



## Oqla user's guide

Jean Charles Gilbert, Émilie Joannopoulos

► **To cite this version:**

| Jean Charles Gilbert, Émilie Joannopoulos. Oqla user's guide. 2014. <hal-01110441>

**HAL Id: hal-01110441**

**<https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01110441>**

Submitted on 28 Jan 2015

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Oqla user's guide

Jean Charles GILBERT<sup>1</sup> and Émilie JOANNOPOULOS<sup>1</sup>

January 28, 2015

<b>1</b>	<b>Presentation</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	The problem to solve . . . . .	2
1.2	The closest feasible problem . . . . .	3
1.3	The solution method . . . . .	4
1.3.1	Algorithmic scheme . . . . .	4
1.3.2	The gradient projection phase . . . . .	6
1.3.3	The conjugate gradient phase . . . . .	6
1.3.4	Preconditioning of the CG iterations . . . . .	7
<b>2</b>	<b>The package</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1	Description . . . . .	8
2.2	Installation . . . . .	8
<b>3</b>	<b>Usage</b>	<b>9</b>
3.1	Data structures . . . . .	9
3.1.1	Vectors . . . . .	9
3.1.2	Matrices . . . . .	10
3.1.3	Problem data . . . . .	11
3.1.4	Solver options . . . . .	12
3.1.5	Preconditioners . . . . .	14
3.1.6	Solver diagnosis . . . . .	15
3.2	Running the solver . . . . .	16
3.3	Example . . . . .	17
	<b>References</b>	<b>19</b>
	<b>Index</b>	<b>20</b>

---

<sup>1</sup>INRIA-Paris-Rocquencourt, BP 105, F-78153 Le Chesnay Cedex (France); e-mails: Jean-Charles.Gilbert@inria.fr and emilie.joannopoulos@inria.fr.

# 1 Presentation

## 1.1 The problem to solve

The piece of software `Oq1a` has been designed to solve a *convex quadratic optimization problem*. This one is assumed to have the following form

$$(QP) \quad \begin{cases} \inf_x g^\top x + \frac{1}{2}x^\top Hx \\ l_B \leq x \leq u_B \\ l_I \leq A_I x \leq u_I \\ A_E x = b_E, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where

- $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the gradient of the *objective* at the origin;  $n$  is a nonzero integer;
- $H \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is the Hessian of the objective, which is assumed to be symmetric and positive semi-definite;
- $l_B \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^n$  and  $u_B \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^n$  specify lower and upper bounds on  $x$ ; they must satisfy  $l_B < u_B$  (i.e.,  $l_i < u_i$  for all indices  $i \in [1:n] \equiv \{1, \dots, n\}$ );
- $A_I \in \mathbb{R}^{m_I \times n}$  is the inequality constraint Jacobian;  $I$  is supposed to be a finite index set whose cardinality is  $m_I := |I|$ ; the rows of  $A_I$  are denoted by  $A_i$  for  $i \in I$ ;
- $l_I \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{m_I}$  and  $u_I \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{m_I}$  specify lower and upper bounds on  $A_I x$ ; they must satisfy  $l_I < u_I$  (i.e.,  $l_i < u_i$  for all indices  $i \in I$ );
- $A_E \in \mathbb{R}^{m_E \times n}$  is the equality constraint Jacobian;  $E$  is supposed to be a finite index set whose cardinality is  $m_E := |E|$ ; the rows of  $A_E$  are also denoted by  $A_i$  for  $i \in E$ ;
- $b_E \in \mathbb{R}^{m_E}$ .

Since  $H$  may vanish, `Oq1a` can solve a *linear optimization* problem.

We will denote the objective by  $q : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , hence with the value at  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  given by

$$q(x) = g^\top x + \frac{1}{2}x^\top Hx.$$

Below, the inequality constraints will be written more compactly as follows  $l \leq (x, A_I x) \leq u$ , in which

$$l = \begin{pmatrix} l_B \\ l_I \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad u = \begin{pmatrix} u_B \\ u_I \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is convenient to denote by  $A_B = I_n$  the identity matrix of order  $n$ . Then the inequalities  $l \leq (x, A_I x) \leq u$  read in a similar manner  $l_B \leq A_B x \leq u_B$  and  $l_I \leq A_I x \leq u_I$ . We also take the notation

$$m = n + m_I + m_E \quad \text{and} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} A_B \\ A_I \\ A_E \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}.$$

When the optimal value of (1.1) is  $-\infty$  the problem is said to be *unbounded*; this means that there is a sequence  $\{x_k\}$  satisfying the constraints such that  $q(x_k) \rightarrow -\infty$ . By convention, the optimal value is  $+\infty$  when the problem is *infeasible*, which means that there is no  $x$  satisfying the constraints (i.e., the constraints are not compatible). By a result of Frank and Wolfe [12; 1956, appendix (i)] (also valid, actually, when the quadratic problem is nonconvex), in all the other cases, problem (1.1) has a solution.

An inequality constraint with the index  $i \in B \cup I$  is said to be *active* at  $x$  if  $A_i x = l_i$  or  $u_i$ . The *active set* (AS) at  $x$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{A}(x) := \{i \in B \cup I : A_i x = l_i \text{ or } u_i\}.$$

The *Lagrangian* of problem (1.1) is the function

$$(x, \tilde{\lambda}) \mapsto \ell(x, \tilde{\lambda}) \in \mathbb{R}$$

defined at  $(x, \tilde{\lambda}) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{(n+m_I)+(n+m_I)+m_E}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(x, \tilde{\lambda}) = g^\top x + \frac{1}{2} x^\top H x + (\tilde{\lambda}_{B \cup I}^l)^\top (l - A_{B \cup I} x) \\ + (\tilde{\lambda}_{B \cup I}^u)^\top (A_{B \cup I} x - u) \\ + \tilde{\lambda}_E^\top (A_E x - b_E), \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

in which the vector of *multipliers* or *dual variables* is

$$\tilde{\lambda} := (\tilde{\lambda}_{B \cup I}^l, \tilde{\lambda}_{B \cup I}^u, \tilde{\lambda}_E) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m_I} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+m_I} \times \mathbb{R}^{m_E}.$$

## 1.2 The closest feasible problem

Since the constraints of the quadratic problem (1.1) are linear, there is a smallest vector  $\bar{s} = (\bar{s}_I, \bar{s}_E) \in \mathbb{R}^{m_E} \times \mathbb{R}^{m_I}$  such that the shifted constraints

$$l_B \leq x \leq u_B, \quad l_I \leq A_I x + \bar{s}_I \leq u_I, \quad \text{and} \quad A_E x + \bar{s}_E = b_E$$

are feasible for  $x$  [6, 14]. In this claim, smallness is measured by the Euclidean norm. This vector  $\bar{s}$  is called the *smallest feasible shift*. Of course  $\bar{s} = 0$  if and only if the constraints of problem (1.1) are feasible.

