

About Interface Conditions for Coupling Hydrostatic and Nonhydrostatic Navier-Stokes Flows

Eric Blayo, Antoine Rousseau

► **To cite this version:**

Eric Blayo, Antoine Rousseau. About Interface Conditions for Coupling Hydrostatic and Nonhydrostatic Navier-Stokes Flows. Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems - Series S, American Institute of Mathematical Sciences, 2016, 9 (5), pp.1565-1574. <10.3934/dcdss.2016063>. <hal-01185255>

HAL Id: hal-01185255

<https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01185255>

Submitted on 19 Aug 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ABOUT INTERFACE CONDITIONS FOR COUPLING HYDROSTATIC AND NONHYDROSTATIC NAVIER-STOKES FLOWS

ERIC BLAYO

Univ. Grenoble Alpes
LJK, BP 53, 38041 Grenoble cedex 9, France

ANTOINE ROUSSEAU

Inria and Institut Montpellierain Alexander Grothendieck
Team LEMON, Bat 5 - CC05 017, 860 rue Saint-Priest, 34095 Montpellier Cedex 5, France

(Communicated by the associate editor name)

ABSTRACT. In this work we are interested in the search of interface conditions to couple hydrostatic and nonhydrostatic ocean models. To this aim, we consider simplified systems and use a time discretization to handle linear equations. We recall the links between the two models (with the particular role of the aspect ratio $\delta = H/L \ll 1$) and introduce an iterative method based on the Schwarz algorithm (widely used in domain decomposition methods). The convergence of this method depends strongly on the choice of interface conditions: this is why we look for exact absorbing conditions and their approximations in order to provide tractable and efficient coupling algorithms.

1. Introduction. Almost all ocean circulation models, either at large scale or at regional scale, are based on the so called *primitive equations*, which make use of the hydrostatic approximation. From a dynamical point of view, this approximation is justified by the fact that oceanic flows are generally characterized by large differences between horizontal and vertical scales and by a strong vertical stratification that avoids vertical mixing. From a computational point of view, the hydrostatic approximation decreases the computational burden by one order of magnitude w.r.t. solving the nonhydrostatic equations.

However continuous improvement in numerical modeling and in computing resources leads to more and more sophisticated ocean modeling systems, which aims at representing the full ocean physics. A natural idea is thus to build systems that couple local nonhydrostatic models to larger scale hydrostatic ones. Since the pioneering work of Marshall et al. [13, 14], that aimed at locally adapting the physics of a unique model rather than at coupling two different models, some few works addressed this question. Let us cite for instance the effort by Fringer et al. [6, 7] to couple the Navier-Stokes SUNTANS model to the regional hydrostatic oceanic model ROMS, or the work by Gallacher et al. [8] nesting a nonhydrostatic model in a hydrostatic one. Let us also mention the work of Audusse et al. [1] that tackles

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 65N55, 76D05.

Key words and phrases. Domain decomposition, coupling methods, optimized boundary conditions, Navier-Stokes equations, hydrostatic approximation, Schwarz alternating method.

domain decomposition issues using Schwarz methods for the hydrostatic equations. However the coupling procedure in these works is essentially *ad hoc* and does not rely on a sound mathematical basis. This comes from the fact that such a coupling is quite delicate from a mathematical point of view, due to the different nature of hydrostatic and nonhydrostatic Navier-Stokes equations (where the vertical velocity is either a diagnostic or a prognostic variable). To the best of our knowledge, there is still almost no work addressing this problem. In this paper we propose to couple such systems through a Schwarz iterative algorithm and we seek relevant interface conditions that would both lead to a well posed coupled problem and minimize the computational cost.

2. Navier-Stokes equations. We consider in the following a shallow fluid domain Ω , meaning that its horizontal length scale L is much larger than its vertical one H . Thus the aspect ratio $\delta = H/L$ satisfies $\delta \ll 1$.

2.1. Hydrostatic and nonhydrostatic systems. The usual 3D Navier-Stokes system reads:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} - \nu_h \Delta_h \mathbf{v} - \nu_v \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{v}}{\partial z^2} + \nabla p &= 0 \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{v} = (u, v, w)^T$ is the velocity vector, p is the pressure, ∇ and div are the gradient and divergence operators, $\Delta_h = \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2$ is the horizontal Laplacian operator, and ν_h, ν_v are the horizontal and vertical diffusion coefficients (with $\nu_v = \delta^2 \nu_h$). This system must of course be complemented with initial and boundary conditions. In order to write this system in a dimensionless form, let us introduce the following dimensionless variables (denoted by a prime symbol): $x = Lx'$, $y = Ly'$, $z = Hz'$, $u = Uu'$, $v = Uv'$, $w = \delta U w'$, $t = \frac{L}{U} t'$, $p = U^2 p'$ where U is a typical scale for the horizontal velocity. Making these changes in (1) and (2) leads to the dimensionless system (see e.g. [2, 12] for further details):

