

Validation of an Automatic Video Monitoring System for the Detection of Instrumental Activities of Daily Living in Dementia Patients

Alexandra König, Carlos Fernando Crispim-Junior, Alexandre Derreumaux,
Gregory Bensadoun, Pierre-David Petit, François Bremond, Renaud David,
Frans Verhey, Pauline Aalten, Philippe Robert

► **To cite this version:**

Alexandra König, Carlos Fernando Crispim-Junior, Alexandre Derreumaux, Gregory Bensadoun, Pierre-David Petit, et al.. Validation of an Automatic Video Monitoring System for the Detection of Instrumental Activities of Daily Living in Dementia Patients. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*, IOS Press, 2015, <10.3233/JAD-141767>. <hal-01094093>

HAL Id: hal-01094093

<https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01094093>

Submitted on 11 Dec 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Validation of an Automatic Video Monitoring System for the Detection of Instrumental Activities of Daily Living in Dementia Patients

Alexandra König^{a,b,*}, Carlos Fernando Crispim Junior^d, Alexandre Derreumaux^a, Gregory Bensadoun^a, Pierre-David Petit^a, François Bremond^{a,d}, Renaud David^{a,c}, Frans Verhey^b, Pauline Aalten^b and Philippe Robert^{a,c}

^aEA CoBTeK, University of Nice Sophia Antipolis, France

^bSchool for Mental Health and Neuroscience, Alzheimer Center Limburg, Maastricht University Medical Center, Maastricht, The Netherlands

^cCentre Mémoire de Ressources et de Recherche, CHU de Nice, Nice, France

^dINRIA - STARS team - Sophia Antipolis, France

Accepted 17 September 2014

Abstract. Over the last few years, the use of new technologies for the support of elderly people and in particular dementia patients received increasing interest. We investigated the use of a video monitoring system for automatic event recognition for the assessment of instrumental activities of daily living (IADL) in dementia patients. Participants (19 healthy subjects (HC) and 19 mild cognitive impairment (MCI) patients) had to carry out a standardized scenario consisting of several IADLs such as making a phone call while they were recorded by 2D video cameras. After the recording session, data was processed by a platform of video signal analysis in order to extract kinematic parameters detecting activities undertaken by the participant. We compared our automated activity quality prediction as well as cognitive health prediction with direct observation annotation and neuropsychological assessment scores. With a sensitivity of 85.31% and a precision of 75.90%, the overall activities were correctly automatically detected. Activity frequency differed significantly between MCI and HC participants ($p < 0.05$). In all activities, differences in the execution time could be identified in the manually and automatically extracted data. We obtained statistically significant correlations between manually as automatically extracted parameters and neuropsychological test scores ($p < 0.05$). However, no significant differences were found between the groups according to the IADL scale. The results suggest that it is possible to assess IADL functioning with the help of an automatic video monitoring system and that even based on the extracted data, significant group differences can be obtained.

Keywords: Alzheimer's disease, assessment, autonomy, dementia, mild cognitive impairment, information and communication technologies, instrumental activities of daily living, video analyses

INTRODUCTION

The increase of persons with dementia is accompanied by the need to identify methods that allow for an easy and affordable detection of decline in functionality in the disorder's early stages. Consequently, the development of computerized assessment systems for

*Correspondence to: Alexandra König, School for Mental Health and Neuroscience, Alzheimer Center Limburg, Maastricht, EA CoBTeK - Centre Mémoire de Ressources et de Recherche, Institut Claude Pompidou, 10 Rue Molière, 06100 Nice, France. Tel.: +33 0 4 92 03 47 70; Fax: +33 0 4 92 03 47 72; E-mail: a.konig@maastrichtuniversity.nl.

the elderly is of high interest, and represents a promising new research domain that aims to provide clinicians with assessment results of higher ecological validity.

Dementia is one of the major challenges affecting the quality of life of the elderly and their caregivers. Progressive decline in cognitive function represents a key symptom and results often in the inability to perform activities of daily living (ADL) and instrumental activities of daily living (IADL) [1] such as managing finances or cooking.

Many efforts are currently being undertaken to investigate dementia pathology and develop efficient treatment strategies considering its rapidly increasing prevalence. Mild cognitive impairment (MCI) [2–4] is considered as a pre-dementia stage for Alzheimer’s disease (AD), as many MCI patients convert to AD over time [5]. Studies show that impairment in complex functional tasks, notably due to slower speed of execution [6], may already be detectable in the early stages of cognitive decline and therefore gradually becomes an important target in clinical assessments [7, 8]. Rating scales and questionnaires constitute the essential tools for the assessment and monitoring of symptoms, treatment effects, as well as (I)ADL functioning.

Nevertheless, changes in (I)ADL functioning observed in MCI may be too subtle to be detected by traditional measures assessing global ADLs [9, 10]. Thus, standard tools are limited to some extent in ecological validity, reproducibility, and objectivity [11]. They do not fully capture the complexity of a patient’s cognitive, behavioral, and functional statuses, which do not always evolve in parallel but rather idiosyncratically.

To overcome these problems, Schmitter-Edgecombe et al. developed a naturalistic task in a real world setting to examine everyday functioning in individuals with MCI using direct observation methods [12]. However, this method can also suffer from possible observation biases and difficulties in reproducibility.

