

Phenotyping progenies for complex architectural traits: a strategy for 1-year-old apple trees (Malus x domestica Borkh.)

Vincent Segura, Christian Cilas, François Laurens, Evelyne Costes

▶ To cite this version:

Vincent Segura, Christian Cilas, François Laurens, Evelyne Costes. Phenotyping progenies for complex architectural traits: a strategy for 1-year-old apple trees (Malus x domestica Borkh.). Tree Genetics

Genomes, Springer, 2006, 2 (3), pp.140-151. 10.1007/s11295-006-0037-1 . hal-00830066

HAL Id: hal-00830066 https://hal.inria.fr/hal-00830066

Submitted on 4 Jun 2013 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Phenotyping progenies for complex architectural traits:
2	A strategy for 1-year-old apple trees (Malus x domestica Borkh.)
3	
4	Segura V. ^{(1)*} , Cilas C. ⁽²⁾ , Laurens F. ⁽³⁾ & Costes E. ⁽¹⁾
5	
6	(1) UMR Biologie du Développement des Espèces Pérennes Cultivées – Equipe
7	Architecture et Fonctionnement des Espèces Fruitières, INRA - AGRO Montpellier; 2
8	place Pierre Viala 34060 Montpellier Cedex 1 – France
9	(2) CIRAD, TA 80-03; avenue Agropolis, 34398 Montpellier – France
10	(3) UMR Génétique et Horticulture, INRA - INH - Université d'Angers; 42 rue Georges
11	Morel, BP 57, 49071 Beaucouzé Cedex, France
12	
13	* Corresponding author: Evelyne Costes,
14	tel: 33 4 99 61 25 15
15	fax: 33 4 99 61 26 16
16	email: <u>costes@ensam.inra.fr</u>
17 18	
10	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

26 ABSTRACT

27 The aim of this study was to define a methodology for describing architectural traits in a 28 quantitative way on tree descendants. Our strategy was to collect traits related to both tree 29 structural organization, resulting from growth and branching, and tree form and then to 30 select among these traits relevant descriptors on the basis of their genetic parameters. 31 Because the complexity of tree architecture increases with tree age, we chose to describe 32 the trees in the early stages of development. The study was carried out on a one-year-old 33 apple progeny derived from two parent cultivars with contrasted architecture. A large 34 number of variables were collected at different positions and scales within the trees. Broad 35 sense heritability and genetic correlations were estimated and the within tree variability 36 was analyzed for variables measured on long sylleptic axillary shoots (LSAS). These 37 results were combined in order to select heritable and not correlated variables. Finally, the 38 selection of variables proposed combines topological with geometric traits measured on both trunks and LSAS: (i) on the trunk, mean internode length and number of sylleptic 39 40 axillary shoots; (ii) on axillary shoots, conicity, bending and number of sylleptic axillary 41 shoots born at order 3. The trees of the progeny were partitioned on the basis of these 42 variables. The putative agronomic interest of the selected variables with respect to the 43 subsequent tree development is discussed.

44 INTRODUCTION

45 Apple breeding programs aim primarily to develop productive cultivars with good fruit quality, and ensure pest and disease resistance (Lespinasse 1992). But, the consideration of 46 47 tree architecture and shoot morphology traits is also considered as a promising manner to obtain trees that are adapted to training systems while reducing intrants and improving the 48 49 control of vegetative development and yield regularity (Lespinasse 1992; Laurens et al. 2000). Usually, the introduction of traits which segregate in a quantitative way in selection 50 51 schemes requires genetic studies to analyse their variability and to estimate the expected 52 genetic improvement (Gallais 1989; Hill et al. 1998). To investigate the relationship 53 between traits measured and genotypic effect, the concept of heritability has been 54 introduced into quantitative genetics (Hanson 1963; Falconer 1981). However, accurate 55 heritability estimates can be obtained only if it is possible to extend the phenotyping to many trees (Yao and Mehlenbacher 2000; Hardner et al. 2002; Chao and Parfitt 2003; 56 57 Liebhard et al. 2003).

58 Great variability in tree habit has been demonstrated in apple cultivars, which have been qualitatively classified into 4 architectural types according to tree growth habit, 59 60 distribution of branches and fruiting position (Lespinasse 1977). In the 1970s, the 61 discovery of natural mutants with a columnar compact growth habit (Lapins 1974; Lapins 62 1976) led Lespinasse (1992) to modify this classification: Type I is now composed of 63 columnar cultivars (e.g. 'Wijcik'); Type II is characterized by erect trees that mainly bear 64 short shoots and by fruiting on spurs with alternate bearing (e.g. 'Starkrimson'); Type III is composed of cultivars with medium to long shoots and an open branching angle (e.g. 65 66 'Golden Delicious'); Type IV is characterized by weeping trees that mainly bear long shoots and by fruiting on medium and long shoots and production that is usually regular 67 68 (e.g. 'Granny smith'). Tree form can also be evaluated through the overall tree hierarchic 69 organisation, using the concepts of hierarchy vs. polyarchy introduced by Edelin (1991)

70 and used to described two-year-old apple trees (De Wit et al. 2004). However, studies 71 based on qualitative classification of the trees into types without precise and objective 72 measurements may, as Hansche et al. (1972) argued, induce large errors in the estimation 73 of genetic parameters. Over the last ten years more detailed architectural studies have been performed in different species, with a distinction between tree topology (i.e. relative 74 75 position of the entities within the tree) and geometry (i.e. spatial position and form of the 76 entities), and considering entities at different scales (Godin et al. 1999a). Regarding the 77 topological organization in apple tree, the variability of branching patterns has been 78 investigated for several cultivars along branches (Lauri et al. 1995) and trunks (Costes and 79 Guédon 2002). Tree and branches form has also been investigated. A modeling approach 80 carried out on three contrasted varieties of apricot tree, showed that the main factors 81 involved in the final shoot form were first its initial geometry (in particular slenderness and 82 inclination) and second the distribution of load along the shoot (Alméras et al. 2004). But 83 these studies were performed on contrasted cultivars and genetic parameters of traits have 84 not been investigated.