The quadratic problem obtained from (1.1) by shifting its constraints with  $\bar{s}$  is called the *closest feasible problem*. It reads

$$(\text{QP}_{\bar{s}}) \quad \begin{cases} \inf_x q(x) \\ l_B \leq x \leq u_B \\ l_I \leq A_I x + \bar{s}_I \leq u_I \\ A_E x + \bar{s}_E = b_E. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

By definition of  $\bar{s}$ , this problem is always feasible, but it may be unbounded. **OqIa** always solves this problem, when it has a solution. Of course, when the original problem is feasible (i.e.,  $\bar{s} = 0$ ) and bounded, **OqIa** solves the original problem. When problem (1.1) is infeasible, computing a solution to the closest feasible problem (1.3) can be interesting when the quadratic problem is generated by the SQP algorithm [4, 19].

When (1.3) has no solution (i.e., it is unbounded), **OqIa** computes a *direction of unboundedness* of the problem, that is a direction  $d \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$g^\top d < 0, \quad H d = 0, \quad d \in [l_B, u_B]^\infty, \quad A_I d \in [l_I, u_I]^\infty, \quad \text{and} \quad A_E d = 0. \quad (1.4)$$

We have denoted by  $C^\infty$  the asymptotic/recession cone of a convex set  $C$  [23, 18, 3]. The last three conditions express the fact that  $d$  is an asymptotic direction of the feasible set of (1.3). This direction of unboundedness  $d$  has therefore the property that, if  $x$  is feasible for (1.3),  $x + \alpha d$  is also feasible for (1.3) whatever is  $\alpha \geq 0$ , and  $q(x + \alpha d) \rightarrow -\infty$  when  $\alpha \rightarrow +\infty$ . When the closest feasible problem (1.3) is infeasible, computing a direction of unboundedness can be interesting when the quadratic problem is generated by the SQP algorithm [4, 19].

### 1.3 The solution method

#### 1.3.1 Algorithmic scheme

The method used by `Opt1a` to solve problem (1.1) is the *augmented Lagrangian algorithm* or *method of multipliers*. This algorithm was introduced for equality constrained nonlinear optimization problems by Hestenes and Powell [16, 22], and extended to inequality constrained problems by Rockafellar, Buys, Arrow, Gould, and Howe [24, 5, 25, 2, 26]. Its global linear convergence has been analyzed in [7, 6] (more references on the algorithm are given in the latter papers).

The method works on a transformed version of the original problem (1.1), obtained by using an auxiliary variable  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{m_I}$ , namely

$$(QP') \quad \begin{cases} \inf_{(x,y)} q(x) \\ l \leq (x, y) \leq u \\ A_I x = y \\ A_E x = b_E \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

Note that the auxiliary variable  $y$  is only associated with the complex linear inequalities  $l_I \leq A_I x \leq u_I$ , not with the bound constraints  $l_B \leq x \leq u_B$ . The goal is to have simple bound constraints on the variables, which are dealt with explicitly by the algorithm (not relaxed), and additional linear equality constraints.

The *augmented Lagrangian* (AL), which plays a major part in the solution method, is the function defined at  $(x, y, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{m_I} \times \mathbb{R}^{m_I+m_E}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_r(x, y, \lambda) = & g^\top x + \frac{1}{2} x^\top H x + \lambda_I^\top (A_I x - y) + \frac{r}{2} \|A_I x - y\|^2 \\ & + \lambda_E^\top (A_E x - b_E) + \frac{r}{2} \|A_E x - b_E\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

where  $r > 0$  is called the *augmentation parameter* and  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the Euclidean norm. It is not difficult to see that problem (QP') can also be written

$$\inf_{l \leq (x,y) \leq u} \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{m_I+m_E}} \ell_r(x, y, \lambda), \quad (1.7)$$

so that the AL can be viewed as a function “dualizing” (or relaxing) the equality constraints of (QP'), while the bound constraints of that problem are kept unchanged. Note that the multiplier  $\lambda$  used here is different from the multiplier  $\tilde{\lambda}$  used in (1.2), although there is a link between them. The dual problem, associated with the expression (1.7) is obtained by inverting the infimum and supremum:

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{m_I+m_E}} \inf_{l \leq (x,y) \leq u} \ell_r(x, y, \lambda). \quad (1.8)$$

The AL algorithm is the dual algorithm that solves (QP') by solving (1.8). The phrase “dual algorithm” means that the method focusses on the generation of the dual variables  $\lambda$ .

The *AL algorithm* generates a sequence of dual variables  $\{\lambda_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m_I+m_E}$  as follows.

**Algorithm 1.1 (AL)** Let be given  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{m_I+m_E}$  and  $r_0 > 0$ . Repeat for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

1. *Minimization of the AL*: if any, compute a solution  $(x_{k+1}, y_{k+1})$  to

$$\min_{l \leq (x,y) \leq u} \ell_{r_k}(x, y, \lambda_k) \quad (1.9)$$

otherwise, exit with a *direction of unboundedness*  $d$ , hence satisfying (1.4).

2. *Update the multiplier*:

$$\lambda_{k+1} = \lambda_k + r_k \begin{pmatrix} A_I x_{k+1} - y_{k+1} \\ A_E x_{k+1} - b_E \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.10)$$

3. *Stopping criterion*: stop if the constraint norm

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} A_I x_{k+1} - y_{k+1} \\ A_E x_{k+1} - b_E \end{pmatrix} \right\|$$

no longer decreases significantly.

4. *Update of the penalty parameter*: determine  $r_{k+1} > 0$ .

