Interactive Path Query Specification on Graph Databases
Angela Bonifati, Radu Ciucanu, Aurélien Lemay

To cite this version:
Angela Bonifati, Radu Ciucanu, Aurélien Lemay. Interactive Path Query Specification on Graph Databases. 18th International Conference on Extending Database Technology (EDBT), Mar 2015, Bruxelles, Belgium. 2015, <http://edbticdt2015.be/>. <10.5441/002/dbt.2015.44>. <hal-01097771>

HAL Id: hal-01097771
https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01097771
Submitted on 7 May 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L’archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d’enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.
Interactive Path Query Specification on Graph Databases

Angela Bonifati    Radu Ciucanu    Aurélien Lemay

University of Lille & INRIA, France
{angela.bonifati, radu.ciucanu, aurelien.lemay}@inria.fr

ABSTRACT

Graph databases are becoming pervasive in several application scenarios such as the Semantic Web, social and biological networks, and geographical databases, to name a few. However, specifying a graph query is a cumbersome task for non-expert users because graph databases (i) are usually of large size hence difficult to visualize and (ii) do not carry proper metadata as there is no clear distinction between the instances and the schemas. We present GPS, a system for interactive path query specification on graph databases, which assists the user to specify path queries defined by regular expressions. The user is interactively asked to visualize small fragments of the graph and to label nodes of interest as positive or negative, depending on whether or not she would like the nodes as part of the query result. After each interaction, the system prunes the uninformative nodes i.e., those that do not add any information about the user’s goal query. Thus, the system also guides the user to specify her goal query with a minimal number of interactions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Graph databases [8] are becoming pervasive in several application scenarios such as the Semantic Web, social and biological networks, and geographical databases, to name a few. Many mechanisms have been proposed to query a graph database, which, although being very expressive, are difficult to understand by non-expert users who are unable to specify their queries with a formal syntax.

The problem of assisting non-expert users to specify their queries has been recently raised by Jagadish et al. [6, 7]. More concretely, they have observed that “constructing a database query is often challenging for the user, commonly takes longer than the execution of the query itself, and does not use any insights from the database”. While they have mentioned these problems in the context of relational databases, we argue that they become even more difficult to tackle for graph databases. Indeed, graph databases usually do not carry proper metadata as there is no clear distinction between the instances and the schemas. The absence of metadata and the difficulty of visualizing possibly large graphs make unfeasible traditional query specification paradigms for non-expert users e.g., query by example [9].

In this paper, we address the problem of assisting non-expert users to specify their graph queries and propose GPS, “a system for interactive Graph Path query Specification”. The user is interactively asked to visualize small fragments of the graph and to label nodes of interest as positive or negative examples, depending on whether or not she would like the nodes as part of the query result. After each interaction, the system prunes the uninformative nodes i.e., those nodes that do not provide any useful information about the user’s goal query. Thus, the system also guides the user to specify her goal query with a minimal number of interactions.

In [2], we have studied the theoretical challenges of such a scenario and empirically shown the improvements of using an interactive approach on biological and synthetic datasets. As a natural extension, we are interested next in applying our algorithms to scenarios where human users provide the positive and negative examples. Both in [2] and in this demo, we focus on the class of path queries defined by regular expressions, where a node is selected if it has a path in the language of a given regular expression. The objective of this demo is thus to let real users interact with GPS to specify different path queries that they could have in mind, while minimizing the number of interactions with the system.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present some key ingredients of our system via a motivating example, while in Section 3 we describe our demonstration scenario. Due to space restrictions, in this paper we provide only a glimpse of the techniques employed by GPS. However, we refer to our full research paper [2] for algorithmic details and for more elements of related work.

2. SYSTEM OVERVIEW

In this section we present a brief overview of our system. To this purpose, we first introduce a motivating example.

Then, we describe the interactive scenario for path query specification on graph databases.

Motivating example

We depict in Figure 1 a graph representing a geographical database having as nodes the neighborhoods of a city area (N₁ to N₅), along with cinemas (C₁ and C₂), and restaurants (R₁ and R₂) in such neighborhoods. The edges represent public transportation facilities from a neighborhood to another (using labels tran and bus), along with other
kind of facilities (using labels cinema and restaurant). For instance, the graph indicates that one can travel by bus between the neighborhoods N2 and N4, that in the neighborhood N4 there is a cinema C1, and so on. Next, imagine that a user wants to know from which neighborhoods in the city she can reach cinemas via public transportation. These neighborhoods can be retrieved using a path query defined by the following regular expression:

\[ q = (\text{tram } + \text{bus})^* : \text{cinema} \]