For this reason, information and communication technology (ICT) involving imaging and video processing could be of interest by adding more objectively measured data to the diagnostic procedure. Functionality in (I)ADL, which is very closely linked to executive functions [13, 14], may be reflected in activity patterns measurable through computerized systems such as automatic video detection of activities.

Dawadi et al. showed that it is possible to automatically quantify the task quality of daily activities and to perform limited assessment of the cognitive functioning of individuals in a ‘smart’ home environment (equipped with various sensors) as long as the activ-

ities are properly chosen and the learning algorithms are appropriately trained [15]. Sablier and colleagues developed a technological solution designed for people with difficulties managing ADL, providing a schedule manager as well as the possibility to report occurrences of experiences of symptoms such as depression and agitation [16]. However, indicators of cognitive functioning and autonomy were measured using a test battery and scales [16]. Okahashi et al. created a Virtual Shopping Test—using virtual reality technology to assess cognitive functions in brain-injured patients—correlating variables on the virtual test with scores of conventional assessments of attention and memory [17]. Similar work has been done by Werner et al. using a virtual action planning Supermarket game for the diagnosis of MCI patients [18].

Along this line, a project was launched under the name Sweet-HOME (2012), defining a standardized scenario where patients are asked to carry out a list of autonomy relevant (I)ADLs, such as preparing tea, making a phone call, or writing a check, in an experimental room equipped with video sensors. Within this project, Sacco et al. performed a functional assessment with the help of visual analyses by computing a DAS (Daily Activity Scenario) score able to differentiate MCI from healthy control (HC) subjects [19]. However, analysis was based purely on annotations made by a direct observer, and therefore still risked lack of objectivity and reliability. Automatic, computer-based video analysis, which allows for the recognition of certain events and patients’ behavioral patterns, may offer a new solution to the aforementioned assessment problems.

To date, automatic video event recognition has been employed in clinical practice simply for feasibility studies with small samples [20–22]. Banerjee et al. presented video-monitoring for fall detection in hospital rooms by extracting features from depth information provided by a camera [23]. Wang et al. used automatic vision analyses for gait assessment using two cameras to differentiate between the gait patterns of residents participating in realistic scenarios [22].

In order to further evaluate the potential contribution of such technologies for clinical practice, this study aims to validate the use of automatic video analyses for the detection of IADL performance within a larger group of MCI patients and HC subjects carrying out a predefined set of activities. More specifically, the objectives of the study are (1) to compare IADL performances of elderly HC subjects and patients with MCI in a predefined scenario; (2) to compare automatically extracted video data with so-called ‘ground-truth’

(GT) annotations made manually by a human observer; and (3) to assess the importance of automatic video analyses data for the differentiation between the two populations. As a secondary objective, we investigate the relationship between the participants' performance in the scenario and the results of classical neuropsychological testing, in order to verify whether or not the performance in the created scenario is associated with the status of cognitive functioning.

We expect automatically extracted video detection to achieve results as GT annotations when differentiating between the MCI group and the HC group. We also hypothesize that individuals with MCI will perform poorer in the predefined IADL scenario than HC subjects and that difficulties in executive functioning will be related to the amount of completed activities. Further, we expect a significant relationship between the video captured performance in the scenario and the classical neuropsychological test results such as the Frontal Assessment Battery (FAB) [24] or the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) [25] and IADL scales [26].

METHODS

Participants

The study was approved by the local Nice ethics committee and only participants with the capacity to consent to the study were included. Each participant gave informed consent before the first assessment. Participants aged 65 or older were recruited at the memory center in Nice located at the Geriatric Department of the University Hospital. For the MCI group, patients with a MMSE score higher than 24 were included using the Petersen clinical criteria [4]. Participants were excluded if they had any history of head trauma, loss of consciousness, psychotic aberrant motor behavior, or a score higher than 0 on the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating scale (UPDRS) [27] in order to control for any possible motor disorders influencing the ability to carry out IADLs.

Assessments

Participants were administered a cognitive and behavioral examination prior to completing the video monitoring session. General cognitive status was assessed using neuropsychological tests including: MMSE [25], Frontal Assessment Battery (FAB) [24], Instrumental Activities of Daily Living scale (IADL-E) [28], Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale

(MADRS) [29], and Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) to assess depression levels [30]. Additionally, neuropsychiatric symptoms were assessed using the Neuropsychiatric Inventory Scale (NPI) [31].

Clinical scenario: The ecological assessment

The ecological assessment of IADLs was conducted in an observation room located in the Nice Research Memory Center. This room was equipped with everyday objects for use in ADLs and IADLs, e.g., an armchair, a table, a tea corner, a television, a personal computer, and a library. Two fixed monocular video cameras (eight frames per second) were installed to capture the activity of the participants during the experiment. Using an instruction sheet, participants had to carry out 10 daily-living-like activities, such as making a phone call or preparing a pillbox, in a particular order within a timeframe of 15 min (Table 1). The aim of this ecological assessment of autonomy was to determine to which extent the participant could undertake a list of daily activities with respect of some constraints after being given a set of instructions. After each participant carried out the scenario, a clinician verified the amount of activities initiated and carried out completely and correctly, as well as repetitions and omissions. The information was manually annotated and entered into the database via a tablet. The scenario was recorded using a 2D-RGB video camera (AXIS, Model P1346, 8 frames per second) and a RGB-D camera (Kinect, Microsoft).