Therefore, the algorithm implemented in `Oq1a` decomposes the original problem (1.1) in a sequence of subproblems (1.9), called the *AL subproblem*. The AL algorithm deserves some comments.

1. Luckily, the AL subproblems are less difficult than the original problem (1.1) since they only have bound constraints on the variables. This feature of the feasible set allows for the use of projections. Actually, the algorithm implemented in `Oq1a` solves (1.9) by a combination of gradient projection (GP) steps and an active set (AS) method. A distinctive aspect of the implementation comes, however, from the special role played by the variable  $y$  in the subproblem. The Hessian of the AL with respect to  $y$  is indeed a multiple of the identity matrix, which makes easy the computation of the minimizer of the AL with respect to  $y$ .
2. The AL subproblem is always feasible, so that it has a solution if and only if it is bounded. If unbounded its directions of unboundedness are the same as those of the closest feasible problem (1.3) (whatever is  $\lambda_k$  and  $r_k > 0$ , see proposition 2.5 in [6]), so that these can be detected during the minimization of the first AL subproblem. Therefore the AL algorithm is well defined if and only if

$$\text{the closest feasible problem (1.3) has a solution.} \quad (1.11)$$

3. The AL subproblem may have several solutions  $(x_{k+1}, y_{k+1})$  but all of them give the same value to the constraints  $(A_I x_{k+1} - y_{k+1}, A_E x_{k+1} - b_E)$ , so that the new multiplier in step 2 is independent of the chosen solution  $(x_{k+1}, y_{k+1})$ .

In the next sections, we describe how the AL subproblem (1.9) is solved, alternating between gradient projection and conjugate gradient phases.

### 1.3.2 The gradient projection phase

The gradient projection phase consists in forcing the decrease of  $\varphi_\lambda : x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \varphi_\lambda(x) := \ell_r(x, \tilde{y}(x), \lambda) = \inf_{y \in [l, u]} \ell_r(x, y, \lambda) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , along the projected gradient path

$$p : \alpha \mapsto p(\alpha) := P_{[l_B, u_B]}(x - \alpha \nabla \varphi_\lambda(x))$$

`0q1a` implements two strategies. The first one consists in making only one phase of gradient projection and next to make one or more conjugate gradient phases. This strategy is activated by using the options `gp_succ = one`.

The second one is inspired of the *Moré and Toraldo* method and consist in making several gradient projection phases, until one of these three criterions is satisfied:

- “The activated face does not change”,
- “The decrease of the projected gradient is too small”,
- “The decrease of the projected gradient is too large compared with the previous conjugate gradient phase”.

It can be activated by setting the option `gp_succ = mt`.

### 1.3.3 The conjugate gradient phase

The goal of the minimization (CG) phase is to implement an *active set method* to minimize the augmented Lagrangian for  $(x, y)$  in the box  $[l, u]$ , problem (1.9). At each stage of this minimization process, some of the variables  $(x, y)_i$  are fixed to one of the bounds  $l_i$  or  $u_i$ . The collection of these indices is called the *working set* and is denoted by

$$W \subset B \cup I \quad \text{and} \quad W := W^l \cup W^u,$$

where  $W^l$  (resp.  $W^u$ ) is the set of indices  $i$  such that  $(y, u)_i$  is fixed to  $l_i$  (resp. to  $u_i$ ). We note

$$\begin{aligned} W_B^l &:= W^l \cap B, & W_B^u &:= W^u \cap B, & W_B &:= W \cap B = W_B^l \cup W_B^u, \\ W_I^l &:= W^l \cap I, & W_I^u &:= W^u \cap I, & W_I &:= W \cap I = W_I^l \cup W_I^u. \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

The collection of the indices that are not in  $W$  is denoted by

$$V := (B \cup I) \setminus W.$$

We also introduce

$$V_B := V \cap B \quad \text{and} \quad V_I := V \cap I. \quad (1.13)$$

The working set  $W$  can be determined in many ways. What is important for the convergence of the algorithm that solves the AL subproblem is that the minimization phase decreases the augmented Lagrangian; then the convergence can be ensured by the gradient projection iterations that occur between the minimization phases. The determination of the working set  $W$  depends on the strategy implemented. `0q1a` implements two strategies: the *hit-and-fix* (activated by setting the option `cg_as = cg_hf`) strategy and the *Moré-Toraldo* strategy (activated by setting the option `cg_as = cg_mt`).

### The hit-and-fix strategy

In the hit-and-fix strategy, the iterates  $(x, y)$  are maintained in the feasible set  $[l, u]$ . If, during the minimization phase, a bound is hit by some variables, these are fixed to the corresponding bound. The method, then proceeds with more fixed variables until a minimum is reached in the associated faces of the feasible set.

Solve the problem

$$\inf_{(x,y)_{V \in [l_V, u_V]}} \ell_r(x, y, \lambda). \quad (1.14)$$

without bound constraints is equal to solve

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} & \left( H_{V_B V_B} + r A_{(W_I \cup E) V_B}^T A_{(W_I \cup E) V_B} \right) x_{V_B} \\ & = A_{(W_I \cup E) V_B}^T z_{W_I \cup E} - g_{V_B} - \left( H_{V_B W_B} + r A_{(W_I \cup E) V_B}^T A_{(W_I \cup E) W_B} \right) x_{W_B}, \end{aligned}} \quad (1.15)$$

For more details, see [13].

Let  $(x^0, y^0) \in [l, u]$  be the iterate on entry into the minimization. The CG iterations solve (1.15) in  $x_{V_B}$ , starting from  $x_{V_B}^0$ , until a bound is hit in  $x$  or  $y$ .

### The Moré-Toraldo strategy

The Moré-Toraldo strategy for the minimization phase in **Oqla** and **Qpalm**, called that way because it is largely inspired from the one proposed in [21], can be described in vague terms as follows. The strategy also aims at solving problem (1.14), but the CG iterations are not stopped as soon as an iterate crosses the boundary of  $[l_V, u_V]$ , like in the hit-and-fix strategy. Rather, an interruption occurs when the following criterion is satisfied:

$$T_1 \quad \text{and} \quad (T_2 \quad \text{or} \quad T_3), \quad (1.16)$$

where the tests  $T_i$  can be vaguely expressed as follows

- $T_1$  = “ $\ell_r$  no longer decreases sufficiently” (this includes the case when a minimizer has been obtained),
- $T_2$  = “the current iterate is outside  $[l_V, u_V]$ ”,
- $T_3$  = “the current iterate is inside  $[l_V, u_V]$  but the activated face does not look like to be the optimal one”.

