Cubefree words with many squares

James Currie, Narad Rampersad

▶ To cite this version:

Cubefree words with many squares

James Currie[†] and Narad Rampersad[‡]

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Winnipeg
515 Portage Avenue, Winnipeg, Manitoba R3B 2E9 (Canada)
`{j.currie,n.rampersad}@uwinnipeg.ca`

We construct infinite cubefree binary words containing exponentially many distinct squares of length n. We also show that for every positive integer n, there is a cubefree binary square of length $2n$.

Keywords: cubefree word, square

1 Introduction

A *square* is a non-empty word of the form xx, and a *cube* is a non-empty word of the form xxx. An overlap is a word of the form $axaxa$, where a is a letter and x is a word (possibly empty). A word is *squarefree* (resp. *cubefree*, *overlap-free*) if none of its factors are squares (resp. cubes, overlaps). For further background material concerning combinatorics on words we refer the reader to [2].

It is well-known that there exist infinite squarefree words over a ternary alphabet and infinite overlap-free words over a binary alphabet. Clearly, any overlap-free word is also cubefree. Any infinite cubefree binary word must contain squares; however, Dekking [9] proved that there exists an infinite cubefree binary word containing no squares xx where the length of x is greater than 3 (see also [14, 15]). In this paper we consider instead the existence of infinite cubefree binary words with many distinct squares.

Most known constructions of infinite cubefree words involve the iteration of a morphism. In the early 80's, Berstel [3] revitalized the study of the construction of words avoiding repetitions by the iteration of morphisms. Words constructed in this manner are often refered to as *infinite D0L words*. Ehrenfeucht and Rozenberg [10, 11, 12] proved several results concerning the factor complexity of infinite D0L words. They showed that any squarefree or cubefree D0L word has $O(n \log n)$ factors of length n. Thus, an infinite cubefree D0L word cannot have many distinct square factors. By constrast, we show here how to construct infinite cubefree binary words containing exponentially many distinct squares of length n.

Other work related to the problems considered here include [1, 7, 8].

Let μ denote the *Thue–Morse morphism*: i.e., the morphism that maps $0 \rightarrow 01$ and $1 \rightarrow 10$. The *Thue–Morse word* is the infinite word

$$\mathbf{t} = 01101001100101101001 0110 \cdots$$

obtained by iteratively applying μ to the word 0. The Thue–Morse word is well-known to be overlap-free, and hence, a fortiori, cubefree [17]. The squares occurring in the Thue–Morse word were characterized by Pansiot [13] and Brlek [5] as follows. Define sets $A = \{00, 11, 010010, 101101\}$ and

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} \mu^k(A).$$

The set $\mathcal{A}$ is the set of squares appearing in the Thue–Morse word.

Shelton and Soni [16] characterized the overlap-free squares (the result is also attributed to Thue by Berstel [4]), as being the conjugates of the words in $\mathcal{A}$. (A *conjugate* of x is a word y such that $x = uv$ and $y = vu$ for some u, v.) Currie and Rampersad [7] showed that the conjugates of the words in $\mathcal{A}$ are also precisely the $7/3$-power-free squares. Thus, there are only $7/3$-power-free squares of length $2n$ when n is a power of 2, or 3 times a power of 2. By contrast, we show that there are cubefree binary squares of length $2n$ for every positive integer n. We use this result to construct infinite cubefree binary words containing exponentially many distinct squares.

2   Main results

The main results of this paper are the following two theorems.

Theorem 1 *Let n be a positive integer. There exists a cubefree binary square of length $2n$.*

Theorem 2 *There exists an infinite cubefree binary word containing exponentially many distinct squares of length n.*

We first establish some preliminary results.

