

Algorithmic and combinatoric aspects of multiple harmonic sums

Christian Costermans, Jean-Yves Enjalbert, Hoang Ngoc Minh

► **To cite this version:**

Christian Costermans, Jean-Yves Enjalbert, Hoang Ngoc Minh. Algorithmic and combinatoric aspects of multiple harmonic sums. Conrado Martínez. 2005 International Conference on Analysis of Algorithms, 2005, Barcelona, Spain. Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science, DMTCS Proceedings vol. AD, International Conference on Analysis of Algorithms, pp.59-70, 2005, DMTCS Proceedings. <hal-01184041>

HAL Id: hal-01184041

<https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01184041>

Submitted on 12 Aug 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Algorithmic and combinatoric aspects of multiple harmonic sums

Christian Costermans and Jean-Yves Enjalbert and Hoang Ngoc Minh

Université Lille II, 1, Place Déliot, 59024 Lille, France

Ordinary generating series of *multiple* harmonic sums admit a *full* singular expansion in the basis of functions $\{(1-z)^\alpha \log^\beta(1-z)\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}, \beta \in \mathbb{N}}$, near the singularity $z = 1$. A *constructive* proof of this result is given, and, by *combinatoric* aspects, an explicit evaluation of Taylor coefficients of functions in some *polylogarithmic* algebra is obtained. In particular, the *asymptotic expansion* of multiple harmonic sums is easily deduced.

Keywords: polylogarithms, polyzêtas, multiple harmonic sums, singular expansion, shuffle algebra, Lyndon words

1 Introduction

Hierarchical data structure occur in numerous domains, like computer graphics, image processing or biology (pattern matching). Among them, quadrees, whose construction is based on a recursive definition of space, constitute a classical data structure for storing and accessing collection of points in multidimensional space. Their characteristics (depth of a node, number of nodes in a given subtree, number of leaves) are studied by Laforest [12], with probabilistic tools. In particular, she shows, for a quadree of size N in a d -dimension space, that the probability $\pi_{N,k}$ for the first subtree to have size k can be expressed as an algebraic combination of j -th order harmonic numbers $H_j(N)$ and $H_j(k)$, $j \geq 1$, defined by

$$H_j(n) = \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{m^j}. \quad (1)$$

For instance, for $d = 3$, one has

$$\pi_{N,k} = \frac{[H_1(N) - H_1(k)]^2 + H_2(N) - H_2(k)}{2N}. \quad (2)$$

Flajolet et al. [2] give this general expression for the splitting probability

$$\pi_{N,k} = \sum_{N \geq i_1 \dots \geq i_{d-1} > k} \frac{1}{i_1 \dots i_{d-1}}. \quad (3)$$

The probability $\pi_{N,k}$ appears as a particular case of the following sum $A_{\mathbf{s}}(N)$ associated to the *multi-index* $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_r)$, which is strongly related to multiple harmonic sums $H_{\mathbf{s}}(N)$:

$$A_{\mathbf{s}}(N) = \sum_{N \geq n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_r > 0} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \dots n_r^{s_r}} \quad \text{and} \quad H_{\mathbf{s}}(N) = \sum_{N \geq n_1 > \dots > n_r > 0} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \dots n_r^{s_r}}. \quad (4)$$

Let us note that there exist explicit relations, given by Hoffman [10] between the $A_{\mathbf{s}}(N)$ and $H_{\mathbf{s}}(N)$. Indeed, let $\text{Comp}(n)$ be the *set of compositions* of n , i.e. sequences (i_1, \dots, i_r) of positive integers summing to n . If $I = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$ (resp. $J = (j_1, \dots, j_p)$) is a composition of n (resp. of r) then $J \circ I = (i_1 + \dots + i_{j_1}, i_{j_1+1} + \dots + i_{j_1+j_2}, \dots, i_{k-j_p+1} + \dots + i_k)$ is a composition of n . By Möbius inversion, one has

$$A_{\mathbf{s}}(N) = \sum_{J \in \text{Comp}(r)} H_{J \circ \mathbf{s}}(N) \quad \text{and} \quad H_{\mathbf{s}}(N) = \sum_{J \in \text{Comp}(r)} (-1)^{l(J)-r} A_{J \circ \mathbf{s}}(N), \quad (5)$$

where $l(J)$ is the number of parts of J .

Example 1. For $\mathbf{s} = (1, 1, 1)$, since the set of compositions of 3 is $\{(1, 1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1), (3)\}$, we get

$$A_{1,1,1}(N) = H_{1,1,1}(N) + H_{1,2}(N) + H_{2,1}(N) + H_3(N),$$

$$H_{1,1,1}(N) = A_{1,1,1}(N) - A_{1,2}(N) - A_{2,1}(N) + A_3(N).$$

Therefore, the $A_{\mathbf{s}}(N)$ are \mathbb{Z} -linear combinations on $H_{\mathbf{s}}(N)$ (and *vice versa*). Thus, the remaining problem is to know the asymptotic behaviour of $\pi_{N,k}$, for $N \rightarrow \infty$ [11]. For that, in this work, we are interested in the *combinatorial* aspects of these sums by use of a symbolic encoding by words. This enables then to transfer *shuffle relations* on words into algebraic relations between multiple harmonic sums, or between *polylogarithm* functions, defined for a multi-index $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_r)$ by

$$\text{Li}_{\mathbf{s}}(z) = \sum_{n_1 > \dots > n_r > 0} \frac{z^{n_1}}{n_1^{s_1} \dots n_r^{s_r}}, \quad \text{for } |z| < 1. \quad (6)$$

These relations are recalled in Section 2. The reason to call upon polylogarithms is given in Section 3, since the generating function $P_{\mathbf{s}}(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} H_{\mathbf{s}}(n)z^n$ of $\{H_{\mathbf{s}}(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$, verifies

$$P_{\mathbf{s}}(z) = \frac{1}{1-z} \text{Li}_{\mathbf{s}}(z). \quad (7)$$

So, we set the polylogarithmic algebra of $\{P_{\mathbf{s}}\}_{\mathbf{s}}$, with coefficients in $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}, (z-1)^{-1}]$, and we then establish *exact* transfer results between a function g in this algebra and its Taylor coefficients $[z^N]g(z)$, in the \mathbb{C} -algebra generated by $\{N^k H_{\mathbf{s}}(N)\}_{\mathbf{s}, k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in both directions. The main result of this paper is finally stated in Section 4, which gives a computation of the *full* singular expansion of g , in the basis of functions $\{(1-z)^\alpha \log^\beta(1-z)\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}, \beta \in \mathbb{N}}$, near the singularity $z = 1$. We deduce from this a *full* asymptotic expansion of its Taylor coefficients. These results are based on the analysis of the *noncommutative* generating series of functions of the form (7), in particular on its infinite factorization indexed by *Lyndon words*.