After satisfaction of the test (1.16) by the current iterate, a projected search determines the final iterate of the minimization phase. This operation ensures feasibility of the final iterate and a decrease of the AL. For more details about this strategy, please see [13].

#### 1.3.4 Preconditioning of the CG iterations

We address in this section the question of the preconditioning of the linear system (1.15). Let us denote the matrix of that system by

$$\begin{aligned} M \equiv M(V_B, W_I) &= H_{V_B V_B} + r A_{(W_I \cup E) V_B}^T A_{(W_I \cup E) V_B}, \\ &= H_{V_B V_B} + r A_{EV_B}^T A_{EV_B} + r \sum_{i \in W_I} A_{i V_B}^T A_{i V_B}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.17)$$

In that code, we implement two preconditioners that are quickly explain below. If you want to have more details, please see [13].



### Diagonal preconditioner

One takes the inverse of  $\text{Diag}(M)$ . This diagonal preconditioner is rather easy to construct, but is not always very efficient since it does not consider an important part of the matrix  $M$ .

### Cholesky preconditioner

When  $M \succcurlyeq 0$ , there is a lower triangular matrix  $L$  and a permutation matrix  $Q$  such that

$$QMQ^T = LL^T. \quad (1.18)$$

See for example Higham [17; théorème 10.9].

The system can be preconditionned by the  $P = M^{-1} = Q^{-1}L^{-T}L^{-1}Q^{-T}$ .

This preconditioner is quite efficient, but is heavy to make and update. For more detail on this preconditioner, please see [13].

## 2 The package

### 2.1 Description

The `Oqla` package is formed of files and directories described below.

- The directory `bin` doesn't exist originally. Once the code is compiled, it contains the execution file `main` that tests the use of `Oqla`.
- The directory `CUTEst` contains all the files that are useful to install `Oqla` in `CUTEst`[15]; see how to proceed in section 2.2.
- The directory `doc` contains all documentation files available in pdf.
- The directory `examples` gives some elementary examples.
- The directory `include` contains all the header files that are useful to compile the code.
- the directory `lib` doesn't exist originally. Once the code is compiled, it contains the library file `liboqla.a` of `Oqla`.
- The file `Makefile` is used to compile the code.
- The directory `obj` doesn't exist originally. Once the code is compiled, it contains all the `*.o` files of the code.
- The directory `src` contains the source code of `Oqla`.

### 2.2 Installation

To install `Oqla`, follow the following steps.

1. Normally, the `Oqla` package is distributed as a tarball named

```
OQLA.tgz
```

Place this tarball in the directory where you want to keep `Oqla`. Let us call it the *oqla* directory.

2. Decompress and untar it by issuing the command:

```
tar -zxvf OQLA.tgz
```

3. To compile the code, you will need the two libraries: `Blas` [8, 9, 10, 11, 20] and `Lapack` [1]. If you already have them, skip this step and go to the next one.

If you don't have them, you can download them on the sites

```
http://www.netlib.org/blas
http://www.netlib.org/lapack
```

4. You are now ready to compile `Oq1a`. Go into the `oqla` directory and type

```
make
```

This command compiles the code and creates the file `liboqla.a` which is the library you have to link to use `Oq1a`.

5. If you want to use `Oq1a` in `CUTEst`, make sure that `CUTEst` is correctly installed and the environment variables are correctly set. Then go into the directory `CUTEst` and issue the command:

```
make
```

`Oq1a` is now ready to be used. As said above, these commands place the `Oq1a` library in the directory `lib` and the header files are in the directory `include`. They can now be linked and include to a program that uses `Oq1a` as a convex quadratic optimization solver.

## 3 Usage

We start in section 3.1 by describing the data structures used by `Oq1a`. In section 3.2, we specify the functions that are useful to run `Oq1a` and describe the arguments of these functions and we give a simple example of the use of the solver.

### 3.1 Data structures

To run `Oq1a`, you need to use the function `OQLA_Solver`. This method is associated to the class `Data`, which handle the problem data, and that we describe in section 3.1.3. The problem data is made of vectors and matrices. These two structures are described below in sections 3.1.1 (vectors) and 3.1.2 (matrices). `Oq1a` can be customized by several options that are held in the structure `Options` and described in section 3.1.4. Some of these options aims to use a preconditionner. The use of a preconditionner is explain in section 3.1.5. Once the solver ran, the result diagnosis are held in the structure `Info` which is described in section 3.1.6.

#### 3.1.1 Vectors

In `Oq1a`, the `Vector` structure is used to store the vectors  $g$ ,  $x$ ,  $l_B$ ,  $u_B$ ,  $l_I$ ,  $u_I$  and  $b_E$ . A `Vector` is made of an integer `mSize` that is the size of the vector and an array of double `mValues` of size `mSize` that holds the values of the vector.

There are three methods available in this class to define a `Vector`:

- `Vector v(n)` creates a vector of size `n` (if `n` is not provided, the default value is 0) and filled with zeros,

- **Vector** `v(n, val)` creates a vector of size `n` and fills it with the values in the array `val`,
- **Vector** `v(w)` creates a vector `v` having the same size and values as `w`.

A vector `v` can be modified by using the command `v.setValue(i, val)`. In that case, the `i`th entry of the vector `v` is replaced by the value `val`.

Some other functions on the vectors can be applied. For more information, please read the full documentation.

### 3.1.2 Matrices

In `Oq1a`, the structure `Matrix` is used to store the matrices  $H$ ,  $A_I$ , and  $A_E$ .

The matrices can be stored in several formats. The two implemented inhere are the `Dense` one and the `Sparse` one. Both are divided into two subformats, one for the symmetric matrices and one for the general case. The user can also define his own `Matrix` format.

#### DENSE STORAGE FORMATS

A  $m \times n$  matrix `A`, symmetric or general, in the dense format is stored as a compact dense matrix by columns. A dense matrix is composed of three argument. The number of row (`mNrow`) and the number of column (`mNcol`) are stored as integers. The values of the entries are stored in a one-dimensional array of size  $m \times n$ , inwhich the component  $j * n + i$  holds the value  $a_{i,j}$ .