Table 1
List of the activities proposed to the patient during the ecological assessment

Daily Living scenario associated with the protocol	
Activities	« Your task is to perform this list of 10 activities in a logical manner within 15 minutes. These 15 minutes represent a typical morning period of everyday life. »
	– Read the newspaper
	– Water the plant
	– Answer the phone
	– Call the taxi
	– Prepare today's medication
	– Make the check for the Electricity Company
	– Leave the room when you have finished all activities
	– Watch TV
Constraints	– Prepare a hot tea
	– Write a shopping list for lunch
	1. Watch TV before the phone call
	2. Water the plant just before leaving the room
	3. Call the taxi which will take 10 min to arrive and ask the driver to bring you to the market

215 For a more detailed analysis, the main focus was
 216 placed particularly on three IADLs, namely prepar-
 217 ing a pillbox, making a phone call, and preparing
 218 tea, because they fall within the commonly used
 219 IADL-Lawton scale, and are the most challenging
 220 activities for appropriately representing a patient's gen-
 221 eral autonomy level. However, all other activities were
 222 included in the overall IADL assessment procedure and
 223 analyses.

224 *Automatic video monitoring system and event* 225 *recognition*

226 In the first step, after each assessment, a clinician
 227 manually gathered data of the amount of activities car-
 228 ried out by the participants. This included parameters
 229 such as activity occurrence, activity initiation, and the
 230 number of activities carried out completely and cor-
 231 rectly. In the next step, a computer vision algorithm was
 232 used to automatically extract different parameters rep-
 233 resenting movement patterns of the participants during
 234 the ecological assessment period.

235 The Automatic Video Monitoring System (AVMS)
 236 herein used has been fully described [32]. It is com-
 237 posed of two main modules: the vision and the event
 238 recognition. The vision module is responsible for
 239 detecting and tracking people on the scene. The event
 240 recognition module uses the generic constraint-based
 241 ontology language proposed by Zouba et al. [33] for
 242 event modeling and the reasoning algorithm proposed
 243 by Vu and colleagues [34] to describe and detect the
 244 activities of daily living of interest in this study.

245 The vision module detects people in the scene using
 246 an extension of the Gaussian Mixture Model algo-
 247 rithm for background subtraction proposed by Nghiem
 248 et al. [35]. People tracking over time is performed by a
 249 multi-feature algorithm proposed by Chau et al. using
 250 features such as 2D size, 3D displacement, color his-
 251 togram, and dominant color. The detected people and
 252 their tracking information (their current and previous
 253 positions in the scene) are then passed to the event
 254 recognition module [36].

255 The event recognition module is composed of a
 256 framework for event modeling and a temporal scenario
 257 recognition algorithm which assess whether the con-
 258 straints defined in the event models are satisfied [34].
 259 Event models are built taking into account *a priori*
 260 knowledge of the experimental scene and attributes
 261 dynamically obtained by the vision module. Event
 262 modeling follows a declarative and intuitive ontology-
 263 based language that uses natural terminology to allow
 264 end users (e.g., medical experts) to easily add and

265 modify the models. The *a priori* knowledge consists
 266 of a decomposition of a 3D projection of the room's
 267 floor plan into a set of spatial zones that have seman-
 268 tic information regarding the events of interest (e.g.,
 269 TV position, armchair position, desk position, tea
 270 preparation). The ontology employed by the system
 271 hierarchically categorizes event models according to
 272 their complexity, described here in ascending order:

- 273 • **Primitive State** models an instantaneous value of
 274 a property of a person (posture or position inside
 275 a certain zone.
- 276 • **Composite State** refers to a composition of two
 277 or more primitive states.
- 278 • **Primitive Event** models a change in a value of
 279 person's property (e.g., change in posture to model
 280 whether or not a person changes from a Sitting to
 281 a Standing state).
- 282 • **Composite Event** refers to the composition of
 283 two of the previous event model types in terms
 284 of a temporal relationship (e.g., Person changes
 285 from Sitting to Standing posture before Person in
 286 Corridor).

287 *IADL modeling*

288 The semantic information of the observation room
 289 where patients conducted the activities of daily living
 290 was defined. Contextual or Semantic Elements were
 291 defined at the locations where the activities of daily
 292 living would be carried out (e.g., telephone zone at
 293 top-left corner, tea and plant zones at top-right corner,
 294 and pharmacy zone at bottom-left corner).

295 The activity modeling was performed with the sup-
 296 port of domain experts. The models were mostly made
 297 taking into account one or more of the following con-
 298 straints: the presence of the person in a specific zone,
 299 their posture, and their proximity to the object of daily
 300 living (when static, e.g., the telephone). These con-
 301 straints were defined as primitive state models. The
 302 combination of these models, along with their tempo-
 303 ral order, was defined as a composite event. Duration
 304 constraints were also used to establish a minimum time
 305 of execution for the whole or sub-components of the
 306 composite event.

307 *Statistical analysis*

308 Spearman's correlations were performed to deter-
 309 mine the association between the extracted video
 310 parameters and the established assessment tools in
 311 particular for executive functioning, e.g., the FAB.

Comparison between the two groups (i.e., MCI patients and HC subjects) was performed with a Mann-Whitney test for each outcome variable of the automatic video analyses. Differences were reported as significant if $p < 0.05$.

