

# Clique-transversal sets and weak 2-colorings in graphs of small maximum degree

Gábor Bacsó, Zsolt Tuza

► **To cite this version:**

Gábor Bacsó, Zsolt Tuza. Clique-transversal sets and weak 2-colorings in graphs of small maximum degree. Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science, DMTCS, 2009, 11 (2), pp.15–24. <hal-00988213>

**HAL Id: hal-00988213**

**<https://hal.inria.fr/hal-00988213>**

Submitted on 7 May 2014

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Clique-transversal sets and weak 2-colorings in graphs of small maximum degree<sup>†</sup>

Gábor Bacsó<sup>1</sup> and Zsolt Tuza<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Computer and Automation Institute, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, H-1111 Budapest, Kende u. 13–17, Hungary

<sup>2</sup>Department of Computer Science, University of Pannonia, H-8200 Veszprém, Egyetem u. 10, Hungary

received December 31, 2008, accepted June 8, 2009.

A clique-transversal set in a graph is a subset of the vertices that meets all maximal complete subgraphs on at least two vertices. We prove that every connected graph of order  $n$  and maximum degree three has a clique-transversal set of size  $\lfloor 19n/30 + 2/15 \rfloor$ . This bound is tight, since  $19n/30 - 1/15$  is a lower bound for infinitely many values of  $n$ . We also prove that the vertex set of any connected claw-free graph of maximum degree at most four, other than an odd cycle longer than three, can be partitioned into two clique-transversal sets. The proofs of both results yield polynomial-time algorithms that find corresponding solutions.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05C15, 05C69, 05C85

**Keywords:** clique-transversal set, weak coloring, clique coloring, cubic graph, claw-free graph, polynomial-time algorithm

## 1 Introduction

We consider finite, simple undirected graphs  $G = (V, E)$ , with vertex set  $V$  and edge set  $E$ . The number of vertices will be denoted by  $n$ .

There are slight differences in the usage of the term ‘clique’ in graph theory. Throughout this paper, we use *clique* with the following restricted meaning: *inclusion-wise maximal complete subgraph with at least two vertices*. Hence, isolated vertices will *not* be called cliques, and maximality under inclusion will be required.

A *clique-transversal set* is a set  $S \subseteq V$  that meets all cliques of  $G$ . The smallest cardinality of a clique-transversal set in  $G$ , called *clique-transversal number*, is denoted by  $\tau_C(G)$ . A *weak 2-coloring* of  $G$  is a mapping  $\phi : V \rightarrow \{r, g\}$  (say red, green) such that both  $\phi^{-1}(r)$  and  $\phi^{-1}(g)$  are clique-transversal sets. If such  $\phi$  exists, we say that  $G$  is *weakly 2-colorable*.

This notion can be extended to *weak  $k$ -coloring*, also called  *$k$ -clique-coloring* in the literature, which assigns one of  $k$  colors to each vertex in such a way that no clique is monochromatic. Equivalently, graph  $G$  is *weakly  $k$ -colorable* if there exists a partition  $V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_k = V$  such that no  $V_i$  contains any cliques of  $G$ . The smallest nonnegative integer  $k$  admitting a weak  $k$ -coloring will be denoted by  $\chi_C(G)$ .

<sup>†</sup>Research supported by the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund, grant OTKA T-049613.

## 1.1 Some standard terminology

For  $d = 3, 4$  we denote by  $\mathcal{G}_d$  the class of *connected* graphs of *maximum degree* at most  $d$ . The members of  $\mathcal{G}_3$  are the connected *subcubic* graphs, and those 3-regular ones are called *cubic*. The degree of vertex  $v$  will be denoted by  $d(v)$ . A vertex is *simplicial* if its neighbors are mutually adjacent.

Given a “forbidden” graph  $F$ , graph  $G$  is called *F-free* if no *induced* subgraph of  $G$  is isomorphic to  $F$ . In the cases of  $F = K_3$ ,  $F = K_{1,3}$ , and  $F = K_4 - e$  (one edge removed from  $K_4$ ), we use the standard terms *triangle-free*, *claw-free*, and *diamond-free*, respectively. A *hole* is a chordless cycle of length at least four.

## 1.2 Results and history

The graph invariant  $\tau_C$  was introduced by Gallai [13] and then studied by various authors. The earliest published results deal with chordal graphs [22], relating  $\tau_C$  with the number of vertices under the assumption that each edge is contained in some clique of given order. The case of  $\tau_C \leq n/2$  has been analyzed for line graphs and their complements [4], and some general bounds on  $\tau_C$  appeared in [11].