2 Background

2.1 Combinatorics on words

To the multi-index \mathbf{s} we can canonically associate the word $u = x_0^{s_1-1} x_1 \dots x_0^{s_r-1} x_1$ over the finite alphabet $X = \{x_0, x_1\}$. In the same way, \mathbf{s} can be canonically associated to the word $v = y_{s_1} \dots y_{s_r}$ over the infinite alphabet $Y = \{y_i\}_{i \geq 1}$. Moreover, in both alphabets, the empty multi-index will correspond to the empty word ϵ . We shall henceforth identify the multi-index \mathbf{s} with its encoding by the word u (resp. v). We denote by X^* (resp. Y^*) the free monoid generated by X (resp. Y), which is the set of words over X (resp. Y). Noting $\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle$ (resp. $\mathbb{C}\langle Y \rangle$) the algebra of noncommutative polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{C} , we obtain so a concatenation isomorphism from the \mathbb{C} -algebra of multi-indexes into the algebra $\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle$ (resp. $\mathbb{C}\langle Y \rangle$). The coefficient of $w \in X^*$ in a polynomial $S \in \mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle$ is denoted by $(S|w)$ or S_w . The duality between polynomials is defined as follows

$$(S|p) = \sum_{w \in X^*} S_w p_w, \quad p \in \mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle. \quad (8)$$

The set of Lie monomials is defined by induction: the letters in X are Lie monomials and the Lie bracket $[a, b] = ab - ba$ of two Lie monomials a and b is a Lie monomial. A Lie polynomial is a \mathbb{C} -linear combination of Lie monomials. The set of Lie polynomials is called the *free Lie algebra*.

2.2 Shuffle products

Let $a, b \in X$ (resp. $y_i, y_j \in Y$) and $u, v \in X^*$ (resp. Y^*). The *shuffle* (resp. *stuffle*) of $u = au'$ and $v = bv'$ (resp. $u = y_i u'$ and $v = y_j v'$) is the polynomial recursively defined by

$$\epsilon \sqcup u = u \sqcup \epsilon = u \quad \text{and} \quad u \sqcup v = a(u' \sqcup v) + b(u \sqcup v'), \quad (9)$$

$$\text{(resp. } \epsilon \sqcup u = u \sqcup \epsilon = u \quad \text{and} \quad u \sqcup v = y_i(u' \sqcup v) + y_j(u \sqcup v') + y_{i+j}(u' \sqcup v')). \quad (10)$$

Example 2.

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 x_1 \sqcup x_1 &= x_1 x_0 x_1 + 2x_0 x_1^2 \\ y_2 \sqcup y_1 &= y_1 y_2 + y_2 y_1 + y_3. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

This product is extended to $\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle$ (resp. $\mathbb{C}\langle Y \rangle$) by linearity. With this product, $\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle$ (resp. $\mathbb{C}\langle Y \rangle$) is a commutative and associative \mathbb{C} -algebra.

l	Q_l	S_l
x_0	x_0	x_0
x_1	x_1	x_1
x_0x_1	$[x_0, x_1]$	x_0x_1
$x_0^2x_1$	$[x_0, [x_0, x_1]]$	$x_0^2x_1$
$x_0x_1^2$	$[[x_0, x_1], x_1]$	$x_0x_1^2$
$x_0^3x_1$	$[x_0, [x_0, [x_0, x_1]]]$	$x_0^3x_1$
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
$x_0^3x_1^3$	$[x_0, [x_0, [[[x_0, x_1], x_1], x_1]]]$	$x_0^3x_1^3$
$x_0^2x_1x_0x_1^2$	$[x_0, [[x_0, x_1], [[x_0, x_1], x_1]]]$	$3x_0^3x_1^3 + x_0^2x_1x_0x_1^2$
$x_0^2x_1^2x_0x_1$	$[[x_0, [[x_0, x_1], x_1], [x_0, x_1]]]$	$6x_0^3x_1^3 + 3x_0^2x_1x_0x_1^2 + x_0^2x_1^2x_0x_1$
$x_0^2x_1^4$	$[x_0, [[[[x_0, x_1], x_1], x_1], x_1]]]$	$x_0^2x_1^4$
$x_0x_1x_0x_1^3$	$[[x_0, x_1], [[[x_0, x_1], x_1], x_1]]]$	$4x_0^2x_1^4 + x_0x_1x_0x_1^3$
$x_0x_1^5$	$[[[[[x_0, x_1], x_1], x_1], x_1], x_1]]]$	$x_0x_1^5$

Tab. 1: Lyndon words, bracket forms and dual basis

2.3 Lyndon words and Radford's theorem

By definition, a *Lyndon word* is a non empty word $l \in X^*$ (resp. $\in Y^*$) which is lower than any of its proper right factors [14] (for the lexicographical ordering) i.e. for all $u, v \in X^* \setminus \{\epsilon\}$ (resp. $\in Y^* \setminus \{\epsilon\}$), $l = uv \Rightarrow l < v$. The set of Lyndon words of X (resp. Y) is denoted by $\mathcal{Lyn}(X)$ (resp. $\mathcal{Lyn}(Y)$).