85 Regarding genetic studies for architectural traits in apple tree, accurate values of 86 heritability have been estimated by studying several full-sib progenies, but only basic 87 morphological traits such as trunk diameter were investigated (Tancred et al. 1995; Durel et 88 al. 1998; Oraguzie et al. 2001). Recently Liebhard et al. (2003) estimated genetic and 89 environmental variances and highlighted QTLs for growth (tree height and basis diameter) 90 and phenological traits in an apple progeny. However, most of the genetic studies have 91 been performed on the inheritance of the columnar trait suggesting that a single dominant 92 gene called Co was implicated (Lapins 1974; Lapins 1976). Several genetic maps were 93 drawn up for apple progenies deriving from a columnar parent and molecular markers close to the Co gene were found (Hemmat et al. 1997; Kim et al. 2003). Gradually, tree 94 95 architecture was investigated in more depth and took account of more complex characters,

96 in particular the branching process: (i) long shoots were shown to be relevant for
97 partitioning adult trees belonging to a progeny derived from 'Wijcik' (type I) and 'Baujade'
98 (type IV) (Godin et al. 1999b); (ii) main shoot growth and its branching characteristics
99 were used to cluster a 1-year-old progeny deriving from 'Telamon' (type I) and 'Braeburn'
100 (type III) (De Wit et al. 2002). But these studies did not investigate the genetic variability
101 of traits. In addition, the Co gene was shown to have pleiotropic effects and could thus hide
102 the variability of other architectural traits (Kenis and Keulemans 2004).

103 This study aimed at defining a method to describe tree architecture based on 104 accurate and objective measurements which remain compatible with quantitative genetic 105 studies carried out with large progenies and open new perspectives on Quantitative Traits 106 Loci (QTL) research. In particular, the perennial structure of trees induces methodological 107 difficulties in the phenotyping for architectural traits (Osorio et al. 2003; Jansson et al. 108 2005). Indeed, a diminution in primary growth in relation to tree age has been showed for 109 different species and in different agronomic contexts (Barthélémy et al. 1997; Costes et al. 110 2003; Seleznyova et al. 2003). Because of these gradients, the successive years cannot be 111 used as repetitions to separate genotype and environment effects. Furthermore, some traits 112 are only transiently expressed in the course of tree development (e.g. sylleptic branching 113 mainly expressed early before tree maturity is reached) while others are cumulated over 114 years (primary and secondary growth). To account for these difficulties, we chose to start 115 phenotyping the trees from the first year of growth when the structure is simple enough to 116 investigate a large number of traits, measured on a large number of trees. This allowed us 117 to consider both the topology and geometry of entities, at different positions and scales 118 within the trees. The following questions were addressed: (i) which variables should be 119 measured to point out the architectural variability? (ii) should we measure either trunks or 120 long sylleptic axillary shoots (LSAS) or both ? (iii) if LSAS have to be considered, how 121 many should be measured per tree? Among the large number of variables explored we then

made a selection based on the three following criteria: (i) high heritability value (ii) low
genetic correlations between selected variables, and (iii) putative agronomic interest and
easiness of measurement.

125 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

126 **Plant Material**

The progeny under study was derived from a 'Starkrimson' x 'Granny Smith' cross. 127 128 Parents were chosen for their contrasting architecture. According to Lespinasse (1992), the 'Starkrimson' maternal parent has an erect growth habit with many short shoots and a 129 130 tendency to irregular production (type II). The 'Granny Smith' pollen parent is 131 characterized by a weeping growth habit with long shoots and fruit bearing regularity (type 132 IV).

133 In 2002, 125 seedlings were grown on their own roots for one year. At the 134 beginning of 2003, grafts were taken on 3 successive nodes in the middle of the shoots 135 from 50 plants selected at random. Three grafts were carried out for each of the 50 136 genotypes onto 'Pajam 1' rootstock to produce repetitions. Rootstocks were bought to 137 nursery men and selected for their uniformity. 'Pajam 1' rootstock is a clonal selection of 138 M9 which confers low vigor, a short juvenile period and substantial, regular productivity. 139 The 150 trees obtained were planted in March 2003 at the Melgueil INRA Montpellier experimental station 5m x 2m apart in an east - west orientation. In order to study their 140 141 architecture, the trees were grown with minimal training, i.e. trees were not pruned and the 142 trunks were staked up to 1 m. They were regularly irrigated using a microjet system to 143 avoid soil water deficits. Pests and diseases were controlled by conventional means in line 144 with professional practices throughout the study.

145

Morphological and Architectural Description

146 A total of 149 trees were observed in January 2004 after the first year of growth (one tree 147 had died). At that time, the trees were composed of a trunk, sometimes with rhythmic 148 growth (i.e. meristem activity was periodic), and sylleptic axillary shoots (Figure 1). Three 149 types of sylleptic axillary shoot were distinguished depending on their length: (i) long shoots (length ≥ 20 cm); (ii) brindles (5 cm \le length < 20 cm); spurs (length < 5 cm). For 150

each of the 149 trees, observations were performed on the trunk and 4 long syllepticaxillary shoots (LSAS) when present. This led us to consider unbalanced dataset on LSAS.

A topological description of the trees was established using the coding method defined by (Godin et al. 1997). Four organization levels were distinguished, first the tree, second the axes, third the growth units (GU) and fourth the internodes. A geometrical description was based on the following variables: (i) length measured at the GU level; (ii) basis and top diameters measured on the trunk and LSAS; (iii) basis and top angles, cord measured on LSAS (Figure1).

These measured variables were used to calculate others aiming to provide descriptors as close as possible to biological processes such as internodes lengthening or bending. These calculations and further analyses were performed with AMAPmod software (Godin and Guédon 2003). Variables were divided into 2 categories whether they were related to tree geometry or to topology. Topological variables were organized into growth and branching variables (Table 1).

165 Statistical analysis

Broad sense heritability (h_b^2) has been defined as the ratio between genotypic variance and phenotypic variance (Hanson 1963):

$$h_b^2 = \frac{\sigma_G^2}{\sigma_P^2}$$

169 Where: σ^2_G is genotypic variance, σ^2_P is phenotypic variance.

170 If phenotypic variance is broken down into genetic variance and environmental variance,171 then broad sense heritability is given by:

172
$$h_b^2 = \frac{\sigma_G^2}{(\sigma_G^2 + \sigma_e^2)}$$

173 Where: $\sigma^2 e$ is error variance as an estimation of environmental variance.

174 As several LSAS were described on each tree, the mean value per tree was used to 175 estimate individual heritability, i.e. at the level of the individual, while on trunks the measured values were used directly. The restricted maximum likelihood method (REML) 176 177 (Corbeil and Searle 1976) was used to estimate both "genotype" and "error" variances 178 since it is considered the most suitable procedure to estimate variance components for 179 unbalanced data (Dieters et al. 1995). Individual broad sense heritability values were then 180 calculated along with the associated standard deviations to obtain a confidence interval for 181 the estimates (Agresti and Coull 1998). According to Gallais (1989), variables are 182 considered as heritables if (i) their heritability value is greater than 0.2; (ii) the lower limit 183 for the confidence interval of their heritability value is greater than 0. Within-tree 184 variability was also estimated for variables measured on the LSAS in addition to the 185 genotypic and error variances, and this to evaluate the stability of the variables within the 186 trees. Moreover, in order to determine the minimal number of LSAS which should be 187 described to obtain accurate values of individual broad sense heritability, this parameter 188 was calculated by considering an increasing number of LSAS, from 1 to 4.