It should be noted that if  $G$  is triangle-free, then a set is a clique-transversal set of  $G$  if and only if it meets all edges—i.e., it is a vertex cover—therefore  $\tau_C(G)$  is equal to the number of vertices minus the independence number of  $G$  in this case. This also means that the determination of  $\tau_C$  is algorithmically hard, on many restricted classes of graphs. Structured hard classes with respect to  $\tau_C$  can be found in [9, 14, 8], whereas polynomial-time algorithms for other classes are given in [7, 9, 16].

Liang *et al.* [17] proved the following estimates on the clique-transversal number.

1. Every connected cubic graph  $G$  of order  $n > 4$  has  $5n/14 \leq \tau_C(G) \leq 2n/3$ .
2. There exist infinitely many cubic connected graphs with  $\tau_C(G) = 3n/5$ .

The estimates of 1 were proved also in [21], and the graphs attaining the lower bound were characterized in both papers. On the other hand, it remained an open problem to determine a tight upper bound on  $\tau_C(G)$  as a function of  $n$ . It has been explicitly raised in [17, page 114] whether  $\lceil 3n/5 \rceil$  is a valid upper bound. Here we disprove this guess and prove the following estimates.

**Theorem 1** *Consider the class  $\mathcal{G}_3$  of connected subcubic graphs.*

1. *If  $G \in \mathcal{G}_3$  of order  $n$  is not cubic, or contains a triangle, then  $\tau_C(G) \leq 19n/30 + 1/30$ .*
2. *If  $G \in \mathcal{G}_3$  of order  $n$  is cubic and triangle-free, then  $\tau_C(G) \leq 19n/30 + 2/15$ .*

*These bounds are tight in the sense that there exist infinitely many  $G \in \mathcal{G}_3$ , say of order  $n$ , such that*

3.  *$G$  is cubic and  $\tau_C(G) = 19n/30 - 1/15$ .*
4.  *$G$  is not cubic and  $\tau_C(G) = 19n/30 - 3/10$ .*

*Moreover, clique-transversal sets of sizes guaranteed in Parts 1 and 2 can be found in polynomial time for any  $G \in \mathcal{G}_3$ .*

Determining  $\chi_C(G)$  is hard: to decide  $\chi_C = 2$  is NP-complete on 3-chromatic *perfect* graphs [15], and can be even harder: it is  $\Sigma_2^P$ -complete on unrestricted input graphs [19]. On the positive side, all planar graphs have  $\chi_C \leq 3$  [20] and  $\chi_C = 2$  can be tested in polynomial time if the input is restricted to planar instances [15], hence  $\chi_C$  on planar graphs can be determined efficiently.

A necessary and sufficient condition for  $\chi_C \leq k$  on line graphs was given in [4]. Moreover, claw-free perfect graphs are weakly 2-colorable [6]. It was erroneously stated in [17, page 114] that the upper bound  $\tau_C \leq n/2$  implies  $\chi_C \leq 2$  for claw-free cubic graphs; later, however, in an unpublished manuscript the authors of [17] gave a proof for weak 2-colorability. Here we extend this latter result by dropping the condition of regularity and also weakening the condition on vertex degrees.

**Theorem 2** *Every connected claw-free graph of maximum degree at most four, other than an odd hole, is weakly 2-colorable. Moreover, a weak 2-coloring can be found in polynomial time.*

These results are proved in Sections 2 and 3, respectively. Some related problems are mentioned in the concluding section.

## 2 Transversal sets

In this section we prove Theorem 1. Let us begin with the proof of tightness, and then proceed with the upper bounds.

**Proof of Parts 3 and 4.** Locke [18] constructed an infinite family of connected cubic triangle-free graphs with  $n := 30k + 22$  vertices and independence number  $11k + 8$ . Thus, in every such graph  $G$  we have

$$\tau_C(G) = 19k + 14 = 19(n - 22)/30 + 14 = 19n/30 - 1/15.$$

If a non-regular connected graph is needed, we omit just one non-cutting vertex. Denoting  $n := 30k + 21$  we obtain

$$\tau_C(G) = 19k + 13 = 19(n - 21)/30 + 13 = 19n/30 - 3/10.$$

**Proof of Parts 1 and 2.** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a subcubic connected graph of order  $n$ . Suppose first that  $G$  is *triangle-free*. If  $G$  is *not* 3-regular, we first run the  $O(n^4)$  algorithm of Fraughnaugh and Locke [12], which finds an independent set  $W$  of size at least  $11n/30 - 1/30$  in  $G$ . Then the set

$$S := V \setminus W, \quad |S| \leq 19n/30 + 1/30$$

meets all edges of  $G$  and hence is a clique-transversal set of required size, found in polynomial time. If  $G$  is triangle-free and *cubic*, then the algorithm in [12] guarantees a slightly weaker lower bound  $|W| \geq 11n/30 - 2/15$  on the size of independent set  $W$ , and we obtain  $|S| \leq 19n/30 + 2/15$  in this case.

