mathcal{S})^*$ is Bochner-integrable on I with index p then $\|\int_I \Phi_t dt\|_{-p} \leq \int_I \|\Phi_t\|_{-p} dt$.*

Theorem 3.1 ([10], Theorem 13.5) *Let $\Phi := (\Phi_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ be an $(\mathcal{S})^*$ -valued process such that:*

- (i) $t \mapsto S(\Phi_t)(\eta)$ is measurable for every η in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$.
- (ii) There exist p in \mathbf{N} , b in \mathbf{R}^+ and a function L in $L^1([0,1], dt)$ such that, for a.e. t in $[0,1]$, $|S(\Phi_t)(\eta)| \leq L(t) e^{b|\eta|^2}$, for every η in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$.

Then Φ is Bochner integrable on $[0,1]$ and $\int_0^1 \Phi(s) ds \in (\mathcal{S}_{-q})$ for every $q > p$ such that $2be^2 D(q-p) < 1$ where e denotes the base of the natural logarithm and where $D(r) := \frac{1}{2^{2r}} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2r}}$ for r in $(1/2, +\infty)$.

3.2 Wick-Itô integral with respect to fBm in the Bochner sense

The fractional Wick-Itô integral with respect to fBm (or integral w.r.t. fBm in the white noise sense) was introduced in [8] and extended in [4] using the Pettis integral. As we will see in Lemma 3.1 below, the Bochner integrability of an $(\mathcal{S})^*$ -valued process Y is a simple condition that ensures the Wick-Itô integrability of Y with respect to fBm (see Definition 3.2 below) of any Hurst index H in $(0,1)$. For this reason, we now particularize the fractional Wick-Itô integral with respect to fBm (or Wick-Itô integral w.r.t. fBm) of [8] and [4] in the framework of the Bochner integral.

Definition 3.2 (Wick-Itô integral w.r.t fBm in the Bochner sense) *Let $H \in (0,1)$, I be a Borel subset of $[0,1]$, $B^H := (B_t^H)_{t \in I}$ be a fractional Brownian motion of Hurst index H , and $Y := (Y_t)_{t \in I}$ be an $(\mathcal{S})^*$ -valued process verifying:*

- (i) there exists $p \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $Y_t \in (\mathcal{S}_{-p})$ for almost every $t \in I$,
- (ii) the process $t \mapsto Y_t \diamond W_t^H$ is Bochner integrable on I .

Then, Y is said to be Bochner-integrable with respect to fBm on I and its integral is defined by:

$$\int_I Y_s d^\diamond B_s^H := \int_I Y_s \diamond W_s^H ds. \quad (3.5)$$

Remark 3.1 In order to keep the name given in [8], we also call this integral fractional Wick-Itô integral.

The following lemma ensures us that every Bochner integrable process is integrable on $[0, 1]$ w.r.t. fBm of any Hurst index H in $(0, 1)$. For sake of notational simplicity one denotes, for every integer $p_0, q(p_0)$ the integer equal to $\max\{p_0 + 1; 3\}$ if $p_0 \geq 1$ and equal to 2 if $p_0 = 0$.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $Y := (Y_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ be an $(\mathcal{S})^*$ -valued process, Bochner integrable of index $p_0 \in \mathbf{N}$. Then Y is integrable on $[0, 1]$, with respect to fBm of any Hurst index H , in the Bochner sense. Moreover, for any H in $(0, 1)$, $\int_{[0,1]} Y_s d^\diamond B_s^H$ belongs to $(\mathcal{S}_{-q(p_0)})$.*

Proof. Fix $H \in (0, 1)$ and an integer $p_0 \geq 2$. The map $t \mapsto Y_t \diamond W_t^H$ is weakly measurable since $t \mapsto S(Y_t \diamond W_t^H)(\eta)$ is measurable for all η in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$. Using [10, Remark 2 p.92], one obtains that, for almost all t in $[0, 1]$, $\|Y_t \diamond W_t^H\|_{-q(p_0)} \leq \|Y_t\|_{-p_0} \|W_t^H\|_{-p_0}$. Hence $Y_t \diamond W_t^H$ belongs to $(\mathcal{S}_{-q(p_0)})$. Since the map $t \mapsto \|W_t^H\|_{-r}$ is continuous for all integer $r \geq 2$ (see [11, Proposition 5.9]), one also gets:

$$\int_0^1 \|Y_t \diamond W_t^H\|_{-q(p_0)} dt \leq \left(\sup_{t \in [0,1]} \|W_t^H\|_{-p_0} \right) \int_0^1 \|Y_t\|_{-p_0} dt < +\infty.$$

This shows that $t \mapsto Y_t \diamond W_t^H$ is Bochner-integrable of index $q(p_0)$.

