

Effect of gaseous cement industry effluents on four species of microalgae

Amélie Talec, Myrvline Philistin, Frédérique Ferey, Günther Walenta, Jean-Olivier Irisson, Olivier Bernard, Antoine Sciandra

► **To cite this version:**

Amélie Talec, Myrvline Philistin, Frédérique Ferey, Günther Walenta, Jean-Olivier Irisson, et al.. Effect of gaseous cement industry effluents on four species of microalgae. *Bioresource Technology*, Elsevier, 2013, 143, pp.353 - 359. <10.1016/j.biortech.2013.05.104>. <hal-00852260>

HAL Id: hal-00852260

<https://hal.inria.fr/hal-00852260>

Submitted on 22 Aug 2013

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Effect of gaseous cement industry effluents on four species of microalgae

Amélie Talec ^{a, b}, Myrville Philistin ^{a, b}, Frédérique Ferey ^c, Günther Walenta ^c, Jean-Olivier Irisson ^{a, b}, Olivier Bernard ^d, Antoine Sciandra ^{a, b*}

a. CNRS, UMR 7093, LOV, Observatoire Océanologique, F-06234 Villefranche-sur-Mer, France

b. UPMC Université Paris 06, UMR 7093, LOV, Observatoire Océanologique, F-06234 Villefranche-sur-Mer, France

c. Lafarge Centre de Recherche, F-38291 Saint-Quentin Fallavier cedex, France

d. INRIA, BIOCORE, F-06902 Sophia Antipolis, France

Abstract: Experiments were performed at lab scale in order to test the possibility to grow microalgae with CO₂ from gaseous effluent of cement industry. Four microalgal species (*Dunaliella tertiolecta*, *Chlorella vulgaris*, *Thalassiosira weissflogii*, and *Isochrysis galbana*), representing four different phyla were grown with CO₂ enriched air or with a mixture of gases mimicking the composition of a typical Cement Flue Gas (CFG). In a second stage, the culture submitted to the CFG received an increasing concentration of dust characteristic of cement industry. Results show that growth for the four species is not affected by the CFG. Dust was added at realistic concentrations do not have any impact on growth. For dust concentrations in two ranges of magnitude higher, microalgae growth was inhibited.

Keywords: biodiesel, microalgae, CO₂ mitigation, industrial CO₂, toxicity

* Corresponding Author. email address: sciandra@obs-vlfr.fr, tel: +33 493 76 38 19, fax: +33 493 76 38 34

1. Introduction

Marine and freshwater photosynthetic microalgae have the ability to assimilate inorganic dissolved carbon into organic matter. These processes represent massive fluxes on earth where one third of the atmospheric CO₂ emitted by human activities is absorbed by phytoplankton (Sabine et al., 2004). The idea to reproduce and intensify this natural process to mitigate industrial emissions of CO₂ is more and more considered, with potential biomass valorization through lipid or carbohydrate storage (Abd El Baky et al., 2012). As phytoplankton is diluted in natural biotopes, the dissolved inorganic carbon generally does not limit primary production, which is mostly controlled by photon and nutrient fluxes. This is not the case for intensive microalgae cultivation devices such as high rate ponds or photobioreactors, where the high biomass concentrations require the supply of large amounts of inorganic carbon, as gaseous CO₂ or bicarbonate.

The overall objective of this study is to evaluate the feasibility of using cement-plant flue gas as a source of CO₂ for microalgae cultivation. The cement process can be represented as a counter-current flow of matter and gas: the raw materials enter the process at ambient temperature and are continuously heated by the counter-current flow of hot gases produced by the burner at the end of the furnace. The gases then pass through filters before being exhaust at the chimney around 120°C. The dust collected at this stage proceeds from the whole process, and is composed of both un-reacted and partially reacted raw matter, clinker and dust of combustion. It follows that the Cement Flue Gas (CFG) comprises two gaseous and solid components, also known as cement kiln dust. Potentially toxic compounds such as carbon monoxide, nitrogen and sulfur oxides are often present in the gaseous part of the CFG. It is therefore necessary to

1 evaluate their effects on algal physiology, and the corresponding tolerance threshold for
2
3 phytoplankton. Carbon monoxide, at 3 ppm, was proven to be without effect on
4
5 microalgae (Doucha et al., 2005). Moderate SO_x and NO_x contents (a few tens of ppm)
6
7 can be tolerated by microalgae (Brown, 1996; Lee et al., 2000, 2002). But higher
8
9 concentrations have been shown to have moderate (Negoro et al., 1993) to strong
10
11 inhibiting effects (Yanagi et al., 1995) on microalgae production, depending on culture
12
13 conditions and species. The causes of toxicity are not always easy to highlight, as these
14
15 molecules can act directly on the organism physiology, or indirectly by altering the
16
17 properties of their medium. For example, the deleterious effects of SO_x (Matsumoto et
18
19 al., 1997) and NO_x (Jin et al., 2005; Lee et al., 2002) can be significantly attenuated if
20
21 the pH of the media is regulated within physiological acceptable ranges. Besides the gas
22
23 itself, dust can contain potentially detrimental compounds, such as soot (Matsumoto et
24
25 al., 1997) or trace metals (Borkenstein et al., 2011).