Suppose from now on that  $G$  contains a triangle, say  $T$  with vertex set  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ . Each  $x_i \in T$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) has at most one neighbor outside  $T$ . We assume  $d(x_1) \geq d(x_2) \geq d(x_3)$ , and if  $d(x_i) = 3$  then denote the neighbor of  $x_i$  outside  $T$  by  $y_i$ .

If  $d(x_1) = 2$ , then  $G \simeq K_3$ ; and if  $d(x_3) = 3$  and  $y_1 = y_2 = y_3$ , then  $G \simeq K_4$ . In either case,  $\tau_C(G) = 1 \leq n/3$  holds, and we have nothing to prove. Similarly, it is easy to check that  $\tau_C(G) \leq n/2$  is valid if  $n \leq 4$ . Hence, we assume  $d(x_1) = 3$  and  $n > 4$ .

We shall apply induction on  $n$ , assuming that the upper bound  $\tau_C(G') \leq 19n'/30 + 1/30$  is valid for all non-cubic  $G' \in \mathcal{G}_3$  of order  $n' < n$ . For disconnected subcubic graphs with  $K$  components, none of which is cubic, this equivalently means  $\tau_C(G') \leq 19n'/30 + K/30$ . Note that no proper subgraph of  $G$  can have cubic components, because  $G$  is connected. The following simple fact will also be useful.

**Remark 1** Removing any set  $U$  of vertices, the number of components in the remaining graph cannot be larger than the edges connecting  $U$  with  $V \setminus U$ .

We now proceed with the inductive step for the upper bound on  $\tau_C$ . If  $d(x_2) = 2$ , then  $G - T$  is connected and it has a clique-transversal set  $S'$  of size at most  $19(n-3)/30 + 1/30$  by the induction hypothesis. Since  $S := S' \cup \{x_1\}$  is a clique-transversal set in  $G$ , the upper bound  $\tau_C(G) \leq 19n/30 - 13/15$  follows.

Suppose  $d(x_2) = 3$  and  $y_1 \neq y_2$ . If  $d(x_3) = 2$ , or  $d(x_3) = 3$  but  $y_3 = y_1$  (or  $y_3 = y_2$ ), we consider the graph  $G - T - y_1$  (or  $G - T - y_2$ ). Since it has at most three connected components by Remark 1, it contains a clique-transversal set  $S'$  of size at most  $19(n-4)/30 + 3/30$ , and then  $S := S' \cup \{y_1, x_2\}$  meets all cliques of  $G$ . Thus,  $\tau_C(G) \leq 19n/30 + (3 - 76 + 60)/30 = 19n/30 - 13/30$ .

Finally, suppose  $d(x_3) = 3$  and  $y_1 \neq y_2 \neq y_3 \neq y_1$ . We now consider  $G - T - y_1 - y_2$ . By Remark 1 it has at most five connected components. Hence, by the induction hypothesis, it has a clique-transversal set  $S'$  of size at most  $19(n-5)/30 + 5/30$ , and  $S := S' \cup \{y_1, y_2, x_3\}$  is a clique-transversal set in  $G$ . Thus,  $\tau_C(G) \leq 19n/30 + (5 - 95 + 90)/30 = 19n/30$ .

*Time analysis.* Let us choose a polynomial  $P(x)$  satisfying the following properties:  $P(x)$  is monotone increasing for  $x > 0$ ,  $P(n)$  is an upper bound for all  $n$  on the running time of the  $O(n^4)$  algorithm in [12] for triangle-free subcubic graphs, moreover

$$P(x') + P(x'') \leq P(x' + x'') \quad \text{and} \quad P(x-3) + cx \leq P(x)$$

for all  $x', x'' \geq 1$ , all  $x \geq 4$ , and for some constant  $c$  to be fixed later. For instance, if  $\sum_{i=0}^4 a_i x^i$  is a valid bound for [12], then  $P(x) := \sum_{i=0}^4 |a_i| x^i + cx^2$  will do; and any faster algorithm for triangle-free graphs would yield a stronger estimate for the general case, too.

If  $G$  is triangle-free, then the algorithm terminates in at most  $P(n)$  steps by assumption. Otherwise, triangle  $T$  can be found in  $c_1 n$  steps for some constant  $c_1$ , e.g. applying breadth-first search and checking at each vertex whether its two descendants (or possibly three for the root vertex) are adjacent or not.

