

New Results on Generalized Graph Coloring

Vladimir E. Alekseev, Alastair Farrugia, Vadim V. Lozin

► **To cite this version:**

Vladimir E. Alekseev, Alastair Farrugia, Vadim V. Lozin. New Results on Generalized Graph Coloring. Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science, DMTCS, 2004, 6 (2), pp.215-222. <hal-00959005>

HAL Id: hal-00959005

<https://hal.inria.fr/hal-00959005>

Submitted on 13 Mar 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

New Results on Generalized Graph Coloring

Vladimir E. Alekseev¹ and Alastair Farrugia² and Vadim V. Lozin³

¹ Department of Mathematical Logic, University of Nizhny Novgorod, Gagarina 23, 603950 RUSSIA.

E-mail: ave@uic.nnov.ru

² Department of Combinatorics and Optimization, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada, N2L6G1.

E-mail: afarrugia@alumni.math.uwaterloo.ca

³ RUTCOR, Rutgers University, 640 Bartholomew Road, Piscataway, NJ 08854-8003, USA.

E-mail: lozin@rutcor.rutgers.edu

received 25 Apr 2003, revised 02 Feb 2004, accepted 15 Feb 2004.

For graph classes $\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_k$, Generalized Graph Coloring is the problem of deciding whether the vertex set of a given graph G can be partitioned into subsets V_1, \dots, V_k so that V_j induces a graph in the class \mathcal{P}_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, k$). If $\mathcal{P}_1 = \dots = \mathcal{P}_k$ is the class of edgeless graphs, then this problem coincides with the standard vertex k -COLORABILITY, which is known to be NP-complete for any $k \geq 3$. Recently, this result has been generalized by showing that if all \mathcal{P}_i 's are additive hereditary, then the generalized graph coloring is NP-hard, with the only exception of bipartite graphs. Clearly, a similar result follows when all the \mathcal{P}_i 's are co-additive.

In this paper, we study the problem where we have a mixture of additive and co-additive classes, presenting several new results dealing both with NP-hard and polynomial-time solvable instances of the problem.

Keywords: Generalized Graph Coloring; Polynomial algorithm; NP-completeness

1 Introduction

All graphs in this paper are finite, without loops and multiple edges. For a graph G we denote by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ the vertex set and the edge set of G , respectively. By $N(v)$ we denote the neighborhood of a vertex $v \in V(G)$, i.e. the subset of vertices of G adjacent to v . The subgraph of G induced by a set $U \subseteq V(G)$ will be denoted $G[U]$. We say that a graph G is H -free if G does not contain H as an induced subgraph. As usual, K_n and P_n stand for the complete graph and chordless path on n vertices, respectively, and the complement of a graph G is denoted \bar{G} .

An isomorphism-closed class of graphs, or synonymously graph property, \mathcal{P} is said to be *hereditary* [2] if $G \in \mathcal{P}$ implies $G - v \in \mathcal{P}$ for any vertex $v \in V(G)$. We call \mathcal{P} *monotone* if $G \in \mathcal{P}$ implies $G - v \in \mathcal{P}$ for any vertex $v \in V(G)$ and $G - e \in \mathcal{P}$ for any edge $e \in E(G)$. This terminology has been used by other authors too, but it is not standard; in particular, some papers use "hereditary" for the properties that we call "monotone". Clearly every monotone property is hereditary, but the converse statement is not true in general. A property \mathcal{P} is *additive* if $G_1 \in \mathcal{P}$ and $G_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ with $V(G_1) \cap V(G_2) = \emptyset$ implies $G = (V(G_1) \cup V(G_2), E(G_1) \cup E(G_2)) \in \mathcal{P}$. The class of graphs containing no induced subgraphs isomorphic

to graphs in a set Y will be denoted $Free(Y)$. It is well known that a class of graphs \mathcal{P} is hereditary if and only if $\mathcal{P} = Free(Y)$ for some set Y .

A property is said to be non-trivial if it contains at least one, but not all graphs. The *complementary property* of \mathcal{P} is $\overline{\mathcal{P}} := \{\overline{G} \mid G \in \mathcal{P}\}$. Note that \mathcal{P} is hereditary if and only if $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$ is. So a *co-additive hereditary property*, i.e. the complement of an additive hereditary property, is itself hereditary.

