

On size, radius and minimum degree

Simon Mukwembi

► **To cite this version:**

Simon Mukwembi. On size, radius and minimum degree. Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science, DMTCS, 2014, Vol. 16 no. 1 (in progress) (1), pp.1–5. hal-01179221

HAL Id: hal-01179221

<https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01179221>

Submitted on 22 Jul 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

On size, radius and minimum degree

Simon Mukwembi[†]

University of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa.

received 9th Feb. 2012, accepted 4th Jan. 2014.

Let G be a finite connected graph. We give an asymptotically tight upper bound on the size of G in terms of order, radius and minimum degree. Our result is a strengthening of an old classical theorem of Vizing (1967) if minimum degree is prescribed.

Keywords: interconnection networks, graph, size, radius, minimum degree.

1 Introduction

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a finite simple graph. We denote the order of G by n and the size by m . The degree of a vertex v in G is denoted by $\deg_G(v)$, and the minimum degree of G is denoted by δ . For two vertices u, v in G , $d_G(u, v)$ denotes the usual distance between u and v in G , i.e., the minimum number of edges on a path from u to v . The *eccentricity* $ec_G(v)$ of a vertex v is the distance from v to a vertex farthest from v . The *radius* $\text{rad}(G)$ of G is the minimum of the eccentricities of the vertices of G .

Apart from being an attractive graph parameter, the radius has many practical applications, for instance in network design. If a graph represents a transportation or communication network, then the radius is a measure of the distance a commodity or message has to travel in the worst case, if it is distributed by a single, optimally located source [1]. We are particularly interested in the maximum number of links of a network in which the maximum distance from the optimal source, i.e., the radius of the underlying graph, is limited.

Several upper and lower bounds on the size of a graph in terms of other graph parameters have been investigated. For instance, an upper bound on the size in terms of order and diameter was determined by Ore [3] as early as 1968, while Vizing [4] gave an upper bound in terms of order and radius. Recently, Dankelmann and Volkmann [1] reported lower bounds in terms of order, radius and minimum degree. In this work, we present an upper bound on the size in terms of order, radius and minimum degree. Our bound is a strengthening of Vizing's Theorem [4] which we state below.

[†]Email: mukwembi@ukzn.ac.za. This material is based upon work supported financially by the National Research Foundation.

Theorem 1 (Vizing [4]) *Let G be a connected graph of order n , radius r and size m . Then*

$$m \leq \begin{cases} \frac{n(n-1)}{2} & \text{if } r = 1, \\ \lfloor \frac{n(n-2)}{2} \rfloor & \text{if } r = 2, \\ \frac{1}{2}(n-2r)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(5n-6r) & \text{if } r \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

We will make use of the well-known handshaking lemma.

Lemma 1 *Let G be a graph of size m . Then $2m = \sum_{x \in V(G)} \deg_G(x)$.*

The notation we use is as follows. $G = (V, E)$ is a connected graph with radius r , $r \geq 9$. For a vertex v , $N_i(v) := \{x \in V \mid d_G(x, v) = i\}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, \text{ec}_G(v)$. Let z be a fixed central vertex of G , so that $r = \text{ec}_G(z)$. For each $i = 0, 1, \dots, r$, let $N_i = N_i(z)$. Hence, $V = N_0 \cup N_1 \cup \dots \cup N_r$ is a partition of V . We denote by $N_{\leq j}$ and $N_{\geq j}$ the sets $\cup_{0 \leq i \leq j} N_i$ and $\cup_{j \leq i \leq r} N_i$, respectively. Let T be a spanning tree of G that is distance-preserving from z ; that is, $d_T(v, z) = d_G(v, z)$ for all vertices $v \in V$. For vertices $u, v \in V$, let $T(u, v)$ denote the (unique) u - v path in T . Let $z_r \in N_r$. We say that a vertex $y \in V$ is *related* to the vertex z_r if there exist vertices $u, v \in V$, where $u \in V(T(z, z_r)) \cap N_{\geq 9}$ and $v \in V(T(z, y)) \cap N_{\geq 9}$ such that $d_G(u, v) \leq 4$.

The following observation is due to Erdős, Pach, Pollack and Tuza [2].

Fact 1 *Let G be a connected graph with radius $r \geq 9$ and let z be a central vertex of G . For each vertex $z_r \in N_r(z)$, there exists a vertex in $N_{\geq r-9}$ which is not related to z_r .*

2 An upper bound on the size

In this work, we prove the following result which is a strengthening of Vizing's Theorem if minimum degree is prescribed.