The main method to create a `Matrix` is to use the following function:

- `DenseGenMatrix M(m,n, val)` to create a general matrix `M` of size `(m,n)` filled with the values of `val`.
- `DenseSymMatrix M(n, val)` to create a general matrix `M` of size `(n,n)` filled with the values of `val`.

#### SPARSE STORAGE FORMATS

A  $m \times n$  matrix `A`, symmetric or general, in the sparse format is stored in the triplet format. Only the nonzero entries are stored. The sparses matrices are composed of five arguements. The number of row and the number of column of the matrix are store as integer in the fields `mNrow` and `mNcol` respectively, as the number of nonzeros, which is stored in the field `mNnz`. The values are store into three `mNnz` dimensional array. The `l`th entry of the matrix `a`, which is at row `i`, column `j` and holds the value  $a_{i,j}$  is stored in the `l`th componants of `mRowInd`, `mColInd` and `mValues`. If the matrix is symmetric, only the lower triangular part is stored and the number of nonzeros on the lower triangular matrix is stored in the filed `mNzlo`.

There are two main way to create a sparse matrix, one using an array containing all the values (even de zeros) and one using three arrays (one containing the indices of rows, one containing the indices of columns and one containing th values). Here is how to call the functions:

- `SparseGenMatrix A(m,n, val)` to create a matrix of size `(m,n)` filled with the values `val` (the zero entries are not stored)
- `SparseSymMatrix A(n, val)` to create a matrix of size `(n,n)` filled with the values `val` (the zero entries are not stored)

- `SparseGenMatrix A(m,n,nnz,rind,cind,val)` to create a matrix of size  $(m,n)$  with `nnz` nonzero entries and `A.mRowInd`, `A.mColInd` and `A.mValues` filled with `rind`, `cind` and `val`
- `SparseSymMatrix A(n,nnz,rind,cind,val)` to create a matrix of size  $(n,n)$  with `nnz` nonzero entries and `A.mRowInd`, `A.mColInd` and `A.mValues` filled with `rind`, `cind` and `val`

#### USER'S STORAGE FORMATS

Our code allow the user to implement his own matrix class. In this section, we will explain how to implement and use this feature.

To use his own matrix class, the user need to create two following files:

```
MyClass.h
MyClass.cpp
```

The file `MyClass.h` must have the following shape:

```
#include "Vector.h"
#include "Option.h"
#include "Info.h"
#include "Matrix.h"

class MyClass : public Matrix
{
...
}
```

All the methods that are in the file `Matrix.h` need to be defined (in the file `MyClass.h`) and implemented (in the file `MyClass.cpp`).

Once the files are written, place `MyClass.h` into the directory `include` and the `MyClass.cpp` into the directory `src`. You need to recompile the code to take into account the changes. To do that see section 2.2.

After compilation, you can create a matrix of class `MyClass` and run the solver using it.

#### 3.1.3 Problem data

The structure `Data` must be used to store the data of the problem. The componants of this class, describing the problem, are these ones:

- `int n`: Number of variables.
- `int mi`: Number of inequality constraints.
- `int me`: Number of equality constraints.
- `Vector g`: Vector of size `n` used to store the vector  $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$  giving the linear part of the objective of the problem.
- `Matrix *H`: Matrix of size  $n \times n$  used to store the Hessian  $H$  of the objective of the problem.
- `Vector lb`: Vector used to store the vector  $l_B$  giving the lower bounds on the variables  $x$ .
- `Vector ub`: Vector used to store the vector  $u_B$  giving the upper bounds on the variables  $x$ .

- **Matrix \*Ai:** Matrix of size  $m_i \times n$  used to store the Jacobian  $A_I$  of the inequality constraints of the problem.
- **Vector li:** Vector used to store the vector  $l_I$  giving the lower bounds on  $A_I x$
- **Vector ui:** Vector used to store the vector  $u_I$  giving the upper bounds on  $A_I x$
- **Matrix \*Ae:** Matrix of size  $m_e \times n$  used to store the Jacobian  $A_E$  of the equality constraints of the problem.
- **Vector e:** Vector used to store the vector  $e \in \mathbb{R}^{m_E}$  giving the RHS of the equality constraints.

### 3.1.4 Solver options

The structure `Option` is used to describe the options of the `Oqpla` solver, i.e. the parameters that can be used to tune the behavior of the solver. The components of this structure are describe below, ordering in alphabetic order and valid and default values are indicated.

- **accu:** Number specifying the requires accuracy of the computed residual at the final iteration, compared with the exact residual.

*Valid value:*  $[0, 1]$

*Default value:*  $10^{-1}$

- **alit:** Maximal number of AL iterations.

*Valid value:*  $> 0$

*Default value:* 100

- **cg\_as:** Option that indicates which strategy has to be used in the conjugate gradient phases (see 1.3.3).

*Valid values:* `cg_hf`, `cg_mt`

*Default value:* `cg_hf`

- **cgit:** Maximal number of CG iterations.

*Valid value:*  $> 0$

*Default value:* `INT_MAX`

- **cgprec:** Flag that indicates which preconditioner is used. The following values are meaningful:

- **none:** no preconditioner is used;
- **diag:** the diagonal preconditioner is used;
- **cholesky:** the Cholesky preconditioner is used.

*Valid value:* `none`, `diag`, `cholesky`

*Default value:* `none`

- **cput:** Scalar that is used to determine the maximum permitted CPU time. A negative value indicates no limit.

*Valid value:*  $[-\infty, \infty]$

*Default value:*  $-1.0$

- **dcr:** Scalar that indicates the desired convergence rate.

*Valid value:*  $]0, 1[$

*Default value:*  $10^{-1}$

- **dcrf:** This is the minimum value for the decrease of the constraints. If  $\log(cn_i/cn_{i-1}) <$

*lrhomin*, the solver stops. That indicates that no better solution can be found.

*Valid value:*  $[0, 1[$

*Default value:*  $10^{-2}$

- **dgp**: Scalar that indicates the non-progress for the GP phase (only used if `options.MT = true`).

