



Traffic on networks: modeling and analysis

Régis Monneau

► **To cite this version:**

Régis Monneau. Traffic on networks: modeling and analysis. NETCO 2014 - New Trends in Optimal Control, Jun 2014, Tours, France. hal-01024739

HAL Id: hal-01024739

<https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01024739>

Submitted on 18 Jul 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Traffic on networks: modeling and analysis

Régis Monneau

(joint work with C. Imbert)

Paris-Est University

Tours; June 27, 2014

Great thanks

for the whole organizing committee !!

$$u_t + H(x, Du, D^2u) = 0$$


H discontinuous in x

A mathematical point of view


$$u_t + H(x, Du) = 0$$

H discontinuous in x

A junction



Hamilton-Jacobi equations




$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} u_t^i + H_i(u_x^i) = 0, & x > 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N \\ u^i = u^j =: u, & x = 0, \\ u_t + F(u_x^1, \dots, u_x^N) = 0, & x = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

- ① Motivation, Hamilton-Jacobi equations and optimal control
- ② Doubling of variables revisited
- ③ General junction conditions
- ④ Homogenization of networks

Motivation


The simple divergent road



LWR model (Lighthill, Whitham 1955 ; Richards 1956) :

$$\rho_t + (f(\rho))_x = 0$$

The fundamental diagram



Some references

Existence for $N \geq 1$

[Garavello, Piccoli (2006)]

Uniqueness result for $N = 2$ branches

[Garavello, Natalini, Piccoli, Terracina (2007)]

[Andreianov, Karlsen, Risebro (2011)]

Uniqueness for $N \geq 1$ for Hamilton-Jacobi equations

[Achdou, Camilli, Cutri, Tchou ; (2013)] : particular Hamiltonians.

[Camilli, Schieborn ; (2013)] : eikonal equations.

[Imbert, M., Zidani (2013)] : particular junction condition

[Imbert, M. preprint (2013-2014)] : general junction conditions


Generalizations

[Barles, Briani, Chasseigne ; (2013), (2014)] : hyperplane (higher dimensions).

[Rao, Zidani ; (2013)], [Rao, Siconolfi, Zidani ; (2013)] : multi-domains.

How to get Hamilton-Jacobi equations ?

$-u^i(x, t) =$ continuous index of the car at (x, t) on the branch i



Getting HJ equations


- Take some (space) **primitive** of the density ρ^i with prefactor $1/\gamma_i$
- change some **signs** and the **orientation** of the incoming roads

$$u_t^i + H_i(u_x^i) = 0, \quad x > 0, \quad i = e, l, r$$


with

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{convex } H_i \text{ if } f \text{ concave,} \\ H_i(p) = -\frac{1}{\gamma_i} f(\gamma_i p), \quad i = e \\ H_i(p) = -\frac{1}{\gamma_i} f(-\gamma_i p), \quad i = l, r \end{array} \right.$$

$$f(\rho^i(0^+, t)) = \gamma_i f(\rho^e(0^-, t)) \quad \text{for } i = l, r \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_e = 1$$



What is the condition at $x = 0$?



$$u_t + F(u_x^e, u_x^l, u_x^r) = 0$$

Optimal control

If


$$\begin{cases} u_t + H(u_x) = 0 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t > 0 \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

with


$$H(p) = L^*(p) := \sup_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \{pq - L(q)\}$$

then


$$u(x, t) = \inf \left\{ \begin{array}{l} y \in \mathbb{R}, \\ X(0) = y, \\ X(t) = x \end{array} \right\} \left\{ u_0(y) + \int_0^t ds L(\dot{X}(s)) \right\}$$



Key idea




Representation formula



$$J = \bigcup_{i=e,l,r} J_i$$

$$u(x, t) = u_{oc}(x, t) := \inf_{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} y \in J, \\ X(0) = y, \\ X(t) = x \end{array} \right\}} \left\{ u_0(y) + \int_0^t ds L(X(s), \dot{X}(s)) \right\}$$