26
27
28
29
30
31
32 This study is aiming at evaluating the effects of CFG, including dust, on both the
33
34 growing potential and biochemical composition of photosynthetic microorganisms. A
35
36 fully controlled culturing device was used to regulate the gaseous flow rate in the
37
38 culture, through pH adjustment (Sciandra et al., 2003). Four phytoplankton species were
39
40 used for the experiments: *Dunaliella tertiolecta*, *Chlorella vulgaris*, *Thalassiosira*
41
42 *weissflogii*, and *Isochrysis galbana*, in order to assess the CFG impact on different
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

2. Material and Methods

2.1 Culture devices

Four autotrophic microalgae were selected (Table 1). The choice was driven first by the desire of using a panel of species belonging to: 1) different phyla, 2) different biotopes (seawater vs. fresh water), 3) different industrial applications, and second, by the suitability of these species to be grown properly in photobioreactors within long term experiments. The culture vessels consisted of water-jacketed 2-liter cylinders connected to a circulating water bath that maintain constant temperature of $25\pm 0.1^{\circ}\text{C}$. Plexiglas lid, fitted with a toric seal, insured a hermetic closure of bioreactors. Glass tubes, passing through the lid, allow exchanges of fluids and gas, and sampling for analysis. Cultures were subject to continuous gentle stirring and bubbling with sterile-filtered air, which passed through a $0.2\text{-}\mu\text{m}$ Whatman filter and activated charcoal. The entire culture system and its analytical resources are detailed in Sciandra et al. (2000) and Stramski et al. (2002). For marine species, the growth medium was prepared using $0.2\text{-}\mu\text{m}$ Millipore filtered and autoclaved (110°C for 20min) seawater and nutrient enrichment according to f/2 formulation (Guillard and Ryther, 1962). For freshwater species, the culture medium was prepared from autoclaved (110°C for 20min) demineralized water, enriched with BG11 broth, trace metals, and vitamins according to Rippka et al. (1979). After cooling and sterile addition of nutrients, the medium was transferred to the culture vessels through a $0.22\text{-}\mu\text{m}$ sterile filter (Sartobran-300, Sartorius Stedim), using a peristaltic pump (Gilson 3). Flow rate was regularly controlled by weighing the inflowing medium. The cultures were grown under continuous illumination from two clusters of neon tubes, which provide a high output of radiations in the whole visible spectra (Bruyant et al., 2001). Photosynthetically active

1 radiation (PAR) was measured with a quantum scalar irradiance meter (QSL-100,
2
3 Biospherical Instruments) by immersing the spherical collector in the cultures.
4

5
6 Measurements of PAR before and after additions of dust solution into the cultures (see
7
8 below) showed that light intensity and presumably light spectrum were not modified by
9
10 these additions. Inorganic carbon was provided to the cultures by controlled injections
11
12 of CO₂, using a pH-stat approach (Sciandra et al., 2003). When the uptake of Dissolved
13
14 Inorganic Carbon (DIC) leads the pH to exceed a fixed value, pure CO₂ was bubbled
15
16 into the chemostats to lower the pH, using solenoid valves. The pH was measured at
17
18 0.5s intervals using electrodes (Fisherbrand, gelled electrolyte) regularly calibrated.
19
20
21 This regulation system enabled to keep a constant pH during the whole experiment. For
22
23 the marine species, pH was maintained at 8.0±0.02, for which the DIC concentration is
24
25 not limiting for photosynthesis. For the freshwater species, pH was maintained at
26
27 7.5±0.04 as advised for BG-11 growth medium preparation (Rippka et al., 1979).
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 2.2 Culture and biochemical analyses

36
37 The mean diameter, number and total biovolume of microalgae were estimated from
38
39 their size distribution measured daily with two particle counters (Hiac Royco - Pacific
40
41 Scientific and Multisizer III - Beckman). All biochemical analyses were made in
42
43 triplicates. For each replicate, 5 ml of culture were filtered onto glass fiber filters
44
45 (Whatman GF/C, porosity 1.2µm) previously burned 4 hours at 450°C. All samples
46
47 were then stored at -20°C until analyses, except the filtered samples for elemental C and
48
49 N analyses that were dried at 60°C. Particulate organic carbon (POC) and nitrogen
50
51 (PON) were analyzed with a Perkin-Elmer CHN analyzer. Chlorophyll, extracted with a
52
53 mix of 90% acetone / dimethyl sulfoxide in the proportion 3:2 (v/v) (Shoaf and Lium,
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1976) was measured with a Turner 10-AU fluorimeter (exc. 436nm; em. 680nm), following Welschmeyer (1994). Proteins were extracted and solubilized by filter sonication in a detergent buffer. The bulk proteins were measured from the absorbance measured at 750 nm with a spectrophotometer (Perkin-Elmer UV/VIS Lambda2), after a colorimetric reaction derived from Lowry et al. (1951), using the “Bio-Rad” detection kit (DC Protein Assay Kit II, ref 500-0112-MSDS). As the algae proteins do not give exactly the same signal intensity as the standard (protein BSA bovine serum albumin), data are given in BSA equivalents. Carbohydrates were measured using the colorimetric method from Sun et al. (1984). After a treatment with phenol and sulfuric acid, samples were heated at 100°C, and absorbance measured between 480nm and 490nm (Perkin-Elmer UV/VIS Lambda2).