Example 3. For $X = \{x_0, x_1\}$ with the order $x_0 < x_1$ the Lyndon words of length ≤ 5 on X^* are (in lexicographical increasing order)

$$\{x_0, x_0^4x_1, x_0^3x_1, x_0^3x_1^2, x_0^2x_1, x_0^2x_1x_0x_1, x_0^2x_1^2, x_0^2x_1^3, x_0x_1, x_0x_1x_0x_1^2, x_0x_1^2, x_0x_1^3, x_0x_1^4, x_1\}.$$

For $Y = \{y_i, i \geq 1\}$, with the order $y_i < y_j$ when $i > j$, here are the corresponding Lyndon words over Y

$$\{y_5, y_4, y_4y_1, y_3, y_3y_2, y_3y_1, y_3y_1^2, y_2, y_2^2y_1, y_2y_1, y_2y_1^2, y_2y_1^3, y_1\}.$$

Theorem 1 (Radford, [13, 14]). Let

$$C_1 = \mathbb{C} \oplus (\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle \setminus x_0\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle x_1) \quad \text{and} \quad C_2 = \mathbb{C} \oplus (\mathbb{C}\langle Y \rangle \setminus y_1\mathbb{C}\langle Y \rangle)$$

be the sets of convergent polynomials over X and Y respectively. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle, \sqcup) &\simeq (\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Lyn}(X)], \sqcup) = (C_1[x_0, x_1], \sqcup), \\ (\mathbb{C}\langle Y \rangle, \sqcup) &\simeq (\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Lyn}(Y)], \sqcup) = (C_2[y_1], \sqcup). \end{aligned}$$

Example 4.

$$\begin{aligned} y_2y_4y_1 + y_2y_1y_4 + y_1y_2y_4 + y_2y_5 + y_3y_4 &= y_4 \sqcup y_2 \sqcup y_1 - y_4y_2 \sqcup y_1 - y_6 \sqcup y_1 \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Lyn}(Y)] \\ &= y_2y_4 \sqcup y_1 \in C_2[y_1] \end{aligned}$$

2.4 Bracket forms and the dual basis

The *bracket form* Q_l of a Lyndon word $l = uv$, with $l, u, v \in \mathcal{Lyn}(X)$ and the word v being as long as possible, is defined recursively by

$$\begin{cases} Q_l &= [Q_u, Q_v] \\ Q_x &= x \quad \text{for each letter } x \in X, \end{cases}$$

It is classical that the set $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{Q_l ; l \in \mathcal{Lyn}(X)\}$, ordered lexicographically, is a basis for the free Lie algebra. Moreover, each word $w \in X^*$ can be expressed uniquely as a decreasing product of Lyndon words:

$$w = l_1^{\alpha_1} l_2^{\alpha_2} \dots l_k^{\alpha_k}, \quad l_1 > l_2 > \dots > l_k, \quad k \geq 0. \quad (12)$$

The Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt basis $\mathcal{B} = \{Q_w; w \in X^*\}$ and its dual basis $\mathcal{B}^* = \{S_w; w \in X^*\}$ are obtained from (12) by setting [14]

$$\begin{cases} Q_w &= Q_{l_1}^{\alpha_1} Q_{l_2}^{\alpha_2} \cdots Q_{l_k}^{\alpha_k}, \\ S_w &= \frac{S_{l_1}^{\sqcup \alpha_1} \cdots S_{l_k}^{\sqcup \alpha_k}}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2! \cdots \alpha_k!}, \\ S_l &= x S_w, \quad \forall l \in \text{Lyn}(X), \text{ where } l = xw, x \in X, w \in X^*. \end{cases}$$

In [14], it is proved that \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}^* are dual bases of $\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle$ i.e. $(Q_u | S_v) = \delta_u^v$, for all words $u, v \in X^*$ with $\delta_u^v = 1$ if $u = v$, otherwise 0.

Lemma 1. *For all $w \in x_0 X^* x_1$, one has $S_w \in x_0 \mathbb{Z}\langle X \rangle x_1$.*

Proof. The Lyndon words involved in the decomposition (12) of a word $w \in X^* x_1$ (resp. $w \in x_0 X^* x_1$) all belong to $X^* x_1$ (resp. $x_0 X^* x_1$). \square

2.5 Polylogarithms

Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{C}[z, 1/z, 1/(z-1)]$ and let ω_0 and ω_1 be the two following differential forms

$$\omega_0(z) = \frac{dz}{z} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_1(z) = \frac{dz}{1-z}. \quad (13)$$

One verifies the polylogarithm $\text{Li}_s(z)$, defined by Formula (6), is also the following *iterated integral* with respect to ω_0 and ω_1

$$\text{Li}_s(z) = \int_{0 \rightsquigarrow z} \omega_0^{s_1-1} \omega_1 \cdots \omega_0^{s_r-1} \omega_1. \quad (14)$$

Thanks to the bijection from Y^* to $X^* x_1$ previously explained, we can index the polylogarithms by the words of $X^* x_1$, or indistinctly by the words of Y^* . We can extend (14) over X^* by putting

$$\text{Li}_\epsilon(z) = 1, \quad \text{Li}_{x_0}(z) = \log z, \quad \text{Li}_{x_i w}(z) = \int_{0 \rightsquigarrow z} \omega_i(t) \text{Li}_w(t), \quad \text{for } x_i \in X, w \in X^*. \quad (15)$$

Therefore, Li_w verifies the following identity [4]

$$\forall u, v \in X^*, \quad \text{Li}_{u \sqcup v} = \text{Li}_u \text{Li}_v. \quad (16)$$

The extended definition enables to construct the noncommutative generating series [4]

$$L = \sum_{w \in X^*} \text{Li}_w w \quad (17)$$

as being the unique solution of the *Drinfel'd equation*, i.e. the differential equation [4]

$$dL = [x_0 \omega_0 + x_1 \omega_1] L, \quad (18)$$

satisfying the boundary condition

$$L(\varepsilon) = e^{x_0 \log \varepsilon} + o(\sqrt{\varepsilon}), \quad \text{when } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+. \quad (19)$$

Proposition 1 ([5]). *Let σ be the monoid morphism defined over X^* by $\sigma(x_0) = -x_1$ and $\sigma(x_1) = -x_0$. Then,*

$$L(1-z) = [\sigma L(z)] \prod_{l \in \text{Lyn}(X) \setminus \{x_0, x_1\}} e^{\zeta(S_l) Q_l}.$$

Example 5 ([5]).

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Li}_{x_0 x_1^2}(1-z) &= -\text{Li}_{x_0^2 x_1}(z) + \text{Li}_{x_0}(z) \text{Li}_{x_0 x_1}(z) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_{x_0}^2(z) \text{Li}_{x_1}(z) + \zeta(3), \quad \text{i.e.} \\ \text{Li}_{2,1}(1-z) &= -\text{Li}_3(z) + \log(z) \text{Li}_2(z) + \frac{1}{2} \log^2(z) \log(1-z) + \zeta(3). \end{aligned}$$

2.6 Harmonic sums

Definition 1. Let $w = y_{s_1} \dots y_{s_r} \in Y^*$. For $N \geq r \geq 1$, the harmonic sum $H_w(N)$ is defined as

$$H_w(N) = \sum_{N \geq n_1 > \dots > n_r > 0} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \dots n_r^{s_r}}.$$

For $0 \leq N < r$, $H_w(N) = 0$ and, for the empty word ϵ , we put $H_\epsilon(N) = 1$, for any $N \geq 0$.