Lemma 3 *The Thue–Morse word contains a factor of the form $x = 1001x'' = x'1001$ of every positive even length $n \neq 2, 6$.*

Proof: Aberkane and Currie [1, Lemma 4] proved that for every integer $m \geq 6$, the Thue–Morse word contains a factor of length m of the form $10y10$. Then the Thue–Morse word also contains the factor $\mu(10y10) = 1001\mu(y)1001$, which has length $2m$. Finally, we observe that 10011001 and 1001101001 are factors of the Thue–Morse word of lengths 8 and 10 respectively.   □

Lemma 4 *If y is overlap-free and ayb is a cube of period p, then $p \leq |ab|$.*

Proof: Otherwise deleting a and b removes less than a full period from ayb, leaving an overlap.   □

Lemma 5 *If z is a factor of yyy where $|y| = p$ and $|z| \leq p + 1$, then there are two occurrences of z in yyy.*

Proof: Certainly if z is a factor of yy it occurs twice in yyy. If z is a factor of yyy but not of yy, then z must span the central y of yyy and a bit more on both ends, giving z a length of $p + 2$ or more.   □

Theorem 6 *Let x be a factor of the Thue–Morse word of the form $x = 1001x'' = x'1001$. Then the word $x0x0$ is cubefree.*

Remark 1 *Word* 01010 *occurs exactly once in* $x0x0$*. (Note that this word is an overlap, and hence not a factor of the Thue–Morse word.)*

Proof of Theorem 6: Suppose yyy is a cube in $x0x0$ with $|y| = p > 0$.

Case 1: *Period* $p \geq 4$.

By Lemma 5 and Remark 1, word 01010 is not a factor of yyy. We have two possibilities:

(a) *Cube* yyy *is a factor of* $x'100101$. This is impossible by Lemma 4, since $x'1001$ is overlap-free, $|01| = 2$, and $p \geq 4 > 2$.

(b) *Cube* yyy *is a factor of* $101001x''0$. This is again impossible by Lemma 4, since $1001x''$ is overlap-free.

Case 2: *Period* $p \leq 3$.

If 01010 is a factor of yyy, then one of 001010 and 010100 is a factor. However, neither of these has period 1, 2 or 3; this is impossible. We conclude that 01010 is not a factor of yyy. This gives a similar case breakdown as in Case 1.

(a) *Cube* yyy *is a factor of* $x'100101$.

  (i) *Cube* yyy *is a suffix of* $x'100101$. In this case, $p \leq 2$ by Lemma 4, since $x'1001$ is overlap-free. However, the longest suffix of $x'100101$ of period 1 or 2 is 0101, which is cubefree.

  (ii) *Cube* yyy *is a suffix of* $x'10010$. This forces $p = 1$, which is impossible.

(b) *Cube* yyy *is a factor of* $101001x''0$.

  (i) *Cube* yyy *is a prefix of* $101001x''0$ *or of* $01001x''0$. If x'' is the empty word, then $x0x0 = 1001010010$ is clearly cubefree, so let us assume that $|x''| \geq 4$. Since $|yyy| = 3p \leq 9 \leq |01001x''|$, yyy is a factor of $101001x''$. This is symmetrical to Case 2a.

  (ii) *Cube* yyy *is a factor of* $1001x''0 = x0$. This is impossible by Case 2a.

$\square$

Theorem 7 *Let* x *be a factor of the Thue–Morse word of the form* $x = 1001x'' = x'1001$*. Then the word* $x101100x101100$ *is cubefree.*

Remark 2 *Word* 00100 *occurs exactly once in* $x101100x101100$*. Word* 11011 *occurs exactly twice.*

Proof of Theorem 7: Suppose yyy is a cube in $x101100x101100$ with $|y| = p > 0$.

Case 1: *Period* $p \geq 4$.

By Lemma 5 and Remark 2, word 00100 is not a factor of yyy. We have two possibilities:

(a) *Cube* yyy *is a factor of* $x10110010$.

Word $x10110010$ contains 11011 as a factor exactly once. By Lemma 5 and Remark 2, there are two possibilities:

  (i) *Cube* yyy *is contained in* $x101$.

  In this case, $p \leq 3$ by Lemma 4, since x is overlap-free. This is a contradiction.

(ii) *Cube yyy is contained in* 10110010.
This is clearly impossible.