Let us now assume that p_0 belongs to $\{0, 1\}$. It is sufficient to check that Theorem 3.1 applies. Condition (i) is obviously fulfilled. Moreover, using [10, p.79], we obtain that, for every (t, η) in $[0, 1] \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$,

$$|S(Y_t \diamond W_t^H)(\eta)| \leq \|Y_t\|_{-p_0} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \|W_t^H\|_{-2} e^{\frac{1}{2}|\eta|_2^2} =: L(t) e^{\frac{1}{2}|\eta|_2^2}.$$

Since Y is Bochner integrable of index p_0 , it is clear that L belongs to $L^1([0, 1], dt)$. Moreover, $e^2 D(r - p_0) < 1$, for every $r \geq p_0 + 2$. Theorem 3.1 then allows to conclude that $t \mapsto Y_t \diamond W_t^H$ is Bochner integrable of index $q(p_0)$. \square

We end this section with the following lemma, the proof of which is obvious in view of Proposition 2.2, that will be useful in the proof of Theorem 4.1 below.

Lemma 3.2 *For every p in \mathbf{N} , the map $(t, H) \mapsto \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial H}(t, H)$ is continuous from $[0, 1]$ into $((\mathcal{S}_{-p}), \|\cdot\|_p)$. In particular, for every subset $[a, b]$ of $(0, 1)$, there exists a positive real κ such that:*

$$\forall p \in \mathbf{N}, \quad \sup_{(s, H) \in [0, t] \times [a, b]} \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial H}(s, H) \right\|_{-p} \leq \kappa. \quad (3.6)$$

4 Integral with respect to mBm through approximating fBms

Our aim in this section, is to construct an integral w.r.t. mBm using approximating integrals w.r.t. fBms. This new integral, that will be named limiting fractional Wick-Itô integral, is defined at the end of this section. The main result of this section is Theorem 4.1, which requires the result given in Lemma 4.1 below.

Let p_0 be a fixed integer and $Y := (Y_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ be an (\mathcal{S}_{-p_0}) -valued process (*i.e.* Y_t belongs to for every real t in $[0, 1]$ and $t \mapsto Y_t$ is measurable from $(0, 1)$ to (\mathcal{S}_{-p_0}) , endowed with its Borelian measure). As explained in Section 2.2, we wish to define the integral w.r.t. an mBm B^h , noted $\int_0^1 Y_t d^\circ B_t^h$, by a formula of the kind:

$$\int_0^1 Y_t d^\circ B_t^h := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 Y_t d^\circ B_t^{h_n} + \int_0^1 h'(t) Y_t \diamond \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial H}(t, h(t)) dt, \quad (4.1)$$

where the limit holds in $(\mathcal{S})^*$. For this formula to make sense, it is certainly necessary that Y be Bochner-integrable with respect to fBm, on $[0, 1]$, of all exponents α in $h([0, 1])$. The following technical lemma will be useful to establish Theorem 4.1 below.

Lemma 4.1 *For any $[a, b] \subset (0, 1)$ and any integer $p_0 \geq 2$, there exists a positive real γ_{p_0} such that, for all $(t, \alpha, \alpha') \in [0, 1] \times [a, b]^2$,*

$$\|W_t^\alpha - W_t^{\alpha'}\|_{-p_0} \leq \gamma_{p_0} |\alpha - \alpha'|.$$

Proof. The interval $[a, b]$ in $(0, 1)$ and an integer $p_0 \geq 2$ being fixed, one can write by definition of the $(\mathcal{S})^*$ -valued process W , for all $(t, \alpha, \alpha') \in [0, 1] \times [a, b]^2$:

$$\|W_t^\alpha - W_t^{\alpha'}\|_{-p_0}^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(M_\alpha(e_k)(t) - M_{\alpha'}(e_k)(t))^2}{(2k+2)^{2p_0}}.$$