*Valid value:*  $]0, 1]$

*Default value:* 0.25

- **dxmin**: Resolution in x. Two point  $x$  and  $x'$  such that  $\|x - x'\|_{\infty} \leq dxmin$  are considered identical in a linesearch.

*Valid value:*  $> 0$

*Default value:*  $10^{-15}$

- **dymin**: Resolution in y. Two point  $y$  and  $y'$  such that  $\|y - y'\|_{\infty} \leq dymin$  are considered identical in a linesearch.

*Valid value:*  $> 0$

*Default value:*  $10^{-15}$

- **feas**: Required accuracy on the constraint feasibility.

*Valid value:*  $> 0$

*Default value:*  $10^{-8}$

- **feass**: Required accuracy on the shifted constraint feasibility.

*Valid value:*  $> 0$

*Default value:*  $10^{-8}$

- **fout**: Output channel. this is the name of the output file. to print the outputs on the screen use "screen".

*Valid value:* any string

*Default value:* "screen"

- **gp\_succ**: Option that indicates which strategy has to be used in the gradient projection phases (see 1.3.2).

*Valid value:* `one`, `mt`

*Default value:* `one`

- **gtol**: Required accuracy on the gradient of the objective.

*Valid value:*  $> 0$

*Default value:*  $10^{-8}$

- **inf**: Value beyond which a number is considered infinite.

*Valid value:*  $> 0$

*Default value:* INFINITY

- **MT**: Boolean indicating if the Moré-Toraldo method is used. In that case, options `gp_succ` and `cg_as` are respectively set to 'mt' and 'cg\_mt'.

*Valid value:* `true`, `false`

*Default value:* `false`

- **npcg**: Used only if `options.MT = true`. This is the value that indicate a non progress for conjugate gradient.

*Valid value:*  $]0, 1]$

*Default value:* 0.1

- **phase:** Maximum number of phases.

*Valid value:*  $> 0$

*Default value:* INT\_MAX

- **ps:** Used only if `options.MT = true`. This is the parameter for the projected search.

*Valid value:*  $[0, 1]$

*Default value:* 0.1

- **rctl:** Type of control for the augmented parameter  $r$ . The following values are meaningful: - `fixed`: augmentation parameter  $r$  is maintained fixed during all the run; - `constraint`: augmentation parameter  $r$  is updated to have the desired convergence rate `options.dcr` of the constraints values; - `shifted_constraint`: augmentation parameter  $r$  is updated to have the desired convergence rate `option.dcr` of the constraint values shifted by the (estimated) smallest feasible shift.

*Valid value:* `fixed`, `constraint`, `shifted_constraint`

*Default value:* `shifted_constraint`

- **rfct:** Only used if `options.cgprec = cholesky`. This is the modification factor for the Cholesky factorization.

*Valid value:*  $\geq 1$

*Default value:* 1

- **rlag:** Initial value of the augmentation parameter.

*Valid value:*  $> 0$

*Default value:* 1

- **ubtol:** Small nonnegative number to detect unboundedness.

*Valid value:*  $> 0$

*Default value:*  $n\epsilon$

- **verb:** Verbosity level of the solver. The following values are meaningful: = 0: Nothing is written; = 2: In addition to the initial and final output, the solver writes one line at each AL iteration; = 3: Details from gradient projection and conjugate gradient phases are given;  $\geq 4$ : More details are given (for example active bounds).

*Valid value:*  $\geq 0$

*Default value:* 0

### 3.1.5 Preconditioners

As said in section 3.1.4, the field `cgprec` can be set to `'none'`, `'diag'` or `'cholesky'`. The preconditioner is used to decrease the condition number of a matrix and so the computation time decrease too.

In the code, it exists a class named `precond`. This class has, until now, two derived classes, one for each preconditioner. These derived classes have at least two arguments and four methods. The arguments are:

- **mRank:** this is an integer that represent the rank of the preconditioner
- **mPrec:** This is an object that can be of various type and that represents the preconditioned matrix. In the case `'diag'`, this is a vector while in the case `'cholesky'` this is a matrix.

The methods of the derived classes are:

- **getRank**: this method provides the rank of the preconditioner.
- **prmk**: this is the preconditioner maker. In particular, it provides the preconditioner matrix.
- **prec**: it computes  $P*v$  where  $P$  is the preconditioned matrix and  $v$  is a vector. This vector give the descent direction in the conjugate gradient phase. If a zero curvature direction is detected, it is this direction that is returned.
- **prup**: it update the preconditioner based on the activated bounds. It is faster than to make the preconditioner.

### 3.1.6 Solver diagnosis

The structure **Info** is used to define the variable getting information in the problem on return from the solver. The components of this structure are describe below, ordering in alphabetic order.

- **accu**: Accuracy of the CG linear solver.
- **alit**: Total number of AL iterations.
- **ccgp**: Number of consecutive CG phases.
- **CG\_dec**: The decreasing between two consecutive CG phases.
- **cgit**: Total number of conjugate-gradient iterations.
- **cgph**: Total number of CG phases.
- **cput**: CPU time spent in the solver.
- **elapsedTime**: The elapsed time in the program.
- **f**: Value of the objective at the final point (meaningful if **info.flag=0**).
- **feas**: Inf-norm of the constraints.
- **feass**: The closest feasibility.
- **flag**: Specifies the status of the QP solver on return. The following values are possible:

- 0 = Solution found
- 1 = success shifted
- 2 = unbounded problem
- 3 = the feasibility tolerance options.feas cannot be reached
- 4 = gtol unreachable (too stringent optimality tolerance)
- 5 = erroneous argument
- 6 = max AL iterations reached
- 7 = max phases reached
- 8 = max number of GP phases reached
- 9 = max number of CG phases reached
- 10 = max CG iterations reached
- 11 = time limit reached
- 12 = non convex problem
- 13 = fail on accuracy (r too many times reduced)
- 14 = not computed

The flag **info** can be set to these other values when the solver is running, but not for the final diagnosis.