Then, relevant traits were selected to match 2 criteria. The first selection criterion was the broad sense heritability of traits and the associated confidence interval. The second was the genetic correlation between characters, since two variables with a significant genetic correlation can be predicted one from the other, and this allows the breeder to use only one variable, e.g. the easiest to measure (Gallais 1989). Genetic correlations were calculated between the variables using the average value by genotype (Hill 1971).

In order to define groups of trees with relatively similar architectures, the trees were partitioned for trunk and axillary shoot traits using the Partitioning Around Medoids (PAM) method (Kaufman and Rousseeuw 1990). Partition was performed on the relevant selected traits because we wanted to consider only heritable variables. Partition quality was evaluated by (i) the ratio between global within-cluster distance and global between-cluster

200 distance, and (ii) the partition mean silhouette value (Smean) (Kaufman and Rousseeuw 201 1990). The higher the S_{mean} value, the more separated the clusters. Cluster isolation was evaluated by (i) their diameter i.e. dissimilarity between the most dissimilar object of a 202 203 cluster and (ii) their separation i.e. smallest dissimilarity between an object in the cluster and an object outside the cluster. Partitioning was performed from the dissimilarity matrix 204 205 between trees (Kaufman and Rousseeuw 1990). Euclidean distances between trees were calculated from standardized data. For non branching trees, missing data for axillary shoots 206 207 were replaced by the mean value for the variable. Finally, to investigate how the variables 208 discriminated each cluster, an ANOVA study and a Newman and Keuls test were carried 209 out between clusters.

Genetic correlations and genetic models of variance decomposition used to calculate h²b were performed using Proc Corr and Proc Mixed respectively in SAS v8 software (SAS Institute Inc 2000). Partitioning methods were those in the stat module of AMAPmod software (Godin and Guédon 2003). ANOVA and Newman and Keuls tests used to investigate the differences between clusters were performed using Proc GLM in SAS v8 software.

216 **RESULTS**

217 Broad sense heritability of traits

218 The geometrical variables measured on the trunks showed relatively low heritability values 219 (Table 2). Among them, the highest values were found for variables related to the trunk length: length (L), mean internode length (IN L), length of the longest internode 220 221 (IN L max) and slenderness (Slend). All these variables had heritability values greater than 0.2 and the lower limit of their confidence interval was in excess of 0.1. Three 222 223 branching variables showed high heritability values close to 0.4 and the lower limit of their 224 confidence interval was greater than 0.2: number of axillary shoots (Nb AS), number of 225 spurs (Nb S) and branching by length unit (Nb AS/L).

226 Among the geometrical variables measured on LSAS, those which characterized 227 internode length (IN L, IN L max) and shoot conicity (Coni) showed the highest 228 heritability values (0.5 and 0.4 respectively), and the lower limit of their confidence 229 interval was greater than 0.2 (Table 2). Bending variables (Cord Bend, Ang Bend) and 230 slenderness (Slend) showed intermediate values close to 0.3. In the same manner as for the trunks, many branching variables (Nb AS, Nb S, %AS, Nb AS/L) measured on the LSAS 231 232 were highly heritable (h_{b}^{2} close to 0.5, with the lower limit of the confidence interval close 233 to 0.3).

By contrast, some volume-related variables (basis diameter - B_Dia, mean diameter - M_Dia and volume - Vol) and count-related variables (number of internodes - IN_N, number of long shoots - Nb_L, branching density - Br_D) showed low heritability values (h²_b lower than 0.2) whether they were measured on trunks or the LSAS.

238 Within-tree variability of variables measured on the LSAS

A significant within-tree effect was observed for all geometrical variables (Table 3). But, several variables (mean internode length – IN_L, length of the longest internode – IN_L_max and cord bending – Cord_Bend) also showed genotypic variance that was greater than the within-tree variance. Of the topological variables, some branching variables, such as number of axillary shoots (Nb_AS), number of long shoots (NB_L), number of brindles (Nb_B) or branching zone (Br_Z), were considered as stable because they did not show a significant within-tree effect. Excepted the number of long shoots (Nb_L), all these branching variables also showed a significant genotypic effect.

247 The individual broad sense heritability values were then estimated by considering 248 an increasing number of LSAS per tree. Depending on the variable, 3 kinds of patterns 249 were observed which are illustrated only for a selection of variables (Figure 2). The 250 heritability values increased with the number of LSAS for many branching variables (e.g. 251 number of axillary shoots - Nb AS and number of brindles - Nb B) and some geometrical 252 variables (e.g. Conicity - Coni). All these variables showed a highly significant genotypic 253 variance, while their within-tree variance was generally non significant or lower than the genotypic variance (Table 3). By contrast, the heritability values decreased when the 254 255 number of LSAS considered increased for variables which showed a non significant 256 genotypic variance while their within-tree variance was significant to highly significant (e.g. branching density – Br D). In a third intermediate case, quite similar heritability 257 258 values were obtained whatever the number of LSAS considered. This case includes 259 variables with significant genotypic and within-tree variances (e.g. cord bending -260 Cord Bend). For most of the variables considered in the study, the highest difference in 261 heritability values was obtained between 1 and 2 LSAS considered (e.g. number of axillary shoots – Nb AS, conicity – Coni, branching density – Br D) even though, in several cases, 262 263 the heritability value changed until 3 LSAS considered (e.g. number of brindles - Nb B or 264 top angle -T Ang).

265 *Correlations between variables*

High phenotypic correlations were observed between the variables measured either on the trunk or LSAS and highlighted a high level of redundancy (data not shown). Most of the

268 variables exhibited even higher genetic than phenotypic correlations. Significant genetic 269 correlations were mainly observed between variables belonging to a same category, i.e. geometric or topological (Table 4). In fact, more than 80 % of the variables belonging to 270 271 the same category showed significant genetic correlations on both trunks and LSAS. 272 Significant genetic correlations were also observed between geometric and topological 273 variables, for instance on trunks (i) between 2 variables that characterize growth: length (L) and number of internodes (IN N) (r = 0.86); (ii) between a geometric and a branching 274 275 variable: slenderness (Slend) and branching by length unit (Nb AS/L) (r = -0.55).

If the same variables are considered on both trunks and LSAS, most of the geometric variables, except slenderness (Slend) and conicity (Coni), showed strong genetic correlations one with the other (r ranged from 0.5 to 0.7). Topological variables showed significant genetic correlations for number of internodes (IN_N), number of axillary shoots (Nb_AS), number of spurs (Nb_S), percentage of branching nodes (%AS), number of axillary shoots by length unit (Nb_AS/L) and branching zone (Br_Z). However, these genetic correlations were fairly weak (r around 0.3) compared with the geometric variables.

