

Infinite families of accelerated series for some classical constants by the Markov-WZ Method

Mohamud Mohammed

► **To cite this version:**

Mohamud Mohammed. Infinite families of accelerated series for some classical constants by the Markov-WZ Method. Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science, DMTCS, 2005, 7, pp.11-24. hal-00959025

HAL Id: hal-00959025

<https://hal.inria.fr/hal-00959025>

Submitted on 12 Jun 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Infinite families of accelerated series for some classical constants by the Markov-WZ Method

Mohamud Mohammed

Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University (New Brunswick), Hill Center-Busch Campus, 110 Frelinghuysen Rd., Piscataway, NJ 08854-8019, USA.

e-mail: mohamudm@math.rutgers.edu. WWW: <http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~mohamudm/>.

received Aug 12, 2004, revised Nov 13, Nov 29, Jan 29, Feb 25, 2004, accepted Mar 17, 2005.

In this article we show the Markov-WZ Method in action as it finds rapidly converging series representations for a given hypergeometric series. We demonstrate the method by finding new representations for $\log(2)$, $\zeta(2)$ and $\zeta(3)$.

Keywords: WZ theory, series convergence, hypergeometric series.

A function $H(x, z)$, in the integer variables x and z , is called **hypergeometric** if $H(x+1, z)/H(x, z)$ and $H(x, z+1)/H(x, z)$ are rational functions of x and z . In this article we consider only those hypergeometric functions which are a ratio of products of factorials (we call such hypergeometric functions **pure-hypergeometric**). A **P-recursive** function is a function that satisfies a linear recurrence relation with polynomial coefficients. A pair (H, G) is called a Markov-WZ pair (MWZ-pair for short) if there exists a polynomial $P(x, z)$ in z of the form

$$P(x, z) = a_0(x) + a_1(x)z + \cdots + a_L(x)z^L, \quad (\text{POLY})$$

for some non-negative integer L , and P-recursive functions $a_0(x), \dots, a_L(x)$ such that

$$H(x+1, z)P(x+1, z) - H(x, z)P(x, z) = G(x, z+1) - G(x, z) \quad . \quad (\text{Markov-WZ})$$

We call $G(x, z)$ an MWZ mate of $H(x, z)$. We also require that the $a_i(x)$'s satisfy the initial conditions

$$a_0(0) = 1, a_i(0) = 0, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq L.$$

First we will show that given a hypergeometric function $H(x, z)$, there always exists a polynomial with minimum degree that satisfies (Markov-WZ).

1 Existence of MWZ-pair

In this section, $\deg(a)$ stands for the degree of a as a polynomial in z .

Theorem 1. *Given a hypergeometric term $H(x, z)$, there exist a non-negative integer L and a polynomial $P(x, z)$ of the form (POLY) associated with $H(x, z)$ such that $H(x, z)$ has an MWZ mate.*

Proof. We need to show that there exist $L \geq 0$, $a_i(x)$'s, $G(x, z)$, and $P(x, z)$ of the form (POLY) such that $H(x, z)P(x, z)$ and $G(x, z)$ satisfy (Markov-WZ). Moreover $G(x, z)$ has the form $G(x, z) = R(x, z)F(x, z)$, where $R(x, z)$ is a ratio of two P-recursive functions in (x, z) .

Write

$$H(x+1, z)P(x+1, z) - H(x, z)P(x, z) = POL(z) \cdot \bar{H}(x, z),$$

where

$$POL(z) := A(z) \sum_{i=0}^L a_i(x+1)z^i - B(z) \sum_{i=0}^L a_i(x)z^i,$$

$$\frac{H(x+1, z)}{H(x, z)} = \frac{A(z)}{B(z)}, \text{ and } \bar{H}(x, z) = \frac{H(x, z)}{B(z)}.$$