Let $w = y_{s_1} \dots y_{s_r} \in Y^*$. If $s_1 > 1$ then, by an Abel's theorem,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} H_w(N) = \lim_{z \rightarrow 1} \text{Li}_w(z) = \sum_{n_1 > \dots > n_r > 0} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \dots n_r^{s_r}}.$$

That is nothing but the polyzêta (or MZV [16]) $\zeta(w)$ and the word $w \in Y^* \setminus y_1 Y^*$ is said to be *convergent*. A polynomial of $\mathbb{C}\langle Y \rangle$ is said to be convergent when it is a linear combination of convergent words. The double shuffle algebra of polyzêtas is already pointed out and extensively studied in [3].

For $w = y_s w'$, we have

$$\zeta(w) = \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{H_{w'}(l-1)}{l^s}, \quad (20)$$

$$H_w(N+1) - H_w(N) = (N+1)^{-s} H_{w'}(N) \quad (21)$$

and, for any $u, v \in Y^*$ [9]

$$H_{u \sqcup v}(N) = H_u(N) H_v(N). \quad (22)$$

3 Generating series

3.1 Definition and first properties

Definition 2 ([8]). Let $w \in Y^*$ and let $P_w(z)$ be the ordinary generating series of $\{H_w(N)\}_{N \geq 0}$

$$P_w(z) = \sum_{N \geq 0} H_w(N) z^N.$$

Proposition 2 ([8]). Extended by linearity, the map $P : u \mapsto P_u$ is an isomorphism from $(\mathbb{C}\langle Y \rangle, \sqcup)$ to the Hadamard algebra of $(\{P_w\}_{w \in Y^*}, \odot)$. Therefore, the map $H : u \mapsto H_u = \{H_u(N)\}_{N \geq 0}$ is an isomorphism from $(\mathbb{C}\langle Y \rangle, \sqcup)$ to the algebra of $(\{H_w\}_{w \in Y^*}, \cdot)$.

Proof. The definition of the Hadamard product $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \odot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n$, and the formula (22) gives P as an algebra morphism. Since the functions $\{\text{Li}_w\}_{w \in X^*}$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{C} [4], P is the expected isomorphism. \square

Proposition 3 ([8]). For every word $w \in Y^*$ and for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $|z| < 1$, one has $\text{Li}_w(z) = (1-z)P_w(z)$.

Proof. For $w = y_s w'$, since $P_w(z) = \sum_{N \geq 0} H_w(N) z^N$ and by using (21),

$$(1-z)P_w(z) = H_w(0) + \sum_{N \geq 1} \frac{H_{w'}(N-1)}{N^s} z^N = \text{Li}_w(z).$$

\square

A direct consequence of this proposition and Identity (16) is

Corollary 1. For all $u, v \in X^*$, for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $|z| < 1$, $P_u(z)P_v(z) = (1-z)^{-1}P_{u \sqcup v}(z)$.

Example 6. Since $x_1 \sqcup x_0 x_1 = x_1 x_0 x_1 + 2x_0 x_1^2$ then we get

$$P_{1,2}(z) = (1-z)P_1(z)P_2(z) - 2P_{2,1}(z).$$

Proposition 3 allows to extend the definition of P_w over X^* as we have already extended the definition of Li_w over X^* . Moreover,

Definition 3 ([8]). Let P be the noncommutative generating series of $\{P_w\}_{w \in X^*}$:

$$P = \sum_{w \in X^*} P_w w.$$

Proposition 4 ([8]). Let σ be the monoid morphism defined over X^* by $\sigma(x_0) = -x_1$ and $\sigma(x_1) = -x_0$. Then

$$P(1-z) = \frac{1-z}{z} [\sigma P(z)] \prod_{l \in \text{Lyn}(X) \setminus \{x_0, x_1\}} e^{\zeta(S_l) Q_l}.$$

Proof. It follows immediately from Proposition 1. \square

Example 7.

$$P_{2,1}(1-z) = \frac{1-z}{z} \left(-P_3(z) + \log(z)P_2(z) - \log^2(z)P_1(z) + \frac{\zeta(3)}{1-z} \right)$$

Thus,

$$P_{2,1}(z) = -\frac{z}{1-z} P_3(1-z) + \frac{z \log(1-z)}{1-z} P_2(1-z) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{z \log^2(1-z)}{1-z} P_1(1-z) + \frac{\zeta(3)}{1-z}.$$

By Formula (22) and Proposition 2, for $w \in Y^*$, there exist a finite set I and $(c_i)_{i \in I} \in C_2^I$ such that the three following identities are equivalent

$$w = \sum_{i \in I} c_i \sqcup y_1^{\sqcup i}, \quad (23)$$

$$P_w = \sum_{i \in I} P_{c_i} \odot P_{y_1^{\odot i}}, \quad (24)$$

$$H_w = \sum_{i \in I} H_{c_i} H_{y_1^i}. \quad (25)$$

In particular, for $w = y_1^k$, we have,

Lemma 2. Let $M = (m_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq k}$ be the matrix defined by $m_{i,j} = \delta_{i,j+1}$ (Kronecker symbol). Let $e_{i,j}$ the matrix of size $k \times k$, whose coefficients are all zero, except the one equal to 1 at line i and column j . Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -\frac{y_2}{2} & \frac{y_1}{2} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \frac{(-1)^{k-1} y_k}{k} & \frac{(-1)^{k-2} y_{k-1}}{k} & \dots & \frac{y_1}{k} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} H_{y_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -\frac{H_{y_2}}{2} & \frac{H_{y_1}}{2} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \frac{(-1)^{k-1} H_{y_k}}{k} & \frac{(-1)^{k-2} H_{y_{k-1}}}{k} & \dots & \frac{H_{y_1}}{k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_1^k \end{pmatrix} = A \prod_{\ell=1}^{k-1} \left[M^\ell A({}^t M)^\ell + \sum_{\iota=1}^{\ell} e_{\iota, \ell} \right] \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} H_{y_1} \\ \vdots \\ H_{y_1^k} \end{pmatrix} = B \prod_{\ell=1}^{k-1} \left[M^\ell B({}^t M)^\ell + \sum_{\iota=1}^{\ell} e_{\iota, \ell} \right] \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. The formula $y_1^k = (-1)^{k-1} k^{-1} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} (-1)^l y_1^l \sqcup y_{k-l}$ [6] can be written matrixially as follows

