

Optimal control of stochastic processes via probability density distribution function control

Mario Annunziato

► **To cite this version:**

Mario Annunziato. Optimal control of stochastic processes via probability density distribution function control. NETCO 2014, 2014, Tours, France. hal-01024103

HAL Id: hal-01024103

<https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01024103>

Submitted on 15 Jul 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Optimal control of stochastic processes via probability density distribution function control

Mario Annunziato

Dipartimento di Matematica
 Università degli Studi di Salerno
 Via Giovanni Paolo II, 132 - 84084 Fisciano (SA)
 e-mail: mannunzi@unisa.it



NetCo2014, 26th June 2014
 Tours (France)

- Collaboration with Alfio Borzì at the Würzburg Universität.
- EU Project Marie Curie Action ‘Multi-ITN STRIKE - Novel Methods in Computational Finance’
- Financial support from “Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica sezione Gruppo Nazionale Calcolo Scientifico” Indam-GNCS



Outline

Introduction: the proposed optimal control framework

Fokker-Planck optimal control problem

Numerical scheme for the optimality system

Examples for Receding Horizon Model Predictive Control


Preliminary: stochastic modeling

- Adding the gaussian noise W_t , or its variants, to deterministic equations.

$$dX_t = b(X_t, u(t)) dt + \sigma dW_t$$

Randomness acts on all time scales, with highly irregular fluctuations:
 $dW_t \propto \sqrt{dt}$

- Point processes: the fluctuations affect the motion only at isolated time points. Application to queue theory, stochastic hybrid systems, anomalous diffusion, filtering of random telegraph processes.



The dynamics of the state X_t is not deterministic: the time evolution of the state changes, even if the same initial condition is hold for each experiment.

The state of the system can be described statistically by the probability density function $f(x, t)$ related to the state X_t . It gives the probability that X_t assumes a certain range of values.

- Pathwise integration/simulation (Monte Carlo)
- Spectral Techniques: Laplace, Fourier, Generating functions,...
- 'Direct Methods'
- Chapman Kolmogorov Equation (Fokker-Planck, Liouville Master Equation,...)

Example with the well-known Itô Equation

$$dX_t = b(X_t, t) dt + \sigma(X_t, t) dW_t$$

and the associated Fokker-Planck equation

$$\partial_t f(x, t) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 (\sigma(x, t)^2 f(x, t)) + \partial_x (b(x, t) f(x, t)) = 0$$

The probability density function (PDF)

$f(x, t)$ is the PDF for X_t . It determines the statistical state of the system.



State of the art: The Average Objective

The optimal control problem

$$\min_u J(X, u)$$

where u is the control function

Since X_t is random, a **deterministic objective will result to be a random variable**.

The **average** makes the objective deterministic

$$J(X, u) = \mathbb{E} \left[\Psi[X_T] + \int_0^T \mathcal{L}(X_t, u(t)) dt \right]$$

The average $\mathbb{E}[\cdot]$ of functionals of X is the basic ingredient of stochastic optimal control problems considered in the literature.



Alternative approaches with deterministic objective

The **state of a stochastic process can be characterized** by the shape of its statistical distribution represented by the **probability density function (PDF)**.

In some works, control schemes were proposed, where the **deterministic objective depends on the PDF** of the stochastic state variable.

Examples are objectives defined by the **Kullback-Leibler distance or the square distance** between the state PDF and a desired one. Nevertheless, **stochastic governing models were used** and the state PDF is obtained by averaging or by approximation.

Correspondence between the basic, unnoised, evolutionary optimal control problem and the proposed PDF optimal control problem for noised systems.

basic unnoised

- $X(t)$
- $\dot{X}(t) = b(X(t), u(t))$
- $J(X, u)$
- $\min_u J(X, u)$

PDF control

- $f(x, t)$ (PDF)
- PDE for $f(x, t)$ and $u(t)$
- $J(f, u)$
- $\min_u J(f, u)$

The proposed framework for the optimal control of time dependent problems with noise is a PDE constrained optimization problem.

The evolution of the PDF given by $f(x, t)$ associated to the stochastic process is modeled by the Fokker-Planck (FP) equation.

$$\partial_t f - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \partial_{x_i x_j}^2 (a_{ij} f) + \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{x_i} (b_i(u) f) = 0$$

$$f(t_0) = \rho$$

where $a = \sigma \sigma^T$. Positiveness $f(x, t) \geq 0$ and conservativeness $\int_{\Omega} f(x, t) dx = 1$ is required.