(b) *Cube yyy is a factor of* $0x101100$.
Again, word $0x101100$ contains 11011 as a factor exactly once. Therefore, either yyy is contained in 101100 or in $0x101$. The first alternative evidently is impossible, while the second is ruled out by Lemma 4.

Case 2: *Period $p \leq 3$.*
If 00100 is a factor of yyy, then we must have $p = 3$, since 00100 does not have period 1 or 2. However, in $x101100x101100$, the maximal factor of period 3 containing 00100 is 1001001, which is not a cube. We conclude that 00100 is not a factor of yyy. This gives a similar case breakdown to Case 1:

(a) *Cube yyy is a factor of* $x10110010$.
By Lemma 4 the word $x10$ must be cubefree. Therefore, yyy must be a suffix of one of these words:

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_8 &= x'100110110010 \\
w_7 &= x'10011011001 \\
w_6 &= x'1001101100 \\
w_5 &= x'100110110 \\
w_4 &= x'10011011 \\
w_3 &= x'1001101
\end{aligned}
$$

None of the w_n ends in a cube of period 1, 2 or 3. (In the case of words w_4, w_3, the longest suffixes of period 3 have lengths 6 and 5 respectively.) It follows that yyy is not a suffix of any of the w_n, and this case does not occur.

(b) *Cube yyy is a factor of* $0x101100$.
Since $|yyy| = 3p \leq 9 \leq |0x|$, yyy is a factor of $0x$ or of $x101100$. The first possibility was ruled out in the proof of Theorem 6, and the second in Case 2a. □

Theorems 6 and 7 together establish Theorem 1. Next we show that the number of cubefree binary squares of length n grows exponentially.

Proposition 8 *There exist exponentially many cubefree binary squares of length n.*

Proof: Let m be a positive integer and let xx be a cubefree binary square of length $2m$ over $\{0, 1\}$. Suppose that 0 occurs at least as often as 1 in x. Construct a new cubefree square yy over $\{0, 1, 2\}$, where y is obtained from x by arbitrarily replacing some of the 0's in x by 2's. There are at least $2^{m/2}$ such squares yy of length $2m$.

Let h be the morphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 &\rightarrow 001011 \\
1 &\rightarrow 001101 \\
2 &\rightarrow 011001.
\end{aligned}
$$

Brandenburg [6, Theorem 6] showed that h maps cubefree words to cubefree words. Moreover, since h is uniform and injective, the set of words $h(yy)$ consists of at least $2^{m/2}$ cubefree squares of length $12m$. Asymptotically, we thus have exponentially many cubefree binary squares of length n, as required. $\qquad\square$

We now prove Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2: In the proof of Proposition 8 we showed that there are at least $2^{m/2}$ cubefree binary squares of length $12m$ for every positive integer m. Let S therefore be any set of cubefree squares over $\{0,1\}$ where S contains at least $2^{m/2}$ words of length $12m$ for every positive integer m. Let $\mathbf{x} = x_1 x_2 \cdots$ be any infinite cubefree binary word over $\{2,3\}$. Construct a word

$$\mathbf{w} = x_1 S_1 x_2 S_2 \cdots ,$$

where the set of S_i's is equal to the set S, so that $\mathbf{w}$ is cubefree and contains exponentially many distinct squares of length n. Let g be the morphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 &\;\to\; 001001101 \\
1 &\;\to\; 001010011 \\
2 &\;\to\; 001101011 \\
3 &\;\to\; 011001011.
\end{aligned}
$$

Brandenburg [6, Theorem 6] showed that g maps cubefree words to cubefree words. Thus, $g(\mathbf{w})$ is cubefree and, by the uniformity and injectivity of g, contains exponentially many distinct squares of length n. $\qquad\square$

Note that Theorem 2 implies that existence of an infinite cubefree binary word with exponential *factor complexity*—i.e., with exponentially many factors of length n. Similarly, one can easily construct an infinite squarefree word over $\{0,1,2\}$ with exponential factor complexity.