2.3 Experimental design

To compare the respective effects of gas and dust on the species *Dunaliella tertiolecta*, *Chlorella vulgaris*, *Thalassiosira weissflogii*, and *Isochrysis galbana*, four separate experiments with two parallel cultures were carried out. For each experiment, the control culture (C1) was submitted to standard, optimal growing conditions, whereas the test culture (C2) was subsequently submitted to three treatments: 1) the same conditions as in the control culture C1, 2) bubbled with CFG, and 3) provided with cement industry dust.

The growth rate μ (d^{-1}) for a variable x in a culture renewed with the dilution rate D (d^{-1}) can be estimated from measurements x_1 and x_2 made at times t_1 and t_2 respectively:

$$\mu = D + \frac{\ln(x_2/x_1)}{t_2 - t_1}$$

1 The cultures were systematically inoculated at low cell concentration within batch mode
2
3 (no culture renewal) to verify that the maximum division rates were in agreement with
4
5 the values of the literature. It thus validated the optimality of the culture setups for each
6
7 species (culturing system, axenicity, medium, temperature and light conditions). Once
8
9 the algal culture reached a sufficient level of biomass, the dilution was started with a
10
11 rate equal to the maximum previously measured growth rate. Using this turbidostat
12
13 mode, cultures were continuously renewed with fresh medium and maintained at steady
14
15 state within non-limited growth conditions. During this stabilization phase, the dilution
16
17 rate could be slightly adjusted to compensate any variations of the culture level.
18
19
20
21

22 Gas test

23
24
25 Once the cultures were stabilized, the pure CO₂ injected into the C2 culture was
26
27 replaced by a mixture composed with the major gases found in the emissions of a plant
28
29 chosen for this study and stored in the same gas cylinder: N₂ (732 943 ppm), CO₂ (167
30
31 772 ppm), NO (677 ppm), CO (568 ppm) and SO₂ (41 ppm). Oxygen (98 000 ppm) was
32
33 brought independently. C1 and C2 cultures were sampled for biochemical analyses at
34
35 the end of the stabilization phase, and after the gas treatment.
36
37
38
39

40 Dust test

41
42 The concentration of dust in the gas flowing out of the factory's chimney is 30 mg/Nm³.
43
44 Considering the parameters of a classical production pond (1kg/m³ of biomass, uptake
45
46 of 1.8kg of CO₂ per kg of biomass, half of the bubbled CO₂ being lost), assuming no
47
48 dust storage within the pond (i.e. the same dilution rate than for the liquid phase), the
49
50 final concentration of dust at equilibrium in the pond is 0.33mg/L.
51
52
53

54 The first experiment with the dust was carried out on the species *Dunaliella tertiolecta*.

55
56
57 Once stabilized with the standard medium, the culture was successively fed with a
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 series of two media previously incubated with increasing concentrations of dust, and
2
3 filtered. The two concentrations chosen for the tests were 20 and 40 times the estimated
4
5 real concentration, more precisely 6.8mg/L and 13.6mg/L.
6
7

8 The second experiment was performed with much higher concentrations of dust. Dust
9
10 addition was carried out in the cultures where CFG was tested, after a period where only
11
12 the artificial gas without dust was bubbled. After a first period with only gas injection,
13
14 100 mL of medium previously incubated with dust (11 g/L) and filtered, were added. It
15
16 results in a final concentration of 680mg/L, two thousand times higher than the
17
18 expected concentration in a real installation. This operation was repeated for 5 days.
19
20
21 During this phase, the control culture C1 received the same volume of growth medium.
22
23
24 C1 and C2 cultures were sampled for biochemical analyses at the end of the dust
25
26
27 treatment.
28
29

30 Dust analysis

31
32 The X-Ray Diffraction analysis revealed the presence of four unreacted raw materials in
33
34 the dust: Calcite CaCO_3 , Quartz SiO_2 , Kaolinite $\text{Al}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_4$ and Muscovite

35
36 $(\text{K}_{0.82}\text{Na}_{0.18})(\text{Fe}_{0.03}\text{Al}_{1.97})(\text{AlSi}_3)\text{O}_{10}(\text{OH})_2$. Four media, prepared without dust and with
37
38 increasing dust incubations (6.8mg/L, 68mg/L and 680mg/L), were analyzed by atomic
39
40 emission spectrometry ICP – AES (Varian 720-ES). 5% of hydrochloric acid was added
41
42 in the liquid sample before the measurement. The quantitative analyses were obtained
43
44 from a standard curve. Standards were measured every 10 samples to control the
45
46 stability of the instrument. To validate the data, the error measured for the standards run
47
48 must stay below 5% of relative error compared to theoretical values. Table 2 shows that
49
50 among the detected elements, some increased with the dust concentration (SiO_2 and
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Al₂O₃), whereas others appeared with the dust addition but remained constant (Cu, Mn,
2
3 Mo and Se).
4
5
6
7