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla u - \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}} \Delta u + \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = 0 \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla v - \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}} \Delta v + \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla w - \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}} \Delta w + \frac{1}{\delta^2} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} &= 0 \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where prime symbols have been dropped for the sake of readability and $\operatorname{Re} = LU/\nu_h$ is the Reynolds number. The hydrostatic approximation consists in considering the dominant term only in (5) since $\delta \ll 1$, which leads to the *hydrostatic* Navier-Stokes equations (HNS):

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla u - \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}} \Delta u + \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = 0 \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla v - \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}} \Delta v + \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} = 0 \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} = 0 \quad (9)$$

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0 \quad (10)$$

Allowing for a variable density and considering the gravity force leads to the so-called *primitive equations*, which are widely used for the simulation of large scale ocean circulation (see e.g. [11, 18, 19] and the numerous references herein). Naturally, before coupling such systems of equations, one should check that each of the two systems is well-posed. We know that it is not (yet) the case for the 3D Navier-Stokes equations, but as far as the primitive equations are concerned, we can rely on the recent works of [4] or [10] (see also [18]).

2.2. Transmission conditions. Since we are interested by coupling the nonhydrostatic Navier-Stokes (NS) system (3)-(6) with its hydrostatic (HNS) approximation (7)-(10), let us compute the corresponding so-called *natural transmission conditions*, i.e. the physical quantities that are naturally conserved through any fluid boundary¹. These quantities are obtained by writing the variational formulation of each system and correspond to the argument of the boundary integrals. They will be used in Section 4 to provide relevant interface conditions for the coupling problem.

Let denote by \mathbf{n} the outward normal vector to any fluid boundary. Simple calculations (see also e.g. [17]) show that those naturally transmitted quantities for (NS) are equal to

$$\mathbf{v}_\delta \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathbf{v}_\delta - \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \nabla \mathbf{v}_\delta \mathbf{n} + p \mathbf{n} \quad (11)$$

where $\mathbf{v}_\delta = (u, v, \delta^2 w)^T$. Similar calculations conducted with the hydrostatic system (7)-(10) leads to the transmitted quantities \mathbf{v}_h and $(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathbf{v}_h - \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \nabla \mathbf{v}_h \mathbf{n} + p \mathbf{n}$ where $\mathbf{v}_h = (u, v, 0)^T$. This corresponds to making δ equal to 0 in (11), which is obviously consistent with the fact that (HNS) is obtained as a limit of (NS) for $\delta \rightarrow 0$ (see [2]). Moreover, considering that the fluid boundary is vertical (which is actually almost always the case), \mathbf{n} is horizontal, which means that the third component of the transmitted quantities is equal to 0 for (HNS). In other words, (HNS) transmits one scalar quantity less than (NS), and thus it requires one boundary condition less than (NS). We will be back later on this important point.

3. The Schwarz coupling method. As indicated in Section 1, our aim is to couple two existing (NS) and (HNS) numerical models in a way that requires as few modifications as possible to the models. That is why the Schwarz algorithm, developed in the context of domain decomposition methods, is appropriate (see [9] for a historical review of this method).

3.1. Schwarz algorithm. Let us first introduce this method in a very general way. We are interested in solving a coupled problem of the form:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_1 \mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{f}_1 & \text{in } \Omega_1 \\ \mathcal{B}_1 \mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{g}_1 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_1^{\text{ext}} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_2 \mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{f}_2 & \text{in } \Omega_2 \\ \mathcal{B}_2 \mathbf{u}_2 = \mathbf{g}_2 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_2^{\text{ext}} \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

where Ω_1 and Ω_2 are two adjacent open domains, which interface is $\Gamma = \bar{\Omega}_1 \cap \bar{\Omega}_2$. The external boundary of Ω_i ($i = 1, 2$) is denoted $\partial\Omega_i^{\text{ext}} = \partial\Omega_i \setminus \Gamma$, and \mathcal{L}_i and \mathcal{B}_i denote partial differential operators representing the model equations and the boundary conditions respectively. This coupled problem must be complemented with compatibility conditions of the form

$$\mathcal{F}_1 \mathbf{u}_1 = \mathcal{F}_2 \mathbf{u}_2 \quad \text{on } \Gamma \quad (13)$$

¹The term *fluid boundary* designates a fictitious fluid-fluid boundary, like for instance a virtual line separating a bay from the open sea.

where \mathcal{F}_i ($i = 1, 2$) also denote partial differential operators. Note that since we are exclusively interested in this paper in coupling two different sets of equations, we consider that Ω_1 and Ω_2 do not overlap (otherwise the solution in $\Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2$ should exactly satisfy both systems, which generally does not make sense). Note also that time evolution can be easily included in this general formulation, by simply adding initial conditions and replacing the space domain Ω_i by a space-time domain $\Omega_i \times [0, T]$.