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_1^2 \\ \vdots \\ y_1^k \end{pmatrix} = A \sqcup \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon \\ y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_1^{k-1} \end{pmatrix} = A \sqcup \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & y_1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{(-1)^{k-2} y_{k-1}}{k-1} & \dots & \frac{y_1}{k-1} \end{pmatrix} \sqcup \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon \\ \epsilon \\ \vdots \\ y_1^{k-2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here all powers and products are carried out with the stuffle product. Successively, we get the expected result. \square

The word y_1^k appears then as a computable stuffle product of words of length 1. Hence,

Proposition 5. $H_{y_1^k}$ is a combination of $\{H_{y_r}\}_{1 \leq r < k}$ which are algebraically independent.

Proof. The $\{H_{y_r}\}_{1 \leq r < k}$ are algebraically independent according to Proposition 2, as image by the isomorphism H of the Lyndon words $\{y_r\}_{1 \leq r < k}$. By Lemma 2, we get the expected result. \square

Example 8. Since

$$y_1^2 = \frac{y_1 \sqcup y_1 - y_2}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad y_1^3 = \frac{2(y_3 - y_1 \sqcup y_2) + (y_1 \sqcup y_1 - y_2) \sqcup y_1}{6}$$

then we have

$$H_{y_1^2} = \frac{H_{y_1}^2 - H_{y_2}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad H_{y_1^3} = \frac{2(H_{y_3} - H_{y_1}H_{y_2}) + (H_{y_1}^2 - H_{y_2})H_{y_1}}{6}.$$

Identities (23-25) give rise to two interpretations : (24) enables to decompose P_w in a basis of singular functions $(1-z)^\alpha \log^\beta(1-z)$ while (25) enables to compute an asymptotic expansion of its Taylor coefficients in terms of $N^a \log^b N$ (or equivalently in terms of $N^a H_{y_1}^b(N)$). Before stating a theorem linking these two interpretations, we are interested in the action of \mathcal{C} on Taylor coefficients; reciprocally, we are interested in the effects of changing Taylor coefficients on a function in $\mathcal{C}[\{P_w\}_{w \in Y^*}]$.

3.2 Operations on the generating functions P_w

For $f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n z^n$, we will henceforth denote $[z^n]f(z) = a_n$ its n -th Taylor coefficient. Since multiplying or dividing by z acts very simply on $[z^n]f(z)$, we only have to study the effect of multiplying or dividing by $1-z$.

$$[z^n](1-z)P_w(z) = H_w(n) - H_w(n-1). \quad (26)$$

$$[z^n] \frac{P_w(z)}{1-z} = \sum_{k=0}^n H_w(k) \quad (27)$$

$$= \begin{cases} (n+1)H_w(n) - H_{y_{s-1}w'}(n) & \text{if } w = y_s w', \text{ with } s \neq 1 \\ (n+1)H_w(n) - \sum_{j=1}^n H_{w'}(j-1) & \text{if } w = y_1 w'. \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

and, more generally,

Proposition 6.

$$[z^n](1-z)^k P_w(z) = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (-1)^j H_w(n-j) \quad \text{and} \quad [z^n] \frac{P_w(z)}{(1-z)^k} = \sum_{n \geq j_1 \geq \dots \geq j_k \geq 0} H_w(j_k).$$

3.3 Operations on Taylor coefficients of P_w

We are now to find how multiplying or dividing $H_w(N)$ by N acts on P_w .

3.3.1 A particular case : $w = \epsilon$

The simple case $w = \epsilon$, corresponding to $H_\epsilon(N) = 1$, can be studied and treated by the following

Proposition 7. For any $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, one has

$$n^q = \begin{cases} [z^n](1-z)P_{-q}(z) & \text{if } q < 0, \\ [z^n](1-z)^{-1} & \text{if } q = 0, \\ [z^n] \frac{z}{1-z} N_q \left(\frac{1}{1-z} \right) & \text{if } q > 0, \end{cases}$$

where N_q is defined by the following recurrence

$$N_0(X) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad N_q(X) = X \left(\sum_{j=0}^{q-1} (-1)^{q-1-j} \binom{q}{j} N_j(X) \right).$$

Example 9.

$$\begin{aligned} n &= [z^n] \left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^2} \right) = [z^n] \left(\frac{1}{(1-z)^2} - \frac{1}{1-z} \right), \\ n^2 &= [z^n] \left(\frac{2z}{(1-z)^3} - \frac{z}{(1-z)^2} \right) = [z^n] \left(\frac{2}{(1-z)^3} - \frac{3}{(1-z)^2} + \frac{1}{1-z} \right). \end{aligned}$$

3.3.2 How to divide by n^k ?

Let $w = y_{s_1} \cdots y_{s_r}$ and $w' = y_{s_2} \cdots y_{s_r}$ be the suffix of w , of length $r-1$. The expression $n^{-k} \mathbf{H}_w(n)$, k positive integer, can be identified as follows

$$n^{-k} \mathbf{H}_w(n) = n^{-k} \mathbf{H}_w(n-1) + n^{-s_1-k} \mathbf{H}_{w'}(n-1) \quad (29)$$

$$= [z^n] \text{Li}_{y_k w + y_{s_1+k} w'}(z) \quad (30)$$

$$= [z^n] [(1-z) \mathbf{P}_{y_k w + y_{s_1+k} w'}(z)]. \quad (31)$$

3.3.3 How to multiply by n^k ?

In order to study the effect of multiplying by n^k , k positive integer, we denote by $\theta = z\partial/\partial z$ the Euler operator. Then for any integer k ,

$$n^k \mathbf{H}_w(n) = [z^n] \theta^k \mathbf{P}_w(z). \quad (32)$$

So, we just have to compute $\theta^k \mathbf{P}_w(z)$. As in [7], let us introduce

Definition 4. For any word $w = x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k}$ and for any composition $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_k)$, let $\tau_{\mathbf{r}}(w)$ be defined by $\tau_{\mathbf{r}}(w) = \tau_{r_1}(x_{i_1}) \cdots \tau_{r_k}(x_{i_k})$ with,

$$\tau_0(x_0) = x_0, \quad \tau_r(x_1) = x_1,$$

$$\text{and, for } r \in \mathbb{N}^*, \quad \tau_r(x_0) = \theta^r x_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_r(x_1) = \theta^r \frac{zx_1}{1-z} = \frac{r!x_1}{(1-z)^{r+1}}.$$

We define the degree of \mathbf{r} by $\text{deg}(\mathbf{r}) = k$ and its weight by $\text{wgt}(\mathbf{r}) = k + r_1 + \cdots + r_k$.