Automatic activity recognition evaluation

The evaluation compared the performance of the AVMS at automatically detecting IADL with respect to the annotations manually made by human experts. The AVMS performance was measured based on the indices of recall and precision, described in Equations 1 and 2, respectively. Recall index measures the percentage of how many of the targeted activities have been detected compared to how many existed. Precision index evaluates the performance of the system at discriminating a targeted activity type from others.

1. Recall = $TP/(TP+FN)$ 2. Precision = $TP/(TP+FP)$

TP: True Positive rate, FP: False Positive rate, FN: False Negative rate.

RESULTS

Population

19 MCI patients (age = 75.2 ± 4.25) and 19 HC (age = 71.7 ± 5.4) were included. Table 2 shows the clinical and demographic data of the participants. Significant intergroup differences in demographic factors (gender and age) were not seen. However, significant differences were found between for the MMSE score,

with a mean of $25.8 (\pm 2.2)$ for the MCI group and $28.8 (\pm 1.0)$ for the HC group ($p, 0.001$), as well as for the FAB score with a mean of $14.16 (\pm 1.92)$ for the MCI group and $16.2 (\pm 1.44)$ for the HC group. The mean IADL-E scores did not differ between groups, with a mean IADL-E score of $9.9 (\pm 1.7)$ for the MCI group and $9.6 (\pm 1.1)$ for the HC group.

Automatic video monitoring results versus ground-truth annotation

The participants performed differently on the IADL scenario according to their diagnostic group; in all three activities (preparing the pillbox, preparing tea, and making/receiving a phone call), the obtained parameters (manually as automatic) showed variations. All results are presented in detail in Table 3. The total frequency of activities as well as the number of correctly completed activities according to manual annotations differed significantly between MCI and HC groups ($p < 0.05$). Two activities, namely preparing the pillbox and making/receiving the phone call, generally took the MCI participants a longer time to carry out. In turn, for the activity of preparing tea, HC participants took a longer time. The same trends, even if not significant, were detected as well by the automatic video analyses; a significant difference was found between MCI and HC groups ($p < 0.05$) in the phone call time. Furthermore, MCI and HC participants differed in the total amount of detected activities carried out; the same activities, preparing the pillbox and making/receiving a phone call took longer for MCI

Table 2
Characteristics of the participants

Characteristics	HC group $n = 19$	MCI group $n = 19$	p
Female, n (%)	15 (78.9%)	9 (47.4%)	0.091
Age, years mean ST	71.7 ± 5.37	75.2 ± 4.25	0.07
Level of Education, n (%)			
Unknown	2 (10.5%)	2 (10.5%)	1
No formal education	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	–
Elementary school	1 (5.3%)	5 (26.3%)	0.405
Middle school	4 (21.0%)	7 (36.8%)	0.269
High school	4 (21.0%)	3 (15.8%)	1
Post-secondary education	8 (42.1%)	2 (10.5%)	0.062
MMSE, mean \pm SD	28.8 ± 1.03	25.8 ± 2.22	0.001**
FAB, mean \pm SD	16.2 ± 1.44	14.16 ± 1.92	0.002*
IADL-E, mean \pm SD	9.6 ± 1.12	9.9 ± 1.73	0.488
NPI total, mean \pm SD	0.42 ± 1.43	6.16 ± 6.73	0.00*

Data shown as mean \pm SD. Bold characters represent significant p -values < 0.05 . Scores on the Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) range from 0 to 30, with higher scores indicating better cognitive function; Scores on the Instrumental Activities of Daily Living for Elderly (IADL-E) range from 0 to 36, with lower score indicating a better functional independency; Scores on the Montgomery Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS) range from 0 to 60 (10 items range from 0 to 6), with higher scores indicating depressive state; Scores on the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) range from 0 to 30, with higher scores indicating depressive state. HC, healthy control; MCI, mild cognitive impairment.

Table 3
Comparison of parameters from video analyses between groups

Video analyses data	HC n = 19	MCI n = 19	p
<i>Manually annotated:</i>			
Activities carried out completely and correctly [†]	9.68 ± 0.48	8.21 ± 1.48	0.00*
Activity frequency total [‡] (activities initiated) [‡]	11.74 ± 2.62	9.58 ± 1.89	0.007*
<i>Preparing Pillbox (f)</i>	1.05 ± 0.23	0.89 ± 0.32	0.086
<i>Preparing Pillbox time</i>	41.17 ± 17.04	46.17 ± 31.18	0.609
<i>Making tea (f)</i>	2.68 ± 0.82	2 ± 1	0.068
<i>Making tea time</i>	41.21 ± 30.60	32.16 ± 35.3	0.175
<i>Phone call (f)</i>	2 ± 0.47	2.21 ± 0.53	0.198
<i>Phone call time</i>	66.61 ± 21.75	83.30 ± 30.96	0.118
<i>Automatically extracted: Activity frequency total</i>			
<i>Preparing Pillbox (f)</i>	1.05 ± 0.23	1.17 ± 0.38	0.271
<i>Preparing Pillbox time</i>	47.64 ± 22.28	70.26 ± 38.01	0.204
<i>Making tea (f)</i>	2.74 ± 1.33	2.12 ± 1.22	0.136
<i>Making tea time</i>	102.3 ± 77.3	79.57 ± 40.92	0.531
<i>Phone call (f)</i>	1.95 ± 0.52	2.17 ± 0.79	0.38
<i>Phone call time</i>	60.32 ± 21.52	112.61 ± 46.31	0.000*

Mann-Whitney test: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 HC, healthy control; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; (f), mean frequency of detected event; [†]Represents the total amount of completely carried out activities without a mistake, [‡]Represents the total of simply initiated activities which are not always necessarily accomplished completely and without mistakes.