The removal of 3, 4, or 5 vertices takes constant time. Assuming that the remaining graph has connected components of orders  $n_1, \dots, n_k$ , we need at most  $c_2(n_1 + \dots + n_k)$  steps to determine its components and at most  $P(n_1) + \dots + P(n_k) \leq P(n_1 + \dots + n_k) \leq P(n-3)$  steps to find the partial clique-transversal set  $S'$ . In this way, choosing  $c = c_1 + c_2$  we obtain that  $P(n)$  is an upper bound on the total running time.  $\square$

### 3 Weak 2-coloring

In this section we prove Theorem 2. Since even cycles are trivial to 2-color, we assume that  $G$  is not a cycle. It will turn out that diamond-free graphs admit a more elegant approach than general ones, therefore we treat them first; and afterwards the idea will be to identify a diamond  $D$ , find a weak 2-coloring of  $G - D$ , and prove that it can be extended to a weak 2-coloring of  $G$ .

So, assume first that  $G$  is connected, claw-free and also diamond-free, has maximum degree at most four, and is not a chordless cycle of length greater than three. Under these conditions we say that  $G$  is a *safe graph*. Moreover, Let us call a vertex  $x$  *safe* if it satisfies the following requirements:

1.  $G - x$  is connected,
2.  $G - x$  is not a cycle longer than three,

3.  $x$  is either a pendant vertex or contained in a  $K_3 \subseteq G$ .

For a safe vertex  $x$  we define its *critical neighbor*  $y$ —whose choice is not always unique—as follows.

- If  $d(x) = 1$ , then  $y$  is the unique neighbor of  $x$ .
- If  $x$  is in some triangle  $T_x$ , let  $K_x$  be the (unique) clique containing  $T_x$ .
  - If  $x$  has neighbor(s) outside  $K_x$ , let  $y \notin K_x$  be any such neighbor.
  - Otherwise, let  $y \in K_x$  be any neighbor of  $x$ .

Note that  $K_x$  is well-defined because each edge (and hence also each triangle) of  $G$  lies in a unique clique, otherwise  $G$  would not be diamond-free. For the same reason,  $x$  cannot occur in two triangle cliques which share a further vertex. And  $x$  cannot be involved in two cliques of size two either, because they would induce a claw with a vertex of  $T_x$ . On the other hand, it can happen that  $x$  is incident with two edge-disjoint triangles, in this case  $T_x = K_x$  can be chosen as any one of them.

We proceed with some properties concerning safe vertices in safe graphs.

**Lemma 1** *If  $x$  is a safe vertex in a safe graph  $G$ , and  $x$  is contained in a triangle  $T_x$ , then also  $K_x - x$  is a clique in  $G - x$  for the unique clique  $K_x$  containing  $T_x$  in  $G$ .*

**Proof:** Otherwise, there is a vertex  $z$  adjacent to all vertices of  $K_x$ . In this case,  $xy$  must be a non-edge, by the maximality of  $K_x$ . But then  $T_x \cup \{z\}$  induces a diamond, a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 2** *Every safe graph of order greater than one has a safe vertex.*

**Proof:** Let  $G$  be a safe graph. Suppose first that  $G$  has a leaf  $x$ . The only safe-vertex-defining condition which could be violated is 2, but then we would find a claw in  $G$ . Thus,  $x$  is safe.

Assume next that  $G$  has no pendant vertices. Then  $G$  is not a tree, and it contains a chordless cycle. If this cycle can be chosen with length at least four, then we denote it by  $C$ . Since  $G$  is not a cycle, there exists some vertex  $u$  adjacent to  $C$ . Claw-freeness implies that there is an edge  $e = xy$  in  $C$  such that  $xyu$  is a triangle. If  $G - x$  is disconnected, then the two neighbors of  $x$  on  $C$  and a third neighbor in another component of  $G - x$  form a claw with center  $x$ . Hence,  $G - x$  has to be connected, and again it suffices to check whether Condition 2 is valid.

Suppose on the contrary that the graph  $G - x$  is a chordless cycle. Let  $z \neq y$  be the other neighbor of  $x$  on  $C$ . In this case,  $G - x$  consists of two paths, namely  $P := C - x$  from  $y$  to  $z$  and a  $z-u$  path  $Q$ , completed to a chordless cycle with edge  $uy$ . The neighbors of  $x$  are  $y, u, z$ , and the neighbor of  $z$  on  $Q$ . This is the only situation where  $x$  violates Condition 2. But then both  $y$  and  $z$  are safe in  $G$ .