Since $\bar{H}(x, z)$ is a hypergeometric function divided by a polynomial, we can write the above expression as

$$H(x+1, z)P(x+1, z) - H(x, z)P(x, z) = \frac{a(z)}{b(z)} \cdot \frac{POL(z+1)}{POL(z)},$$

where

$$\frac{\bar{H}(x+1, z)}{\bar{H}(x, z)} = \frac{a(z)}{b(z)}.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\gcd(a(z), b(z+h)) = 1$ for $h \geq 0$, otherwise we re-group and incorporate additional factors into the polynomial part, $POL(z)$. Then with $a(z), b(z)$ and $c(z) := POL(z)$ in parametric Gosper's algorithm [MZ], look for a polynomial $X(z)$ that satisfies

$$a(z)X(z+1) - b(z-1)X(z) = c(z). \quad (\text{Gosper})$$

We may consider only those X with

$$\deg(X) = \deg(c) - \max\{\deg(a), \deg(b)\},$$

and the degree of $c(z)$ is easily seen to be

$$\deg(c) = L + \max\{\deg(A), \deg(B)\}.$$

The unknowns are the $\deg(c) - \max\{\deg(a), \deg(b)\} + 1$ coefficients of $X(z)$ and the a_i 's (there are a total of $2(L+1)$ unknowns). Comparing coefficients on both sides of (Gosper) gives $\deg(c) + 1$ linear homogeneous equations. In order to guarantee a non-zero solution, we need

$$\# \text{ of unknowns} - \# \text{ of equations} \geq 1,$$

and this holds if

$$2(L+1) - (\deg(c) + 1) \geq 1 .$$

In particular, if we choose

$$L := \max\{\deg(a), \deg(b)\} ,$$

we are guaranteed to get a non-trivial solution(!). This gives the $P(x, z)$ and the L . $G(x, z)$ is the anti-difference outputted by parametric Gosper [MZ]. \square

Theorem 2. *Let (H, G) be an MWZ-pair.*

(a) *If $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} G(x, j) = 0 \forall x \geq 0$, then*

$$\sum_{z=0}^{\infty} H(0, z) = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} G(x, 0) - \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{z=0}^{\infty} H(i, z)P(i, z) ,$$

whenever both sides converge.

(b) *If $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} H(i, z)P(i, z) = 0 \forall z \geq 0$, then*

$$\sum_{z=0}^{\infty} H(0, z) - \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} G(x, j) = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} G(x, 0) ,$$

whenever both sides converge.

Proof. (a) Let $P(x, z)$ be the polynomial that features in the MWZ-pair $(H(x, z), G(x, z))$ arising from $H(x, z)$.

Then apply theorem 7 [Z] to the 1-form

$$w = H(x, z)P(x, z)\delta z + G(x, z)\delta x , \tag{1}$$

and the region

$$\Omega = \{(x, z) \mid 0 \leq z \leq \infty, 0 \leq x \leq i\} ,$$

with the discrete boundary

$$\{(0, z+1) \rightarrow (0, z) \mid z \geq 0\} \cup \{(x, 0) \rightarrow (x+1, 0) \mid 0 \leq x \leq i\} \cup \{(i, z) \rightarrow (i, z+1) \mid z \geq \infty\} \cup \{(x+1, \infty) \rightarrow (x, \infty) \mid i-1 \leq x \leq 0\} ,$$

and use the initial conditions $a_i(0) = \delta_{i0}$ for $0 \leq i \leq L$.

(b) Replace the region in (a) by

$$\Omega = \{(x, z) \mid 0 \leq x \leq \infty, 0 \leq z \leq j\}$$

with the corresponding discrete boundary in the proof of (a), and apply to (1) together with the initial conditions $a_i(0) = \delta_{i0}$ for $0 \leq i \leq L$.

\square

Corollary 1. *If the limit in the conclusion of (a) or (b) is zero in addition to the given hypothesis, then*

$$\sum_{z=0}^{\infty} H(0, z) = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} G(x, 0) .$$

Theorem 3. *Let N_0 be a non-negative integer and (H, G) be an MWZ-pair. Then*

$$\sum_{z=0}^{\infty} H(0, z) = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} (H(N_0 + x, x)P(N_0 + x, x) + G(N_0 + x, x + 1)) + \sum_{x=0}^{N_0-1} G(x, 0) - \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} G(x, j) ,$$

whenever both sides converge.