Fig. 1. The experimental room for the IADL assessment. For the automatic activity detection, the room was divided in different zones according to the designated IADL.

participants whereas making tea took longer for the HC group.

According to the amount of carried out activities and rapidity, the best and worst performers were determined in each group. Next, we investigated if participants that performed well showed a difference in the parameters extracted from the automated video analyses compared to participants that did not perform as well on the assessment. This, in turn, could help establish diagnostic-specific profiles of IADL functioning. The results are presented in Fig. 2.

Moreover, the manually and automatically extracted video data parameter 'activity frequency' correlated significantly with neuropsychological test results namely the MMSE ($p < 0.01$) and FAB score ($p < 0.05$).

The obtained correlation analyses results are presented in Table 4. Particularly, from the manually annotated parameters, the time spent to prepare the pillbox correlated significantly negatively with the MMSE scores ($p < 0.01$), whereas the time spent to make a phone call correlated significantly negatively with the FAB scores ($p < 0.05$). The mean frequency of the activity 'making tea' correlated significantly positively with the FAB scores ($p < 0.05$). From the automatically extracted parameters, the detected time spent to prepare the pillbox ($p < 0.01$) and to make the phone call ($p < 0.05$) correlated significantly negatively with the MMSE scores. None of the extracted parameters correlated with the IADL-E scores.

Automatic video monitoring results: Experimental results

Table 5 presents the results of the evaluation of the AVMS with respect to its accuracy at detecting the number of activities of daily living annotated by domain experts while watching the experiment video.

From all 10 proposed activities, 'Reading' was detected automatically with the highest precision of 91.30%, followed by 'Preparing pillbox' with 90.24%, and 'Making phone call' with 89.47%.

DISCUSSION

The presented study demonstrates the additional value of employing new technologies such as automatic video monitoring system in clinical practice for




Fig. 2. The average execution times for each activity in blue annotated manually and in red detected automatically. MCI, mild cognitive impairment; WP, worst performer; BP, best performer; HC, healthy control.

412 the assessment of (I)ADL in dementia patients. The
 413 two main goals of the study were (1) to investigate
 414 if differences in IADL functioning can be detected
 415 between MCI and HC and (2) to compare between
 416 manual and automated assessments of IADL per-
 417 formances in contrast to standard paper scales.

418 The obtained results demonstrate that significant
 419 group differences between MCI and HC participants
 420 (even with just a small sample size) can be detected

when using such techniques, and this when regular
 assessment tools such as the IADL-E questionnaire
 lack sensitivity to detect these group differences. A
 detection accuracy of up to 90% for the ‘Preparing pill-
 box’ activity has been achieved validating clearly the
 use of AVMS for evaluation and monitoring purposes.
 Furthermore, the correlation analyses demonstrated
 that extracted parameters, particularly execution times
 of activities, correlated significantly with neuropsy-

421
 422
 423
 424
 425
 426
 427
 428
 429

Table 4
Correlation between automatic video parameters, manually annotated parameters
and conventional cognitive assessments (Spearman's correlation coefficient)

Video analyses data	MMSE	FAB	IADL-E
Spearman correlation coefficient (<i>r</i>) / <i>p</i> -values			
<i>Manually annotated</i>	(<i>r</i>)	(<i>r</i>)	(<i>r</i>)
Activities frequency	0.491** <i>p</i> = 0.002	0.394* <i>p</i> = 0.014	-0.035 <i>p</i> = 0.834
Activities completed correctly	0.819** <i>p</i> = 0.000	0.660** <i>p</i> = 0.000	-0.107 <i>p</i> = 0.522
<i>Automatically extracted</i>			
Activity frequency	0.415** <i>p</i> = 0.005	0.273* <i>p</i> = 0.048	-0.071 <i>p</i> = 0.337
<i>Manually annotated</i>			
Preparing Pillbox (<i>f</i>)	0.055 <i>p</i> = 0.239	0.299 <i>p</i> = 0.063	-0.149 <i>p</i> = 0.127
Preparing Pillbox time	-0.468** <i>p</i> = 0.001	-0.114 <i>p</i> = 0.409	-0.179 <i>p</i> = 0.211
Making tea (<i>f</i>)	0.27 <i>p</i> = 0.083	0.363* <i>p</i> = 0.042	-0.5 <i>p</i> = 0.391
Making tea time	-0.143 <i>p</i> = 0.222	0.053 <i>p</i> = 0.343	-0.002 <i>p</i> = 0.396
Phone call (<i>f</i>)	-0.123 <i>p</i> = 0.128	-0.235 <i>p</i> = 0.084	0.002 <i>p</i> = 0.465
Phone call time	-0.280* <i>p</i> = 0.044	-0.332* <i>p</i> = 0.041	-0.145 <i>p</i> = 0.291
<i>Automatically extracted</i>			
Preparing Pillbox (<i>f</i>)	-0.287* <i>p</i> = 0.043	-0.073 <i>p</i> = 0.295	0.125 <i>p</i> = 0.222
Preparing Pillbox time	-0.618** <i>p</i> = 0.001	-0.241 <i>p</i> = 0.340	-0.05 <i>p</i> = 0.128
Making tea (<i>f</i>)	0.223 <i>p</i> = 0.60	0.221 <i>p</i> = 0.083	-0.264 <i>p</i> = 0.051
Making tea time	0.016 <i>p</i> = 0.392	-0.101 <i>p</i> = 0.261	-0.114 <i>p</i> = 0.197
Phone call (<i>f</i>)	-0.248 <i>p</i> = 0.095	0.077 <i>p</i> = 0.330	0.158 <i>p</i> = 0.223
Phone call time	-0.373* <i>P</i> = 0.002	-0.277* <i>p</i> = 0.049	-0.054 <i>p</i> = 0.451

p* < 0.05, *p* < 0.01.