8 2.4 Statistical analysis of biochemical data set 9

10 Cell contents of nitrogen, chlorophyll a, proteins and carbohydrates were normalized by
11 cell carbon to make the comparison between treatments independent from culture
12 biomass. It follows that the result interpretation involves statistical analyses of ratios.
13 Because the different compounds were measured on independent triplicate samples, it is
14 not possible to calculate one single ratio by averaging the ratios of the three replicate.
15 Instead, by considering that measurement errors were normally distributed, the mean
16 and standard deviation of each compound concentration were computed, and the
17 parameters of the probability density distribution of the ratio of two normal random
18 variables were estimated (Hinkley, 1969). Assuming additionally that the normal
19 distribution of each compound concentration has a nearly vanishing density at zero, the
20 density of the ratio is:
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

$$37 \delta_r(x) = \frac{\sigma_N^2 \mu_C + \sigma_C^2 \mu_N x}{\sqrt{2\pi}(\sigma_N^2 + \sigma_C^2 x^2)^{3/2}} \exp\left(\frac{-(\mu_N - \mu_C x)^2}{2(\sigma_N^2 + \sigma_C^2 x^2)}\right)$$

41 where σ_N^2 and μ_N are the variance and mean of the numerator, respectively, and σ_C^2
42 and μ_C the variance and mean of the denominator (carbon here, hence the C index). The
43 mean and variance of the ratios were estimated by computing the usual integrals of a
44 probability density function.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

52 Whereas the ratios measured during each of the 3 phases of the experiments
53 (stabilization, gas and dust treatments) could not be compared directly, the difference of
54 ratios between the control culture C1 and test culture C2 could be. Monte Carlo
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 simulations of the error concentration measurements shows that the differences of the
2 ratios were normally distributed and could be therefore compared with a t-test, using
3 Welch's approximation for the degrees of freedom to account for possibly unequal
4 variances. The mean, variance, and sample size of each difference were computed from
5 the mean, variance, and sample sizes of the ratios with $E(X - Y) = E(X) - E(Y)$,
6 $\sigma^2(X - Y) = \sigma^2(X) + \sigma^2(Y)$, $n_{X-Y} = n_X + n_Y - 2$ (Zar, 1999). All computations were
7 done with the R software (R Development Core Team, 2011).
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 **3. Results and discussion**

23 3.1 Effect of CFG on growth rate and biochemical composition of microalgae

24
25 The experiments showed that the CFG had noticeable effects neither on the growth rate,
26 nor on the biochemical composition of the four algal species tested in this study. One
27 example is given here, for the diatom *Thalassiosira weissflogii* (similar observations
28 were made for the three other species). Figure 1A displays that algal biomass increased
29 rapidly in both the control (C1) and test (C2) cultures, insuring that suitable growing
30 conditions were met for this species. On day 4, cell density expectedly started to
31 decrease as the dilution was switched on, but the growth rate remained unchanged
32 (turbidostat mode). After 1 week of CFG bubbling, the biomass levels were close in the
33 C1 and C2 cultures. Note that during the CFG treatment, the rate of variation of
34 *Thalassiosira weissflogii* was even slightly greater in C2 than in C1. Figure 2 also
35 shows that using CFG did not generate noticeable changes in the biochemical
36 composition of *T. Weissflogii*: nitrogen, protein or carbohydrate contents in the test
37 culture remained similar to those in the control culture fed with pure CO₂ (Fig. 2A, C,
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 D), and the differences observed during the stabilization phase for the chlorophyll a
2
3 content (Fig. 2B) are not modified after the gas test phase. Table 3 illustrates that the
4
5 same conclusion applies to the other tested species. This comparison of the two cultures
6
7 after the stabilization phase and after the CFG treatment, for the four species,
8
9 demonstrates that the gas does not have any significant impact (Table 3).
10
11
12
13
14
15

16 3.2 Effect of cement dust on growth rate and biochemical composition of microalgae