The formulation of objectives that are functions of the PDF and the Fokker-Planck equation provide a consistent framework for the optimal control of stochastic processes.

A tracking objective

- The control problem is formulated in the time window (t_k, t_{k+1}) with known initial value at time t_k .
- The problem is to determine a piecewise constant control $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ such that the process evolves towards a desired target probability density $f_D(x, t)$ at time $t = t_{k+1}$.
- This objective can be formulated by the following tracking functional

$$J(f, u) := \frac{1}{2} \|f(\cdot, t_{k+1}) - f_D(\cdot, t_{k+1})\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} |u|^2$$

where $|u|^2 = u_1^2 + \dots + u_{\ell}^2$.

A Fokker-Planck optimal control problem

The optimal control problem to find u that minimizes the objective J subject to the constraint given by the FP equation is formulated by the following

Tracking objective

$$\min_u J(f, u) := \frac{1}{2} \|f(\cdot, t_{k+1}) - f_D(\cdot, t_{k+1})\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} |u|^2$$

Fokker Planck

$$\partial_t f - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \partial_{x_i x_j}^2 (a_{ij} f) + \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{x_i} (b_i(u) f) = 0$$

$$f(t_k) = \rho$$

It is a non linear optimal control problem

The **first-order necessary optimality condition** is characterized as the solution of the following optimality system

forward: $\partial_t f - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \partial_{x_i x_j}^2 (a_{ij} f) + \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{x_i} (b_i(u) f) = 0$

initial: $f(x, t_k) = \rho(x)$

backward: $-\partial_t p - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \partial_{x_i x_j}^2 p - \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(u) \partial_{x_i} p = 0$

terminal: $p(x, t_{k+1}) = f(x, t_{k+1}) - f_D(x, t_{k+1})$

gradient: $(\nabla \hat{J})_I := \nu u_I + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{x_i} \left(\frac{\partial b_i}{\partial u_i} f \right), p \right)_{L^2(Q_k)} = 0$

where $Q_k = \Omega \times (t_k, t_{k+1})$ and $\Sigma_k = \partial\Omega \times (t_k, t_{k+1})$.

Proposition Ref. [2]

Assume that $b(x; u) = \gamma(x) + u$, $\gamma \in C^1(\Omega)$ and sufficiently small $\bar{\gamma} = \max_{x \in \Omega} (|\gamma(x)|, |\gamma'(x)|)$, $f_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$, and $u \in U$. Then the FP problem $FP(f; f_0, u) = 0$ admits a unique solution f , that is for sufficiently small initial condition or sufficiently large ν , a unique optimal control exists

$$\nu \|u_1 - u_2\|_U \leq \left(\frac{1}{s^2} \|f_1(T) - f_D\|_{L^2} + \frac{\alpha_1}{s\sqrt{2}} \|f_0\|_{L^2} + \frac{1}{s^2} \|f_2(T) - f_D\|_{L^2} \right) \|u_1 - u_2\|_U \|f_0\|_{L^2}$$

where s is an appropriate constant related to the model.

The discretization of the FP optimality system

The Chang-Cooper (CC) scheme

Time discretization

The forward- and adjoint FP equations are discretized using the **second-order backward time-differentiation** formula (BDF2) as follows

$$\partial_{BD}^- f_i^m := \frac{3f_i^m - 4f_i^{m-1} + f_i^{m-2}}{2\delta t} \quad \partial_{BD}^+ p_i^m := -\frac{3p_i^m - 4p_i^{m+1} + p_i^{m+2}}{2\delta t}$$

Fokker-Planck in flux form

$\partial_t f = \nabla \cdot F(f)$, where $F = Bf + C\nabla f$,

$$B^i(x, t, u) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{x_j} a_{ij}(x, t) - b_i(x, t; u) \quad C^i(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} a_{ii}(x, t)$$

Discrete gradient of the flux: $\nabla \cdot F \approx \frac{1}{h} \sum_{i=1}^n (F_{i+1/2}^i - F_{i-1/2}^i)$.

For spatial-discretization we use the **Chang-Cooper (CC) scheme** that is **stable, second-order accurate, positive, and conservative**.