283 Selection of relevant descriptors

High genetic correlations per category of variables make it possible to reduce redundancy and select variables that are representative of the different aspects of tree architecture, including both geometric and topological descriptors.

The geometric variables that characterize internode length (i.e. mean internode length – IN_L and length of the longest internode – IN_L _max) were the only ones to show elevate heritability values on both trunks and LSAS. Furthermore, mean internode length (IN_L) was more strongly correlated with other geometric variables than length of the longest internode (IN_L _max). We thus selected the mean internode length (IN_L) as a descriptor of trunk geometry. LSAS geometry was characterized by cord bending (Cord Bend) and conicity (Coni) because: (i) their heritability values were high to

295

moderate; (ii) they showed a weak genetic correlation one with the other; (iii) they were not correlated with mean internode length (IN L) on the trunks (Table 4).

296 Branching variables were highly heritable on both trunks and LSAS. These 297 variables were strongly correlated one with the other when they were considered separately 298 either on trunks or LSAS. In particular, the number of axillary shoots (Nb AS) showed a 299 strong genetic correlation with all the other branching variables measured on the same axis, 300 either trunks or LSAS. Besides, the within-tree variability for the number of axillary shoots (Nb AS) was not significant, unlike the number of spurs (Nb S) and branching by length 301 302 unit (Nb AS/L). Finally, a weak genetic correlation was observed between the number of 303 axillary shoots (Nb AS) measured respectively on the trunks and on the LSAS (Table 4). 304 For all these reasons, the number of axillary shoots (Nb AS) were selected as relevant 305 variables on both trunks and LSAS.

Thus, 5 variables were selected to describe both tree geometry and topology: (i) on trunks, mean internode length (IN_L_tr) and the number of axillary shoots (Nb_AS_tr); (ii) on LSAS, conicity (Coni_as), cord bending (Cord_bend) and the number of axillary shoots born at order 3 (Nb_AS_as).

310 *Partitioning the trees of the progeny*

The trees of the progeny were then partitioned on the basis of the mean standardized values 311 312 of the 5 selected variables. Several partitions were performed with the number of clusters 313 increasing from 2 to 8, called P_2 to P_8 . The highest mean silhouette values were found for partitions P_2 (S_{mean} = 0.38) and P_6 (S_{mean} = 0.22). These partitions were also characterized 314 315 by a ratio of 0.62 between global within-cluster dissimilarity and global between-cluster 316 dissimilarity. In P₂, the number of axillary shoots born at order 3 (Nb AS as) was the only 317 variable used for cluster discrimination (data not shown). In P₆, even though no clusters 318 were clearly isolated (i.e. for each one diameter was higher than separation), significant differences were observed between clusters for all the 5 variables (Table 5). The first 3 319

320	clusters were discriminated by LSAS variables, i.e. the number of axillary shoots born at
321	order 3 (Nb_AS_as), cord bending (Cord_Bend_as) and conicity (Coni_as) (Figure 3).
322	These clusters were characterized by the lowest number of trees and the highest separation
323	values. Clusters 4, 5 and 6 were composed of 39, 34 and 42 trees respectively and their
324	separation values were fairly low. Clusters 4 and 5 were discriminated by trunk variables,
325	i.e. branching (Nb_As_tr) and internode length (IN_L_tr), while cluster 6 was
326	characterized by low values for all 5 variables. The distribution of trees in a given genotype
327	between the clusters was investigated. 3 cases were observed: (i) the 3 replicates were in
328	the same cluster; (ii) 2 replicates were in the same cluster; (iii) each replicate was in a
329	different clusters. The proportion of genotypes observed in each case was respectively 24
330	% (12 genotypes), 52 % (26 genotypes) and 24 % (12 genotypes).

331 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

332 Selecting quantitative variables : which method ?

333 The first criterion we used to select variables was broad sense heritability. A variance 334 decomposition was performed using the REML method because it gives a confidence 335 interval for heritability and is considered the most suitable procedure to estimate variance 336 components for unbalanced data (Dieters et al. 1995). However, heritability estimates are 337 specific to the population and the environment analyzed (Souza et al. 1998). In particular, 338 the choice of the parents is crucial since their contrasted behaviour for a trait does not 339 guarantee its segregation in the progeny. Indeed, when parents are both homozygous for a 340 trait, then all the descendants display the same heterozygous genotype for this trait. 341 However, in apple tree, cultivars are known to be very heterozygous. In addition, the 342 quantitative distributions of the studied traits suggest a probable polygenic control and in 343 this case the probability that all genes responsible for trait expression are homozygous for 344 the two parents is very low. After due consideration of the above, the parents of the 345 progeny were chosen for their contrasted architecture ('Starkrimson' is type II and 'Granny Smith' is type IV according to the Lespinasse classification (1992)). As a matter of fact, 346 347 fairly elevated heritability values were obtained even though measurements repetitions, at 348 least for a subset of variables, either on different progenies or different climatic conditions 349 would complement the present results and lead to more precise trait selection.

The second criteria used for selecting variables consisted in taking account of within-tree architectural variability. Indeed plant structure results, at least to some extent, from repetitive processes (White 1979). In particular, branches belonging to a same apple tree exhibit similar behavior in growth, branching and flowering occurrence (Costes et al. 2003). In the present study, significant within-tree variability, as observed for many variables at the LSAS level, underlined the difficulty in characterizing individuals on the basis of a single value. Moreover, a more accurate estimate of heritability was obtained by

considering at least 2 repetitions of LSAS within the trees. This suggests that adequate
within tree sampling benefits to estimations of the genetic parameters. Even though in
some cases heritability values would be still over or under estimated, the choice of 2 LSAS
described by tree appears as a realistic compromise between the time of notation required
and the accuracy of the heritability value.

362 A third criteria was the analysis of genetic correlations between variables which 363 highlighted, as expected, a high redundancy among variables. This analysis avoid to select 364 among the variables *a priori* in a relative speculative way. Rather, it led us to select 365 variables in each group of highly correlated traits, with a minimum of correlations between 366 them. In addition, genetic correlations provide information on the other variables which 367 could be predicted from the selected variables (Gallais 1989), when correlation between the 368 variables considered explains a sufficient part of variance. For instance, the high genetic 369 correlations between the mean internode length considered on trunks and many geometrical 370 variables measured on both trunks and LSAS, suggests that this variable should be 371 representative of axis geometry in trees.