Finally, if  $G$  has no chordless cycles of length at least four, then  $G$  is chordal, by definition. It is a well-known fact that a chordal graph has a simplicial vertex  $x$ , which clearly is safe.  $\square$

**Lemma 3** *Let  $x$  be a safe vertex in a safe graph  $G = (V, E)$ , with critical neighbor  $y$ . If  $\phi : V \setminus \{x\} \rightarrow \{r, g\}$  is a weak 2-coloring of  $G - x$ , then  $\phi(x) := \{r, g\} \setminus \{\phi(y)\}$  extends it to a weak 2-coloring of  $G$ .*

**Proof:** Suppose on the contrary that some monochromatic clique  $R$  occurs in  $G$ , say completely red. Of course,  $x \in R$  and  $|R| \geq 2$ . Let  $W$  be the complete subgraph  $R - x$ . This  $W$  is not maximal in  $G - x$

since  $\phi$  is a weak 2-coloring of  $G - x$ . Hence, By Lemma 1 we have  $|W| = 1$ , say  $W = \{w\}$ . Note that  $w \neq y$  because  $\phi(w) = \phi(x) \neq \phi(y)$ .

Vertex  $x$  is not pendant, therefore its  $K_x$  is well-defined. Since the edge  $wx$  is a clique in  $G$  and so it cannot be contained in any triangle, we see that  $wy \notin E$ , moreover  $w$  is not in  $K_x - x$ .

By Lemma 1,  $K_x - x$  is a clique in  $G - x$ , consequently both  $y$  and  $w$  have some non-neighbors in  $K_x - x$ ; denote one non-neighbor by  $y'$  and  $w'$ , respectively. Then  $yy'$  is an edge, otherwise  $\{x, y, w, w'\}$  would induce a claw. But now  $yy' \notin E$  implies  $y' \neq w'$  and that  $\{x, y, y', w'\}$  induces a diamond, a contradiction.  $\square$

Based on these lemmas, we design Algorithm 1 as a subroutine for the general algorithm to find a weak 2-coloring.

---

**Algorithm 1** SAFECOL( $G$ ) — Weak 2-coloring of safe graphs

---

**Require:** Safe graph  $G = (V, E)$ .

**Ensure:** Weak 2-coloring  $\phi : V \rightarrow \{r, g\}$ .

- 1: **if**  $|V| = 1$  **then** {assume  $V = \{v\}$ }
  - 2:    $\phi(v) := g$
  - 3: **else**
  - 4: Find safe vertex  $x$  and its critical neighbor  $y$
  - 5: SAFECOL( $G - x$ )
  - 6:  $\phi(x) := \{r, g\} \setminus \{\phi(y)\}$
- 

*Time analysis for Algorithm 1.* Apart from the recursive call in Step 5, the only time-consuming instruction is to identify a safe vertex in Step 4. Efficient implementation is ensured by the following claim.

**Lemma 4** *A safe vertex in a safe graph can be found in linear time.*

**Proof:** The non-cutting vertices  $x$  of  $G$  can be enumerated in  $O(n)$  steps, and since  $G$  has bounded maximum degree (and also because it is claw-free), for each  $x$  it can be tested in constant time whether or not  $x$  is incident with a triangle. Finally,  $G - x$  can be a cycle for at most one choice of  $x$ .  $\square$

Hence, storing the eliminated vertices in a stack, the recursive call of Step 5 (which yields iterated executions of Steps 4 and 6) can be implemented efficiently. As a consequence, Algorithm 1 requires not more than  $O(n^2)$  steps.

The following side-product of our method appears to be of interest on its own right, too.

**Remark 2** *Since every subgraph of any safe  $G \not\cong K_1$  contains a safe vertex, a “safe elimination order” can be determined.*

From now on we suppose that  $G$  contains a diamond  $D \simeq K_4 - e$ . Some cliques of  $G$  have vertices in both  $D$  and  $G - D$ ; we call them *crossing cliques*. If a crossing clique  $Q$  has just one vertex in  $D$ , we say that  $Q$  is a *strong crossing clique*; and otherwise we say that  $Q$  is *weak*.

As for notation, we assume that the diamond  $D$  found in  $G$  has vertex set  $\{c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2\}$ , where the only non-edge is  $\{c_1, c_2\}$ . By the degree assumption, there can occur at most one edge from  $d_i$  to  $M := G - D$ , and at most two edges from  $c_i$  to  $M$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Due to these degree constraints and the assumption that  $G$  is claw-free, combinations of the following crossing cliques may occur:

- strong edge:  $c_i a_i$  (at most one for each  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ )
- strong triangle:  $c_i b'_i b''_i$  (at most one for each  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ )
- weak triangle:  $c_i d_j w_{i,j}$  (at most one for each pair  $(i, j)$ )
- weak 4-clique:  $c_i d_1 d_2 z_i$  (at most one for each  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ )

Degree bounds on  $d_1, d_2$  imply that if both  $w_{1,j}, w_{2,j}$  exist, then  $w_{1,j} = w_{2,j}$ ; and similarly, if both  $z_1, z_2$  exist, then  $z_1 = z_2$ . Moreover, weak triangles of type  $d_1 d_2 v$  would create a claw, hence are excluded.