Proof. Let $P(x, z)$ be the polynomial that features in the MWZ-pair $(H(x, z), G(x, z))$ arising from $H(x, z)$. Then the proof follows from theorem 7 [Z] by applying to the 1-form

$$w = H(x, z)P(x, z)\delta z + G(x, z)\delta x ,$$

and the region

$$\Omega = \{(x, z) \mid 0 \leq z \leq \infty, 0 \leq x \leq z + N_0\} ,$$

with the discrete boundary

$$\partial\Omega_{N_0} := \{(0, z + 1) \rightarrow (0, z) \mid z \geq 0\} \cup \{(x, 0) \rightarrow (x + 1, 0) \mid 0 \leq x \leq N_0\} \cup \{(N_0 + x, x) \rightarrow (N_0 + x + 1, x) \rightarrow (N_0 + x + 1, x + 1) \mid x \geq 0\} \cup \{(x + 1, \infty) \rightarrow (x, \infty) \mid x \geq 0\} ,$$

and using the initial conditions $a_i(0) = \delta_{i0}$ for $0 \leq i \leq L$. □

Corollary 2. *Let (H, G) be an MWZ-pair. If $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} G(x, j) = 0$, then*

$$\sum_{z=0}^{\infty} H(0, z) = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} (H(x, x)P(x, x) + G(x, x + 1)) .$$

Proof. Set $N_0 = 0$ in theorem 3, and use the initial conditions $a_i(0) = \delta_{i0}$ for $0 \leq i \leq L$. □

Remark. If $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} G(x, j) = 0 \forall x$ and the hypothesis of theorem 1 (a) holds, then

$$\sum_{z=-\infty}^{\infty} H(x, z)P(x, z) ,$$

has a closed form evaluation (see example 10 below).

In the following examples, we use the Maple package MarkovWZ [MZ] which, for a given $H(x, z)$, outputs the polynomial $P(x, z)$ and the $G(x, z)$.

2 Examples of Accelerating Series

Let $H(a, b) := \frac{(ax+z)!}{(bx+z+1)!}$ in examples 1 through 9.

Example 1. Consider the hypergeometric term $(-1)^z H(0, 1)$, and corresponding to this kernel determine a polynomial $P(x, z)$ in z with a minimum degree such that $((-1)^z H(0, 1), G(x, z))$ is an MWZ-pair. Using the maple package MarkovWZ [MZ], we see that the polynomial is

$$P(x, z) = \frac{x!}{2^x},$$

and the corresponding MWZ mate of $(-1)^z H(0, 1)$ is

$$G(x, z) = \frac{(-1)^z x!}{2^{x+1}} H(0, 1).$$

It is not hard to check that $((-1)^z H(0, 1), G(x, z))$ is indeed a MWZ-pair with the corresponding polynomial $P(x, z) = x!/2^x$.

Applying corollary 2 to the MWZ-pair we get,

$$\log(2) = \frac{3}{2} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^x x!(x+1)!}{(2x+2)! 2^x} = 2 \operatorname{arcsinh} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \right).$$

Similarly, if we apply corollary 1 to the MWZ-pair, we find

$$\log(2) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^x (x+1)}.$$

In the remaining examples, we simply give the hypergeometric term $H(x, z)$, the polynomial $P(x, z)$ that features in the MWZ-pair, the corresponding $G(x, z)$, and then the identities that follow from the application of the corollaries above.

Example 2. Starting with the kernel $(-1)^z H(0, 3)$, we find

$$P(x, z) = \frac{(3x)!}{8^x},$$

and

$$G(x, z) = \frac{32 + 63x^2 + 93x + 22z + 30xz + 4z^2}{8(3x+z+2)(3x+z+3)} P(x, z) (-1)^z H(0, 3).$$

Application of corollary 1 gives

$$\log(2) = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^x (x+1)! (3x)! (415x^2 + 487x + 134)}{(4x+4)! 8^x},$$

On the other hand if we apply corollary 2, we get

$$\log(2) = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{(63x^2 + 93x + 32)}{24(3x+2)(x+1)(3x+1)8^x}.$$

Example 3. By taking the kernel $(-1)^z H(0, 6)$, we find

$$P(x, z) = \frac{(6x)!}{2^{6x}},$$

and

$$G(x, z) = \frac{Q(x, z)P(x, z)}{16(6x+z+2)(6x+z+3)(6x+z+4)(6x+z+5)(6x+z+6)} (-1)^z H(0, 6),$$

where $Q(x, z)$ is a certain polynomial in x and z .