Let $\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_k$ be graph properties with $k > 1$. A graph $G = (V, E)$ is $(\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_k)$ -colorable if there is a partition (V_1, \dots, V_k) of $V(G)$ such that $G[V_j] \in \mathcal{P}_j$ for each $j = 1, \dots, k$. The problem of recognizing $(\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_k)$ -colorable graphs is usually referred to as Generalized Graph Coloring [8]. When $\mathcal{P}_1 = \dots = \mathcal{P}_k$ is the class O of edgeless graphs, this problem coincides with the standard k -COLORABILITY, which is known to be NP-complete for $k \geq 3$. Generalized Graph Coloring remains difficult for many other cases. For example, Cai and Corneil [10] showed that $(Free(K_n), Free(K_m))$ -coloring is NP-complete for any integers $m, n \geq 2$, with the exception $m = n = 2$. Important NP-completeness results were obtained by Brown [8] and Achlioptas [1] (when the \mathcal{P}_i 's are identical), and Kratochvíl and Schiermeyer [18] (when the \mathcal{P}_i 's may be different) (see [2] for more results on this topic). These lead to the following recent generalization [11]:

Theorem 1 *If $\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_k$ ($k > 1$) are additive hereditary properties of graphs, then the problem of recognizing $(\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_k)$ -colorable graphs is NP-hard, unless $k = 2$ and $\mathcal{P}_1 = \mathcal{P}_2$ is the class of edgeless graphs.*

Clearly, a similar result follows for co-additive properties. In the present paper we focus on the case where we have a mixture of additive and co-additive properties.

The *product* of graph properties $\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_k$ is $\mathcal{P}_1 \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{P}_k := \{G \mid G \text{ is } (\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_k)\text{-colorable}\}$. A property is *reducible* if it is the product of two other properties, otherwise it is *irreducible* [3]. It can be easily checked that the product of additive hereditary (or monotone) properties is again additive hereditary (respectively, monotone); and that $\overline{\mathcal{P}_1 \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{P}_k} = \overline{\mathcal{P}_1} \circ \dots \circ \overline{\mathcal{P}_k}$. So, without loss of generality we shall restrict our study to the case $k = 2$ and shall denote throughout the paper an additive property by \mathcal{P} and co-additive by \overline{Q} . We will refer to the problem of recognizing $(\mathcal{P}, \overline{Q})$ -colorable graphs as $(\mathcal{P} \circ \overline{Q})$ -RECOGNITION.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we show that $(\mathcal{P} \circ \overline{Q})$ -RECOGNITION cannot be simpler than \mathcal{P} - or \overline{Q} -RECOGNITION. In particular, we prove that $(\mathcal{P} \circ \overline{Q})$ -RECOGNITION is NP-hard whenever \mathcal{P} - or \overline{Q} -RECOGNITION is NP-hard. Then, in Section 3, we study the problem under the assumption that both \mathcal{P} - and \overline{Q} -RECOGNITION are polynomial-time solvable and present infinitely many classes of $(\mathcal{P}, \overline{Q})$ -colorable graphs with polynomial recognition time. These two results together give a complete answer to the question of complexity of $(\mathcal{P} \circ \overline{Q})$ -RECOGNITION when \mathcal{P} and \overline{Q} are additive monotone. When \mathcal{P} and \overline{Q} are additive hereditary (but not both monotone), there remains an unexplored gap that we discuss in the concluding section of the paper.

2 NP-hardness

In this section we prove that if \mathcal{P} -RECOGNITION (or \overline{Q} -RECOGNITION) is NP-hard, then so is $(\mathcal{P} \circ \overline{Q})$ -RECOGNITION. This is a direct consequence of the theorem below. In this theorem we use uniquely colorable graphs, which are often a crucial tool in proving coloring results.

A graph G is *uniquely* $(\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_k)$ -colorable if (V_1, \dots, V_k) is its only $(\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_k)$ -partition, up to some permutation of the V_i 's. If, say, $\mathcal{P}_1 = \mathcal{P}_2$, then $(V_2, V_1, V_3, \dots, V_k)$ will also be a $(\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \mathcal{P}_3, \dots, \mathcal{P}_k)$ -coloring

of G ; such a permutation (of V_i 's that correspond to equal properties) is a *trivial interchange*. A graph is *strongly uniquely* $(\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_k)$ -colorable if (V_1, \dots, V_k) is the only $(\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_k)$ -coloring, up to trivial interchanges.