Theorem 2 *Let G be a connected graph of order n , radius $r \geq 9$, minimum degree $\delta \geq 2$ and size m . Then*

$$m \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(n - \frac{2r}{3}(\delta + 1) \right)^2 + (\delta + 1) \left(13n - \frac{22r}{3}(\delta + 1) - r \right) + O(\delta^2).$$

Moreover, this bound is asymptotically tight.

Proof: Let z be a fixed centre vertex, $z_r \in N_r(z)$ and assume the notation of T and $T(u, v)$ above. Let z_9 be the unique vertex in $V(T(z, z_r)) \cap N_9$ and write $L = T(z_9, z_r) = z_9, z_{10}, \dots, z_r$. By Fact 1, let $x \in N_{\geq r-9}$ be a vertex not related to z_r . Let $T(z, x) = z_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x$ and $P = x_9, x_{10}, \dots, x_{r-9}$ be the sub-path of $T(z, x)$ from x_9 to x_{r-9} . Note that since x is not related to z_r , we have

$$d_G(u, v) \geq 5 \text{ for all } u \in V(L) \text{ and } v \in V(P). \quad (1)$$

Let $L_1 \subseteq V(L)$ be the set

$$L_1 := \left\{ z_{3i+1} \mid i = 3, 4, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{3} \right\rfloor \right\}.$$

For each vertex $v \in L_1$, choose any δ neighbours $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_\delta$ of v and denote the set $\{v, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_\delta\}$ by $M[v]$ and set $L' = \cup_{v \in L_1} M[v]$.

Similarly, let $P_1 \subseteq V(P)$ be the set

$$P_1 := \left\{ x_{3i+1} \mid i = 3, 4, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{r-10}{3} \right\rfloor \right\}.$$

For each vertex $v \in P_1$, choose any δ neighbours $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_\delta$ of v and, as above, denote the set $\{v, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_\delta\}$ by $M[v]$. Set $P' = \cup_{v \in P_1} M[v]$. Finally, let $M = L' \cup P'$. Then

$$|M| = (\delta + 1) \left(\left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{3} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{r-10}{3} \right\rfloor - 4 \right). \quad (2)$$

Claim 1 $\sum_{v \in M} \deg_G(v) \leq 2(\delta + 1)(2n - r) + O(\delta)$.

Proof of Claim 1: Note that $\sum_{v \in M} \deg_G(v) = \sum_{v \in L'} \deg_G(v) + \sum_{v \in P'} \deg_G(v)$. First we consider $\sum_{v \in L'} \deg_G(v)$. Partition L_1 as $L_1 = L_2 \cup L_3$, so that for each $u, v \in L_i$, $i = 2, 3$, $d_G(u, v) \geq 6$. Precisely, let $L_2 \subset L_1$ be the set $L_2 = \{z_j \in L_1 \mid j \equiv 4 \pmod{6}\}$, and $L_3 = L_1 - L_2$. Write the elements of L_2 as $L_2 = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{|L_2|}\}$. For each $w_j \in L_2$, let $M[w_j] = \{w_j, u_1^j, u_2^j, \dots, u_\delta^j\}$, where $u_1^j, u_2^j, \dots, u_\delta^j$ are neighbours of w_j . The fact that for each $u, v \in L_2$, $d_G(u, v) \geq 6$, in conjunction with (1), yields

$$n \geq (\deg_G(w_1) + 1) + (\deg_G(w_2) + 1) + \dots + (\deg_G(w_{|L_2|}) + 1) + |P'|,$$

and for $t = 1, 2, \dots, \delta$,

$$n \geq (\deg_G(u_t^1) + 1) + (\deg_G(u_t^2) + 1) + \dots + (\deg_G(u_t^{|L_2|}) + 1).$$

Summing, we get

$$(\delta + 1)n \geq \sum_{v \in (\cup_{y \in L_2} M[y])} \deg_G(v) + (\delta + 1)|L_2| + |P'|. \quad (3)$$

Similarly,

$$(\delta + 1)n \geq \sum_{v \in (\cup_{y \in L_3} M[y])} \deg_G(v) + (\delta + 1)|L_3| + |P'|. \quad (4)$$

Adding (3) and (4), we get

$$2(\delta + 1)n \geq \sum_{v \in L'} \deg_G(v) + (\delta + 1)|L_1| + 2|P'|.$$

Analogously,

$$2(\delta + 1)n \geq \sum_{v \in P'} \deg_G(v) + (\delta + 1)|P_1| + 2|L'|.$$

It follows that

$$4(\delta + 1)n \geq \sum_{v \in L'} \deg_G(v) + \sum_{v \in P'} \deg_G(v) + (\delta + 1)(|L_1| + |P_1|) + 2(|P'| + |L'|).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{v \in M} \deg_G(v) &= \sum_{v \in L'} \deg_G(v) + \sum_{v \in P'} \deg_G(v) \\
&\leq 4(\delta + 1)n - (\delta + 1)(|L_1| + |P_1|) - 2(|P'| + |L'|) \\
&= 4(\delta + 1)n - 3(\delta + 1) \left(\left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{3} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{r-10}{3} \right\rfloor - 4 \right) \\
&= (\delta + 1)(4n - 2r) + O(\delta),
\end{aligned}$$

and the claim is proven.