17
18 The first experiment with the dust was carried out with concentrations 20 times and 40
19
20 times higher than the nominal dust concentration in a standard installation. Figure 1B
21
22 shows that those concentrations (6.8mg/L and 13.6mg/L) had no harmful effect on
23
24 growth rate. Indeed, no modification of the growth rate was noticed for *Dunaliella*
25
26 *tertiolecta*: it was measured at $0.50 \pm 0.06 \text{ d}^{-1}$ during the stabilization phase, at $0.47 \pm$
27
28 0.02 d^{-1} when grown with 6.8mg/L of dust and $0.49 \pm 0.06 \text{ d}^{-1}$ with 13.6mg/L of dust.
29
30 Since there was no noticeable response to dust addition, a dust shock was applied in a
31
32 second experiment. The dust concentration was set to two thousand times the one
33
34 expected in a CFG treating pond. As shown by the arrow II in Figure 1A, the addition of
35
36 concentrated dust extracts induced an immediate decrease of the biomass in the culture.
37
38 And this has been observed for the four cultivated microalgae: the growth rate
39
40 decreased the day after the first injection, except for *Isochrysis galbana*, for which it
41
42 took 3 days before any effect appeared. Nevertheless, this species showed the strongest
43
44 reaction to the dust: its growth rate became even negative, reaching -1.2d^{-1} , indicating
45
46 that the algae not only stopped dividing but were also dying. It is worth noting that this
47
48 toxic effect was observed with dust concentrations 2000 times higher (i.e. 680mg/L)
49
50 than the expected concentration in a real installation.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 The same biochemical analyses than for the CFG treatment were carried out on the
2
3 cultures contaminated with 680 mg/L of dust. Table 3 shows that the introduction of
4
5 concentrated dust extracts in C2 caused a significant difference on the set of measured
6
7 ratios. Figure 3 details these effects for *Thalassiosira weissflogii*: the N/C ratio and the
8
9 protein content both increased (Fig. 3A, C) whereas the chlorophyll as well as the
10
11 carbohydrates decreased (fig 3B, D).
12

13
14 Table 3 shows the same variations for almost all the analyzed compounds of the four
15
16 species. The N/C changes were consistent with the proteins/C changes, as this result
17
18 supports the fact that a large part of the cellular N is devoted to protein synthesis. The
19
20 raise in nitrogen and protein content observed after the dust shock reveals that the
21
22 cellular machinery drives even more of the nitrogen pool toward protein synthesis,
23
24 including probably repairing or detoxification proteins, to the detriment of pigments
25
26 synthesis as shown by the decrease of the chlorophyll cell content. Similarly,
27
28 chlorophyll and carbohydrates ratios show parallel reductions in the culture after the
29
30 injection of the dust extracts. At constant temperature and constant light, the chlorophyll
31
32 cell content is the main regulator of the photosynthetic activity. This study shows that
33
34 this regulation has a double impact on carbohydrates, as the primary product of the
35
36 photosynthesis but also as an energy source supply. Thus, in case of deficient
37
38 photosynthesis, the carbohydrates are produced with a poorer yield and rapidly breathed
39
40 and degraded to compensate for the insufficient energetic provision of the cellular
41
42 metabolic machinery. It has to be noted that the decrease of the pigment synthesis was
43
44 visible during the terminal phase of the dust-treated cultures, because the microalgae
45
46 bleached.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

3.3 Dust analysis

As shown in Figure 1B, realistic dust concentrations in the medium have no impact on the cell growth. But when the dust concentration rises, some toxic effect appears and can even be lethal (Figure 1A), which means that the cement dust probably contains at least one compound which becomes inhibiting for growth.

Table 2 shows that among the compounds detected in the culture medium, the concentration of six of them increased with the introduction of dust in the water. As the toxic effect is only seen with high dust content, inhibition is probably due to some elements, which concentration increase with the dust concentration. Both compounds Al_2O_3 and SiO_2 , have been reported to inhibit growth: aluminum oxide has shown a lethal effect on nematodes (Wang et al., 2009) and on bacteria (Jiang et al., 2009), and silicon dioxide has shown toxicity towards bacteria and *Daphnia* (Jiang et al., 2009). In this study, Al_2O_3 , SiO_2 , and/or possibly an undetected compound are responsible, if highly concentrated, of a metabolic disturbance of all the tested species of microalgae. This metabolic disturbance, shown by a modified intracellular N/C ratio, leads to a growth stop that may be related to the strong decrease of Chl a. This may indicate a drastic reduction of the photosynthetic activity in the cultures. It is likely that this blocking of synthesis is not limited to the photosynthetic apparatus but also concerns a large part of the cellular material. Thus, the loss of pigmentation seen on the algae is probably one of the physiologic consequences of the poisoning with the dust extract, among many others, altogether driving to the cell division stop.

3.3 Potential temperature effect of CFG from a real plant

Finally, only the chemical impact of CFG was tested through the effect of the considered compounds in the gas or in the dust. However, using industrial CFG will also lead to the injection of a hot gas in the microalgal culture medium. As a consequence, an increase of temperature will be expected in the bioreactor. As shown in Bernard and Rémond, (2012), such temperature raise can benefit to the microalgae during cold period, where temperature can reduce growth, but it may have deleterious effects during the warm seasons, where inhibitory or even lethal temperatures could be reached. Adaptation mechanisms in industrial plants fed with hot CFG may however lead to the selection and development of strains which are tolerant to higher temperatures (Ras et al. 2013).

4. Conclusions

This study shows that both the outflow gas and the dust produced by a cement plant are tolerated by four species of microalgae, even though the dust can release compounds inhibiting the microalgae production at concentrations much higher than the ones that should be reached in a real case.