By applying successively the operator θ to L , we get

Lemma 3. $\theta^l L = A_l L$, where A_l is defined by

$$A_l(z) = \sum_{\text{wgt}(\mathbf{r})=l} \sum_{w \in X^{\text{deg}(\mathbf{r})}} \prod_{i=1}^{\text{deg}(\mathbf{r})} \binom{\sum_{j=1}^i r_j + j - 1}{r_i} \tau_{\mathbf{r}}(w).$$

Proof. This is a consequence of the recurrence relation verified by A_l , which is $A_0(z) = 1$, and, for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $A_{l+1}(z) = [\tau_0(x_0) + \tau_0(x_1)]A_l(z) + \theta A_l(z)$. \square

This lemma enables to extract the expression of $\theta^l \text{Li}_w$, for any word $w \in X^*$.

Example 10.

$$\begin{aligned} A_0(z) &= 1, \\ A_1(z) &= x_0 + \frac{z}{1-z} x_1, \\ A_2(z) &= x_0^2 + \frac{z}{1-z} x_0 \sqcup x_1 + \frac{z^2}{(1-z)^2} x_1^2 + \frac{1}{(1-z)^2} x_1. \end{aligned}$$

So, for $w = x_0^2 x_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta \text{Li}_{x_0^2 x_1} &= \left((x_0 + \frac{z}{1-z} x_1) L(z) \mid x_0^2 x_1 \right) \\ &= \text{Li}_{x_0 x_1}, \\ \theta^2 \text{Li}_{x_0^2 x_1} &= \left((x_0^2 + \frac{z}{1-z} x_0 \sqcup x_1 + \frac{z^2}{(1-z)^2} x_1^2 + \frac{1}{(1-z)^2} x_1) L(z) \mid x_0^2 x_1 \right) \\ &= \text{Li}_{x_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4. Let \perp be the linear operator of $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ defined by $\perp X^n = (n+1)X^{n+1} + nX^n$ and $\{B_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ defined by $B_0(X) = 1$ and $B_{l+1}(X) = \perp B_l(X)$. Then

$$\theta^l(1-z)^{-1} = (1-z)^{-1} B_l(z(1-z)^{-1}).$$

Note that the head term of B_l , $l \geq 1$, is $l!X^l$ and its trail term is X .

Example 11. $B_0(X) = 1$, $B_1(X) = X$, $B_2(X) = 2X^2 + X$, $B_3(X) = 6X^3 + 6X^2 + X$.

Proposition 8. With the notations of Lemma 4,

$$\theta^k P(z) = \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{\text{wgt}(\mathbf{r})} \sum_{w \in X^{\text{deg}(\mathbf{r})}} \prod_{i=1}^{\text{deg}(\mathbf{r})} \binom{\sum_{j=1}^i r_i + j - 1}{r_i} \binom{k}{j} \tau_{\mathbf{r}}(w) B_j\left(\frac{z}{1-z}\right) P(z).$$

Using Leibniz formula, one has

$$\theta^k P_w(z) = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \theta^{k-j} \text{Li}_w(z) \theta^j \frac{1}{1-z} \quad (33)$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} B_j\left(\frac{z}{1-z}\right) \frac{1}{1-z} \theta^{k-j} \text{Li}_w(z). \quad (34)$$

Thanks to Lemma 3, we can extract the coefficient $\theta^l \text{Li}_w$ of w in $\theta^l L$: this can be written as \mathcal{C} -linear combination of Li_v , with $|v| \leq |w| - l$ (where $|u|$ denotes the length of a word $u \in X^*$). We deduce so the expression of $\theta^k P_w$.

Example 12. For $w = x_0^2 x_1$ and $k = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^2 P_{x_0^2 x_1}(z) &= \sum_{j=0}^2 \binom{2}{j} B_j\left(\frac{z}{1-z}\right) \frac{1}{1-z} \theta^{2-j} \text{Li}_w(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{1-z} \text{Li}_{x_1}(z) + 2 \frac{z}{1-z} \frac{1}{1-z} \text{Li}_{x_0 x_1}(z) + \left(2 \left(\frac{z}{1-z}\right)^2 + \frac{z}{1-z}\right) \text{Li}_{x_0^2 x_1}(z) \\ &= P_{x_1}(z) + \frac{2z}{1-z} P_{x_0 x_1}(z) + \frac{z^2 + z}{1-z} P_{x_0^2 x_1}(z). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So, } n^2 H_3(n) = [z^n] \left(P_1(z) + \frac{2z}{1-z} P_2(z) + \frac{z^2 + z}{1-z} P_3(z) \right).$$

4 The main theorem

Throughout the section, we will write

$$f_n \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} g_i(n) \quad \text{for } n \rightarrow +\infty,$$

for a scale of functions $(g_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ - i.e. verifying $g_{i+1}(n) = O(g_i(n))$, for all i - to express that

$$f_n = \sum_{i=0}^I g_i(n) + O(g_{I+1}(n)), \quad \text{for any } I \geq 0.$$

In the same way, given a scale of functions $(h_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ around $z = 1$ (i.e. verifying $h_{i+1}(1-z) = O(h_i(1-z))$, when $z \rightarrow 1$) we will write

$$g(z) \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} h_i(1-z) \quad \text{for } z \rightarrow 1,$$

to mean

$$g(z) = \sum_{i=0}^I h_i(1-z) + O(h_{I+1}(1-z)) \quad \text{for all } I \geq 0.$$