Representation formula




$$J = \bigcup_{i=e,l,r} J_i$$

$$u(x, t) = u_{oc}(x, t) := \inf_{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} y \in J, \\ X(0) = y, \\ X(t) = x \end{array} \right\}} \left\{ u_0(y) + \int_0^t ds L(X(s), \dot{X}(s)) \right\}$$

with a Lagrangian

$$L(x, p) = \begin{cases} L_i(p) & \text{if } x \in J_i \setminus \{0\}, \quad i = e, l, r \\ L_0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

Analogy with the swimming pool




Junction condition with flux limiter A

$$u_t(0, t) + F_A = 0$$

with

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} F_A := \max \{A, \max_{i=e,l,r} H_i^-(u_x^i(0^+, t))\} \quad \text{with} \quad A = -L_0 \\ H_i^-(p) = \sup_{q \leq 0} \{pq - L_i(q)\} \end{array} \right.$$




Traffic interpretation of the junction condition

$$u_t(0, t) = \text{passing flux} = \min \left(L_0, \frac{1}{\gamma_e} f_D(\rho^e), \min_{i=l,r} \frac{1}{\gamma_i} f_S(\rho^i) \right)$$

Lebacque condition :

minimum of Demand (f_D) and Supply (f_S)



Riemann Solver of Garavello, Piccoli,

Boundary condition of [Bardos, LeRoux, Nedelec (1979)]

Doubling of variables revisited

The standard doubling of variables method **does not work!**

$$\sup \left\{ u(x, t) - v(y, t) - \frac{(x - y)^2}{\varepsilon} - \dots \right\} \text{ with } x \text{ and } y \text{ on different branches!}$$

The standard doubling of variables method **does not work!**

$$\sup \left\{ u(x, t) - v(y, t) - \frac{(x - y)^2}{\varepsilon} - \dots \right\} \text{ with } x \text{ and } y \text{ on different branches!}$$

Adaptation with the **vertex test function** G

$$\sup \left\{ u(x, t) - v(y, t) - \varepsilon G \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{y}{\varepsilon} \right) - \dots \right\}$$

$$0 < \eta \leq H(y, -G_y) - H(x, G_x)$$

Contradiction if

$$H(y, -G_y) \leq H(x, G_x)$$

Construction of the test function G

Set of particular solutions for $p = (p^1, \dots, p^N)$

$$u_{p,\lambda}(x, t) = -\lambda t + p^i x \quad \text{on } J_i$$

Germ

$$\mathcal{G}_A = \{(p, \lambda) \quad \text{with } F_A(p) = H_i(p^i) = \lambda \geq A\}$$

Construction of the test function G

Set of particular solutions for $p = (p^1, \dots, p^N)$

$$u_{p,\lambda}(x, t) = -\lambda t + p^i x \quad \text{on } J_i$$

Germ

$$\mathcal{G}_A = \{(p, \lambda) \quad \text{with} \quad F_A(p) = H_i(p^i) = \lambda \geq A\}$$

Let

$$w = w_{p,\lambda}(x, t; y, s) = u_{p,\lambda}(x, t) - u_{p,\lambda}(y, s)$$

which solves

$$H(y, -w_y) = \lambda = H(x, w_x)$$

Construction of the test function G


The function

$$\widehat{G}(x, t; y, s) = \sup_{(p, \lambda) \in \mathcal{G}_A} \{w_{p, \lambda}(x, t; y, s)\}$$

satisfies

$$H(y, -\widehat{G}_y) = H(x, \widehat{G}_x) \quad \text{where } \widehat{G} \text{ is smooth}$$

Properties of \widehat{G}



Construction of the test function G

Let

$$G^0(x, y) = A + \widehat{G}(x, \mathbf{1}; y, \mathbf{0})$$

s.t.

$$G^0(x, y) \geq 0 = G^0(x, x)$$

Construction of the test function G

Let

$$G^0(x, y) = A + \widehat{G}(x, \mathbf{1}; y, \mathbf{0})$$

s.t.

$$G^0(x, y) \geq 0 = G^0(x, x)$$


But G^0 is smooth **except on the diagonal** $\{x = y\}$.