Besides, for all (t, k) in $[0, 1] \times \mathbf{N}$, the function $\alpha \mapsto M_\alpha(e_k)(t)$ is differentiable on $(0, 1)$ (this is shown in [11, Lemma 5.5]). Using point 1 of [11, Lemma 5.6] and the mean value theorem, one obtains the following fact: there exists a positive real ρ such that for all $(t, \alpha, \alpha', k) \in [0, 1] \times [a, b]^2 \times \mathbf{N}$:

$$|M_\alpha(e_k)(t) - M_{\alpha'}(e_k)(t)| \leq \rho (k+1)^{2/3} \ln(k+1) |\alpha - \alpha'|.$$

As a consequence, we get

$$\|W_t^\alpha - W_t^{\alpha'}\|_{-p_0}^2 \leq |\alpha - \alpha'|^2 \rho^2 \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(k+1)^{4/3} \ln^2(k+1)}{2^{2p_0} (k+1)^{2p_0}} =: |\alpha - \alpha'|^2 \gamma_{p_0}^2.$$

Since $p_0 \geq 2$, γ_{p_0} is finite and the proof is complete. \square

The following theorem, which constitutes the main result of this section, ensures us that Bochner-integrability of Y on $[0, 1]$ is sufficient to guarantee that both the sequence $(\int_0^1 Y_t d^\diamond B_t^{h_n})_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ and the quantity $\int_0^1 h'(t) Y_t \diamond \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial H}(t, h(t)) dt$ exist and belong to $(\mathcal{S})^*$. It also establishes that the sequence $(\int_0^1 Y_t d^\diamond B_t^{h_n})_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ converges in $(\mathcal{S})^*$. For any integer p_0 , $q(p_0)$ still denotes an integer defined as before Lemma 3.1

Theorem 4.1 *For any process $Y := (Y_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ that is Bochner integrable on $[0, 1]$ of index p_0 , the sequence $(\int_0^1 Y_t d^\diamond B_t^{h_n})_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ and the quantity $\int_0^1 h'(t) Y_t \diamond \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial H}(t, h(t)) dt$ are well defined in $(\mathcal{S})^*$ and both belong to $(\mathcal{S}_{-q(p_0)})$. Moreover the sequence $(\int_0^1 Y_t d^\diamond B_t^{h_n})_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ converge in $(\mathcal{S}_{-q(p_0)})$ to $\int_0^1 Y_t \diamond W_t^{h(t)} dt$.*

Proof. The existence of the sequence $(\int_0^1 Y_t d^\diamond B_t^{h_n})_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ in $(\mathcal{S}_{-q(p_0)})^{\mathbf{N}}$ is a straightforward consequence of Lemma 3.1 since it has been proven there that $\int_0^1 Y_t d^\diamond B_t^H$ is well defined, for any H in $(0, 1)$, and belongs to $(\mathcal{S}_{-q(p_0)})$; $q(p_0)$ being independent from H . The scheme of the proof of the existence of $\int_0^1 Y_t \diamond W_t^{h(t)} dt$ is the same that the one we used, in the proof of Lemma 3.1, to show the existence of $\int_0^1 Y_t \diamond W_t^H dt$. One only needs to show that $\sup_{t \in [0,1]} \|W_t^{h(t)}\|_{-p_0}$ is finite for any $p_0 \geq 2$. Let then $p_0 \geq 2$ be fixed. Thanks to (3.3), (3.4) and to the upper-bound given in [11, Theorem 3.7 point 3], one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} U_{p_0} &:= \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \|W_t^{h(t)}\|_{-p_0}^2 = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} (M_{h(t)}(e_k)(t))^2 (2k+2)^{-2p_0} \\ &\leq \varrho_h^2 \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} (2k+2)^{-2(p_0-2/3)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varrho_h := \frac{D}{\sup_{H \in h([0,1])} c_H}$; D being given in [11, Theorem 3.7 point 3] and c_H

being defined right after Formula (1.1). Since U_{p_0} is finite as soon as $p_0 \geq 2$, the existence of $\int_0^1 Y_t \diamond W_t^{h(t)} dt$ is established.