Table 5
Activity/Event detection performance

Activity	Recall	Precision
Phone call	85	89.47
Watching TV	83.33	73.77
Making tea	80.9	80
Preparing Pillbox	100	90.24
Watering Plant	75	61.22
Reading	75	91.3
Average Recognition	85.31	75.9

n: 38, MCI: 19 / HC: 19.

chological tests results, namely the MMSE and FAB scores.

The study's results were consistent with those previously presented in [32], where a recall of 88.30 and a precision of 71.23 were demonstrated. Although our evaluation results were obtained from different patients and from a larger cohort, small differences

were observed in precision index which is higher by ~5%, and in the recall index which is lower by 3%. These differences are a result of a trade-off between AVMS precision and recall performance due to a refinement of the event-modeling step. By opting for more strict constraints in such models, we make the system less prone to errors such as misleading evidence. For instance, instead of patients walking toward the plant to water it, they just stretch from the tea table to do so, as this table is just beside the plant.

Activities where the AVMS presented lower precision refer to at least one of two factors: participants performing the activity far from the camera and/or noise from low-level vision components of the AVMS. For example, a few patients stopped close by or inside the activity zones for long periods to read the instructions sheet, which caused false-positive detections of the zone-related activities. In addition, noisy data from

437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454

430
431
432
433
434
435
436

low-level vision components sometimes shifted the estimation of the position of participants from their actual location to an activity zone close by, mostly when the participants were far from the camera. For the described problems, possible solutions include the adoption of a probabilistic framework to handle noise and event modeling uncertainty, and a multi-sensor approach for cases where the activities are mis-detected by a lack of view of the participants.

If we try to interpret the results, it is not surprising that MCI participants carried out fewer activities in general and took more time, especially for preparing the pillbox and the phone call, which was detected by the observer as well as by the automatic video analysis. Recent studies demonstrated that even in MCI patients, difficulties in the execution of complex IADL tasks, could be observed and linked to possible early impairment of executive function [8]. This is further in line with our finding of significant group differences in the studied population (see Table 2) on the FAB, a test that specifically measures levels of executive functioning.

Interestingly, the preparing tea activity took longer for HC participants and can be explained by the fact that, for the most part, they correctly completed this activity (which takes at least a minimum of 60 s), whereas MCI patients initiated this activity but did not always finish it completely. Therefore, their execution time was shorter but may serve as an indicator of poor task performance.

One major drawback of this study was that healthy control subjects were recruited through the Memory Clinic and therefore suffered in most cases from subjective memory complaints. However, according to classical assessment tools and diagnostic manual they were cognitively healthy. Thus it is debatable whether or not to classify them as healthy controls, as the MMSE and FAB mean scores for that group were relatively low. Furthermore, the study was only based on a small population size. This does not mean that the chosen parameters were not helpful indicators, and they should be validated with a larger population in the future, potentially combined with other ICT data such as actigraphy [37] or automatic speech analyses [38], given the fact that certain significant group differences could be observed.

It can be further argued that the experiment was conducted in an artificial laboratory environment and not in a complete natural setting such as a patient's home. This could have had increased the stress level of the participants and consequently an impact on their IADL performance. It is therefore desirable in the future to conduct this type of assessment in more naturalistic set-

tings, but that may also represent a less controlled environment and therefore a bigger challenge from a technical point of view. Finally, the current study placed less emphasis on multi-tasking in IADL performances, but rather focused more on the simple execution of tasks sequentially. However, in real life, multi-tasking is of great importance and represents complex cognitive processing required for functional ability.

It is important to mention that in the field of automatic video analysis, it is almost impossible to achieve 100% accuracy in the activity recognition, often caused as well by inaccurate manual annotations. The challenge is to define, for example, the beginning and the end of an activity, which represents a common problem in video analyses. Nevertheless, the activity detection by video analyses might be actually a much closer representation of the reality and the real events happening than annotations of a human observer because the latest can be influenced by various confounding factors such as fatigue, distraction, lack of concentration, etc.

The advantages of using such techniques are that the application in daily practice is easy and reproducible, and add an objective measure to the assessment of autonomy. Furthermore, this evaluation provides quicker results than manual annotations and could be even used as an outcome measure in clinical trials in order to evaluate the effect of certain treatments (pharmacological and non-pharmacological) on the functioning of IADLs of patients.

Overall, the study showed in particular that manually annotated data gives a more accurate picture of a patient's status to date, and is better validated by traditional diagnostic and neuropsychological assessment tools. This means that qualitative assessments still seem to better correlate with conventional scoring than quantitative video extracted parameters. Until now, the obtained data still needs interpretation of an experienced clinician regarding the quality of the carried out activities. It should be emphasized that this cannot be replaced by technology and is not the objective of this research.