The query \( q \) selects the nodes \( N_1, N_2, N_4, \) and \( N_6 \) as they are entailed by the following paths in the graph:

\[
N_1 \xrightarrow{\text{tram} \ N_4 \ x} \text{cinema} \ x, \\
N_2 \xrightarrow{\text{bus} \ N_1 \ x} \text{tram} \ N_4 \ x \ x, \\
N_4 \xrightarrow{\text{cinema} \ x} \ x, \\
N_6 \xrightarrow{\text{cinema} \ x} \ x.
\]

We assume that the user is not familiar with any formal syntax of query languages, while she still wants to specify the above query on the graph database in Figure 1 by providing examples of the query result. In particular, she would positively or negatively label some graph nodes according to whether or not they would be selected by the targeted query. For instance, the user could label the nodes \( N_2 \) and \( N_6 \) as positive examples, and the node \( N_5 \) as a negative example, thus willing to have all nodes but the last as part of the query result. Indeed, there is no path starting in \( N_5 \) through which the user can reach a cinema, while there are paths for the first two nodes. We also observe that the query \( q \) above is consistent with the user’s examples because \( q \) selects all positive examples and none of the negative ones.

To construct a query that is consistent with the examples provided by the user, we have proposed in [2] a learning algorithm that essentially consists of the following two steps: (i) for each positive example, find a path that is not covered by any negative, and (ii) construct an automaton recognizing precisely the paths found at the previous step and generalize it by state merges while no negative example is covered. By continuing on our running example, take the graph database from Figure 1, the positive examples \( N_2 \) and \( N_6 \), and the negative example \( N_5 \). Assuming that at step (i) we have found the paths bus-tram-cinema and cinema for \( N_2 \) and \( N_6 \), respectively, by generalizing the disjunction of these two paths we are able to construct the aforementioned query \( q \), which corresponds to the user’s goal query. In the next section, while describing the interactive scenario, we explain in more details how our system is able to find path queries via simple user interactions.

**Interactive scenario**

Even though our demonstration scenario consists of several types of interactions with the user (cf. Section 3), in this section we concentrate exclusively on the core of GPS, the interactive scenario for path query specification. This scenario is inspired by the well-known framework of learning with membership queries [1]. Recently, we have formalized it as a general paradigm for learning queries on big data [3] and also employed it for learning join queries on relational databases [4, 5]. In Figure 2, we depict the current instantiation for path queries on graphs and we detail next its different steps.

1. **Input**: a graph database \( G \).
2. **Is the halt condition satisfied?**
3. **Choose node \( \nu \) w.r.t. a strategy \( \Upsilon \).**
4. **Get neighborhood for \( \nu \).**
5. **Ask label for \( \nu \).**
6. **Output: learned query.**

![Figure 2: Interactive scenario.](image-url)
between two consecutive interactions, and (ii) attempt to minimize the number of user interactions by asking the user to only label the most informative nodes. In [2], we have developed such strategies, which intuitively seek the nodes having an important number of paths that are shorter than a fixed bound and not covered by any negative node.

A node by itself does not carry enough information to allow the user understand whether it is part of the query result or not. Therefore, we have to enhance the information of a node by zooming out on its neighborhood before actually showing it to the user. This step has the goal of producing a small, easy to visualize fragment of the initial graph, which possibly contains the nodes that the user would label as positive or negative.

In our system, we initially compute the neighborhood of a node \( \nu \) as the graph consisting of all nodes and edges at distance at most 2 from \( \nu \). For instance, given the graph database from Figure 1, let us assume that we want to ask the user to label the node \( N_2 \). Thus, the user is first presented with the graph in Figure 3(a). Notice that we have depicted by “…” the parts of the graph that are reachable from the current node \( N_2 \), but are not shown because they are not in the current neighborhood. The user can label the proposed node as a positive or negative example (i.e., answer “Yes/No”) or she may ask for zooming out the neighborhood to be able to decide whether or not the node is of interest for her. For instance, if the user decides to zoom out the neighborhood of \( N_2 \), we show her the graph from Figure 3(b), where we highlight (by drawing in blue) the nodes and edges that have been added w.r.t. the previously presented graph fragment. On our example, the user is able now to see that she can reach a cinema from \( N_2 \) and thus to label this proposed node as a positive example.

Next, if the user has labeled a given node as a positive example, we want to find out which of the paths of that node is of interest for her. For this purpose, the system builds all paths of the current node that are not yet covered by negative examples and of length at most the size of the last neighborhood. We present these paths to the user as a prefix tree and we highlight the path that the system believes is the path of interest for her. The user can thus validate this path or correct it further by choosing a different path. In Figure 3(b) of our running example, we have shown the neighborhood of size 3 of the node \( N_2 \), which is the node that the user has labeled as a positive example. Consequently, the system shows to the user the paths of \( N_2 \) of length at most 3 in the prefix tree of Figure 3(c). The system highlights the path “bus-bus-cinema” as a candidate path of interest for the user because (i) it has length equal to 3 and (ii) the system inferred that a path of this length better fits the user’s will as the latter zoomed out the neighborhood of length 2 in Figure 3(a).