In order to show the existence of $\int_0^1 h'(t) Y_t \diamond \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial H}(t, h(t)) dt$, one just needs to show that the map $t \mapsto h'(t) Y_t \diamond \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial H}(t, h(t))$ is Bochner integrable on $[0, 1]$. Using the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 3.1 one easily prove that $t \mapsto h'(t) Y_t \diamond \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial H}(t, h(t))$ is weakly measurable on $[0, 1]$. Lemma 3.2 entails that, $\sup_{(s,H) \in \sigma_h} \|\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial H}(s, H)\|_{-p_0} \leq \kappa$ for every p_0 , where $\sigma_h := [0, 1] \times h([0, 1])$.

We hence get,

$$\|h'(t) Y_t \diamond \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial H}(t, h(t))\|_{-q(p_0)} \leq \|Y_s\|_{-p_0} \left(\sup_{s \in [0,1]} |h'(s)| \right) \sup_{s \in [0,1]} \|\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial H}(s, h(s))\|_{-p_0}.$$

Thus there exists $\delta \in \mathbf{R}_+^*$, such that $\int_0^1 \|h'(s) Y_s \diamond \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial H}(s, h(s))\|_{-q(p_0)} ds \leq \delta \int_0^1 \|Y_s\|_{-p_0} ds < +\infty$. As a consequence, $\int_0^1 h'(t) Y_t \diamond \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial H}(t, h(t)) dt$ is well defined in the sense of Bochner.

Finally it just remains to show the convergence, in $(\mathcal{S}_{-q(p_0)})$, of $(\int_0^1 Y_t d^\diamond B_t^{h_n})_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ to $\int_0^1 Y_t \diamond W_t^{h(t)} dt$. In view of the definition of the functions h_n , (2.5) and (3.5), the equality $\int_0^1 Y_t d^\diamond B_t^{h_n} = \int_0^1 Y_t \diamond W_t^{h_n} dt$ is obvious for every n in \mathbf{N} . Setting $I_n := \|\int_0^1 Y_t \diamond W_t^{h(t)} dt - \int_0^1 Y_t d^\diamond B_t^{h_n}\|_{-q(p_0)}$ and using Proposition 3.1, [10, Remark (2) p.92] and Lemma 4.1, one then has:

$$\begin{aligned} I_n &= \left\| \int_0^1 Y_t \diamond (W_t^{h(t)} - W_t^{h_n(t)}) dt \right\|_{-q(p_0)} \leq \int_0^1 \|Y_t\|_{-p_0} \|W_t^{h(t)} - W_t^{h_n(t)}\|_{-p_0} dt \\ &\leq \gamma_{p_0} \int_0^1 \|Y_t\|_{-p_0} |h(t) - h_n(t)| dt. \end{aligned}$$

The Dominated convergence theorem of Lebesgue finally allows us to write that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} I_n = 0$ and thus achieves the proof. \square

Remark 4.1 The previous proof shows in particular that one does not need the pointwise convergence of $(h_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ to h on the whole interval $[0, 1]$ but only almost everywhere.

Define the set Λ_{p_0} by setting:

$$\Lambda_{p_0} := \{Y := (Y_t)_{t \in [0,1]} \in (\mathcal{S}_{-p_0})^{\mathbf{R}} : Y \text{ is Bochner integrable of index } p_0 \text{ on } [0, 1]\}.$$

Corollary 4.1 *Let Y be in Λ_{p_0} . Then the quantity*

$$I_{p_0}^h := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 Y_t d^\diamond B_t^{h_n} + \int_0^1 h'(t) Y_t \diamond \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial H}(t, h(t)) dt,$$

where the limit and the equality both hold in $(\mathcal{S}_{-q(p_0)})$, is well-defined and belongs to $(\mathcal{S}_{-q(p_0)})$. Moreover one has the equality:

$$I_{p_0}^h = \int_0^1 Y_t \diamond W_t^{h(t)} dt + \int_0^1 h'(t) Y_t \diamond \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial H}(t, h(t)) dt. \quad (4.2)$$