For $w = y_1^k$, we know the expression of $[z^N]P_{y_1^k}(z) = H_{y_1^k}(N)$ is given by Lemma 2. From the second form of Euler-MacLaurin formula, involving the Bernoulli numbers $\{B_k\}_{k \geq 0}$, we get the following asymptotic expansions

$$\begin{aligned} H_{y_1}(N) &\sim \log N + \gamma - \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{B_k}{k} \frac{1}{N^k}, \\ H_{y_r}(N) &\sim \zeta(r) - \frac{1}{(r-1)N^{r-1}} - \sum_{k=r}^{+\infty} \frac{B_{k-r+1}}{k-r+1} \binom{k-1}{r-1} \frac{1}{N^k}, \quad \text{for } r > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can deduce the asymptotic expansions of $H_{y_1^k}(N)$, for $N \rightarrow +\infty$, from the asymptotic expansions of $\{H_{y_r}(N)\}_{1 \leq r < k}$:

Example 13. From Example 8, we can deduce then

$$\begin{aligned} H_{y_1^2}(N) &= \frac{1}{2}(\log(N) + \gamma)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\zeta(2) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\log(N) + \gamma + 1}{N} - \frac{1}{12N^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right), \\ H_{y_1^3}(N) &= \frac{1}{6} \log^3(N) + \frac{1}{2} \gamma \log^2(N) + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^2 - \zeta(2)) \log(N) - \frac{1}{2}\zeta(2)\gamma + \frac{1}{3}\zeta(3) + \frac{1}{6}\gamma^3 + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\log^2(N)}{N} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}(\gamma + 1) \frac{\log(N)}{N} + \frac{1}{4} (2\gamma + \gamma^2 - \zeta(2)) \frac{1}{N} - \frac{1}{24} \frac{\log^2(N)}{N^2} - \left(\frac{1}{8} + \frac{\gamma}{12}\right) \frac{\log(N)}{N^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Let us see in the general case how to reach the Taylor expansion of $g \in \mathcal{C}[(P_w)_{w \in Y^*}]$.

Theorem 2. Let $g \in \mathcal{C}[(P_w)_{w \in Y^*}]$. There exist $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta_j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$g(z) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} a_j (1-z)^{\alpha_j} \log^{\beta_j}(1-z), \quad \text{for } z \rightarrow 1.$$

Therefore, there exist $b_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $\eta_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\kappa_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$[z^n]g(z) \sim \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} b_i n^{\eta_i} \log^{\kappa_i}(n), \quad \text{for } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. Considering Corollary 1, we only have firstly to obtain the asymptotic expansion for the case $g(z) = P_w(z)$. Indeed, we get then the expansions of $f(z)g(z)$, for $f \in \mathcal{C}$ by remarking that $z = 1 - (1-z)$ and that $z^{-1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} (1-z)^n$.

The first expansion can be derived from Proposition 4 which links the behaviour of P_w around $z = 1$ to the behaviour of some algebraic combination of functions $\{P_u\}_{u \in X^*}$ around $z = 0$. Moreover, by Radford theorem 1, we can assume that each word u involved in this combination is a Lyndon word and so belongs to $x_0 X^* x_1 \cup \{x_0, x_1\}$. But, remind that, in this case, we have $P_u(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} H_u(n) z^n$ and that $P_{x_0}(z) = (1-z)^{-1} \log(z)$. So, the expected first expansion follows.

From

$$(1-z)^\alpha \log(1-z)^\beta = (-1)^\beta \beta! (1-z)^{\alpha+1} P_{y_1^\beta}(z), \quad (35)$$

we derive the second expansion by computing the Taylor coefficient $[z^n](1-z)^\alpha \log^\beta(1-z)$. Since we have already explained how the multiplication by $(1-z)^\alpha$ acts on the Taylor coefficients, we just have then to compute $[z^n]P_{y_1^\beta} = H_{y_1^\beta}(n)$. For this, we use Lemma 2 which completes our proof. \square

Unfortunately, in the general case, knowing even the complete expansion of $[z^n]g(z)$ only enables to get an asymptotic expansion of $g(z)$, as $z \rightarrow 1$ up to order 0 (i.e. the *singular part* of the expansion). Indeed, Taylor coefficients of all functions $(1-z)^k$, $k \geq 0$ eventually vanish as in the following identity:

$$\frac{1}{n} = [z^n] \text{Li}_1(z) = [z^n][\text{Li}_1(z) + (1-z)^2], \quad \text{as soon as } n > 2. \quad (36)$$

In fact, to obtain this singular part, it is sufficient to know the asymptotic expansion of $[z^n]g(z)$ up to order $2 - \epsilon$, $\epsilon > 0$ [15].

Remark 1. In the case of a finite sum $\sum_{i \in I} b_i n^{\eta_i} H_1^{\kappa_i}(n)$, we are able to construct the unique function $f \in \mathcal{C}[(P_w)_{w \in Y^*}]$ such that,

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad [z^n]f(z) = \sum_{i \in I} b_i n^{\eta_i} H_1^{\kappa_i}(n), \quad (37)$$

as illustrated in Examples 9 and 12.

Remark 2. Note that the proof of Theorem 2 gives an effective construction of the asymptotic expansion of Taylor coefficients. In particular, applied to $g(z) = P_w(z)$ directly, it enables to find an asymptotic expansion of $H_w(N)$, as shown in the corollary below. Another algorithm, based on Euler Mac-Laurin formula, is available in [1].