The procedure can now be formalized as described in Algorithm 2. The heart of the proof is expressed in the following assertion.

---

**Algorithm 2** CLQCOL( $G$ ) — Determination of weak 2-coloring

---

**Require:** Claw-free connected graph  $G = (V, E)$  of maximum degree at most 4, not a hole.

**Ensure:** Weak 2-coloring  $\phi : V \rightarrow \{r, g\}$ .

- 1: **if**  $G$  is diamond-free **then**  $\{G$  is safe $\}$
  - 2: SAFECOL( $G$ )
  - 3: **else**
  - 4: Find diamond  $D$ , label its vertices  $c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2$  such that  $c_1 c_2 \notin E$
  - 5: **for all** components  $H$  of  $G - D$  **do**
  - 6:   **if**  $H$  not a cycle longer than 3 **then**
  - 7:     CLQCOL( $H$ )
  - 8:   **else**  $\{$ assume  $H \simeq C_\ell, \ell \geq 4$ , vertices labeled  $x_1, \dots, x_\ell$  sequentially along  $H$  $\}$
  - 9:     **if**  $\ell$  is even **then**
  - 10:        $\phi(x_i) := g$  for  $i$  odd ( $i = 1, 3, \dots, \ell - 1$ ),  $\phi(x_i) := r$  for  $i$  even ( $i = 2, 4, \dots, \ell$ )
  - 11:     **if**  $\ell$  is odd **then**
  - 12:       Find edge  $e \in E(H)$  contained in a crossing clique  $Q$   $\{$ assume  $e = x_1 x_\ell$  $\}$
  - 13:        $\phi(x_i) := g$  for  $i$  odd ( $i = 1, 3, \dots, \ell$ ),  $\phi(x_i) := r$  for  $i$  even ( $i = 2, 4, \dots, \ell - 1$ )
  - 14: Find  $\phi : \{c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2\} \rightarrow \{r, g\}$  with  $\phi(d_1) \neq \phi(d_2)$ , s.t. no monochromatic crossing clique occurs  $\{$ such  $\phi$  exists; see text $\}$
- 

**Lemma 5** *Let  $G \in \mathcal{G}_4$  be claw-free, and  $D$  a diamond in  $G$ . If no component of  $G - D$  is an odd hole, then every weak 2-coloring of  $G - D$  can be extended to a weak 2-coloring of  $G$  in such a way that the two vertices of degree three inside  $D$  get distinct colors.*

**Proof:** Suppose that a weak 2-coloring  $\phi$  of  $G - D$  has been fixed. We wish to extend it to the entire  $G$  without changing any color in  $G - D$ ; the extension will also be denoted by  $\phi$ .

Once we decide that  $\phi(d_1) \neq \phi(d_2)$  holds, all cliques of  $G$  with three vertices in  $D$  are 2-colored. This includes the triangles of  $D$  and the weak 4-cliques, too, if there are any. Therefore, we only have to show that the crossing cliques of orders two and three—strong edge, strong triangle, weak triangle—are 2-colorable under this condition.

A strong crossing clique may determine the color of  $c_i$ . Namely,  $\phi(c_i) = \{r, g\} \setminus \{\phi(a_i)\}$  must hold in a strong edge, and likewise,  $\phi(b'_i) = \phi(b''_i)$  in a strong triangle forces  $\phi(c_i) = \{r, g\} \setminus \{\phi(b'_i)\}$ . Since each  $c_i$  is incident with at most one strong clique, two contradictory conditions of this kind cannot occur at  $c_i$ . Moreover, apart from these situations, we have no *a priori* restriction on the colors of  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ .



Suppose first that  $c_1 a_1$  is a strong edge. Then  $c_1$  cannot be incident with any crossing triangles: a strong one is impossible by the degree condition, and a weak triangle  $c_1 d_1 w_{1,1}$  would create a claw on  $\{c_1, d_2, a_1, w_{1,1}\}$  because  $c_1 a_1$  is a clique and hence  $a_1$  cannot be adjacent to any neighbor of  $c_1$ . Consequently,  $\phi(c_1) := \{r, g\} \setminus \{\phi(a_1)\}$  yields a 2-coloring for all crossing cliques incident with  $c_1$ . The same argument applies if there is a strong edge  $c_2 a_2$ .

The situation is similar and only slightly more complicated if there is a strong triangle, say  $c_1 b'_1 b''_1$ . In this case further edges  $b'_1 d_1$  and/or  $b''_1 d_2$  may be present, creating one or two weak triangles (or weak 4-cliques). If  $\phi(b'_1) = \phi(b''_1)$ , the choice  $\phi(c_i) := \{r, g\} \setminus \{\phi(b'_1)\}$  2-colors those weak triangles as well, and the proof is done. On the other hand, if  $\phi(b'_1) \neq \phi(b''_1)$ , then we may disregard the strong triangle because it is already 2-colored, independently of the actual color of  $c_2$ .