As a consequence of Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.1, the integral w.r.t. mBm exists as a limit of integrals w.r.t. fBms plus a second term. Thus, we are finally able to define our integral:

Definition 4.1 (Limiting fractional Wick-Itô integral) *For any fixed integer p_0 and any element $Y := (Y_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ of Λ_{p_0} , the integral of Y with respect to B^h can be obtained as limits of fractional Wick-Itô integral. We note $\int_0^1 Y_t d^\diamond B_t^h$ this integral and call it limiting fractional Wick-Itô integral. It is defined by:*

$$\int_0^1 Y_t d^\diamond B_t^h := I_{p_0}^h = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 Y_t d^\diamond B_t^{h_n} + \int_0^1 h'(t) Y_t \diamond \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial H}(t, h(t)) dt, \quad (4.3)$$

In view of (4.1) and of Remark 3.1, and even if $\int_0^1 Y_t d^\circ B_t^h$ is not only a limit of sums of fractional Wick-Itô integrals, the name limiting fractional Wick-Itô integral to call $\int_0^1 Y_t d^\circ B_t^h$ seems to be indicate since it give the essence of it.

Remark 4.2 The linearity of limiting fractional Wick-Itô integral as well as the equality $\int_a^b d^\circ B_t^h \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} B_b^h - B_a^h$, for any (a, b) in \mathbf{R}^2 such that $a < b$, are consequences of Definition 4.1.

Moreover, for any (\mathcal{S}_{-p_0}) -valued process $Y := (Y_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ that admits a limiting fractional Wick-Itô integral over a Borel subset I of \mathbf{R} , if $\int_I X_s d^\circ B_s^h$ belongs to (L^2) ., then $\mathbf{E}[\int_I X_s d^\circ B_s^h] = 0$.

We shall compare the integral w.r.t. mBm, obtained in Definition 4.1, to the one defined with the direct approach of [11]. This the goal of the next section.

5 A comparison between multifractional Wick-Itô integral and limiting fractional Wick-Itô integral

A multifractional Wick-Itô integral with respect to mBm was defined in [11]. In addition Itô formulas (in both weak and strong senses) as well as a Tanaka formula were provided. It is interesting to check whether it coincides with the one provided by Definition 4.1. In that view, we need to adapt the definition of multifractional Wick-Itô integral with respect to mBm given in [11], which used Pettis integrals, to deal with Bochner integrals.

5.1 Multifractional Wick-Itô integral in Bochner sense

Definition 5.1 (Multifractional Wick-Itô integral in Bochner sense)

Let I be a Borelian connected subset of $[0, 1]$, $B^h := (B_t^h)_{t \in I}$ be a multifractional Brownian motion and $Y := (Y_t)_{t \in I}$ be a $(\mathcal{S})^*$ -valued process such that:

- (i) There exists $p \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $Y_t \in (\mathcal{S}_{-p})$ for almost every $t \in I$,
- (ii) the process $t \mapsto Y_t \diamond W_t^h$ is Bochner integrable on I .

Y is then said to be integrable on I with respect to mBm in the Bochner sense or to admit a multifractional Wick-Itô integral. This integral, noted $\int_I Y_s dB_s^h ds$, is defined by $\int_I Y_s dB_s^h ds := \int_I Y_s \diamond W_s^h ds$.

Remark 5.1 From the definition of $(W_t^h)_{t \in [0,1]}$ [11, Proposition 5.9], and the proof of Lemma 3.1, it is clear that every $(\mathcal{S})^*$ -valued process $Y := (Y_t)_{t \in I}$ which is Bochner integrable on I , of index p_0 , is integrable on I with respect to mBm, in the Bochner sense. Moreover $\int_{[0,1]} Y_t dB_t^h$ belongs to $(\mathcal{S}_{-q(p_0)})$, where $q(p_0)$ was defined just before Lemma 3.1.