However, these results have to be validated by experiments carried out with the real CFG including dust emitted by a cement industry plant. Such a study would also highlight a possible effect due to a temporal variability of the gas composition or of its dust content.

1 **Acknowledgments**
2
3

4 We thank Bruno Sialve for his technical help in growing the *Chlorella vulgaris* species
5
6 and for constructive discussions.
7
8
9

10
11 **References**
12

- 13
14 1. Abd El Baky, H.H., El-Baroty, G.S., Bouaid, A., Martinez, M., Aracil, J., 2012.
15 Enhancement of lipid accumulation in *Scenedesmus obliquus* by optimizing CO₂
16 and Fe₃⁺ levels for biodiesel production. *Bioresour. Technol.* 119, 429–432.
17
18 2. Ashraf, M., Ullah, S., Rashid, T., Ayub, M., Bhatti, E.M., Naqvi, S.A., Javaid, M.,
19 2010. Optimization of indoor production of fresh water rotifer (*Brachionus*
20 *calyciflorus*): A Preliminary Study. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* 12, 719–723.
21
22 3. Bernard, O., Rémond, B., 2012. Validation of a simple model accounting for light
23 and temperature effect on microalgal growth. *Bioresour. Technol.* 123, 520–527.
24
25 4. Borkenstein, C.G., Knoblechner, J., Fruehwirth, H., Schagerl, M., 2011. Cultivation
26 of *Chlorella emersonii* with flue gas derived from a cement plant. *J. Appl. Phycol.*
27 23, 131–135.
28
29 5. Brown, L.M., 1996. Uptake of carbon dioxide from flue gas by microalgae. *Energy*
30 *Convers. Manage.* 37, 1363–1367.
31
32 6. Bruyant, F., Babin, M., Sciandra, A., Marie, D., Genty, B., Claustre, H., Blanchot,
33 J., Bricaud, A., Rippka, R., Boulben, S., Louis, F., Partensky, F., 2001. An axenic
34 cyclostat of *Prochlorococcus* PCC 9511 with a simulator of natural light regimes. *J.*
35 *Appl. Phycol.* 13, 135–142.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1 7. Doucha, J., Straka, F., Livansky, K., 2005. Utilization of flue gas for cultivation of
2 microalgae (*Chlorella* sp.) in an outdoor open thin-layer photobioreactor. *J. Appl.*
3
4
5
6 *Phycol.* 17, 403–412.
7
- 8 8. Ferreira, M., Fabregas, M.J., Otero, A., 2008. Enriching rotifers with “premium”
9 microalgae. *Isochrysis affinis galbana* clone T-ISO. *Aquaculture* 279, 126–130.
10
- 11 9. Guillard, R., Ryther, J., 1962. Studies of marine planktonic diatoms.1. *Cyclotella*
12
13 *nana* Hustedt, and *Detonula confervacea* (Cleve) Gran. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 8, 229–
14
15
16
17
18 239.
19
- 20 10. Hinkley, D., 1969. On ratio of two correlated normal random variables. *Biometrika*
21
22
23 56, 635–639.
24
- 25 11. Isari, S., Saiz, E., 2011. Feeding performance of the copepod *Clausocalanus lividus*
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000
15. Lee, J.H., Lee, J.S., Shin, C.S., Park, S.C., Kim, S.W., 2000. Effects of NO and SO₂
on growth of highly-CO₂,-tolerant microalgae. *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 10, 338–
343.