Define $G = G^\delta$ as a **smoothing of G^0** on the diagonal such that

$$\begin{cases} G(x, y) \geq 0 & \text{and} & 0 \leq G(x, x) \leq \delta, \\ H(y, -G_y) \leq H(x, G_x) + \delta \end{cases}$$


General junction conditions for $N = 1$

Monotonicity of F



$$\begin{cases} u_t + H(u_x) = 0, & x > 0 \\ u_t + F(u_x) = 0, & x = 0 \end{cases}$$


Monotonicity of F




$$\begin{cases} u_t + F(u_x) = 0, & x = 0 \\ \phi_t + F(\phi_x) \leq 0, & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

$F(\rho)$ non increasing in ρ

Meaning of the junction condition




Junction condition **not satisfied** if the imposed flux is **too large**






Relaxed junction condition



Relaxed junction condition for subsolutions

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} u_t + F(u_x) \leq 0, & x = 0 \quad (\text{Boundary condition}) \\ \text{OR} \\ u_t + H(u_x) \leq 0, & x = 0 \quad (\text{PDE}) \end{array} \right.$$

Relaxed junction condition



Relaxed junction condition for supersolutions

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} u_t + F(u_x) \geq 0, & x = 0 \quad (\text{Boundary condition}) \\ \text{OR} \\ u_t + H(u_x) \geq 0, & x = 0 \quad (\text{PDE}) \end{array} \right.$$

Theorem (Existence, uniqueness, reduction to F_A -solutions)

If

$$\begin{cases} H \text{ convex and coercive (i.e. } H(p) \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } |p| \rightarrow +\infty) \\ F \text{ continuous and non increasing} \end{cases}$$

Then there exists a unique (viscosity) **relaxed solution** u of

$$\begin{cases} u_t + H(u_x) = 0, & x > 0, \\ u_t + F(u_x) = 0, & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

with Lipschitz initial data

$$u|_{t=0} = u_0$$

Moreover there exists a real A such that u is also solution with F replaced by

$$F_A(u_x) = \max(A, H^-(u_x)),$$

Theorem (Existence, uniqueness, reduction to F_A -solutions)

If

$$\begin{cases} H \text{ convex and coercive (i.e. } H(p) \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } |p| \rightarrow +\infty) \\ F \text{ continuous and non increasing} \end{cases}$$

Then there exists a unique (viscosity) **relaxed solution** u of


$$\begin{cases} u_t + H(u_x) = 0, & x > 0, \\ u_t + F(u_x) = 0, & x = 0 \end{cases}$$


with Lipschitz initial data

$$u|_{t=0} = u_0$$

Moreover there exists a real A such that u is also solution with F replaced by

$$F_A(u_x) = \max(A, H^-(u_x)),$$









Ramp metering on the A19 in Middlesbrough, UK.

Reduction to a single test function



Check with test functions

$$\varphi(x, t) = \psi(t) + \phi_A(x) \quad \text{with} \quad \phi_A(x) = p_A^+ x$$



Application : reformulation of state constraint problems

For H convex (or quasi-convex) and coercive,

$$\begin{cases} u_t + H(u_x) \geq 0, & x \geq 0, \\ u_t + H(u_x) \leq 0, & x > 0, \end{cases} \quad (\text{state constraint})$$

if and only if

$$\begin{cases} u_t + H(u_x) = 0, & x > 0, \\ u_t + H^-(u_x) = 0, & x = 0, \end{cases} \quad (F_A \text{ condition with } A = -\infty)$$


Applications for $N \geq 1$ branches

- relation with Ishii solutions
- characterization of subsolutions on the junction point only
- restriction of solutions on a subdomain
- homogenization
- ...