Now let $Q = V(G) - M$.

Claim 2 *If $v \in Q$, then $\deg_G(v) \leq n - (\delta + 1) \left(\left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{3} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{r-10}{3} \right\rfloor \right) + 6\delta + 4$.*

Proof of Claim 2: Assume that $v \in Q$. Since L is a shortest path, v can only be adjacent to at most $2\delta + 1$ vertices in L' . Similarly, v can only be adjacent to at most $2\delta + 1$ vertices in P' . Note also that from (1), v cannot be adjacent to both a vertex from L' and a vertex from P' . Hence v is adjacent to at most $2\delta + 1$ vertices from M . In conjunction with (2), we get

$$\deg_G(v) \leq n - 1 - |M| + 2\delta + 1 = n - (\delta + 1) \left(\left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{3} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{r-10}{3} \right\rfloor - 4 \right) + 2\delta,$$

and so the claim is proven.

From (2),

$$|Q| = n - (\delta + 1) \left(\left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{3} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{r-10}{3} \right\rfloor \right) + 4\delta + 4.$$

It follows from Claim 2 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{v \in Q} \deg_G(v) &\leq \\
&\left(n - (\delta + 1) \left(\left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{3} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{r-10}{3} \right\rfloor \right) + 4\delta + 4 \right) \left(n - (\delta + 1) \left(\left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{3} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{r-10}{3} \right\rfloor \right) + 6\delta + 4 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

For easy calculation, we use

$$\left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{3} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{r-10}{3} \right\rfloor > \frac{2r}{3} - 6.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{v \in Q} \deg_G(v) &< \left(n - (\delta + 1) \left(\frac{2r}{3} - 6 \right) + 4\delta + 4 \right) \left(n - (\delta + 1) \left(\frac{2r}{3} - 6 \right) + 6\delta + 4 \right) \\
&= \left(n - \frac{2r}{3}(\delta + 1) \right)^2 + \left(n - \frac{2r}{3}(\delta + 1) \right) (22\delta + 20) + 120\delta^2 + O(\delta).
\end{aligned}$$

This, in conjunction with Claim 1, yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{v \in V} \deg_G(v) &= \sum_{v \in M} \deg_G(v) + \sum_{v \in Q} \deg_G(v) \\
&< 2(\delta + 1)(2n - r) + \left(n - \frac{2r}{3}(\delta + 1)\right)^2 + \left(n - \frac{2r}{3}(\delta + 1)\right)(22\delta + 22) + 120\delta^2 + O(\delta) \\
&= \left(n - \frac{2r}{3}(\delta + 1)\right)^2 + 2(\delta + 1)\left(13n - \frac{22r}{3}(\delta + 1) - r\right) + O(\delta^2).
\end{aligned}$$

The bound in the theorem follows by an application of Lemma 1.

Finally, to see that for a constant δ the bound is asymptotically tight, let $n, r > 1$, $r \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, and $\delta \geq 2$ be three integers. Let $G_{n,\delta,r}$ be the graph with vertex set $V(G_{n,\delta,r}) = V_0 \cup V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_{2r}$, where

$$|V_i| = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{3}, \\ \delta & \text{if } i = 1, \\ n - \frac{2r}{3}(\delta + 1) + \frac{2}{3}\delta - \frac{7}{3} & \text{if } i = 2r - 1, \\ \delta - 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

with uv , $u \in V_i$ and $v \in V_j$, being an edge of $G_{n,\delta,r}$ if and only if $|i - j| \leq 1$. Then

$$m(G_{n,\delta,r}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(n - \frac{2r}{3}(\delta + 1)\right)^2 + O(n\delta) + O(r\delta^2) + O(\delta^2),$$

and the theorem is proven. \square

References

- [1] P. Dankelmann and L. Volkmann. Minimum size of a graph or digraph of given radius. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 109:971–973, 2009.
- [2] P. Erdős, J. Pach, R. Pollack, and Z. Tuza. Radius, diameter, and minimum degree. *J. Combin. Theory B*, 47:73–79, 1989.
- [3] O. Ore. Diameters in graphs. *J. Combin. Theory*, 5:75–81, 1968.
- [4] V. Vizing. The number of edges in a graph of given radius. *Soviet Math. Dokl.*, 8:535–536, 1967.