372 These criteria allowed us to select relevant traits which were used for partitioning 373 progeny into architectural groups containing trees of relatively similar branching and form. 374 The PAM method was used rather than more classical methods such as hierarchic 375 classifications, because it gives a small number of clusters containing a large number of 376 individuals, and it provides a wealth of statistics to evaluate the clustering stability, and 377 thus choose the more stable partition (Kaufman and Rousseeuw 1990). The partitioning 378 into 6 clusters on the basis of these statistics took account of all tree architecture since both 379 branching and geometrical variables were considered on both trunk and LSAS. Moreover, 380 since variables with fairly elevated heritability values were selected for the partition, more than 75% of genotypes had at least two repetitions in the same cluster. This objective 381 partitioning could be used to test simplified tree phenotyping when screening juvenile trees 382

in a nursery. Such a partitioning could be useful in progenies that lack major genes such as
Co involved in the cross, i.e. when no contrasted phenotypes can be visually identified
down the rows.

386

Which relevance of the proposed variables with respect to further tree development ?

Basic morphological traits in the apple tree, such as basis diameter and length, are usually 387 388 measured to characterize the trunk "vigor". In previous studies, heritability values for trunk 389 basis diameter were close to 0.5 (Durel et al. 1998; Liebhard et al. 2003). Our results seem 390 to underestimate the genetic variability for this variable with an heritability value of 0.12. 391 In the same manner our result seems to underestimate heritability for trunk height since 392 Watkins and Spangelo (1970) showed high additive variance for this trait. This low value 393 may be due to a lack of contrast between the progeny parents for this trait (as previously 394 discussed), or a reduction in total variability because of a rootstock effect. In support of 395 this, the studies conducted by Watkins and Spangelo (1970) and Durel et al. (1998) were carried out with trees on their own roots, and the 'Fiesta' and 'Discovery' parents of the 396 397 progeny studied by (Liebhard et al. 2003) were considered as contrasted for this character. 398 Regarding trunk height, our results were consistent with the study of Liebhard et al. (2003) 399 performed on a progeny grafted on low vigorous rootstock (M27), since we calculated an 400 heritability value of 0.38 for trunk length. However, we selected a more local trait, i.e. 401 mean internode length, to represent trunk geometry as it was considered as the most 402 relevant. Thus, more global descriptors such as shoot length, made up of a combination of 403 both internode length and number of internodes, appears as less convenient for our purpose. 404 Moreover, this suggests that the emergence of new metamers (i.e. the elementary set of 405 organs from which a plant is built (White 1979)) is a process which allows the plant to 406 adapt to its environment and contribute to its architectural plasticity whereas internode 407 lengthening appears as a more stable process. However, internode length is likely to depend

409

on the agronomic context, e.g. rootstock (Seleznyova et al. 2003) and should be further investigated.

Previous studies have considered few variables of axillary shoot morphology. 410 411 Axillary shoot length was used to cluster 'Telamon' x 'Breaburn' progeny (De Wit et al. 412 2002). But this variable is not significantly affected by genotype and consequently had a 413 low heritability value in the present study. As previously discussed for trunk length, this is 414 probably due to the combination of both internode lengthening and the leaf emergence 415 process. An analysis of genetic parameters in the progeny under study showed that the most 416 relevant traits on axillary shoot geometry consisted of conicity and cord bending. These 417 variables had a substantial impact on progeny clustering since they separated 2 clusters 418 containing a fairly low number of trees and characterizing by a quite high separation value. 419 From an agronomic point of view, the flexion of branches is an important factor in fruit tree growth and branching habit since it affects both fruit production and training practices 420 421 (Lauri and Lespinasse 1999). In addition, internode length and shoot conicity along with 422 shoot slenderness have been shown to be the main determinants of shoot bending in apricot 423 tree (Alméras et al. 2004). The lower the conicity, the higher the slenderness and the more 424 the branches bend. Thus conicity and internode length could be used to predict branch 425 propensity to bend, while cord bending could be a descriptor of branch flexion. However, 426 because fructification is of major importance in the acquisition of branch and tree form, 427 tree habit in the adult stage will result from interference between initial branch geometry 428 and branch flexion process (Alméras et al. 2004). Thus, phenotyping trees from the first 429 year of growth could provide information concerning the relative importance of the initial 430 branch geometry and fructification in the variability of adult tree habit.

With regard to the branching process, many variables measured on the trunks
showed heritability values greater than 0.3. These results are consistent with those found in
Telamon x Breaburn 1-year-old apple tree hybrids and with the clustering of this progeny

434 based mainly on sylleptic branching (De Wit et al. 2002). The number of axillary shoots 435 has several advantages in addition to its high heritability value: a significant genetic 436 correlation with all topological variables, and it is easier to measure than other branching 437 variables. At the axillary shoot level, branching at order 3 was also shown to be a relevant 438 descriptor of architectural variability since it had a high heritability value and was poorly 439 correlated with branching on trunks. This variable had a considerable weight in tree partitioning, since it was the only variable involved in the discrimination of the partition in 440 441 two clusters. In fruit trees, the development of sylleptic shoots along the trunk in the early 442 stage of tree development (in nursery), is considered as an advantage for young tree 443 establishment (Wertheim 1978). Plant growth regulators (mostly including a cytokinin 444 effect) are often applied in order to produce feathered trees which have a potential for early 445 cropping (Miller 1988; Elfving and Visser 2005). In addition, the number of sylleptic 446 shoots in young pear cultivars has been shown to be related to the length of the juvenile 447 period (Costes et al. 2004). Since sylleptic shoots mainly develop during early 448 developmental years of tree life (Crabbé 1987), this trait is expected to be a potential early 449 selection criterion provided its correlation with interesting agronomic behavior at adult 450 stage is checked in apple progenies.

Presently, our results are being used to continue investigating the genetic determinants of the architectural traits on older and more complex trees, using a within-tree sampling strategy. Progeny phenotyping in the second year of growth is in the process and for a longer time step, until flowering and fruiting occurrence. These further investigations should provide information on the correlations between the variables selected in the present study, at early stages of tree development, with traits of agronomic interest, measured at adult stage.