- 1 16. Lee, J.S., Kim, D.K., Lee, J.P., Park, S.C., Koh, J.H., Cho, H.S., Kim, S.W., 2002.
2
3 Effects of SO₂ and NO on growth of *Chlorella* sp KR-1. *Bioresour. Technol.* 82, 1–
4
5 4.
6
7
8 17. Lee, S.-J., Go, S., Jeong, G.-T., Kim, S.-K., 2011. Oil production from five marine
9
10 microalgae for the production of biodiesel. *Biotechnol. Bioprocess Eng.* 16, 561–
11
12 566.
13
14
15 18. Lowry, O., Rosebrough, N., Farr, A., Randall, R., 1951. Protein measurement with
16
17 the Folin Phenol reagent. *J. Biol. Chem.* 193, 265–275.
18
19
20 19. Matsumoto, H., Hamasaki, A., Sioji, N., Ikuta, Y., 1997. Influence of CO₂, SO₂ and
21
22 NO in flue gas on microalgae productivity. *J. Chem. Eng. Jpn.* 30, 620–624.
23
24
25 20. Minowa, T., Yokoyama, S., Kishimoto, M., Okakura, T., 1995. Oil production from
26
27 algal cells of *Dunaliella tertiolecta* by direct thermochemical liquefaction. *Fuel* 74,
28
29 1735–1738.
30
31
32 21. Negoro, M., Hamasaki, A., Ikuta, Y., Makita, T., Hirayama, K., Suzuki, S., 1993.
33
34 Carbon-dioxide fixation by microalgae photosynthesis using actual flue-gas
35
36 discharged from a boiler. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* 39, 643–653.
37
38
39 22. Ras, M., Steyer, J. P., Bernard, O., 2013. Temperature effect on microalgae: a
40
41 crucial factor for outdoor production. *Reviews in Environmental Science and*
42
43 *Bio/Technology.* 12, 153-164.
44
45
46 23. R Development Core Team, 2011. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical*
47
48 *Computing.* Vienna, Austria.
49
50
51 24. Rippka, R., Deruelles, J., Waterbury, J., Herdman, M., Stanier, R., 1979. Generic
52
53 assignments, strain histories and properties of pure cultures of cyanobacteria. *J.*
54
55 *Gen. Microbiol.* 111, 1–61.
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1 25. Sabine, C.L., Feely, R.A., Gruber, N., Key, R.M., Lee, K., Bullister, J.L.,
2
3 Wanninkhof, R., Wong, C.S., Wallace, D.W.R., Tilbrook, B., Millero, F.J., Peng,
4
5 T.-H., Kozyr, A., Ono, T., Rios, A.F., 2004. The Oceanic Sink for Anthropogenic
6
7 CO₂. *Science* 305, 367–371.
8
9
- 10 26. Sasi, D., Mitra, P., Viguera, A., Hill, G.A., 2011. Growth kinetics and lipid
11
12 production using *Chlorella vulgaris* in a circulating loop photobioreactor. *J. Chem.*
13
14 *Technol. Biotechnol.* 86, 875–880.
15
16
- 17 27. Sciandra, A., Harlay, J., Lefevre, D., Lemee, R., Rimmelin, P., Denis, M., Gattuso,
18
19 J.P., 2003. Response of coccolithophorid *Emiliana huxleyi* to elevated partial
20
21 pressure of CO₂ under nitrogen limitation. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 261, 111–122.
22
23
- 24 28. Sciandra, A., Lazzara, L., Claustre, H., Babin, M., 2000. Responses of growth rate,
25
26 pigment composition and optical properties of *Cryptomonas* sp to light and nitrogen
27
28 stresses. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 201, 107–120.
29
30
- 31 29. Shoaf, W., Lium, B., 1976. Improved extraction of Chlorophyll-a and Chlorophyll-
32
33 b from algae using dimethyl-sulfoxide. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 21, 926–928.
34
35
- 36 30. Stramski, D., Sciandra, A., Claustre, H., 2002. Effects of temperature, nitrogen, and
37
38 light limitation on the optical properties of the marine diatom *Thalassiosira*
39
40 *pseudonana*. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 47, 392–403.
41
42
- 43 31. Sun, M., Qian, Z., Hu, W. 1984. On the method for determining total dissolved
44
45 carbohydrates in sea water, temperature effect of phenol sulfuric acid. *Coll. Ocean.*
46
47 *Works.* 7, 84.
48
49
- 50 32. Wang, H., Wick, R.L., Xing, B., 2009. Toxicity of nanoparticulate and bulk ZnO,
51
52 Al₂O₃ and TiO₂ to the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Environ. Pollut.* 157,
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1 33. Welschmeyer, N., 1994. Fluorometric analysis of chlorophyll-a in the presence of
2
3 chlorophyll-b and pheopigments. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 39, 1985–1992.
4
5
6 34. Yanagi, M., Watanabe, Y., Saiki, H., 1995. CO₂ fixation by *Chlorella* sp HA-1 and
7
8 its utilization. *Energy Convers. Manage.* 36, 713–716.
9
10
11 35. Zar, J.H., 1999. *Biostatistical analysis*, 4th ed. ed. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle
12
13 River N.J.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

TABLES

Species	Taxonomic Group	Original Biotope	Potential application	Reference
<i>Dunaliella tertiolecta</i>	Chlorophyta	Marine, estuarine	Mariculture Lipid production	(Jimmy et al., 2003) (Minowa et al., 1995)
<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i>	Chlorophyta	Freshwater	Freshwater aquaculture Lipid production	(Ashraf et al., 2010) (Sasi et al., 2011)
<i>Thalassiosira weissflogii</i>	Bacillariophyta (Diatom)	Marine	Mariculture	(Isari and Saiz, 2011)
<i>Isochrysis galbana</i>	Haptophyta	Marine	Mariculture Lipid production	(Ferreira et al., 2008) (Lee et al., 2011)

Table 1: Autotrophic phytoplankton species tested.