Corollary 2. Let \mathcal{Z} be the \mathbb{Q} -algebra generated by convergent polyzêtas and let \mathcal{Z}' be the $\mathbb{Q}[\gamma]$ -algebra generated by \mathcal{Z} . Then there exist algorithmically computable coefficients $b_i \in \mathcal{Z}'$, $\kappa_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\eta_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that, for any $w \in Y^*$,

$$H_w(N) \sim \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} b_i N^{\eta_i} \log^{\kappa_i}(N), \quad \text{for } N \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Example 14. From Example 7 we get, for $z \rightarrow 1$

$$P_{2,1}(z) = \frac{\zeta(3)}{1-z} + \log(1-z) - 1 - \frac{\log^2(1-z)}{2} + (1-z) \left(-\frac{\log^2(1-z)}{4} + \frac{\log(1-z)}{4} \right) + O(|1-z|).$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} [z^N] \zeta(3)(1-z)^{-1} &= \zeta(3), \\ [z^N] \log(1-z) &= -N^{-1}, \\ [z^N] \frac{\log^2(1-z)}{2} &= [z^N] \frac{2!(1-z)P_{y_1^2}(z)}{2} \\ &= [z^N] (1-z)P_{y_1^2}(z) \\ &= H_{y_1^2}(N) - H_{y_1^2}(N-1), \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

We find finally, using Example 13 :

$$[z^N]P_{2,1}(z) = H_{2,1}(N) = \zeta(3) - \frac{\log(N) + 1 + \gamma}{N} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\log(N)}{N^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right).$$

Otherwise, by Example 6,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{1,2}(z) &= (1-z)P_1(z)P_2(z) - 2P_{2,1}(z) \\ &= (1-z) \frac{-\log(1-z)}{1-z} \frac{z}{1-z} \left(-P_2(1-z) + \log(1-z)P_1(1-z) + \frac{\zeta(2)}{z} \right) - 2P_{2,1}(z), \end{aligned}$$

calculated thanks to Proposition 4. So,

$$[z^N]P_{1,2}(z) = H_{1,2}(N) = \zeta(2)\gamma - 2\zeta(3) + \zeta(2)\log(N) + \frac{\zeta(2) + 2}{2N} + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right).$$

Corollary 3 ([8]). For any $w \in Y^*$, the N -free term in the asymptotic expansion of $H_w(N)$, when $N \rightarrow +\infty$, is a polynomial q_w in $\mathcal{Z}[\gamma]$. This term is an element in \mathcal{Z} , if and only if w is a convergent word.

Example 15. $q_{y_1 y_2} = \zeta(2)\gamma - 2\zeta(3)$ and $q_{y_2 y_1} = \zeta(3) = \zeta(2, 1)$.

Question. For any convergent word w , are $\zeta(w)$ and γ algebraically independent ?

Now, let us go back to the A_s introduced in Section 1. We have seen that they are \mathbb{Z} -linear combinations on H_s , hence we get their asymptotic expansions with coefficients in \mathcal{Z}' .

Example 16. For $\mathbf{s} = (1, 1, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{1,1,1}(N) &= H_{1,1,1}(N) + H_{1,2}(N) + H_{2,1}(N) + H_3(N), \\ &= \frac{1}{6} \log^3(N) + \frac{1}{2} \gamma \log^2(N) + \frac{1}{2} [\gamma^2 + \zeta(2)] \log(N) - \frac{1}{2} \zeta(2) \gamma + \frac{1}{3} \zeta(3) + \frac{1}{6} \gamma^3 + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\log^2(N)}{N} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} (\gamma - 1) \frac{\log(N)}{N} + \frac{1}{4} [\gamma^2 - 2\gamma + \zeta(2)] \frac{1}{N} - \frac{1}{24} \frac{\log^2(N)}{N^2} + \frac{1}{24} (9 - 2\gamma) \frac{\log(N)}{N^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the influence of Cartier's lectures at the GdT *Polylogarithmes et Polyzêtas*. We greatly appreciated fruitful discussions with Boutet de Monvel, Jacob, Petitot and Waldschmidt.

References

- [1] C. Costermans, J.Y. Enjalbert, Hoang Ngoc Minh, M. Petitot.– *Structure and asymptotic expansion of multiple harmonic sums*, in the proceeding of ISSAC, Beijing, 24-27 July, (2005).
- [2] P. Flajolet, G. Gonnet, C. Puech, and J. M. Robson.– *Analytic variations on quadrtrees*, Algorithmica, 10, pp. 473-500, 1993.
- [3] Hoang Ngoc Minh & M. Petitot.– *Lyndon words, polylogarithmic functions and the Riemann ζ function*, Discrete Math., 217, 2000, pp. 273-292.
- [4] Hoang Ngoc Minh, M. Petitot & J. Van der Hoeven.– *Polylogarithms and Shuffle Algebra*, FPSAC'98, Toronto, Canada, Juin 1998.
- [5] Hoang Ngoc Minh, M. Petitot & J. Van der Hoeven.– *L'algèbre des polylogarithmes par les séries génératrices*, FPSAC'99, Barcelone, Espagne, Juillet 1999.
- [6] Hoang Ngoc Minh, G. Jacob, N.E. Oussous, M. Petitot.– *De l'algèbre des ζ de Riemann multivariées à l'algèbre des ζ de Hurwitz multivariées*, journal électronique du Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire B44e, 2001.
- [7] Hoang Ngoc Minh.– *Differential Galois groups and noncommutative generating series of polylogarithms*, in the proceeding of 7th World Multiconference on Systemics, Cybernetics and informatics, pp. 128-135, Orlando, Florida, July (2003).
- [8] Hoang Ngoc Minh.– *Finite polyzêtas, Poly-Bernoulli numbers, identities of polyzêtas and noncommutative rational power series*, in the proceeding of 4th International Conference on Words, pp. 232-250, Turku, Finland, September (2003)
- [9] M. Hoffman.– *The algebra of multiple harmonic series*, Jour. of Alg., August 1997.
- [10] M. Hoffman.– *Hopf Algebras and Multiple Harmonic Sums*, Loops and Legs in Quantum Field Theory, Zinnowitz, Germany, April 2004.
- [11] G. Labelle.– *Communication privée*, SFCA,96, Minneapolis.
- [12] L. Laforest.– *Etude des arbres hyperquaternaires*, Tech. Rep. 3, LACIM, UQAM, Montreal, Nov. 1990. (Ph.D. thesis, McGill University).
- [13] D.E. Radford.– *A natural ring basis for shuffle algebra and an application to group schemes*, Journal of Algebra, 58, pp. 432-454, 1979.
- [14] C. Reutenauer.– *Free Lie Algebras*, Lon. Math. Soc. Mono., New Series-7, Oxford Science Publications, 1993.
- [15] M. Waldschmidt.– <http://www.institut.math.jussieu.fr/~miw/articles/pdf/dea-juin2002.pdf>, <http://www.institut.math.jussieu.fr/~miw/articles/pdf/corrige-dea-juin2002.pdf>
- [16] D. Zagier.– *Values of zeta functions and their applications*, First European congress of Mathematics, Vol.2, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1994, pp. 497-512.