The cell boundary value is a **linear spatial combination** of f^{n+1} (reconstruction)

$$f_{i+1/2}^{n+1} = (1 - \delta_i) f_{i+1}^{n+1} + \delta_i f_i^{n+1}, \quad \delta_i \in [0, 1/2]$$

choosing $\delta_i = \frac{1}{w_i} - \frac{1}{\exp(w_i) - 1}$ where $w_i = h B_{i+1/2}^{i,n} / C_{i+1/2}^{i,n}$

The discrete flux in the i -th direction is computed as follows

$$F_{i+1/2}^i = \left[(1 - \delta_i) B_{i+1/2}^{i,n} + \frac{1}{h} C_{i+1/2}^{i,n} \right] f_{i+1}^{n+1} - \left(\frac{1}{h} C_{i+1/2}^{i,n} - \delta_i B_{i+1/2}^{i,n} \right) f_i^{n+1}$$

The transpose discrete operator is used to solve the backward equation (discretize then optimize approach)


The overall optimization process

- 1 Solve forward in time the discrete FP equations with given initial condition;
- 2 Assign the terminal condition;
- 3 Solve backward in time the discrete adjoint FP equations with terminal condition;
- 4 Compute the discrete gradient $\nabla_u \hat{J}(u)$;
- 5 Solve the optimization problem $\min_u J(f, u)$ with a Nonlinear Coniugate Gradient (NCG) scheme, with Dai and Yuan β , and a robust bisection linesearch.
This requires many repetitions of the steps 1., 2., 3. and 4.
- 6 End.

Receding Horizon Model Predictive Control

Set $k = 0$, set $\rho_0 = \rho$;

- 1 Assign the initial PDFs, $f(x, t_k) = \rho_k(x)$, and the desired targets $f_D(\cdot, t_{k+1})$;
- 2 In the time window (t_k, t_{k+1}) , apply an optimization to solve $\min_u J(f(u), u)$, thus obtain the optimal pair (f, u) ;
- 3 If $t_{k+1} < T$, set $k := k + 1$, $\rho_k = f(\cdot, t_k)$, go to 1.
- 4 End.



Tracking a trajectory with a limit-cycle model

Noised limit cycle equation with control Ref.[2]

$$\begin{cases} dX_1 = (+X_2 + (1 + u_1 - X_1^2 - X_2^2)X_1) dt + \sigma dW_{1t} \\ dX_2 = (-X_1 + (1 + u_2 - X_1^2 - X_2^2)X_2) dt + \sigma dW_{2t} \end{cases}$$


The purpose of the control is to track the **target** given by a **bi-modal multivariate Gaussian PDF**

$$f_D = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{(x_1 - \mu_{11})^2}{2\sigma_{11}^2} - \frac{(x_2 - \mu_{21})^2}{2\sigma_{21}^2}\right)}{2\pi\sigma_{11}\sigma_{21}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{(x_1 - \mu_{12})^2}{2\sigma_{12}^2} - \frac{(x_2 - \mu_{22})^2}{2\sigma_{22}^2}\right)}{2\pi\sigma_{12}\sigma_{22}}$$


with peaks placed symmetrically with respect to the origin at the points $(\mu_{11}, \mu_{21}) = (-1.2, 0.8)$ and $(\mu_{12}, \mu_{22}) = (1.2, -0.8)$
We have $T = 30$ and the time-window size is $\Delta t = 5$.

A controlled noised limit-cycle model

The Fokker-Planck RH-MPC control strategy is able to **drive the system to a bi-modal PDF configuration (!)** starting from an initial approximate delta-Dirac PDF located at the point $(1.5, 1.5)$.



- 1 $\dot{X}(t) = A_{S(t)}(X, u), \{A_1(x, u), A_2(x, u)\}$
- 2 $S(t)$ is a discrete Markov process:
 - with exponential transition events $\mu e^{-\mu t}$ and stochastic transition matrix between states: $0 \leq q_{ij} \leq 1$
 - $\sum_{i=1}^2 q_{ij} = 1$
 - $i, j = 1, 2$



$X(t)$ is mostly deterministic, punctuated by random switches at random times

Linear filtering of dichotomous noise Ref. [4]

$$\dot{X} = -\gamma X + (F + u) \xi$$

$\xi(t)$ dichotomous noise with values ± 1 and μ parameter of the Poisson random switching events exponentially distributed.

