

NBC Complexes of Convex Geometries

Kenji Kashiwabara, Masataka Nakamura

► **To cite this version:**

Kenji Kashiwabara, Masataka Nakamura. NBC Complexes of Convex Geometries. 2005 European Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Applications (EuroComb '05), 2005, Berlin, Germany. pp.207-212. hal-01184369

HAL Id: hal-01184369

<https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01184369>

Submitted on 14 Aug 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Brylawski's Decomposition of NBC Complexes of Abstract Convex Geometries and Their Associated Algebras

Kenji Kashiwabara¹ and Masataka Nakamura¹

¹ Department of Systems Science, University of Tokyo, Komaba 3-8, Meguro, Tokyo, Japan

We introduce a notion of a *broken circuit* and an *NBC complex* for an (abstract) convex geometry. Based on these definitions, we shall show the analogues of the Whitney-Rota's formula and Brylawski's decomposition theorem for broken circuit complexes on matroids for convex geometries. We also present an Orlik-Solomon type algebra on a convex geometry, and show the NBC generating theorem. This note is on the same line as the studies in [10, 11, 12].

Keywords: broken circuit, characteristic polynomial, NBC basis theorem

1 Closure Systems, Matroids, and Convex Geometries

A collection $K \subseteq 2^E$ of subsets of a finite set E is a *closure system* if

- (1) $E \in K$,
- (2) $X, Y \in K \implies X \cap Y \in K$.

An element of K is called a *closed set*. A closure system determines a closure operator

$$\sigma(A) = \bigcap_{X \in K, A \subseteq X} X \quad (A \subseteq E). \quad (1.1)$$

An element in $\cap\{X : X \in K\} = \sigma(\emptyset)$ is a *loop*, and K is *loop-free* if it has no loops.

A map $Ex : 2^E \rightarrow 2^E$ defined by $Ex(A) = \{e \in A : e \notin \sigma(A \setminus e)\}$ ($A \subseteq E$) is an *extreme function*. We say that an element in $Ex(A)$ is an *extreme element* of A , and we call an extreme element of the entire set E a *coloop*. A subset $A \subseteq E$ is an *independent set* if $Ex(A) = A$. A set which is not independent is *dependent*, and a minimal dependent set is called a *circuit*. It is easy to see that any subset of an independent set is independent.

When a closure operator satisfies the Steinitz-McLane exchange property below,

$$\text{if } x, y \notin \sigma(A) \text{ and } y \in \sigma(A \cup x), \text{ then } x \in \sigma(A \cup y) \quad (x, y \in E, A \subseteq E), \quad (1.2)$$

then the corresponding closure system is the set of flats (closed sets) of a matroid M on E , and vice versa. The notions of an independent set and a circuit introduced above as a closure system agree with the ordinary definitions of matroid theory.

Let M be a matroid on E , and suppose we have a linear order ω on E . When C is a circuit of M and e is the minimum element in C with respect to ω , we call $C \setminus e$ a *broken circuit*.

A subset of E is *nbc-independent* if it contains no broken circuits of M . Evidently an nbc-independent set is an independent set of M . The collection of nbc-independent sets forms a simplicial complex $NBC(M, \omega)$, which is called a *broken circuit complex* of M (with respect to ω).

When the closure operator satisfies the anti-exchange property below

$$\text{if } x, y \notin \sigma(A) \text{ and } y \in \sigma(A \cup x), \text{ then } x \notin \sigma(A \cup y) \quad (x, y \in E, A \subseteq E), \quad (1.3)$$

the closure system K is called an (*abstract*) *convex geometry*. Convex geometries arise from various combinatorial objects such as affine point configurations, chordal graphs, posets, semi-lattices, searches on a rooted graph, and so on. (See [4, 9].)

Since a convex geometry itself is a closure system, we have the corresponding definitions of an independent set and a circuit for a convex geometry. In a circuit of a convex geometry there exists uniquely an element that is not extreme. (In a circuit of a matroid there is no element that is extreme.) That is, a circuit C of a convex geometry contains a unique element e such that $Ex(C) = C \setminus e$. We say that e is the *root* of C , and $X = C \setminus e$ is a *broken circuit* with respect to the root e . And (X, e) is a *rooted circuit*. Let us call a set *nbc-independent* if it contains no broken circuit. The collection of nbc-independent sets forms a simplicial complex, which is the *NBC complex* of K denoted by $NBC(K)$.

Note that to determine a broken circuit for a matroid it is required to assume a linear order on the underlying set, while there is no need to suppose such an order when we define a broken circuit for a convex geometry.