When $\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_k$ are irreducible hereditary properties, and each \mathcal{P}_i is either additive or co-additive, there is a strongly uniquely $(\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_k)$ -colorable graph with each V_i non-empty. This important construction, for additive \mathcal{P}_i 's, is due to Mihók [20], with some embellishments by Broere and Bucko [6]. The proof that these graphs are actually uniquely colorable follows from [7], [14, Thm. 5.3] or [13]. Obviously, similar results apply to co-additive properties. The generalization to mixtures of additive and co-additive properties can be found in [12, Cor. 4.3.6, Thm. 5.3.2]. For irreducible additive monotone properties, there is a much simpler proof of the existence of uniquely colorable graphs [21].

Theorem 2 *Let \mathcal{P} and $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$ be additive hereditary properties. Then there is a polynomial-time reduction from \mathcal{P} -RECOGNITION to $(\mathcal{P} \circ \overline{\mathcal{Q}})$ -RECOGNITION.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1 \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{P}_n$ and $\overline{\mathcal{Q}} = \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_1 \circ \dots \circ \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_r$, where the \mathcal{P}_i 's and $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_j$'s are the irreducible additive hereditary factors whose existence is guaranteed by the unique factorization theorem [20, 13]. As noted above, there is a strongly uniquely $(\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n, \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_1, \dots, \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_r)$ -colorable graph H with partition $(U_1, \dots, U_n, W_1, \dots, W_r)$, where each U_i and W_j is non-empty. Define $U := U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_n$ and $W := W_1 \cup \dots \cup W_r$. Arbitrarily fix a vertex $u \in U_1$, and define $N_W(u) := N(u) \cap W$. For any graph G , let the graph G_H consist of disjoint copies of G and H , together with edges $\{vw \mid v \in V(G), w \in N_W(u)\}$. We claim that $G_H \in \mathcal{P} \circ \overline{\mathcal{Q}}$ if and only if $G \in \mathcal{P}$.




Fig. 1: Using H to construct G_H .

If $G \in \mathcal{P}$, then, by additivity, $G \cup H[U]$ is in \mathcal{P} , and thus G_H is in $\mathcal{P} \circ \overline{\mathcal{Q}}$. Conversely, suppose $G_H \in \mathcal{P} \circ \overline{\mathcal{Q}}$, i.e. it has a $(\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n, \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_1, \dots, \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_r)$ -partition, say $(X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_r)$. Since H is strongly uniquely partitionable, we can assume that, for $1 \leq i \leq r$, $Y_i \cap V(H) = W_i$. Now, suppose for contradiction that, for some k , there is a vertex $v \in V(G)$ such that $v \in Y_k$; without loss of generality, let $k = r$. Then $G_H[W_r \cup \{v\}] \cong H[W_r \cup \{u\}]$ is in $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_r$, so $(U_1 \setminus \{u\}, U_2, \dots, U_n, W_1, \dots, W_{r-1}, W_r \cup \{u\})$ is a new

$(\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{Q}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Q}_r)$ -partition of H , which is impossible. Thus, $V(G) \subseteq X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_n$, and hence $G \in \mathcal{P}$, as claimed.

Since H is a fixed graph, G_H can be constructed in time linear in $|V(G)|$, so the theorem is proved. \square

3 Polynomial time results

Lemma 1 *For any $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \text{Free}(K_n)$ and $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \text{Free}(\overline{K}_m)$, there exists a constant $\tau = \tau(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ such that for every graph $G = (V, E) \in \mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{Q}$ and every subset $B \subseteq V$ with $G[B] \in \mathcal{P}$, at least one of the following statements holds:*

- (a) *there is a subset $A \subseteq V$ such that $G[A] \in \mathcal{P}$, $G[V - A] \in \mathcal{Q}$, and $|A - B| \leq \tau$,*
- (b) *there is a subset $C \subseteq V$ such that $G[C] \in \mathcal{P}$, $|C| = |B| + 1$, and $|B - C| \leq \tau$.*

Proof. By the Ramsey Theorem [17], for each positive integers m and n , there is a constant $R(m, n)$ such that every graph with more than $R(m, n)$ vertices contains either a \overline{K}_m or a K_n as an induced subgraph. For two classes $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \text{Free}(K_n)$ and $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \text{Free}(\overline{K}_m)$, we define $\tau = \tau(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ to be equal $R(m, n)$. Let us show that with this definition the proposition follows.