From now on we may assume that  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are contained in weak triangles only. We select one  $c_1 d_i w_{1,i}$  and one  $c_2 d_j w_{2,j}$ , and define  $\phi(c_1) := \{r, g\} \setminus \{\phi(w_{1,i})\}$ ,  $\phi(c_2) := \{r, g\} \setminus \{\phi(w_{2,j})\}$ . This leaves at most one monochromatic weak triangle on each of  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ . If such a triangle remains on one of  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  only, then some of  $(\phi(d_1), \phi(d_2)) := (g, r)$  and  $(\phi(d_1), \phi(d_2)) := (r, g)$  surely makes it 2-colored. In the other case both  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  occur in two weak triangles; but each  $d_i$  has only one neighbor in  $G - D$ , therefore we must have  $w_{1,1} = w_{2,1} \neq w_{1,2} = w_{2,2}$ . Here  $w_{2,1} \neq w_{1,2}$  holds because otherwise two weak 4-cliques would occur instead of four weak triangles.

If  $\phi(w_{2,1}) \neq \phi(w_{1,2})$ , a simple completion of the coloring is to put  $\phi(d_1) := \phi(w_{1,2})$  and  $\phi(d_2) := \phi(w_{2,1})$ ; and if  $\phi(w_{2,1}) = \phi(w_{1,2})$ , then all the four weak triangles have a vertex of opposite color at  $c_1$  or  $c_2$ , and we obtain a weak 2-coloring by assigning  $(\phi(d_1), \phi(d_2)) := (g, r)$ .  $\square$

Based on Lemma 5, the soundness of Algorithm 2 can be verified easily, although it needs a little case distinction because odd hole components in  $G - D$  are not weakly 2-colorable. If a component  $H \not\cong K_3$  of  $G - D$  is an odd cycle longer than three, however, then any edge connecting  $H$  with  $D$  has to be extendable to a triangle with two vertices in  $H$ , for otherwise a claw would occur. Hence, edge  $e$  in Step 10 is well-defined, and it induces a strong triangle with  $c_1$  or  $c_2$ . That is, the situation is the same as if the strong triangle occurred from a non-cycle component, and the argument given in the proof of Lemma 5 verifies that all crossing cliques are 2-colored.

*Time analysis for Algorithm 2.* As it has been shown, Algorithm 1 called in Step 2 runs within  $cn_i^2$  time on any graph of order  $n_i$ , for some absolute constant  $c$ . Observe further that, no matter how many times it is performed during the recursive calls of Step 7, the safe subgraphs occurring in the procedure are mutually vertex-disjoint. Consequently, the overall running time of this part of Algorithm 2 does not exceed  $cn^2$ .

Even better, cycles in Steps 8–13 need time proportional to  $\ell$ , and also those cycles are mutually vertex-disjoint. Hence, they require  $O(n)$  time altogether. Also, Step 14 requires constant time for  $D$ , because only few crossing cliques can occur and they can be enumerated in constant time. These constants sum up to  $O(n)$  through all iterations.

Since the vertex degrees are bounded, we need at most  $c'n$  time to determine diamond  $D$  in Step 4. Also, we can enumerate the components of  $G - D$  in Step 5 and check the condition in Step 6 in linear time. Hence, reduction to a smaller problem instance takes linear time. Thus, the overall running time of the algorithm is  $O(n^2)$ .  $\square$

## 4 Concluding remarks

Here we put a couple of simple observations and mention some problems, which would be of interest for future research.

**NP-completeness.** From the well-known fact that the independence number is NP-complete to determine on cubic graphs, in connection with Theorem 1 we can derive that the complexity of finding  $\tau_C$  is NP-complete on triangle-free cubic graphs. The proof can be done in two steps:

- Given a cubic graph  $G = (V, E)$ , replace each edge  $e = xy \in E$  by a path  $xv_e w_e y$  of length three. This operation yields a subcubic triangle-free graph  $H$ , and increases the independence number by exactly  $|E|$ .
- Take two copies  $H', H''$  of  $H$  and insert the edges  $v'_e w''_e$  and  $v''_e w'_e$  for all  $e \in E$ . This results in a cubic triangle-free graph whose independence number is the double of that in  $H$ .

**Optimum running time.** Although our algorithms run in polynomial time, we expect that the orders of those polynomials are not optimal. For this reason, it is natural to ask:

**Problem 1** Determine the best asymptotic running time of an algorithm for

1. finding clique-transversal sets of size at most  $19n/30 + O(1)$  in connected subcubic graphs,
2. finding weak 2-colorings in claw-free graphs of maximum degree four.