- 15 = relative minimum found (used in CG phases)



- 16 = gp successful (used in GP phases)
- 17 = stepsize failure (stop on dxmin during linesearch)
- 18 = bound hit (used in CG phases)
- 19 = the GP does not change the face (used if `options.gp_succ = mt`)
- 20 = the GP does not progress enough (used if `options.gp_succ = mt`)
- 21 = stop GP (used if `options.gp_succ = mt`)
- 22 = bound hit in CG (used if `options.cg_as = cg_mt`)
- 23 = not the optimal face (used if `options.cg_as = cg_mt`)
- 24 = a solution has been found in the CG phase (used if `options.cg_as = cg_mt`)
- 25 = next do a CG phase (used if `options.cg_as = cg_mt`)

- `gln`: The norm of the gradient of the Lagrangian at the final primal-dual pair
- `gopt`: Inf-norm of the gradient of the objective
- `gpit`: Total number of GP phases
- `gpsh`: Number of GP phases in the last AL subproblem
- `lips`: Estimated value of the lipschitz constant
- `mnph`: Number of CG phases in the last AL subproblem
- `nb`: Number of variables subject to a bound
- `pgln`: Inf-norm of the projected gradient of the Lagrangian (inf if x is infeasible)
- `rlog`: Final augmentation parameter
- `rq`: Rayleigh quotient  $d^*H*d/(d^*d)$ , where d is a direction of unboundedness (meaningful if `info.flag=5`)
- `rqmax`: Maximum Rayleigh quotient
- `rqmin`: Minimum Rayleigh quotient
- `s`: The shifted vector
- `tbegin`: The beginning time of the computation
- `ubdd`: The vector giving a direction in x along which the QP goes to go to -Inf (meaningful if `info.flag=5`)
- `wb`: The number of active x-bounds
- `wi`: The number of active inequality bounds

## 3.2 Running the solver

To run the solver you need to run the function `OQLA_Solver` which belongs to the `Data` class. Here is the definition statement.

```
void OQLA_Solver(Vector &x0, Vector &lm0, Option &options,
                Info &info);
```

The arguments of this method are as follow:

- `x0`(IO): This is an object of the class `Vector` (see 3.1.1). It is a vector of size  $n$  of variables  $x$  to optimize.
  - On entry, it is the initial guess of the solution to the quadratic problem to solve. It is taken as starting point by `Oqla`. It needs not to be feasible.
  - On return, when `info.flag = 0` or `info.flag = 1`, it is the feasible point (or the closest feasible point) that minimize the objective function.
- `lm0` (IO): This is an object of the classe `Vector`. It is a vector of size  $n + m_E + m_I$  and is the dual variable associated with the constraint of the quadratic problem. The

first  $n$  components are associated with the bounds on  $x$ , the next  $m_I$  are associated with the inequality constraints and then the last  $m_E$  are associated with the equality constraints.

- On entry, it is the initial guess of the dual solution. to the problem.
- On return, if `info.flag = 0` or `info.flag = 1`, then it is the of the vector optimal dual variables founded by `Oqla`.
- `options(I)`: this is a variable of type `Option`, whose components are described in section 3.1.4. It is used to tune the behavior of the solver.
- `info(O)`: this is a variable of type `Info`, whose components are described in section 3.1.6. It is used to get the information on the problem resolution on return from the solver.

This function `OQLA_Solve` is a method of the class `Data`. To run it, you need to apply it to an object of the class `Data`. Let us note `data` the object of the class `Data` that holds the problem data we want to solve, `options` the object of the class `Option`, `info` the object of the class `Info` and `x` and `lm` two `Vectors`. Then to run the solver, run the command:

```
data.OQLA_Solver(x, lm, options, info);
```

### 3.3 Example

The best way to show how to run `Oqla` is by providing an example. Suppose we wish to minimize the convex quadratic function  $2x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 + \frac{3}{2}x_3^2 + 2x_1x_3 - 3x_2$  subject to the linear inequality constraint  $2x_2 + x_3 \leq 1$ , the linear equality constraint  $x_1 + x_2 = 2$  and the bound constraints  $x_2 \leq 0$  and  $-1 \leq x_3 \leq 1$ . The problem can be written in the form (1.1) with  $n = 3$ ,  $m_I = 1$ ,  $m_E = 1$  and

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -3 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad H = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$l_B = \begin{pmatrix} -\infty \\ -\infty \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u_B = \begin{pmatrix} +\infty \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A_I = (0 \ 2 \ 1), \quad l_I = -\infty, \quad u_I = 1,$$

$$A_E = (1 \ 1 \ 0), \quad \text{and} \quad b_E = 2.$$

To solve this problem, we may use the following code.

```
#include "cblas.h"
#include <cstring>
#include <string>
#include <cmath>
#include <sys/time.h>
#include <limits>

#include "Data.h"
#include "Info.h"
#include "Option.h"
#include "Vector.h"
```

```

#include "Matrix.h"

int main(){

// Defining the problem dimensions

    int n = 3;           // Number of variables
    int mi = 1;         // Number of inequality constraints
    int me = 1;         // Number of equality constraints

// Defining the arrays containing the data

    double gd[3] = {0, -3, 0};
    double Hd[9] = {4, 0, 2, 0, 4, 0, 2, 0, 3};
    double lbd[3] = {-INFINITY, -INFINITY, -1};
    double ubd[3] = {INFINITY, 0, 1};
    double Aid[3] = {0, 2, 1};
    double lid[1] = {-INFINITY};
    double uid[1] = {1};
    double Aed[3] = {1, 1, 0};
    double bed[1] = {2};

// Construction of the Data Vectors and Matrices

    Vector g(n, gd);
    Vector lb(n, lbd), ub(n, ubd);
    Vector li(mi, lid), ui(mi, uid);
    Vector be(me, ed);
    Matrix *H = new DenseSymMatrix(n, Hd);
    Matrix *Ae = new DenseGenMatrix(1, me, Aed);
    Matrix *Ai = new DenseGenMatrix(1, 3, Aid);

// Construction of the Data object

    Data prob(n, mi, me, g, H, lb, ub, Ai, li, ui, Ae, be);

// Defining the Option structure and possible changes

    Option options;
    options.verb = 4; // Verbosity level is now 4

// Defining the Info structure

    Info info;

// Construction of the primal and dual vectors and
// possible initializations

    Vector x, lm;

// Solving the problem

    prob.OQLA_Solver(x, lm, options, info);

// Freeing allocated memory

    delete H;
    delete Ai;
    delete Ae;