However, in future studies, we aim for improvement in the activity detection with a larger group sample, in particular to improve the detection of the quality of activity execution, i.e., if an activity was carried out successfully and completely.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by grants from the ANR-09-TECS-016-01 – TecSan – SWEET HOME, the

556 FP7 Dem@care project, by the Innovation Alzheimer
557 associations, by the CoBTek (Cognition – Behaviour
558 – Technology) Research Unit from the Nice Sophia-
559 Antipolis University (UNS), the CMRR Nice team and
560 by the platform patients of the Nice CHU member of
561 the CIU-S.

562 Authors' disclosures available online (<http://www.j->
563 [alz.com/disclosures/view.php?id=25660](http://www.j-alz.com/disclosures/view.php?id=25660)).

564 REFERENCES

- 565 [1] Reppermund S, Brodaty H, Crawford JD, Kochan NA, Draper
566 B, Slavin MJ, Trollor JN, Sachdev PS (2013) Impairment
567 in instrumental activities of daily living with high cognitive
568 demand is an early marker of mild cognitive impairment: The
569 Sydney memory and ageing study. *Psychol Med* **43**, 2437-
570 2445.
- 571 [2] Albert MS, DeKosky ST, Dickson D, Dubois B, Feldman
572 HH, Fox NC, Gamst A, Holtzman DM, Jagust WJ, Petersen
573 RC, Snyder PJ, Carrillo MC, Thies B, Phelps CH (2011) The
574 diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment due to Alzheimer's
575 disease: Recommendations from the National Institute on
576 Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic
577 guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement* **7**,
578 270-279.
- 579 [3] Artero S, Petersen R, Touchon J, Ritchie K (2006) Revised
580 criteria for mild cognitive impairment: Validation within a
581 longitudinal population study. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord*
582 **22**, 465-470.
- 583 [4] Petersen RC, Smith GE, Waring SC, Ivnik RJ, Tangalos EG,
584 Kokmen E (1999) Mild cognitive impairment: Clinical char-
585 acterization and outcome. *Arch Neurol* **56**, 303-308.
- 586 [5] Morris JC, Cummings J (2005) Mild cognitive impair-
587 ment (MCI) represents early-stage Alzheimer's disease. *J*
588 *Alzheimers Dis* **7**, 235-239; discussion 255-262.
- 589 [6] Wadley VG, Okonkwo O, Crowe M, Ross-Meadows LA
590 (2008) Mild cognitive impairment and everyday function:
591 Evidence of reduced speed in performing instrumental activ-
592 ities of daily living. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* **16**, 416-424.
- 593 [7] Gold DA (2012) An examination of instrumental activities
594 of daily living assessment in older adults and mild cognitive
595 impairment. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol* **34**, 11-34.
- 596 [8] Marshall GA, Rentz DM, Frey MT, Locascio JJ, Johnson KA,
597 Sperling RA, Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging I (2011)
598 Executive function and instrumental activities of daily living
599 in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease.
600 *Alzheimers Dement* **7**, 300-308.
- 601 [9] Burton CL, Strauss E, Bunce D, Hunter MA, Hultsch DF
602 (2009) Functional abilities in older adults with mild cognitive
603 impairment. *Gerontology* **55**, 570-581.
- 604 [10] Jefferson AL, Byerly LK, Vanderhill S, Lambe S, Wong S,
605 Ozonoff A, Karlawish JH (2008) Characterization of activities
606 of daily living in individuals with mild cognitive impairment.
607 *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* **16**, 375-383.
- 608 [11] Sikkes SA, de Lange-de Klerk ES, Pijnenburg YA, Scheltens
609 P, Uitdehaag BM (2009) A systematic review of Instrumen-
610 tal Activities of Daily Living scales in dementia: Room for
611 improvement. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* **80**, 7-12.
- 612 [12] Schmitter-Edgecombe M, McAlister C, Weakley A (2012)
613 Naturalistic assessment of everyday functioning in individuals
614 with mild cognitive impairment: The day-out task. *Neuropsy-
615 chology* **26**, 631-641.
- [13] Nelson AP, O'Connor MG (2008) Mild cognitive impair- 616
ment: A neuropsychological perspective. *CNS Spectr* **13**, 617
56-64. 618
- [14] Razani J, Casas R, Wong JT, Lu P, Alessi C, Josephson K 619
(2007) Relationship between executive functioning and activ- 620
ities of daily living in patients with relatively mild dementia. 621
Appl Neuropsychol **14**, 208-214. 622
- [15] Dawadi PN, Cook DJ, Schmitter-Edgecombe M, Parsey C 623
(2013) Automated assessment of cognitive health using smart 624
home technologies. *Technol Health Care* **21**, 323-343. 625
- [16] Sablier J, Stip E, Jacquet P, Giroux S, Pigot H, Franck N, 626
Mobus G (2012) Ecological assessments of activities of daily 627
living and personal experiences with Mobus, an assistive tech- 628
nology for cognition: A pilot study in schizophrenia. *Assist 629*
Technol **24**, 67-77. 630
- [17] Okahashi S, Seki K, Nagano A, Luo Z, Kojima M, Futaki 631
T (2013) A virtual shopping test for realistic assessment of 632
cognitive function. *J Neuroeng Rehabil* **10**, 59. 633
- [18] Werner P, Rabinowitz S, Klinger E, Korczyn AD, Josman N 634
(2009) Use of the virtual action planning supermarket for the 635
diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment: A preliminary study. 636
Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord **27**, 301-309. 637
- [19] Sacco G, Joumier V, Darmon N, Dechamps A, Derreumaux 638
A, Lee JH, Piano J, Bordone N, König A, Teboul B, David R, 639
Guerin O, Bremond F, Robert P (2012) Detection of activities 640
of daily living impairment in Alzheimer's disease and mild 641
cognitive impairment using information and communication 642
technology. *Clin Interv Aging* **7**, 539-549. 643
- [20] Romdhane R, Mulin E, Derreumaux A, Zouba N, Piano J, 644
Lee L, Leroi I, Mallea P, David R, Thonnat M, Bremond F, 645
Robert PH (2012) Automatic video monitoring system for 646
assessment of Alzheimer's disease symptoms. *J Nutr Health 647*
Aging **16**, 213-218. 648
- [21] Stone EE, Skubic M (2012) Capturing habitual, in-home gait 649
parameter trends using an inexpensive depth camera. *Conf 650*
Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc **2012**, 5106-5109. 651
- [22] Wang F, Stone E, Dai W, Banerjee T, Giger J, Krampe J, Rantz 652
M, Skubic M (2009) Testing an in-home gait assessment tool 653
for older adults. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc* **2009**, 654
6147-6150. 655
- [23] Banerjee T, Keller JM, Skubic M (2012) Resident identi- 656
fication using kinect depth image data and fuzzy clustering 657
techniques. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc* **2012**, 5102- 658
5105. 659
- [24] Dubois B, Slachevsky A, Litvan I, Pillon B (2000) The FAB: A 660
Frontal Assessment Battery at bedside. *Neurology* **55**, 1621- 661
1626. 662
- [25] Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR (1975) Mini-mental 663
state. A practical method for grading the cognitive state of 664
patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res* **12**, 189-198. 665
- [26] Lawton MP, Brody EM (1969) Assessment of older people: 666
Self-maintaining and instrumental activities of daily living. 667
Gerontologist **9**, 179-186. 668
- [27] Fahn S, Elton RL (1987) UPDRS program members. Unified 669
Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale. In *Recent developments 670*
in Parkinson's disease, Fahn S MC, Goldstein M, Calne DB, 671
ed. Macmillan Healthcare Information, Florham Park, NJ, pp. 672
153-163. 673
- [28] Mathuranath PS, George A, Cherian PJ, Mathew R, Sarma 674
PS (2005) Instrumental activities of daily living scale for 675
dementia screening in elderly people. *Int Psychogeriatr* **17**, 676
461-474. 677
- [29] Montgomery SA, Asberg M (1979) A new depression scale 678
designed to be sensitive to change. *Br J Psychiatry* **134**, 382- 679
389. 680