Corollary 2 gives

$$\log(2) = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^x (6x)! (x+1)! P(x)}{(7x+7)! 64^x},$$

where

$$P(x) := 1648544x^5 + 4584284x^4 + 4905938x^3 + 2511703x^2 + 610829x + 55914,$$

and corollary 1 gives

$$\log(2) = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{40824x^5 + 129924x^4 + 158814x^3 + 92655x^2 + 25605x + 2654}{384(6x+1)(3x+1)(2x+1)(3x+2)(5+6x)(x+1)64^x}.$$

Example 4. Starting with $H(0, 2)^2$, we find that

$$P(x, z) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}((2x)!)^3}{16^x \Gamma(2x+1/2)},$$

and

$$G(x, z) = \frac{Q(x, z)}{2((1+4x)(3+4x)(2x+z+2)^2)} P(x, z) H(0, 2)^2,$$

where

$$Q(x, z) := 120x^4 + 372x^3 + 136x^3z + 56x^2z^2 + 426x^2 + 316x^2z \\ + 242xz + 86xz^2 + 8xz^3 + 213x + 39 + 33z^2 + 6z^3 + 61z.$$

Application of corollary 2 gives

$$\zeta(2) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{8} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2912x^4 + 7100x^3 + 6381x^2 + 2494x + 355)((x+1)!)^2((2x)!)^3}{\Gamma(2x+5/2)((3x+3)!)^2 16^x}.$$

On the other hand, corollary 1 yields

$$\zeta(2) = \frac{3\sqrt{\pi}}{32} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{(20x^2 + 32x + 13)(2x)!}{(2x+1)(x+1)\Gamma(2x+5/2)16^x}.$$

Example 5. By taking the kernel $H(1,2)^2$, we get

$$P(x, z) = \frac{(x!)^3 \sqrt{\pi}}{4^x \Gamma(x+1/2)},$$

$$G(x, z) = \frac{21x^3 + 55x^2 + 47x + 13 + 28x^2z + 48xz + 20z + 13xz^2 + 11z^2 + 2z^3}{2(2x+1)(2x+z+2)^2} F(x, z),$$

where $F(x, z) := P(x, z)H(1,2)^2$.

If we apply corollary 2 we get

$$\zeta(2) = \frac{1}{9\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{(145x^2 + 186x + 59)(x!)^5 \Gamma(x+1/2) 4^x}{((3x+2)!)^2}.$$

On the other hand, corollary 1 yields

$$\zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^{3/2}}{64} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{(21x+13)x^3}{(64)^x (\Gamma(x+3/2))^3}.$$

Example 6. Corresponding to $H(1,3)^2$, we find that

$$P(x, z) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}(2x)!^3}{16^x \Gamma(2x+1/2)},$$

and

$$G(x, z) = \frac{Q(x, z)}{2(3+4x)(1+4x)(3x+z+2)^2(3x+z+3)^2} P(x, z) (-1)^z H(1,3)^2,$$

where $Q(x, z)$ is a polynomial in x and z . Application of corollary 2 gives

$$\zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^{3/2}}{2048} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{((2x)!)^3 (10920x^4 + 27908x^3 + 25962x^2 + 10275x + 1421)}{(\Gamma(2x+5/2))^3 (4096)^x},$$

and corollary 1 gives

$$\zeta(2) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{72} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{P(x)(x!)^2((2x)!)^2}{16^x \Gamma(2x+5/2)((3x+2)!)^2},$$

where

$$P(x) := 2912x^4 + 7100x^3 + 6381x^2 + 2494x + 355.$$

Example 7. Corresponding to $H(1,5)^2$, we find that

$$P(x, z) = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}(4x)!^3}{4(256)^x \sin(1/8\pi) \sin(3/8\pi) \Gamma(4x+1/2)},$$

and a corresponding MWZ mate $G(x, z)$. If we apply corollary 2, we find

$$\zeta(2) = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{3200 \sin(3/8\pi) \sin(1/8\pi)} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{P(x)((4x!)^3(x!)^2}{(256)^x \Gamma(4x+9/2)((5x+4)!)^2},$$

where

$$P(x) := 3333245952x^{10} + 18842142336x^9 + 47204597136x^8 + 68964524342x^7 + 65011852179x^6 \\ + 41280848445x^5 + 17862102186x^4 + 5194331883x^3 + 970166319x^2 + 104901994x + 4974228.$$

The terms of this series are $O((\frac{256}{9765625})^j) \approx O(10^{-5j})$.

Example 8. Similarly for the kernel $H(0, 2)^3$, we get

$$P(x, z) = \frac{(-1)^x(x!(2x)!)^3}{(3x)!} \text{ and}$$

$$G(x, z) = \frac{Q(x, z)}{6(3x+1)(3x+2)(2x+z+2)^3} H(0, 2)^3 P(x, z),$$

where $Q(x, z)$ is a certain polynomial in x and z .