**Clique-transversal number vs. clique size.** The flavor of results in [22] is that if every edge of a ‘nicely structured’ graph lies in a ‘large’ clique, then  $\tau_C$  is ‘small’. This direction has been pursued in [3] and recently in [5]. We think that there are many further classes of graphs for which such kind of results would be of interest to study.

**Line graphs.** The line graph of  $K_6$  is 8-regular and is not weakly 2-colorable. This fact, together with our Theorem 2, leads to the following problem.

**Problem 2** Find the largest integer  $d$  such that every claw-free graph of maximum degree  $d$  is weakly 2-colorable.

**Perfect graphs.** A long-standing open problem of Duffus *et al.* [10] asks whether  $\chi_C$  is bounded above by a constant on the class of perfect graphs. In fact, no examples of perfect graphs  $G$  with  $\chi_C(G) > 3$  are known. The upper bound  $\chi_C \leq 3$  has been proved for some classes of perfect graphs in [6]. Moreover, it is immediate by definition that every *strongly perfect* graph is weakly 2-colorable.

## References

- [1] M. Aigner and Th. Andreae, Vertex-sets that meet all maximal cliques of a graph, manuscript, 1986.
- [2] Th. Andreae, On the clique-transversal number of chordal graphs, *Discrete Math.* 191 (1998), 3–11.
- [3] Th. Andreae and C. Flotow, On covering all cliques of a chordal graph, *Discrete Math.* 149 (1996), 299–302.

- [4] Th. Andreae, M. Schughart, and Zs. Tuza, Clique-transversal sets of line graphs and complements of line graphs, *Discrete Math.* 88 (1991), 11–20.
- [5] S. Aparna Lakshmanan and A. Vijayakumar, The  $\langle t \rangle$ -property of some classes of graphs, *Discrete Math.* 309 (2009), 259–263.
- [6] G. Bacsó, S. Gravier, A. Gyárfás, M. Presissmann, and A. Sebő, Coloring the maximal cliques of graphs, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 17 (2004), 361–376.
- [7] V. Balachandhran, P. Nagavamsi, and C. Pandu Rangan, Clique transversal and clique independence on comparability graphs, *Inform. Process. Lett.* 58 (1996), 181–184.
- [8] M. S. Chang, Y. H. Chen, G. J. Chang, and J. H. Yan, Algorithmic aspects of the generalized clique-transversal problem on chordal graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 66 (1996), 189–203.
- [9] G. J. Chang, M. Farber and Zs. Tuza, Algorithmic aspects of neighbourhood numbers, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 6 (1993), 24–29.
- [10] D. Duffus, B. Sands, N. Sauer, and R. E. Woodrow, Two-coloring all two-element maximal antichains, *J. Combin. Theory A* 57 (1991), 109–116.
- [11] P. Erdős, T. Gallai, and Zs. Tuza, Covering the cliques of a graph with vertices, *Discrete Math.* 108 (1992), 279–289.
- [12] K. Fraughnaugh and S. C. Locke, 11/30 (Finding large independent sets in connected triangle-free 3-regular graphs), *J. Combin. Theory B* 65 (1995), 51–72.
- [13] T. Gallai, unpublished, mid-1980’s.
- [14] V. Guruswami and C. Pandu Rangan, Algorithmic aspects of clique-transversal and clique-independent sets, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 100 (2000), 183–202.
- [15] J. Kratochvíl and Zs. Tuza, On the complexity of bicoloring clique hypergraphs of graphs. *J. Algorithms* 45 (2002), 40–54.
- [16] C. M. Lee and M. S. Chang, Distance-hereditary graphs are clique-perfect, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 154 (2006), 525–536.
- [17] Z. Liang, E. Shan, and T. C. E. Cheng, Clique-transversal sets in cubic graphs, in B. Chen, M. Paterson, and G. Zhang (Eds.): ESCAPE 2007, LNCS 4614, Springer-Verlag, pp. 107–115, 2007.
- [18] S. C. Locke, Bipartite density and the independence ratio, *J. Graph Theory* 10 (1986), 47–53.
- [19] D. Marx, Complexity of clique coloring and related problems, to appear. (Manuscript, 2004.)
- [20] B. Mohar and R. Škrekovski, The Grötzsch theorem for the hypergraph of maximal cliques, *Electr. J. Combin.* 6 (1999), R26.
- [21] E. Shan, T. C. E. Cheng, and L. Kang, Bounds on the clique-transversal number of regular graphs, *Science in China Ser. A: Math.* 51:5 (2008), 851–863.
- [22] Zs. Tuza, Covering all cliques of a graph, *Discrete Math.* 86 (1990), 117–126.