2 Whitney-Rota's Formula and Its Analogue

2.1 Matroid

The NBC complexes of matroids appear in the Whitney-Rota's formula. Let $\mathcal{L}(M)$ be the lattice consisting of the closed sets (flats) of M . The characteristic polynomial $p(M; \lambda)$ of M is defined by

$$p(\lambda; M) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{L}(M)} \mu(\sigma(\emptyset), X) \lambda^{r(E) - r(X)}. \quad (2.1)$$

Then the Whitney-Rota's formula for matroids is described as

Theorem 2.1 (Rota [14]) For an arbitrary linear order ω on E , we have

$$p(\lambda; M) = \sum_{X \in NBC(M, \omega)} (-1)^{|X|} \lambda^{r(E) - r(X)}. \quad (2.2)$$

2.2 Convex Geometry

Let K be a loop-free convex geometry on a finite set E . The characteristic function of K is

$$p(\lambda; K) = \sum_{X \in K} \mu_K(\emptyset, X) \lambda^{|E| - |X|} \quad (2.3)$$

where μ_K is the Möbius function of the lattice K . A set which is both closed and independent is a *free set*. The collection of the free sets constitutes a simplicial complex, called a free complex [3]. A free complex plays an important role in the counting formula of the interior points of an affine point configuration proved by Klain [8], and Edelman and Reiner [5]. A free complex of a convex geometry can be revealed to be equal to its NBC complex. That is,

Theorem 2.2 A subset of E is a free set if and only if it is nbc-independent. Equivalently, the free complex of a convex geometry coincides with its NBC complex.

Edelman [3] explicitly determined the values of μ_K as:

Lemma 2.1 (Edelman [3]) For a closed set $X \in K$,

$$\mu_K(\emptyset, X) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{|X|} & \text{if } X \text{ is free,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 2.1 immediately give rise to the Whitney-Rota's formula for convex geometry:

Theorem 2.3 For a convex geometry K and the characteristic polynomial (2.3), it holds that

$$p(\lambda; K) = \sum_{X \in NBC(K)} (-1)^{|X|} \lambda^{|E|-|X|}. \quad (2.5)$$

3 Brylawskif's Decomposition and Its Analogue

3.1 Matroid

Brylawski [2] showed a direct-sum decomposition theorem of NBC complex of a matroid below.

Theorem 3.1 (Brylawski [2]) Let (M, ω) be an ordered matroid, and x be the maximum element with respect to ω . Then

$$NBC(M, \omega) = NBC(M \setminus x, \omega) \uplus (NBC(M/x, \omega) * x) \quad (3.1)$$

where $NBC(M/x, \omega) * x = \{A \cup x : A \in NBC(M/x, \omega)\}$

3.2 Convex Geometry

Let K be a convex geometry on E . For a coloop e , $K \setminus e = \{X : X \in K, e \notin X\}$ is a convex geometry on $E \setminus e$, which is a *deletion* of e from K . For any element $e \in E$, $K/e = \{X \setminus e : X \in K, e \in X\}$ is a convex geometry on $E \setminus e$, which is a *contraction* of e from K . We have Brylawski's decomposition theorem for convex geometries as

Theorem 3.2 For a coloop $x \in E$ of a convex geometry K , we have

$$NBC(K) = NBC(K \setminus x) \uplus (NBC(K/x) * x) \quad (3.2)$$

4 Orlik-Solomon Algebra and Its Analogues

4.1 Matroid

An NBC complex is known to provide a linear basis of the Orlik-Solomon algebra, which we shall describe below. Suppose $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. Taking e_1, \dots, e_n as generators, we denote a graded external algebra over the free module $\bigoplus_{e \in E} \mathbb{Z}e$ by $\bigwedge E = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \bigwedge^i E$. A linear map $\partial : \bigwedge E \rightarrow \bigwedge E$ is defined by

- (1) $\partial_0 : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow (0)$, (2) $\partial_1 : \bigwedge^1 E \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ where $\partial(e) = 1$ ($e \in E$),
 (3) for $k = 2, \dots, n$:

$$\partial_k : \bigwedge^k E \rightarrow \bigwedge^{k-1} E, \quad \partial_k(e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k}) = \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{j-1} e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{e_{i_j}} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k}$$

Although it is a little abuse of terminology, for the sake of simplicity, we associate a term $e_X = x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_t$ in $\bigwedge E$ with each subset $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_t\} \subseteq E$.