```

## References

- [1] E. Anderson, Z. Bai, C. Bischof, S. Blackford, J. Demmel, J. Dongarra, J. Du Croz, A. Greenbaum, S. Hammarling, A. McKenney, D. Sorensen (1999). *LAPACK Users' Guide*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, PA, third edition. 9
- [2] K.J. Arrow, F.J. Gould, S.M. Howe (1973). A generalized saddle point result for constrained optimization. *Mathematical Programming*, 5, 225–234. [doi]. 4
- [3] A. Auslender, M. Teboulle (2003). *Asymptotic Cones and Functions in Optimization and Variational Inequalities*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, New York. 3
- [4] J.F. Bonnans, J.Ch. Gilbert, C. Lemaréchal, C. Sagastizábal (2006). *Numerical Optimization – Theoretical and Practical Aspects* (second edition). Universitext. Springer Verlag, Berlin. [authors] [editor] [google books]. 3
- [5] J.D. Buys (1972). *Dual algorithms for constrained optimization*. PhD Thesis, Rijksuniversiteit te Leiden, Leiden, The Netherlands. 4
- [6] A. Chiche, J.Ch. Gilbert (2014). How the augmented Lagrangian algorithm can deal with an infeasible convex quadratic optimization problem. *Journal of Convex Analysis* (to appear). [pdf]. 3, 4, 5
- [7] F. Delbos, J.Ch. Gilbert (2005). Global linear convergence of an augmented Lagrangian algorithm for solving convex quadratic optimization problems. *Journal of Convex Analysis*, 12, 45–69. [preprint] [editor]. 4
- [8] J. J. Dongarra, J. Du Croz, S. Hammarling, I. S. Duff (1990, March). A set of level 3 basic linear algebra subprograms. *ACM Trans. Math. Softw.*, 16(1), 1–17. [doi]. 9
- [9] J. J. Dongarra, J. Du Croz, S. Hammarling, R. J. Hanson (1988, March). Algorithm 656: an extended set of basic linear algebra subprograms: model implementation and test programs. *ACM Trans. Math. Softw.*, 14(1), 18–32. [doi]. 9
- [10] J. J. Dongarra, J. Du Croz, S. Hammarling, R. J. Hanson (1988, March). An extended set of fortran basic linear algebra subprograms. *ACM Trans. Math. Softw.*, 14(1), 1–17. [doi]. 9
- [11] J. J. Dongarra, Jerney Du Cruz, Sven Hammerling, I. S. Duff (1990, March). Algorithm 679: A set of level 3 basic linear algebra subprograms: model implementation and test programs. *ACM Trans. Math. Softw.*, 16(1), 18–28. [doi]. 9
- [12] M. Frank, P. Wolfe (1956). An algorithm for quadratic programming. *Naval Research Logistics Quarterly*, 3, 95–110. [doi]. 2
- [13] J.Ch. Gilbert, E. Joannopoulos. Inside Oqla and Qpalm. Research report, INRIA, BP 105, 78153 Le Chesnay, France. (to appear). 7, 8
- [14] J.Ch. Gilbert, É. Joannopoulos (2014). OQLA/QPALM - Convex quadratic optimization solvers using the augmented Lagrangian approach, with an appropriate behavior on infeasible or unbounded problems. Technical report, INRIA, BP 105, 78153 Le Chesnay, France. (to appear). 3
- [15] N. I. M. Gould, D. Orban, P. L. Toint (2013). CUTEst : a Cconstrained and Unconstrained Testing Environment with safe threads. Technical report, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory Chilton, Oxfordshire OX11 0QX, England. <http://ccpforge.cse.rl.ac.uk/gf/project/cutest/wiki/>. 8

- [16] M.R. Hestenes (1969). Multiplier and gradient methods. *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 4, 303–320. [\[doi\]](#). 4
- [17] N.J. Higham (2002). *Accuracy and Stability of Numerical Algorithms* (second edition). SIAM Publication, Philadelphia. 8
- [18] J.-B. Hiriart-Urruty, C. Lemaréchal (1996). *Convex Analysis and Minimization Algorithms* (second edition). Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 305-306. Springer-Verlag. 3
- [19] A.F. Izmailov, M.V. Solodov (2014). *Newton-Type Methods for Optimization and Variational Problems*. Springer Series in Operations Research and Financial Engineering. Springer. 3
- [20] C. L. Lawson, R. J. Hanson, D. R. Kincaid, F. T. Krogh (1979, September). Basic linear algebra subprograms for fortran usage. *ACM Trans. Math. Softw.*, 5(3), 308–323. [\[doi\]](#). 9
- [21] J.J. Moré, G. Toraldo (1991). On the solution of large quadratic programming problems with bound constraints. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 1, 93–113. [\[doi\]](#). 7
- [22] M.J.D. Powell (1969). A method for nonlinear constraints in minimization problems. In R. Fletcher (editor), *Optimization*, pages 283–298. Academic Press, London. 4
- [23] R.T. Rockafellar (1970). *Convex Analysis*. Princeton Mathematics Ser. 28. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey. 3
- [24] R.T. Rockafellar (1971). New applications of duality in convex programming. In *Proceedings of the 4th Conference of Probability, Brasov, Romania*, pages 73–81. 4
- [25] R.T. Rockafellar (1973). The multiplier method of Hestenes and Powell applied to convex programming. *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 12, 555–562. [\[doi\]](#). 4
- [26] R.T. Rockafellar (1974). Augmented Lagrange multiplier functions and duality in nonconvex programming. *SIAM Journal on Control*, 12, 268–285. 4

## Index

- active constraint, 3
- active set, 3
  - method, 6
- AL, *see* augmented Lagrangian
- augmentation parameter, 4
- augmented Lagrangian, 4
  - algorithm, 4
  - subproblem, 5
- closest feasible problem, *see* quadratic optimization problem
- cost function, *see* objective
- direction of unboundedness, 3, 5
- dual variable, 3
- Lagrangian, 3
- linear optimization, 2
- method of multipliers, *see* augmented Lagrangian algorithm
- multiplier, 3
- objective, 2
- optimization problem
  - infeasible, 2
  - quadratic, *see* quadratic optimization problem
  - unbounded, 2
- quadratic optimization problem
  - closest feasible, 3
  - convex, 2
- smallest feasible shift, 3
- unbounded problem, *see* optimization problem
- working set, 6