458

459 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

460	We are grateful to Y. Guédon for his help in statistics, particularly for partitioning, to G.
461	Garcia for his contribution to field measurements, and to S. Feral for technical assistance in
462	the orchard. This research was funded by INRA. We also acknowledge Mr Mark Jones for
463	improving the English.
464	

464 **REFERENCES**

- 465 Agresti A, Coull BA (1998) Approximate is better than "Exact" for interval estimation of
 466 binomial proportions. Am Stat 52: 119-126
- 467 Alméras T, Costes E, Salles JC (2004) Identification of biomechanical factors involved in
 468 stem shape variability between apricot tree varieties. Ann Bot 93: 455-468
- Barthélémy D, Caraglio Y, Costes E (1997) Architecture, gradients morphogénétiques et
 âge physiologique chez les végétaux. In: Bouchon J, Reffye de P, Barthelemy D
 (eds) Modélisation et simulation de l'architecture des végétaux. INRA, Paris, pp 89136
- 473 Chao CT, Parfitt DE (2003) Genetic analyses of phenological traits of pistachio (*Pistacia*474 *vera* L.). Euphytica 129: 345-349
- 475 Corbeil RR, Searle SR (1976) Restricted maximum likelihood (REML) estimation of
 476 variance components in the mixed model. Technometrics 18: 31-38
- 477 Costes E, Guédon Y (2002) Modelling branching patterns in 1-year-old trunks of six apple
 478 cultivars. Ann Bot 89: 513-524
- 479 Costes E, Belouin A, Brouard L, Le Lezec M (2004) Development of young pear tree
 480 architecture and occurrence of first flowering: a varietal comparison. J Hortic Sci
 481 Biotech 79: 67-74
- 482 Costes E, Sinoquet H, Kelner JJ, Godin C (2003) Exploring within-tree architectural
 483 development of two apple tree cultivars over 6 years. Ann Bot 91: 91-104
- 484 Crabbé J (1987) Aspects particuliers de la morphogenèse caulinaire des végétaux ligneux et
 485 introduction à leur étude quantitative. IRSIA, Bruxelles
- 486 De Wit I, Cook NC, Keulemans J (2004) Characterization of tree architecture in two-year487 old apple seedling populations of different progenies with a common columnar gene
 488 parent. Acta Hort 663: 363-368

489	De Wit I, Keulemans J, Cook NC (2002) Architectural analysis of 1-year-old apple
490	seedlings according to main shoot growth and sylleptic branching characteristics.
491	Trees 16: 473-478
492	Dieters MJ, White TL, Littell RC, Hedge GR (1995) Application of approximate variances
493	of variance-components and their ratios in genetic tests. Theor Appl Genet 91: 15-
494	24
495	Durel CE, Laurens F, Fouillet A, Lespinasse Y (1998) Utilization of pedigree information
496	to estimate genetic parameters from large unbalanced data sets in apple. Theor Appl
497	Genet 96: 1077-1085
498	Edelin C (1991) Nouvelles données sur l'architecture des arbres sympodiaux: le concept de
499	plan d'organisation. In: Edelin C (ed) L'Arbre. Biologie et développement, Naturalia
500	Monspeliensia, Montpellier, pp 127-154
501	Elfving DC, Visser DB (2005) Cyclanilide induces lateral branching in apple trees.
502	HortScience 40: 119-122
503	Falconer DS (1981) Introduction to quantitative genetics. Longman, London
504	Gallais A (1989) Théorie de la sélection en amélioration des plantes. Masson, Paris
505	Godin C, Guédon Y (2003) AMAPmod version 1.8 : Introduction and reference manual.
506	CIRAD, Montpellier
507	Godin C, Costes E, Sinoquet H (1999a) A method for describing plant architecture which
508	integrates topology and geometry. Ann Bot 84: 343-357
509	Godin C, Guédon Y, Costes E (1999b) Exploration of a plant architecture database with the
510	AMAPmod software illustrated on an apple tree hybrid family. Agronomie 19: 163-
511	184
512	Godin C, Guédon Y, Costes E, Caraglio Y (1997) Measuring and analyzing plants with the
513	AMAPmod software. In: Michalewicz M (ed) Advances in computational life
514	science. CSIRO, Melbourne, pp 53-84

515	Hansche PE, Hesse CO, Beres V (1972) Estimates of genetic and environmental effects on
516	several traits in peach. J Am Soc Hort Sci 97: 76-79
517	Hanson WD (1963) Heritability. In: Hanson WD, Robinson HF (eds) Statistical Genetics
518	and Plant Breeding. Nat Acad Sci, Washington, pp 125-163
519	Hardner CM, Winks CW, Stephenson RA, Gallagher EG, McConchie CA (2002) Genetic
520	parameters for yield in macadamia. Euphytica 125: 255-264
521	Hemmat M, Weeden NF, Conner PJ, Brown SK (1997) A DNA marker for columnar
522	growth habit in apple contains a simple sequence repeat. J Am Soc Hort Sci 122:
523	347-349
524	Hill J, Becker HC, Tigerstedt PMA (1998) Quantitative and ecological aspects of plant
525	breeding. ITP, London
526	Hill WG (1971) Design and efficiency of selection experiments for estimating genetic
527	parameters. Biometrics 27: 293-311
528	Jansson G, Jonsson A, Eriksson G (2005) Use of trait combinations for evaluating juvenile-
529	mature relationships in Picea abies (L.). Tree Genetics & Genomes 1: 21-29
530	Kaufman L, Rousseeuw PJ (1990) Finding groups in data, an introduction to cluster
531	analysis. Wiley-Interscience, New York
532	Kenis K, Keulemans J (2004) QTL analysis of growth characteristics in apple. Acta Hort
533	663: 369-374
534	Kim MY, Song KJ, Hwang JH, Shin YU, Lee HJ (2003) Development of RAPD and
535	SCAR markers linked to the Co gene conferring columnar growth habit in apple
536	(Malus pumila Mill.). J Hortic Sci Biotech 78: 512-517
537	Lapins KO (1974) Spur type growth habit in 60 apple progenies. J Am Soc Hort Sci 99:
538	568-572
539	Lapins KO (1976) Inheritance of compact growth type in apple. J Am Soc Hort Sci 101:
540	133-135

541 Laurens F, Audergon J, Claverie J, Duval H, Germain E, Kervella J, Lelezec M, Lauri P, 542 Lespinasse J (2000) Integration of architectural types in French programmes of ligneous fruit species genetic improvement. Fruits 55: 141-152 543 544 Lauri PE, Lespinasse JM (1999) Apple tree training in France: current concepts and 545 practical implications. Fruits 54: 441-449 546 Lauri PE, Terouanne E, Lespinasse JM, Regnard JL, Kelner JJ (1995) Genotypic differences in the axillary bud growth and fruiting pattern of apple fruiting branches 547 548 over several years - an approach to regulation of fruit bearing. Scientia Hort 64: 549 265-281 550 Lespinasse JM (1977) La conduite du pommier : Types de fructification, incidence sur la 551 conduite de l'arbre. INVUFLEC, Paris 552 Lespinasse Y (1992) Breeding apple tree: aims and methods. In: Rousselle-Bourgeois F, Rousselle P (eds) Proceedings of the joint conference of the E.A.P.R breeding and 553 varietal assessment section and the E.U.C.A.R.P.I.A. potato section. I.N.R.A., 554 555 Ploudaniel (France), pp 103-110 556 Liebhard R, Kellerhals M, Pfammatter W, Jertmini M, Gessler C (2003) Mapping quantitative physiological traits in apple (Malus x domestica Borkh.). Plant Mol 557 558 Biol 52: 511-526 559 Miller SS (1988) Plant bioregulators in apple and pear culture. Horticultural Reviews 10: 560 309-401 561 Oraguzie NC, Hofstee ME, Brewer LR, Howard C (2001) Estimation of genetic parameters 562 in a recurrent selection program in apple. Euphytica 118: 29-37 Osorio LF, White TL, Huber DA (2003) Age-age and trait-trait correlations for Eucalyptus 563 564 grandis Hill ex Maiden and their implications for optimal selection age and design 565 of clonal trials. Theor Appl Genet 106: 735-743 566 SAS Institute Inc (2000) SAS user's guide: Statistics. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, N.C.