Homogenization of networks

(Numerical simulations done by G. Costeseque)


A periodic network



Rescaling of the network




Graph of $-\bar{H}$




$$\gamma_h = \gamma_v = 1/2, \quad \text{no flux limiter}$$

Graph of $-\bar{H}$




$$\gamma_h = \gamma_v = 1/2, \quad \text{with flux limiter } A$$

Homogenization of traffic lights on a single road



Flux limiter w.r.t. signals spacing and offset




Conclusion :
what we know

What we can do in general


Infinite networks with Hamiltonians $H(x, t, u_x)$ and $F(t, \{u_x^i\}_i)$

Hamiltonians $H(x, t, u_x)$ are convex in u_x , or quasi-convex



Open problems

open problem : micro-macro limit ?



$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = V_{s(i)}(\{x_j\}_{j \geq i}) \quad \text{with } s(i) = \text{blue or red}$$

weakly related to :

Achdou, Tchou, (preprint : 2014),
Galise, Imbert, M. (preprint 2014)


- **traffic** : time evolution/ optimization of the proportions γ_i at each node

- **traffic** : time evolution/ optimization of the proportions γ_i at each node
- more general **non quasi-convex** Hamiltonians in 1D
- general junction conditions in **higher dimensions** :
submanifolds, multi-domains.
- **mixed** first order/second order PDEs

Thank you

The case with $N \geq 1$ branches

Viscosity solution at the junction point




Junction

$$J = \bigcup_{i=1, \dots, N} J_i$$

test function

$$\phi \in C_*^1 \iff \begin{cases} \phi \in C(J \times [0, T)), \\ \phi \in C^1(J_i \times (0, T)), \quad i = 1, \dots, N \end{cases}$$

Viscosity solution at the junction point



Definition (Relaxed subsolution at the junction point)

The USC function u is a **relaxed subsolution** at the junction point, if

$$u \leq \phi \quad \text{with equality at } P_0 = (x_0, t_0) \text{ with } x_0 = 0$$

then at P_0 :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \phi_t + F(\phi_x^1, \dots, \phi_x^N) \leq 0, \\ \text{OR} \\ \phi_t + H_i(\phi_x^i) \leq 0 \quad \text{for some index } i \end{array} \right.$$

with

$$\phi^i = \phi|_{J_i \times (0, T)}$$

We rewrite

$$\begin{cases} u_t^i + H_i(u_x^i) = 0, & \text{for } (x, t) \in (0, +\infty) \times (0, T), \quad i = 1, \dots, N \\ u_t + F(u_x^1, \dots, u_x^N) = 0, & \text{for } (x, t) \in \{0\} \times (0, T) \end{cases}$$

as

$$u_t + H(x, u_x) = 0 \quad \text{on } J \times (0, T) \quad \text{with } J = \bigcup_{i=1, \dots, N} J_i \quad (1)$$

with

$$H(x, p) = \begin{cases} H_i(p) & \text{convex coercive} & \text{if } x \in J_i \setminus \{0\} \\ F(p^1, \dots, p^N) & \text{non increasing} & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

The PDE

$$u_t + H(x, u_x) = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad J \times (0, T) \quad (1)$$

Initial condition

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in J, \quad \text{with} \quad u_0 \in \text{Lip}(J) \quad (2)$$

The PDE

$$u_t + H(x, u_x) = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad J \times (0, T) \quad (1)$$

Initial condition

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in J, \quad \text{with} \quad u_0 \in \text{Lip}(J) \quad (2)$$

Theorem (Existence, uniqueness, reduction to F_A -solutions)

There exists a unique (viscosity) *relaxed solution* u of (1)-(2).

Moreover there exists a real A such that u is also solution with F replaced by

$$F_A(u_x^1, \dots, u_x^N) = \max(A, \max_{i=1, \dots, N} H_i^-(u_x^i))$$

where

$$A = F((H_1^+)^{-1}(A), \dots, (H_N^+)^{-1}(A)) \quad \text{or} \quad A = A_0 := \max_{i=1, \dots, N} \left(\min_{\mathbb{R}} H_i \right)$$