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph in $\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{Q}$, and B a subset of V such that $G[B] \in \mathcal{P}$. Consider an arbitrary subset $A \subseteq V$ such that $G[A] \in \mathcal{P}$ and $G[V - A] \in \mathcal{Q}$. If (a) does not hold, then $|A - B| > \tau$. Furthermore, $G[B - A] \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{Q} \subseteq \text{Free}(K_n, \overline{K}_m)$, and hence $|B - A| \leq \tau$. Therefore, $|A| > |B|$. But then any subset $C \subseteq A$ such that $A \cap B \subseteq C$ and $|C| = |B| + 1$ satisfies (b). \square

Lemma 1 suggests the following recognition algorithm for graphs in the class $\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{Q}$.

Algorithm \mathcal{A}

Input: A graph $G = (V, E)$.

Output: **YES** if $G \in \mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{Q}$, or **NO** otherwise.

- (1) Find in G any inclusion-wise maximal subset $B \subseteq V$ inducing a K_n -free graph.
- (2) If there is a subset $C \subseteq V$ satisfying condition (b) of Lemma 1, then set $B := C$ and repeat Step (2).
- (3) If G contains a subset $A \subseteq V$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |B - A| &\leq \tau, \\ |A - B| &\leq \tau, \\ G[A] &\in \mathcal{P}, \\ G[V - A] &\in \mathcal{Q}, \end{aligned}$$

output **YES**, otherwise output **NO**.

Theorem 3 *If graphs on p vertices in a class $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \text{Free}(K_n)$ can be recognized in time $O(p^k)$ and graphs in a class $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \text{Free}(\overline{K}_m)$ can be recognized in time $O(p^l)$, then Algorithm \mathcal{A} recognizes graphs on p vertices in the class $\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{Q}$ in time $O(p^{2\tau + \max\{(k+2), \max\{k, l\}\}})$, where $\tau = \tau(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$.*

Proof. Correctness of the algorithm follows from Lemma 1. Now let us estimate its time complexity. In Step (2), the algorithm examines at most $\binom{p}{\tau} \binom{p}{\tau+1}$ subsets C and for each of them verifies whether $G[C] \in \mathcal{P}$ in time $O(p^k)$. Since Step (2) loops at most p times, its time complexity is $O(p^{2\tau+k+2})$. In Step (3), the algorithm examines at most $\binom{p}{\tau}^2$ subsets A , and for each A , it verifies whether $G[A] \in \mathcal{P}$ in time $O(p^k)$ and whether $G[V-A] \in \mathcal{Q}$ in time $O(p^l)$. Summarizing, we conclude that the total time complexity of the algorithm is $O(p^{2\tau+\max\{(k+2), \max\{k,l\}\}})$. \square

Notice that Theorem 3 generalizes several positive results on the topic under consideration. For instance, the split graphs [16], which are $(Free(K_2), Free(\overline{K}_2))$ -colorable by definition, can be recognized in polynomial time. More general classes have been studied under the name of polar graphs in [9, 19, 22]. By definition, a graph is $(m-1, n-1)$ polar if it is $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -colorable with $\mathcal{P} = Free(K_n, P_3)$ and $\mathcal{Q} = Free(\overline{K}_m, \overline{P}_3)$. It is shown in [19] that for any particular values of $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$, $(m-1, n-1)$ polar graphs on p vertices can be recognized in time $O(p^{2m+2n+3})$.

Further examples generalizing the split graphs were examined in [4] and [15], where the authors showed that classes of graphs partitionable into at most two independent sets and two cliques can be recognized in polynomial time. These are special cases of $(\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{Q})$ -RECOGNITION with $\mathcal{P} \subseteq Free(K_3)$ and $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq Free(\overline{K}_3)$.

4 Concluding results and open problems

Theorems 2 and 3 together provide complete answer to the question of complexity of $(\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{Q})$ -RECOGNITION in case of monotone properties \mathcal{P} and $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$. Indeed, if \mathcal{P} is an additive monotone non-trivial property, then $\mathcal{P} \subseteq Free(K_n)$ for a certain value of n , since otherwise it includes all graphs. Similarly, if $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$ is additive monotone, then $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq Free(\overline{K}_m)$ for some m . Hence, the following theorem holds.