567	Seleznyova AN, Thorp T, White M, Tustin S, Costes E (2003) Application of architectural
568	analysis and AMAPmod methodology to study dwarfing phenomenon: the branch
569	structure of 'Royal gala' apple grafted on dwarfing and non-dwarfing rootstock
570	combinations. Ann Bot 91: 1-8
571	Souza VAB, Byrne DH, Taylor JF (1998) Heritability, genetic and phenotypic correlations,
572	and predicted selection response of quantitative traits in peach: II. An analysis of
573	several fruit traits. J Am Soc Hort Sci 123: 604-611
574	Tancred SJ, Zeppa AG, Cooper M, Stringer JK (1995) Heritability and patterns of
575	inheritance of the ripening date of apples. HortScience 30: 325-328
576	Watkins R, Spangelo LPS (1970) Components of genetic variance for plant survival and
577	vigor of apple trees. Theor Appl Genet 40: 195-203
578	Wertheim SJ (1978) Manual and chemical induction of side-shoot formation in apple trees
579	in the nursery. Scientia Hort 9: 337-345
580	White J (1979) The plant as a metapopulation. Ann Rev Ecol Syst 10: 109-145
581	Yao Q, Mehlenbacher SA (2000) Heritability, variance components and correlation of
582	morphological and phenological traits in hazelnut. Plant Breed 119: 369-381
583	

583 TABLES

Table 1. List of quantitative variables classified whether they are related to tree geometry
or topology, corresponding abbreviates and within-tree positions of the measurements
(trunks and long sylleptic axillary shoots – LSAS). Formula are detailed for calculated
variables.

Variable		Formula	Trunks	LSAS
Geometry				
Length (mm)	L	Summed on growth units	Х	Х
Mean internode length (mm)	IN_L	L / IN_N	Х	Х
Length of the longest internode (mm)	IN_L_max		Х	Х
Basis diameter (mm)	B_Dia		Х	Х
Top diameter (mm)	T_Dia		Х	Х
Mean diameter (mm)	M_Dia	(B_Dia + T_Dia) / 2	Х	Х
Slenderness	Slend	L / M_Dia	Х	Х
Conicity	Coni	(B_Dia – T_Dia) / L	Х	Х
Axis volume (cm ³)	Vol	L . $(B_area^a + T_area^a) / 2$	Х	Х
Cord (mm)	Cord			Х
Basis angle (° from horizontal)	B_Ang			Х
Top angle (° from horizontal)	T_Ang			Х
Angular bending (°)	Ang_Bend	$ B_Ang - T_Ang $		Х
Cord bending	Cord_Bend	1 – (Cord / L)		Х
Topology				
Growth				
Number of internodes	IN_N		Х	Х
Branching				
Number of axillary shoots	Nb_AS		Х	Х
Number of long shoots	Nb_L		Х	Х
Number of brindles	Nb_B		Х	Х
Number of spurs	Nb_S		Х	Х
Percentage of branching nodes	%AS	Nb_AS / IN_N	Х	Х
Branching by length unit	Nb_AS/L	Nb_AS/ L	Х	Х
Branching zone	Br_Z	$(Last_AS^b - First_AS^b) + 1$	Х	Х
Branching density	Br_D	Nb_Ax / Zone_ramif	Х	Х

- 588 ^a B_area = π (B_Dia / 2)², T_area = π (T_Dia / 2)²
- ^b First_AS = rank from the basis of the first branching node, Last_AS = rank from the basis
- 590 of the last branching node
- 591

591	Table 2. Individual broad sense heritability values (h ² b) with confidence interval (CI)
592	indicated into brackets for variables considered on both trunks and long sylleptic axillary
593	shoots (LSAS; for variable abbreviates see Table 1).

Variables	Trunks	LSAS
variables .	h ² _b CI (95 %)	h ² _b CI (95 %)
Geometry		
L	0.38 [0.21, 0.56]	0.09 [0, 0.30]
IN_L	0.30 [0.12, 0.48]	0.49 [0.30, 0.68]
IN_L_max	0.24 [0.06, 0.42]	0.57 [0.41,0.74]
B_Dia	0.10 [0, 0.28]	0.12 [0,0.31]
T_Dia	0.14 [0, 0.32]	0.24 [0.04, 0.44]
M_Dia	0.10 [0, 0.27]	0.14 [0, 0.33]
Slend	0.29 [0.11, 0.48]	0.28 [0.06, 0.49]
Coni	0.19 [0.01, 0.37]	0.40 [0.21, 0.59]
Vol	0.16 [0, 0.34]	0.12 [0, 0.32]
Cord		0.04 [0, 0.25]
B_Ang		0.16 [0, 0.38]
T_Ang		0.21 [0, 0.42]
Ang_Bend		0.27 [0.07, 0.47]
Cord_Bend		0.30 [0.08, 0.52]
Topology		
Growth		
IN_N	0.17 [0, 0.35]	0.18 [0, 0.40]
Branching		
Nb_AS	0.41 [0.23, 0.58]	0.54 [0.33, 0.74]
Nb_L	0.06 [0, 0.23]	0.08 [0, 0.25]
Nb_B	0.34 [0.16, 0.51]	0.30 [0.10, 0.50]
Nb_S	0.46 [0.29, 0.63]	0.56 [0.33, 0.78]
%AS	0.33 [0.15, 0.51]	0.49 [0.28, 0.71]
Nb_AS/L	0.43 [0.26, 0.61]	0.51 [0.31, 0.71]
Br_Z	0.26 [0.07, 0.44]	0.35 [0.15, 0.54]
Br_D	0 [0, 0.11]	0.17 [0, 0.38]

Table 3. Variance decomposition for variables measured on long sylleptic axillary shoots595(LSAS): estimates of genotypic, within-tree, residual and total variances (for variable596abbreviates see Table 1). Significance of the corresponding factors are indicated as follows:597ns – non significant, * – significant (0.01 \le 0.05), ** – highly significant (p \le 0.01).