After path validation by the user, the system seamlessly propagates to the rest of the graph the labels provided by the user at this stage, while at the same time pruning the nodes that become uninformative. Our learning algorithm outputs in polynomial time either a query \( q \) consistent with all labels provided by the user, or instead the next node to label if such a query cannot be constructed efficiently. We have shown in [2] that constructing in polynomial time a query consistent with the examples is not always possible, but, after a certain number of examples (this number being polynomial in the size of the query), the learning algorithm is guaranteed to return in polynomial time a query equivalent to the user’s goal query.

When the halt condition is satisfied, we return the latest output of the learning algorithm to the user. In particular, the halt condition may take into account an intermediary...
learned query \( q \) e.g., when the user is satisfied by the output of \( q \) on the instance and wants to stop the interactions.

3. DEMONSTRATION SCENARIO

The demonstration scenario consists of three parts. First, we would like that the attendee appreciates the difficulties that one can encounter when labeling directly the graph database instance. Next, we propose to the attendee an interactive scenario where she is prompted with small fragments of the graph that can be easily visualized. On these fragments, the user can label nodes as positive or negative examples and the system infers her queries. Third, we present the core of our system, where we additionally show to the user the set of relevant paths entailed by the positive examples for further validation. This extra step guarantees that the system generalizes the interesting paths for the user and thus the constructed query indeed corresponds to what the user had in mind. In the demo, we plan to show our algorithms on real geographical data. Such data combines the information about networks of public transportation in France (e.g., Transpole\(^1\)) with other facilities in the spirit of our motivating example.

Static labeling

To illustrate why it is important to use an interactive approach in proposing nodes of interest to the user, we progressively show to the attendees the different types of interactions our system can handle. In this first part of the demonstration, we let the attendee visualize the graph and label nodes of interest in the order she prefers. Then, the system proposes a query consistent with the provided examples or, alternatively, points out that the labeled nodes are inconsistent. The attendee must observe that this kind of approach is not user-friendly as the user is asked to (i) possibly visualize a large graph database instance and (ii) inspect interesting fragments by herself. This clearly requires more effort than visualizing small fragments of the graph and simply answering “Yes/No” to nodes proposed by the system. However, we think that it is still important to show this static labeling scenario to the user to appreciate the differences with respect to the interactive scenario, which we discuss next. Moreover, the static labeling scenario is the only one where we let the user to make mistakes by labeling nodes inconsistently because in the other scenarios we show informative nodes only hence any labeling is consistent.

Interactive labeling (without path validation)

In this part of the demonstration, we present the interactive scenario illustrated in the previous section, but without including the step of path validation. For instance, at each interaction the system computes the most informative node and shows it to the user together with its neighborhood as in Figure 3(a). Then, the user may label it as a positive or negative example, or she can ask for zooming out the neighborhood, which yields a graph as in Figure 3(b). When the user labels a proposed node as a positive example, the system computes for that node a path that is not covered by any negative example, the latter path being used by the learning algorithm afterwards. The goal of this scenario is to show the importance of the step of path validation. Although the interactive scenario without this step finally produces a query that is consistent with the examples provided by the user, this query is not necessarily always the query that the user expects. As an example, on the graph and the labeled examples in Figure 1, notice that the query \( \text{bus} \) selects both positive examples \( C_2 \) and \( C_6 \), and not the negative example \( C_5 \). This query is clearly not the user’s goal query. Therefore, even though the user has to perform an additional click to validate or to correct the path of interest for a positive node, this step is necessary to make sure that the learned query is constructed using for each positive node the paths of interest for her.

Interactive labeling (with path validation)

In this last part of the demonstration, we illustrate the core of our system i.e., the interactive scenario described in Section 2. As a difference w.r.t. the second demonstration scenario, the user can now additionally choose as in Figure 3(c) the path of interest instead of letting the learning algorithm choose such a path. The goal of this third scenario is to show the actual difference between “learning” a query that is consistent with the node examples provided by the user and assisting the user to “specifying” her query, also via node examples. When the user also validates the paths of interest for each positive node, this guarantees that the system generalizes the interesting paths for the user and the constructed query is indeed the user’s goal query. In conclusion, by using GPS, a non-expert user desiring to query a graph database has neither to visualize all the graph that can be potentially large, nor to look by herself for interesting nodes, as the system guides her throughout small, easy to visualize fragments of the graph and prompt her with nodes to label on these fragments.
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