Theorem 4 *If \mathcal{P} and $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$ are additive monotone properties, then $(\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{Q})$ -RECOGNITION has polynomial-time complexity if and only if \mathcal{P} - and \mathcal{Q} -RECOGNITION are both polynomial-time solvable; moreover, $(\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{Q})$ -RECOGNITION is in NP if and only if \mathcal{P} - and \mathcal{Q} -RECOGNITION are both in NP.*

If \mathcal{P} and $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$ are general additive hereditary properties (not necessarily monotone), then there is an unexplored gap containing properties $\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{Q}$, where \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} can both be recognized in polynomial time, but $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{P}$ or $O \subset \mathcal{Q}$ (where $\mathcal{K} := \overline{O}$ is the set of cliques). In the rest of this section we show that this gap contains both NP-hard and polynomial-time solvable instances, and propose several open problems to study.

For a polynomial time result we refer the reader to [22], where the authors claim that $(\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{Q})$ -RECOGNITION is polynomial-time solvable if \mathcal{P} is the class of edgeless graphs and $\mathcal{Q} = Free(\overline{P}_3)$. Notice that $Free(\overline{P}_3)$ contains all edgeless graphs and hence Theorem 3 does not apply to this case. Interestingly enough, when we extend \mathcal{P} to the class of bipartite graphs, we obtain an NP-hard instance of the problem, as the following theorem shows.

Theorem 5 *If \mathcal{P} is the class of bipartite graphs and $\mathcal{Q} = Free(\overline{P}_3)$, then $(\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{Q})$ -RECOGNITION is NP-hard.*

Proof. We reduce the standard 3-COLORABILITY to our problem. Consider an arbitrary graph G and let G' be the graph obtained from G by adding a triangle $T = (1, 2, 3)$ with no edges between G and T . We claim that G is 3-colorable if and only if G' is $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ -colorable.

First, assume that G is 3-colorable and let V_1, V_2, V_3 be a partition of $V(G)$ into three independent sets. We define $V'_j = V_j \cup \{j\}$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$. Then $G'[V'_1 \cup V'_2]$ is a bipartite graph and $G'[V'_3] \in \text{Free}(\overline{P}_3)$, and the proposition follows.

Conversely, let $U \cup W$ be a partition of $V(G')$ with $G'[U]$ being a bipartite graph and $G'[W] \in \text{Free}(\overline{P}_3)$. Clearly, $T \not\subseteq U$. If $T - U$ contains a single vertex, then $G'[W - T]$ is an edgeless graph, since otherwise a \overline{P}_3 arises. If $T - U$ contains more than one vertex, then $W - T = \emptyset$ for the same reason. Clearly, in both cases G is a 3-colorable graph. \square

This discussion presents the natural question of exploring the boundary that separates polynomial from non-polynomial time solvable instances in the above-mentioned gap. As one of the smallest classes in this gap with unknown recognition time complexity, let us point out (\mathcal{P}, Q) -COLORABLE graphs with $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{O}$ and $Q = \text{Free}(2K_2, P_4)$, where $2K_2$ is the disjoint union of two copies of K_2 .

Another direction for prospective research deals with (\mathcal{P}, Q) -colorable graphs where \mathcal{P} or Q is neither additive nor co-additive. This area seems to be almost unexplored and also contains both NP-hard and polynomial-time solvable problems. To provide some examples, let Q be the class of complete bipartite graphs, which is obviously neither additive nor co-additive. The class of graphs partitionable into an independent set and a complete bipartite graph has been studied in [5] under the name of bisplit graphs and has been shown there to be polynomial-time recognizable. Again, extension of \mathcal{P} to the class of all bipartite graphs transforms the problem into an NP-hard instance.

Theorem 6 *If \mathcal{P} is the class of bipartite graphs and Q is the class of complete bipartite graphs, then $(\mathcal{P} \circ Q)$ -RECOGNITION is NP-hard.*

Proof. The reduction is again from 3-COLORABILITY. For a graph G , we define G' to be the graph obtained from G by adding a new vertex adjacent to every vertex of G . It is a trivial exercise to verify that G is 3-colorable if and only if G' is (\mathcal{P}, Q) -COLORABLE. \square

Acknowledgements

The second author wishes to thank R. Bruce Richter, his doctoral supervisor, for his valuable comments; as well as the Canadian government, which is fully funding his studies in Waterloo through a Canadian Commonwealth Scholarship.