Sample	medium	20x dust	200x dust	2000x dust
As (mg/L)	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
CaO (mg/L)	658	645	644	634
Cd (mg/L)	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01
Co (mg/L)	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01
Cr (mg/L)	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005
Cu (mg/L)	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	0.01
Hg (mg/L)	0.01	0.01	< 0.01	0.01
K ₂ O (mg/L)	580	569	567	559
MgO (mg/L)	2 430	2 250	2 340	2 290
Na ₂ O (mg/L)	15 900	15 400	15 900	15 600
Ni (mg/L)	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005
P (mg/L)	1.23	1.23	1.06	1.04
Pb (mg/L)	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01
Sb (mg/L)	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
SO ₃ (mg/L)	2540	2510	2500	2460
Te (mg/L)	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005
Ti (mg/L)	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005
V (mg/L)	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005
Zn (mg/L)	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01
Fe ₂ O ₃ (mg/L)	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.14
Mn (mg/L)	0.03	0.06	0.06	0.06
Mo (mg/L)	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02
Se (mg/L)	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.04
Al ₂ O ₃ (mg/L)	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.2	1.1
SiO ₂ (mg/L)	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.17	0.85

Table 2: Elements and their concentrations (mg/L) measured in culture medium incubated with no dust and with 20x, 200x and 2000x (6.8mg/L, 68mg/L and 680mg/L) the expected dust content of the cement exhausts. Some concentrations increased with the addition of dust, regardless of the dust amount (light grey) or proportional to it (dark grey).

Compound / C	Species	C2 – C1 Stabilization	C2 – C1 Cement gas	C2 – C1 Cement dust	t 1	Degree of freedom 1	p-value 1	t 2	Degree of freedom 2	p-value 2
Nitrogen	<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i>	-0.001	0.017	0.146	4.51	2.54	0.029	23.10	2.81	0.0003
	<i>Dunaliella tertiolecta</i>	-0.012	-0.013	0.003	0.06	2.05	0.959	0.69	2.81	0.5424
	<i>Isochrysis galbana</i>	-0.037	NA	-0.017	NA	NA	NA	1.01	3.00	0.3852
	<i>Thalassiosira weissflogii</i>	0.018	0.001	0.145	1.84	2.35	0.188	7.38	1.78	0.0244
Chlorophyll a	<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i>	-2.413	NA	-7.817	NA	NA	NA	5.54	1.66	0.0460
	<i>Dunaliella tertiolecta</i>	-0.157	-0.356	-9.647	0.19	2.93	0.860	9.72	2.82	0.0030
	<i>Isochrysis galbana</i>	-0.282	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	<i>Thalassiosira weissflogii</i>	-1.360	-2.669	-4.407	2.78	2.99	0.069	7.32	2.73	0.0072
Proteins	<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i>	-3.394	-0.707	11.846	2.14	1.87	0.174	2.17	1.05	0.2660
	<i>Dunaliella tertiolecta</i>	-0.337	-0.524	1.313	0.31	2.67	0.777	2.78	4.00	0.0500
	<i>Isochrysis galbana</i>	0.073	NA	2.753	NA	NA	NA	3.41	3.44	0.0342
	<i>Thalassiosira weissflogii</i>	0.484	-0.010	3.668	2.98	2.50	0.074	6.95	1.13	0.0728
Carbohydrates	<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i>	0.042	0.152	-0.222	3.67	2.40	0.051	8.32	2.63	0.0059
	<i>Dunaliella tertiolecta</i>	-0.058	-0.078	0.096	0.46	2.09	0.691	3.14	3.19	0.0477
	<i>Isochrysis galbana</i>	0.043	NA	-0.676	NA	NA	NA	13.11	3.64	0.0003
	<i>Thalassiosira weissflogii</i>	-0.031	0.031	-0.345	0.69	2.00	0.560	4.34	2.70	0.0278

Table 3: Differences between the control and the test cultures for intracellular compounds normalized by carbon. Computations were made during the stabilization phase, after bubbling the CFG and after the addition of the cement-dust. The t-test, using Welch's approximation for the degrees of freedom, checks for a significant difference between two states: 1. between stabilization and gas test; 2. between stabilization and dust test. In grey, significant differences (p-value ≤ 0.05).

Figure captions

Figure 1: Evolution of two species treated with CFG and dust, in turbidostat photobioreactors. A. Biovolume and dilution rate for *Thalassiosira weissflogii*. Arrows indicate for the test culture C2 (I) when the pure CO₂ was replaced by CFG, and (II) when dust was added. Note that at day 5, the dilution was progressively increased in the two photobioreactors to reduce the levels of biomasses, and the risk of insufficient illumination due to self-shading. B. Growth rate of *Dunaliella tertiolecta*. The arrows indicate when the renewal medium was changed for a medium contaminated with an increasing dust concentration: 6.8mg/L and 13.6mg/L.

Figure 2: Comparison of the biochemical composition of *Thalassiosira weissflogii* grown in control culture C1 (pure CO₂) and test culture C2 (cement gas). A: nitrogen; B: chlorophyll a; C: protein; D: carbohydrate. Cell compounds are normalized by cell carbon.

Figure 3: Comparison of the biochemical composition of *Thalassiosira weissflogii* grown in turbidostat where C1 (in black) is the control culture and C2 (in grey) the test culture. The test culture was submitted to the dust impact (680mg/L). A: nitrogen; B: chlorophyll a; C: proteins; D: carbohydrates. Cell compounds are normalized by cell carbon.

Figure 1





Figure 2




Figure 3