$\gamma = 1$ friction.

$F = 1$ force intensity.

Controlled dynamics $A_1(x, u_1) = -x + 1 + u_1, A_2(x, u_2) = -(x + 1 + u_2)$ the forward equation is an hyperbolic PDE system.

The solution is not of travelling wave type:

it evolves toward an equilibrium.

Optimality system (open loop)

forward: $\partial_t f_s(x, t) + \partial_x (A_s(x, u_s) f_s(x, t)) = \sum_{j=1}^S Q_{sj} f_j(x, t)$

initial: $f_s(x, 0) = f_s^0(x)$

adjoint: $-\partial_t p_s(x, t) - A_s(x, u_s) \partial_x p_s(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^S Q_{js} p_j(x, t)$

terminal: $p_s(x, T) = -(f_s(x, T) - f_{D,s}(x))$

gradient: $\nu u_s - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (\partial_x p_s(x, t)) \frac{\partial A_s(x, u_s)}{\partial u_s} f_s(x, t) dx dt = 0.$

Control of linear filtering of dichotomous noise

Initial condition: 2 gaussians in $x = 0$ and $\sigma = 0.1$

Transition rate $\mu = 2$

Gaussian tracking functions:

$$m_1(t) = 1 - e^{-t}$$


$$m_2(t) = -m_1(t)/3$$

$$\sigma_{1,2}(t) = 0.1\sqrt{1+t}$$


Cost weight: $\nu = 10^{-4}$

Time horizon: $T = 5$ and 10 windows


Norm difference with desired tracking functions




Controls evolution



Controlled PDFs Uncontrolled PDFs



At $t = T$ the controlled trajectories distribute according to the PDFs



A [innovative Kolmogorov-Fokker-Planck control framework](#) for determining controls of multidimensional stochastic processes, including also piecewise deterministic processes.

Optimal controls were obtained minimizing a [deterministic PDF objective](#) under the constraint given by the [Kolmogorov-Fokker-Planck equation](#) that models the evolution of the probability density function.

A related computational tool for the optimal control of multi-dimensional of stochastic processes was demonstrated to be effective on models of “real-world” applications.

Thank you for your attention



References

- 1 M. Annunziato and A. Borzi, Optimal control of probability density functions of stochastic processes, *Mathematical Modelling and Analysis* **15** (2010) 393–407.
- 2 M. Annunziato and A. Borzi, A Fokker-Planck control framework for multidimensional stochastic processes, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* **237** (2013) 487–507.
- 3 M. Annunziato and A. Borzi, Fokker-Planck-Based Control of a Two-Level Open Quantum System, *Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences (M3AS)*, **23** (2013) 2039–2064.
- 4 M. Annunziato and A. Borzi, Optimal Control of a class of Piecewise Deterministic Processes, *European J. of Appl. Math.*, **25** (2014) 1–25.
- 5 A. Barchielli and M. Gregoratti, *Quantum Trajectories and Measurements in Continuous Time*, (Springer, Berlin, 2009).
- 6 A. Borzi and V. Schulz, *Computational Optimization of Systems Governed by Partial Differential Equations*, (SIAM book series on CSE 08, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 2012).
- 7 G. Carlier and J. Salomon, *A monotonic algorithm for the optimal control of the Fokker-Planck equation*, CDC, (2008) 269–273.
- 8 D.R. Cox and H.D. Miller, *The Theory of Stochastic Processes* (Chapman & Hall CRC, 2001).
- 9 M. H. A. Davis, Piecewise-deterministic Markov processes: A general class of non-diffusion stochastic models, *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B*, **46** (1984) 353–388.
- 10 W. Ebeling, E. Gudowska-Nowak, and I.M. Sokolov, On stochastic dynamics in physics - Remarks on history and terminology, *Acta Physica Polonica B*, **39** (2008) 1003–1018.
- 11 L. Grüne and J. Pannek, *Nonlinear Model Predictive Control, Theory and Algorithms*, (Communications and Control Engineering, Springer Verlag, 2011).
- 12 R. Risken, *The Fokker-Planck Equation: Methods of Solution and Applications*, (Springer, Berlin, 1996).
- 13 H. M. Wiseman and G. J. Milburn, Interpretation of quantum jump and diffusion processes illustrated on the Bloch sphere, *Phys. Rev. A*, **47** (1993), 1652–1666.