References

- [1] D. ACHLIOPTAS, The complexity of G -free colorability, *Discrete Math.* **165/166** (1997) 21–30.
- [2] M. BOROWIECKI, I. BROERE, M. FRICK, P. MIHÓK and G. SEMANIŠIN, Survey of hereditary properties of graphs, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* **17** (1997) 5–50.
- [3] M. BOROWIECKI and P. MIHÓK, Hereditary properties of graphs, in V.R. Kulli, ed., *Advances in Graph Theory* (Vishwa International Publication, Gulbarga, 1991) 42–69.
- [4] A. BRANDSTÄDT, V.B. LE, and T. SZYMCAK, The complexity of some problems related to graph 3-colorability, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **89** (1998) 59–73.

- [5] A. BRANDSTÄDT, P.L. HAMMER, V.B. LE and V.V. LOZIN, Bisplit Graphs, *DI-MACS Technical Report 2002-44* (2002) Rutgers University (available on-line at <http://dimacs.rutgers.edu/TechnicalReports/2002.html>)
- [6] I. BROERE and J. BUCKO, Divisibility in additive hereditary properties and uniquely partitionable graphs, *Tatra Mt. Math. Publ.* **18** (1999), 79–87.
- [7] I. BROERE, B. BUCKO and P. MIHÓK, Criteria of the existence of uniquely partitionable graphs with respect to additive induced-hereditary properties, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* **22** (2002) 31–37.
- [8] J. BROWN, The complexity of generalized graph colorings, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **69** (1996) 257–270.
- [9] ZH. A. CHERNYAK and A. A. CHERNYAK, About recognizing (α, β) classes of polar graphs, *Discrete Math.* **62** (1986) 133–138.
- [10] L. CAI and D.G. CORNEIL, A generalization of perfect graphs — i -perfect graphs, *J. Graph Theory* **23** (1996) 87–103.
- [11] A. FARRUGIA, Vertex-partitioning into fixed additive induced-hereditary properties is NP-hard, submitted to *Electron. J. Combin.*
- [12] A. FARRUGIA, Uniqueness and complexity in generalised colouring. Ph.D. thesis, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada. February 2003. (available on-line at <http://www.math.uwaterloo.ca/~afarrugia/thesis.ps> or <http://theses.uwaterloo.ca>)
- [13] A. FARRUGIA, P. MIHÓK, R.B. RICHTER and G. SEMANIŠIN, Factorisations and characterisations of induced-hereditary and compositive properties, submitted.
- [14] A. FARRUGIA and R.B. RICHTER, Unique factorization of additive induced-hereditary properties, to appear in *Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory*.
- [15] T. FEDER, P. HELL, S. KLEIN and R. MOTWANI, Complexity of graph partition problems, *ACM Symposium on the Theory of Computing (Atlanta, Georgia, USA, 1999)* 464–472.
- [16] S. FOLDES and P.L. HAMMER, Split graphs, *Congres. Numer.* **19** (1977) 311–315.
- [17] R.L. GRAHAM, B.L. ROTHSCHILD, and J.H. SPENCER, *Ramsey Theory*, Wiley, New York, 1980.
- [18] J. KRATOCHVÍL and I. SCHIERMEYER, On the computational complexity of (O,P) -partition problems, *Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory* **17** 2(1997), 253–258.
- [19] O. MEL'NIKOV and P.P. KOZHICH, Algorithms for recognizing the polarity of a graph with bounded parameters, *Izvestia Akad. Nauk BSSR, ser. Fiz. Mat. Nauk* **6** (1985) 50–54 (in Russian).
- [20] P. MIHÓK, Unique Factorization Theorem, *Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory* **20** (2000), 143–153.
- [21] P. MIHÓK, G. SEMANIŠIN and R. VASKY, Additive and hereditary properties of graphs are uniquely factorizable into irreducible factors, *J. Graph Theory* **33** (2000) 44–53.
- [22] R.I. TYSHKEVICH and A.A. CHERNYAK, Algorithms for the canonical decomposition of a graph and recognizing polarity, *Izvestia Akad. Nauk BSSR, ser. Fiz.-Mat. Nauk* **6** (1985) 16–23 (in Russian).