By using corollary 2, we get

$$\zeta(3) = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^x(2x)!^3(x+1)!^6 P(x)}{2(x+1)^2((3x+3)!)^4},$$

where

$$P(x) := 40885x^5 + 124346x^4 + 150160x^3 + 89888x^2 + 26629x + 3116,$$

and application of corollary 1 gives

$$\zeta(3) = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^x(56x^2 + 80x + 29)(x!)^3}{4(2x+1)^2(3x+3)!}.$$

Example 9. Starting with the kernel $H(1, 3)^3$, we get

$$P(x, z) = \frac{(-1)^x(x!(2x)!)^3}{(3x)!} \text{ and}$$

$$G(x, z) = \frac{Q(x, z)}{6(3x+2)(3x+1)(3x+z+2)^3(3x+z+3)^3} P(x, z) H(1, 3)^3,$$

where

$$Q(x, z) =: 448x^5 + 624zx^4 + 1760x^4 + 1932zx^3 + 2728x^3 + 348z^2x^3 + 2214x^2z + 2084x^2 + 792z^2x^2 \\ + 90z^3x^2 + 594xz^2 + 1113xz + 9z^4x + 132z^3x + 784x + 6z^4 + 207z + 48z^3 + 147z^2 + 116.$$

In this example, we show all the steps to demonstrate the application of theorem 2

Let

$$F(x, z) := H(x, z)P(x, z) .$$

Define $M(n)$, for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$, by

$$M(n) := \sum_{x=0}^{n-1} G(x, 0) + \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} (F(x+n, x) + G(x+n, x+1)) .$$

Then theorem 2 says that $\zeta(3) = M(n)$, $\forall n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$.

In particular

$$\zeta(3) = M(0) = \frac{1}{24} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x!)^3 (2x)!^6 (-1)^x P(x)}{(3x+2)!((4x+3)!)^3} , \quad (2)$$

where

$$P(x) := 126392x^5 + 412708x^4 + 531578x^3 + 336367x^2 + 104000x + 12463 .$$

On the other hand, application of corollary 1 gives

$$\zeta(3) = \frac{1}{162} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{P(x)(x!)^6((2x)!)^3(-1)^x}{((3x+2)!)^4} ,$$

where

$$P(x) := 40885x^5 + 124346x^4 + 150160x^3 + 89888x^2 + 26629x + 3116 .$$

The series (2) was first derived in [AZ] and used by S. Wedeniwski (1999) to obtain up to 128 million correct decimal places. The terms of the series in (2) are $O((110592)^{-j}) \approx O(10^{-5j})$, while the terms of the second series are $O((\frac{64}{531441})^j) \approx O(10^{-4j})$.

Instead, if we take $H(1, 5)^3$, we get

$$P(x, z) = \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{(2x-1/2)^3 (2x)!^5 (4096)^x}{(729)^x \Gamma(2x+2/3) \Gamma(2x+1/3)} ,$$

and a corresponding $G(x, z)$. Let

$$F(x, z) = H(1, 5)^3 P(x, z) ,$$

and let $M(n)$ be as above.

Then theorem 2 gives $\zeta(3) = M(n)$, $\forall n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$ and in particular

$$\zeta(3) = M(0) = \frac{16}{81} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{P(x)(4096)^x ((4x)!)^3 ((2x)!)^2 ((2x+1)!)^4 (-1)^x}{((6x+5)!)^4} , \quad (3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(x) := & 5561689253120x^{13} + 41827852352256x^{12} + 143295193251200x^{11} + 295842983236608x^{10} \\
 & + 410324548816928x^9 + 403368918753744x^8 + 288879369092920x^7 + 152460289970616x^6 \\
 & + 59240414929957x^5 + 16722886152858x^4 + 3330604771504x^3 \\
 & + 442815051024x^2 + 35195802021x + 1261871244 .
 \end{aligned}$$

The terms of this series are $O\left(\left(\frac{4096}{282429536481}\right)^j\right) \approx O(10^{-8j})$.

This improves the previous record (2).

Example 10. If we start with

$$H(x, z) = \binom{x+a}{a+z} \binom{x+b}{b+z},$$

we get

$$P(x, z) = \frac{(a+b)!(x+a)!(x+b)!}{(a+2x+b)!a!b!},$$

and

$$G(x, z) = \frac{(3x^2 + 2xa + 2xb + 6x - 2xz + 2b + 2a - 2z + 3 - za + ab - zb)(a+z)(b+z)}{(a+2x+1+b)(2x+b+2+a)(x+1-z)^2} H(x, z) P(x, z).$$

One can easily check that $G(x, \pm\infty) = 0$.