Variables			Vari	ianc	es	
vulluolos .	Genotypic Within-tree				Residual	Total
Geometry						
L	1769.12	ns	14914.95	**	12809.53	29493.60
IN_L	1.73	**	1.04	**	1.83	4.61
IN_L_max	4.56	**	2.02	**	5.42	12.00
B_Dia	0.23	ns	1.08	**	1.24	2.55
T_Dia	4.26E-02	*	8.53E-02	**	0.10	0.23
M_Dia	0.10	ns	0.40	**	0.39	0.90
Slend	128.35	*	251.36	**	261.84	641.55
Coni	8.32E-07	**	8.43E-07	**	1.45E-06	3.12E-06
Vol	4.75	ns	23.89	**	19.54	48.18
Cord	660.27	ns	11347.07	**	9715.28	21722.63
B_Ang	23.29	ns	25.24	*	139.40	187.92
T_Ang	53.06	ns	81.70	*	368.99	503.75
Ang_Bend	82.09	*	120.89	**	359.22	562.20
Cord_Bend	6.79E-04	*	5.40E-04	*	2.10E-03	3.32E-03
Topology						
Growth						
IN_N	15.93	ns	60.12	**	64.81	140.86
Branching						
Nb_AS	1.46	**	0.38	ns	2.68	4.52
Nb_L	2.23E-03	ns	2.24E-03	ns	6.45E-02	6.90E-02
Nb_B	4.02E-02	**	5.53E-03	ns	0.26	0.31
Nb_S	1.27	**	0.33	*	1.75	3.35
%AS	4.91E-04	**	2.00E-04	*	9.59E-04	1.65E-03
Nb_AS/L	3.74E-06	**	1.26E-06	*	7.79E-06	1.28E-05
Br_Z	4.56	*	1.58	ns	32.95	39.09
Br_D	1.49E-02	ns	3.08E-02	*	0.15	0.19

Table 4. Genetic correlations between variables selected on the basis of their broad sense heritability value, measured on trunks and long sylleptic600axillary shoots (LSAS; for variable abbreviates see Table 1). Significant correlations ($p \le 0.05$) are in bold. Genetic correlations higher than601phenotypic correlations are in italics.

	Trunk Variables						LSAS Variables											
		L	IN_L	IN_L _max	Slend	Nb_ AS	Nb_S	Nb_ AS/L	IN_L	IN_L _max	Slend	Coni	Ang_ Bend	Cord_ Bend	Nb_A S	Nb_S	%AS	Nb_ AS/L
	L	1																
s	IN_L	0.84	1															
able	IN_L_max	0.63	0.66	1														
Vari	Slend	0.72	0.58	0.40	1													
Trunk	Nb_AS	-0.17	-0.21	-0.24	-0.41	1												
	Nb_S	-0.11	-0.20	-0.19	-0.21	0.85	1											
	Nb_AS/L	-0.41	-0.40	-0.40	-0.55	0.95	0.80	1										
	IN_L	0.55	0.66	0.60	0.42	-0.45	-0.38	-0.55	1									
	IN_L_max	0.48	0.55	0.60	0.36	-0.49	-0.43	-0.60	0.83	1								
	Slend	0.32	0.11	0.16	0.22	0.01	-0.11	-0.11	0.20	0.26	1							
oles	Coni	-0.23	-0.08	-0.19	-0.37	0.09	0.15	0.17	-0.13	-0.15	-0.70	1						
ariat	Ang_Bend	0.07	0.03	-0.07	-0.15	-0.05	-0.12	-0.09	0.24	0.15	0.40	0.01	1					
S V	Cord_Bend	0.07	-0.11	-0.17	0.02	-0.03	-0.06	-0.04	-0.01	-0.01	0.41	-0.14	0.68	1				
LSA	Nb_AS	-0.28	-0.40	-0.26	-0.25	0.20	0.22	0.22	-0.05	0.12	0.26	-0.02	0.19	0.14	1			
	Nb_S	-0.29	-0.40	-0.28	-0.23	0.20	0.23	0.21	-0.06	0.12	0.23	-0.05	0.14	0.08	0.98	1		
	%AS	-0.32	-0.42	-0.28	-0.27	0.23	0.24	0.26	-0.05	0.09	0.21	0.01	0.15	0.09	0.99	0.97	1	
	Nb_AS/L	-0.33	-0.43	-0.30	-0.28	0.25	0.26	0.29	-0.09	0.05	0.21	0.02	0.13	0.09	0.99	0.96	1.00	1

Table 5. Characterization of partition P_6 composed of 6 clusters: number of trees by603cluster (N), diameter, separate and mean value for each selected variable measured on604trunks and long sylleptic axillary shoots (LSAS; for variable abbreviates see Table 1).605Diameter = $d(within)_{max}$, separate = $d(between)_{min}$; a, b, c, d = discrimination of the606clusters according to the Newman-Keuls test (p ≤ 0.05).

Clusters		1	2	3	4	5	6
N		5	7	22	39	34	42
diameter		2.73	3.24	3.22	2.78	2.94	3.06
separate		1.34	0.68	0.44	0.18	0.38	0.18
Truples	IN_L	13.63 (b)	14.03 (b)	15.00 (b)	14.41 (b)	16.82 (c)	12.14 (a)
Trunks	Nb_AS	16.80 (c)	10.14 (a, b)	11.95 (b)	21.82 (d)	9.38 (a, b)	6.02 (a)
	Coni	0.0086 (a)	0.0079 (a)	0.0108 (b)	0.0084 (a)	0.0079 (a)	0.0081 (a)
LSAS	Cord_bend	0.09 (a)	0.24 (b)	0.07 (a)	0.10 (a)	0.09 (a)	0.08 (a)
	Nb_AS	6.82 (b)	1.10 (a)	0.48 (a)	1.05 (a)	0.48 (a)	0.83 (a)

607 **FIGURES CAPTION**

608 Figure 1. Schematic representation of a tree with 2 growth units (GU) on the trunk and 3 609 sylleptic branching orders. Branching orders are 1 for the trunk, 2 for the branches born 610 on the trunk and so on; long sylleptic axillary shoots (LSAS). Three geometrical variables measured on the LSAS are illustrated. 611

612 Figure 2. Individual broad sense heritability value variation with the number of long 613 axillary sylleptic shoots (LSAS) described, for a selection of variables: Nb AS (•), Coni

614 (\circ), Cord Bend ($\mathbf{\nabla}$), Nb B (Δ), Br D ($\mathbf{\Box}$), T Ang (\Box) (for variable abbreviates see Table 1).

615

616 Figure 3. Schematic representation of progeny partition into six clusters with a typical tree representing each cluster. Variables involved in the definition of each cluster are 617 mentioned above the graph with the variation direction indicated by + versus -, and the 618 619 number of trees per cluster are mentioned below the graph (for variable abbreviates see Table 1; tr or as were added to abbreviates whether the variable was measured on 620 621 trunks or long sylleptic axillary shoots).