5.2 A comparison between multifractional Wick-Itô integral and limiting fractional Wick-Itô integral

In order to compare our two integrals with respect to mBm when they both exist, it seems natural to assume that $Y = (Y_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ is a Bochner integrable process of index $p_0 \in \mathbf{N}$. We keep notations of the previous sections, in particular for p_0 and $q(p_0)$.

Theorem 5.1 *Let $Y = (Y_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ be a Bochner integrable process of index $p_0 \in \mathbf{N}$. Then Y is integrable with respect to mBm in both senses of Definition 4.1 and Definition 5.1. Moreover $\int_I Y_t dB_t^h dt$ and $\int_{[0,1]} Y_t d^\circ B_t^h$ are equal in (\mathcal{S}^*) .*

Proof: The existence of both integrals $\int_{[0,1]} Y_t d^\circ B_t^h$ and $\int_I Y_t dB_t^h dt$ is obvious in view of Theorem 4.1 and Remark 5.1. Moreover Equalities (4.3), (4.2) and (3.4) allow us to write:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 Y_t d^\circ B_t^h &= \int_0^1 \left(Y_t \diamond W_t^{h(t)} + h'(t) Y_t \diamond \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial H}(t, h(t)) \right) dt = \int_0^1 Y_t \diamond W_t^h dt. \\ &= \int_0^1 Y_t dB_t^h. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgments

The author thanks Jacques Lévy Véhel for many helpful remarks and comments and the anonymous referee for his suggestions that highly improved Sections 4 and 5 of this paper.

References

- [1] E. ALÒS and O. MAZET and D. NUALART, Stochastic calculus with respect to Gaussian processes, *Ann. Probab.*, **29**, 2 (2001), 766-801.
- [2] A. AYACHE and S. COHEN and J. LÉVY VÉHEL, *The covariance structure of multifractional Brownian motion, with application to long range dependence (extended version)*, in ICASSP, 2000.
- [3] A. BENASSI and S. JAFFARD and D. ROUX, Elliptic Gaussian random processes, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, **13**, 1 (1997), 19-90.
- [4] C. BENDER, An Itô formula for generalized functionals of a fractional Brownian motion with arbitrary Hurst parameter, *Sto. Pro. and their App.*, **104**, (2003), 81-106.
- [5] C. BENDER, An S -transform approach to integration with respect to a fractional Brownian motion, *Bernoulli*, **9**, 6 (2003), 955-983.
- [6] F. BIAGINI and A. SULEM and B. ØKSENDAL and N. WALLNER, An introduction to white-noise theory and Malliavin calculus for fractional Brownian motion, *Proc. Royal Society, special issue on stochastic analysis and applications*, (2004), 347-372.
- [7] B. BOUFOUSSI and M. DOZZI and R. MARTY, Local time and Tanaka formula for a Volterra-type multifractional Gaussian process, *Bernoulli*, **16**, 4 (2010), 1294-1311.

- [8] R.J. ELLIOTT and J. VAN DER HOEK, A general fractional white noise theory and applications to finance, *Mathematical Finance*, **13**, 2 (2003), 301-330.
- [9] E. HERBIN and J. LEOVITS and J. LÉVY VÉHEL, Stochastic integration with respect to multifractional Brownian motion *via* tangent fractional Brownian motion, *Preprint, available at <http://hal.inria.fr/hal-00653808/fr/>*, (2012).
- [10] H. KUO, *White Noise Distribution Theory*, CRC-Press, 1996.
- [11] J. LEOVITS and J. LÉVY VÉHEL, White noise-based stochastic calculus with respect to multifractional Brownian motion, *to appear in Stochastics*, (2012).
- [12] R. PELTIER and J. LÉVY VÉHEL, Multifractional Brownian motion: definition and preliminary results, *rapport de recherche de l'INRIA*, n^o 2645.
- [13] G. SAMORODNITSKY and M. TAQQU, *Stable Non-Gaussian Random Processes*, Chapman and Hall/C.R.C, 1994.
- [14] S. STOEV and M. TAQQU, How rich is the class of multifractional Brownian motions?, *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, **116**, 2 (2006), 200-221.

Joachim Lebovits

Mathematic Center of Heidelberg & University of Heidelberg
Im Neuenheimer Feld, 294, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany
E-mail: joachim.lebovits@uni-heidelberg.de