Hence, we get

$$\sum_{z=-\infty}^{\infty} \binom{x+a}{a+z} \binom{x+b}{b+z} = \frac{(a+2x+b)!a!b!}{(a+b)!(x+a)!(x+b)!}.$$

This is a derivation of the classical Chu-Vandermonde summation formula, in the framework of the MWZ-method. The Markov-WZ method can sometimes lead to a discovery of new identities with appropriate $H(x, z)$.

Example 11. Let

$$H_s(x, z) := \left(\frac{(-1)^z \binom{m}{z}}{\binom{m+\delta}{x+z}} \right)^s.$$

In this example we will show how to use implementations of some numerical methods together with the Markov-WZ Method to give new WZ-pairs. The steps are:

- (a) Take the output from Markov in MarkovWZ (see [MZ]), which is a system of first order linear recurrence relation(s) for the unknown coefficient functions $a_i(x)$'s.
- (b) Crank out some terms for the unknown coefficients, i.e. use the recurrence equation outputted by the program and find the first few terms.

- (c) Use the Salvy-Zimmermann `gfund` program in the Algolib library available from `algo.inria.fr`, or **findrec** in **EKHAD**[†], to find a recurrence equation satisfied by the coefficient functions.
- (d) Finally, solve the recurrence relations to find a closed form for the coefficients (if there exists one) (for example, in Maple, use `rsolve`).

11.1 Starting with $H_2(x, z)$, we find that $L = 0$ and

$$P(x, z) := \frac{\Gamma(\delta + x)^3 \Gamma(\delta - 1/2)}{4^x \Gamma(\delta + x - 1/2) \Gamma(\delta)^3}.$$

Therefore we get a WZ-pair (F, G) (*not MWZ!*), where $F(x, z) := H_2(x, z)P(x, z)$, and

$$G(x, z) := F(x, z) \frac{(3x + 2z + 2m - 2 + 3\delta)}{2(2x + 2\delta - 1)},$$

and by applying corollary 1, we get the identity

$$\sum_{z=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(z+m)^2 \Gamma(m+\delta)^2}{\Gamma(m)^2 \Gamma(m+\delta+z)^2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{(3x+3\delta+2m-2)\Gamma(\delta+x)^3 \Gamma(\delta-1/2) \Gamma(m+\delta)^2}{\Gamma(\delta+x-1/2) \Gamma(\delta)^3 \Gamma(m+x+\delta)^2 (2x+2\delta-1)} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^x,$$

for $\delta = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$. If we specialize to $m = 1$ and $\delta = 1$, we get the formula for $\zeta(2)$, which is

$$\zeta(2) = \frac{3\sqrt{\pi}}{4} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(x+1)}{(x+1)\Gamma(3/2+x)} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^x = \frac{3}{2} {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} 1, 1, 1 \\ 2, \frac{3}{2} \end{matrix}; \frac{1}{4}\right)$$

11.2 Starting with $H_3(x, z)$ we find that $L = 1$ and there is a vector first order recurrence relations for the polynomials $a_0(x), a_1(x)$. That means if we set

$$a(x) := [a_0(x), a_1(x)]^T,$$

then there is a 2 by 2 matrix $\mathbf{A}(x)$ such that $a(x+1) = \mathbf{A}(x)a(x)$, and by using `findrec` in **EKHAD** we get

$$a_0(x) := \frac{(-1)^x \Gamma(x+\delta)^3 (x+\delta-1) \Gamma(\delta-1/2)}{\Gamma(\delta)^3}, \text{ and } a_1(x) := \frac{2(-1)^x \Gamma(\delta+x)^3 \Gamma(\delta-1/2)}{\Gamma(\delta)^3}.$$

Hence our polynomial is $P(x, z) = a_0(x) + a_1(x)(z+m)$, and the corresponding WZ-pair is $(H_3(x, z)P(x, z), G(x, z))$, where

$$G(x, z) := \frac{2x + 2\delta + z + m - 1}{2z + 2m + \delta + x - 1} P(x, z) H_3(x, z),$$

as outputted by `zeil` in **EKHAD**. Applying corollary 1, we get the identity

$$\sum_{z=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^z (2z + 2m + \delta - 1) \Gamma(m+z)^3}{\Gamma(m)^3 \Gamma(m+\delta+z)^3} = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^x (2x + 2\delta + m - 1) \Gamma(x+\delta)^3}{\Gamma(\delta)^3 \Gamma(m+\delta+x)^3},$$

for $\delta = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$, and $m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$.