- 681 [30] Yesavage JA, Brink TL, Rose TL, Lum O, Huang V, Adey M, 700
682 Leirer VO (1982) Development and validation of a geriatric 701
683 depression screening scale: A preliminary report. *J Psychiatr 702*
684 *Res* **17**, 37-49. 703
- 685 [31] D'Arcy S (2008) Speech as a means of monitoring cog- 704
686 nitive function of elderly subjects. *Interspeech* (Brisbane, 705
687 Australia). 706
- 688 [32] Crispim-Junior CF, Bathrinarayanan V, Fosty B, König A, 707
689 Romdhane R, Thonnat M, Bremond F (2013) Evaluation of 708
690 a monitoring system for event recognition of older people. 709
691 *10th IEEE International Conference on Advanced Video and 710*
692 *Signal-Based Surveillance* (Krakow, Poland). 711
- 693 [33] Zouba N, Bremond F, Thonnat M (2010) An activity mon- 712
694 itoring system for real elderly at home: Validation study. 713
695 *7th IEEE International Conference on Advanced Video and 714*
696 *Signal-Based Surveillance* (Boston, USA). 715
- 697 [34] Vu T, Brémond F, Thonnat M (2003) Automatic video 716
698 interpretation: A novel algorithm for temporal scenario recog- 717
699 nition. *The Eighteenth International Joint Conference on 718*
Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI'03) (Acapulco, Mexico). 719
- [35] Nghiem AT, Bremond F, Thonnat M (2009) Controlling back- 700
ground subtraction algorithms for robust object detection. *3rd 701*
International Conference on Imaging for Crime Detection and 702
Prevention (London, UK), pp. 1-6. 703
- [36] Chau DP, Bremond F, Thonnat M (2011) A multi-feature 704
tracking algorithm enabling adaptation to context variations. 705
International Conference on Imaging for Crime Detection and 706
Prevention. 707
- [37] Yakhia M, König A, van der Flier WM, Friedman L, Robert 708
PH, David R (2014) Actigraphic motor activity in mild cog- 709
nitive impairment patients carrying out short functional activity 710
tasks: Comparison between mild cognitive impairment with 711
and without depressive symptoms. *J Alzheimers Dis* **40**, 869- 712
875. 713
- [38] Satt A, Sorin A, Toledo-Ronen O, Barkan O, Kompatsiaris I, 714
Kokonozi A, Tsolaki M (2013) Evaluation of speech-based 715
protocol for detection of early-stage dementia. *Interspeech 716*
(Lyon, France). 717