Suppose $I(M)$ to be an ideal generated by $\{\partial(e_C) : C \text{ is a circuit of } M \text{ with } |C| \geq 2\} \cup \{e : e \text{ is a loop of } M\}$. Then the *Orlik-Solomon algebra* of M is defined as

$$OS(M) = \left(\bigwedge E \right) / I(M). \quad (4.1)$$

Theorem 4.1 (NBC basis theorem for the Orlik-Solomon algebra [1], [13]) Let M be a matroid on E , and ω be an arbitrary linear order on the underlying set. Then $\{e_X : X \in NBC(M, \omega)\}$ is a linear basis of module $OS(M)$.

4.2 Convex Geometry

Suppose K to be a loop-free convex geometry on $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. The graded external algebra $\bigwedge E = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n \bigwedge^i E$ and a linear map $\partial : \bigwedge E \rightarrow \bigwedge E$ are defined in the same way as before. And let $I(K)$ be the ideal in $\bigwedge E$ generated by $\{\partial(e_C) : C \text{ is a circuit of } K\}$, and let us define an *Orlik-Solomon type algebra of a convex geometry K* by

$$OS(K) = \left(\bigwedge E \right) / I(K). \quad (4.2)$$

It can be shown that $\{e_X : X \in NBC(K)\}$ is a linear generating set of $OS(K)$. That is, although the NBC basis theorem (Theorem 4.1) does not hold for $OS(K)$, we have a weaker form, the NBC generating theorem, below.

Theorem 4.2 An arbitrary element in $OS(K)$ can be represented as a linear combination of the terms in $\{e_X : X \in NBC(K)\}$.

There is an alternative definition of an Orlik-Solomon type algebra so that the NBC basis theorem would be satisfied. Let $J(K)$ be the ideal generated by $\{e_X : X \text{ is a broken circuit of } K\}$, and let us define an algebra

$$A(K) = \left(\bigwedge E \right) / J(K) \quad (4.3)$$

By definition $\{e_X : X \in NBC(K)\}$ is necessarily a linear basis of module $A(K)$.

Hence the decomposition of Theorem 3.2 readily implies the short exact split sequence theorem for $A(K)$.

Theorem 4.3 For a coloop x of a convex geometry K ,

$$0 \rightarrow A(K \setminus x) \xrightarrow{i_x} A(K) \xrightarrow{p_x} A(K/x) \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.4)$$

is an exact short split sequence.

Acknowledgements: The authors thank Prof. M. Hachimori and Dr. Y. Kawahara for their helpful comments.

References

- [1] A. Björner, “The homology and shellability of matroids and geometric lattices.” in: N. White ed., *Matroid Applications*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1992, 226–283.
- [2] T. Brylawski, “The broken-circuit complex,” *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 234 (1977) 417–433.
- [3] P. H. Edelman, “Abstract convexity and meet-distributive lattices,” *Contemporary Math.* 57 (1986) 127–150.
- [4] P. H. Edelman and R. E. Jamison, “The theory of convex-geometries,” *Geometriae dedicata* 19 (1985) 247–270.
- [5] P.H. Edelman and V. Reiner, “Counting interior points of a point configuration,” *Disc. Math.* 23 (2000) 1–13.
- [6] M. Falk, “Combinatorial and algebraic structure in Orlik-Solomon algebras,” *Europ. J. Combi.* 22 (2001) 687–698.
- [7] Y. Kawahara, “On matroids and Orlik-Solomon algebras,” *Annals of Combinatorics* 8 (2004) 63–80.
- [8] D. A. Klain, “An Euler relation for valuations on polytopes,” *Adv. in Math.* 147 (1999) 1–34.
- [9] B. Korte, L. Lovász and R. Schrader, *Greedoids*, Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [10] M. Nakamura, “Excluded-minor characterizations of antimatroids arisen from posets and graph searches,” *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 129/2-3 (2003) 487–498.
- [11] Y. Okamoto and M. Nakamura, “The forbidden minor characterization of line-search antimatroids of rooted digraphs,” *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 131 (2003) 523–533.
- [12] M. Nakamura, “The deletion-contraction rule and Whitney-Rota’s formula for the characteristic polynomials of convex geometries,” preprint.
- [13] P. Orlik and H. Terao, *Arrangements of Hyperplanes*, Springer, 1991.

- [14] G.-C. Rota, "On the Foundations of Combinatorial Theory I. Theory of Möbius Functions," *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie* 2 (1964) 340–368.
- [15] G. Ziegler, "Matroid shellability, β -Systems and Affine Hyperplane Arrangements," *J. of Algebraic Combinatorics* 1 (1992) 283–300.