[†] download-able free from: <http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/>

11.3 Starting with $H_4(x, z)$ we find that $L = 1$ and there is a first order vector recurrence relations for the polynomials $a_0(x), a_1(x)$. Using findrec in EKHAD we get

$$a_0(x) := \frac{(-1)^x \Gamma(\delta + x)^5 (\delta + x - 1) \Gamma(\delta - 1/2)}{\Gamma(\delta + x - 1/2) \Gamma(\delta)^5 4^x},$$

and

$$a_1(x) := \frac{2(-1)^x \Gamma(\delta + x)^5 \Gamma(\delta - 1/2)}{4^x \Gamma(\delta + x - 1/2) \Gamma(\delta)^5}.$$

This leads to the WZ-pair $(F(x, z)(a_0(x) + a_1(x)(m + z)), G)$, where G is

$$G := \frac{5x^2 + 6mx + 10\delta x + 6m\delta + 5\delta^2 + 2m^2 + 6xz - 6x + 6\delta z - 6\delta + 4mz - 4m + 2z^2 - 4z + 2}{2(2x + 2\delta - 1)(2m + 2z + x + \delta - 1)}.$$

Application of corollary 1 yields the identity

$$\sum_{z=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(m+z)^4 (2m+2z+\delta-1)}{\Gamma(m+\delta+z)^4} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(m)^4 \Gamma(x+\delta)^5 \Gamma(\delta-1/2) P(x)}{\Gamma(x+1/2+\delta) \Gamma(m+\delta+x)^4 \Gamma(\delta)^5} \left(\frac{-1}{4}\right)^x,$$

that holds for $\delta = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$, and $m = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$, where

$$P(x) := 5x^2 + 10x\delta + 6xm + 2m^2 + 5\delta^2 + 6\delta m + 2 - 6x - 4m - 6\delta.$$

If we specialize to $m = 1$ and $\delta = 1$, we find the motivation for Andrei Markov's beautiful work, namely

$$\zeta(3) = \frac{5\sqrt{\pi}}{4} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(x+1)}{(x+1)^2 \Gamma(x+3/2)} \left(\frac{-1}{4}\right)^x = \frac{5}{4} {}_4F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} 1, 1, 1, 1 \\ 2, 2, \frac{3}{2} \end{matrix}; \frac{-1}{4} \right).$$

11.4 Starting with $H_5(x, z)$ we found that $L = 3$. The corresponding polynomial satisfies a recurrence relation of order ≥ 2 , for which we couldn't find an explicit closed form solution for the polynomial. Nonetheless, as described in [MZ], we have an accelerating formula for $\zeta(5)$ (see [MZ] for $5 \leq n \leq 9$).

Acknowledgements

I wish to thank my thesis advisor, Prof. Doron Zeilberger, for his guidance. Also many thanks to the anonymous referees for helpful comments and suggestions on earlier versions.

References

- [A] T. Amdeberhan, *Faster and faster convergent series for $\zeta(3)$* , Elec. J. of Combinatorics, 3 (1996):40-42.
- [AZ] T. Amdeberhan and D. Zeilberger, *Hypergeometric Series Acceleration via the WZ Method*, Invent. Math. 108, (1992): 575-633.
- [M] M. Mohammed, *The q -Markov-WZ Method*, to appear in Annals of Combinatorics.
- [MZ] M. Mohammed and D. Zeilberger, *The Markov-WZ Method*, Elec. J. of Combinatorics, 11:1, Article R53.
- [W] H. Wilf, *Accelerated series for universal constants, by the WZ Method*, J. of Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science 3, (1999): 189-192.
- [WZ] H. Wilf and D. Zeilberger, *Rational Function Certify Combinatorial Identities*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 3, (1990): 147-158.
- [Z] D. Zeilberger, *Closed Form (pun intended!)*, Contemporary Mathematics 143, (1993): 579-607.
- [Z1] D. Zeilberger, *The method of creative telescoping*, J. Symbolic Computation 11, (1991): 195-204.