Proposition 9 *There exists an infinite squarefree word over* $\{0,1,2\}$ *with exponential factor complexity.*

Proof: Let $\mathbf{w}$ be any infinite squarefree word over $\{0,1,2\}$ and let $\mathbf{x}$ be any infinite word over $\{3,4\}$ with 2^n factors of length n for every positive n. Let $\mathbf{y}$ be the word obtained by forming the *perfect shuffle* of $\mathbf{w}$ and $\mathbf{x}$: that is, if $\mathbf{w} = w_0 w_1 w_2 \cdots$ and $\mathbf{x} = x_0 x_1 x_2 \cdots$, then define $\mathbf{y} = w_0 x_0 w_1 x_1 w_2 x_2 \cdots$. Clearly, $\mathbf{y}$ is a squarefree word with exponential factor complexity. Let f be the morphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 &\;\to\; 010201202101210212 \\
1 &\;\to\; 010201202102010212 \\
2 &\;\to\; 010201202120121012 \\
3 &\;\to\; 010201210201021012 \\
4 &\;\to\; 010201210212021012.
\end{aligned}
$$

Brandenburg [6, Theorem 4] showed that f maps squarefree words to squarefree words. The uniformity and injectivity of f implies that $f(\mathbf{y})$ is a squarefree word with exponential factor complexity, as required. $\qquad\square$

References

[1] A. Aberkane, J. Currie, "There exist binary circular $5/2^+$ power free words of every length", *Electron. J. Combinatorics* **11** (2004), #R10.

[2] J.-P. Allouche, J. Shallit, *Automatic Sequences: Theory, Applications, Generalizations*, Cambridge, 2003.

[3] J. Berstel, "Mots sans carré et morphismes itérés", *Discrete Math.* **29** (1980), 235–244.

[4] J. Berstel, "Axel Thue's work on repetitions in words". In P. Leroux, C. Reutenauer, eds., *Séries formelles et combinatoire algébrique*, Publications du LaCIM, pp 65–80, UQAM, 1992.

[5] S. Brlek, "Enumeration of factors in the Thue–Morse word", *Discrete Appl. Math.* **24** (1989), 83–96.

[6] F.-J. Brandenburg, "Uniformly growing kth power-free homomorphisms", *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **23** (1983), 69–82.

[7] J. Currie, N. Rampersad, "Infinite words containing squares at every position", *Theor. Inform. Appl.* **44** (2010), 113–124.

[8] J. Currie, N. Rampersad, J. Shallit, "Binary words containing infinitely many overlaps", *Electron. J. Combinatorics* **13** (2006), #R82.

[9] F. M. Dekking, "On repetitions of blocks in binary sequences", *J. Combin. Theory. Ser. A* **20** (1976), 292–299.

[10] A. Ehrenfeucht, G. Rozenberg, "On the subword complexity of square-free DOL languages", *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **16** (1981), 25–32.

[11] A. Ehrenfeucht, G. Rozenberg, "On the subword complexity of m-free DOL languages", *Inform. Process. Lett.* **17** (1983), 121–124.

[12] A. Ehrenfeucht, G. Rozenberg, "On the size of the alphabet and the subword complexity of square-free DOL languages", *Semigroup Forum* **26** (1983), 215–223.

[13] J.-J. Pansiot, "The Morse sequence and iterated morphisms", *Inform. Process. Lett.* **12** (1981), 68–70.

[14] N. Rampersad, J. Shallit, M.-w. Wang, "Avoiding large squares in infinite binary words", *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **339** (2005), 19–34.

[15] J. Shallit, "Simultaneous avoidance of large squares and fractional powers in infinite binary words", *Int'l. J. Found. Comput. Sci.* **15** (2004), 317–327.

[16] R. Shelton, R. Soni, "Chains and fixing blocks in irreducible sequences", *Discrete Math.* **54** (1985), 93–99.

[17] A. Thue, "Über die gegenseitige Lage gleicher Teile gewisser Zeichenreihen", *Kra. Vidensk. Selsk. Skrifter. I. Math. Nat. Kl.* **1** (1912), 1–67.