In order to solve for (12)-(13), the Schwarz algorithm reads:

Iterate until convergence

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{L}_1 \mathbf{u}_1^m = \mathbf{f}_1 & \text{in } \Omega_1 \\ \mathcal{B}_1 \mathbf{u}_1^m = \mathbf{g}_1 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_1^{\text{ext}} \\ \mathcal{C}_1 \mathbf{u}_1^m = \mathcal{C}_2 \mathbf{u}_2^{m-1} & \text{on } \Gamma \end{array} \right. \quad \text{then} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{L}_2 \mathbf{u}_2^m = \mathbf{f}_2 & \text{in } \Omega_2 \\ \mathcal{B}_2 \mathbf{u}_2^m = \mathbf{g}_2 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_2^{\text{ext}} \\ \mathcal{D}_2 \mathbf{u}_2^m = \mathcal{D}_1 \mathbf{u}_1^m & \text{on } \Gamma \end{array} \right. \quad (14)$$

where m is the (Schwarz) iteration index. Interface operators \mathcal{C}_i and \mathcal{D}_i ($i = 1, 2$) are not specified at this stage. They must of course lead to a well posed problem on each domain, and must also ensure that the algorithm converges. The link between the converged solutions \mathbf{u}_1 and \mathbf{u}_2 of (14) at the interface Γ obviously reads:

$$\mathcal{C}_1 \mathbf{u}_1 = \mathcal{C}_2 \mathbf{u}_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_1 \mathbf{u}_1 = \mathcal{D}_2 \mathbf{u}_2 \quad \text{on } \Gamma \quad (15)$$

while we know from the original coupled problem that it also reads (13). This means that (15) must imply (13).

Remark 1. If the compatibility conditions (13) are not sufficient to ensure well-posedness of the coupled system (which will be our case for the hydrostatic / non-hydrostatic coupling, see below), it is clear that they cannot be equivalent to conditions (15) that must provide a well-posed (and convergent) algorithm. Consequently conditions (15) imply (13) but are not always equivalent.

Note that (14) is the so-called *multiplicative* (or *sequential*) version of the algorithm, for which the computation on Ω_1 must be performed before the computation on Ω_2 . Replacing $\mathcal{D}_1 \mathbf{u}_1^m$ by $\mathcal{D}_1 \mathbf{u}_1^{m-1}$ allows to compute both systems in parallel, and is called the *additive* (or *parallel*) version.

3.2. Convergence issues. In order to study the convergence of the Schwarz algorithm, let us introduce the errors $\mathbf{e}_i^m = \mathbf{u}_i^m - \mathbf{u}_i$. Assuming that all operators are linear (or approximating non linear operators by their linearized version) and that (15) is satisfied, we can derive the algorithm satisfied by the errors:

Iterate until convergence

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{L}_1 \mathbf{e}_1^m = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_1 \\ \mathcal{B}_1 \mathbf{e}_1^m = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_1^{\text{ext}} \\ \mathcal{C}_1 \mathbf{e}_1^m = \mathcal{C}_2 \mathbf{e}_2^{m-1} & \text{on } \Gamma \end{array} \right. \quad \text{then} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{L}_2 \mathbf{e}_2^m = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_2 \\ \mathcal{B}_2 \mathbf{e}_2^m = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_2^{\text{ext}} \\ \mathcal{D}_2 \mathbf{e}_2^m = \mathcal{D}_1 \mathbf{e}_1^m & \text{on } \Gamma \end{array} \right. \quad (16)$$

Under this form it is clear that the convergence speed of the algorithm relies on the choice of the interface operators \mathcal{C}_i and \mathcal{D}_i ($i = 1, 2$). We can even notice that if there exists some operator \mathcal{C}_2 such that $\mathcal{C}_2 \mathbf{e}_2^1 = 0$ and/or some operator \mathcal{D}_1 such that $\mathcal{D}_1 \mathbf{e}_1^1 = 0$, then the algorithm will converge in only two iterations. Such operators are called *transparent* or *perfectly absorbing* interface operators ([5, 15, 16]). Note however that they are generally non local in time nor in space, which means that they cannot be used in actual computations. Moreover there is no reason why absorbing operators corresponding to (16) should satisfy the compatibility conditions (13). Therefore our goal in the following will be to look for interface

operators \mathcal{C}_i and \mathcal{D}_i that both satisfy the compatibility conditions (13) (in order to get the solution of the original coupled problem) and are local approximations of the perfectly absorbing interface operators (in order to ensure a fast convergence of the coupling algorithm).

4. Application to the hydrostatic / nonhydrostatic coupling.

4.1. Simplified systems of equations. For the sake of simplicity, we will restrict in the following to a 2D x - z version of the NS system (3)-(6). Taking into account the transverse direction y does complicate the calculations but does not raise any particular issue. The domain Ω is thus a vertical section of fluid $[-L_1, L_2] \times [-H, 0]$, which can be divided into two subdomains $\Omega_1 = [-L_1, 0] \times [-H, 0]$ and $\Omega_2 = [0, L_2] \times [-H, 0]$, the dynamics being hydrostatic in Ω_2 but not in Ω_1 . The interface is thus $\Gamma = \{(0, z), -H \leq z \leq 0\}$. Considering a simple time discretization, the system in Ω_1 reads:

$$\frac{u_1^{n+1} - u_1^n}{\Delta t} + u_1^n \frac{\partial u_1^n}{\partial x} + w_1^n \frac{\partial u_1^n}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \left(\frac{\partial^2 u_1^{n+1}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u_1^{n+1}}{\partial z^2} \right) + \frac{\partial p_1^{n+1}}{\partial x} = 0 \quad (17)$$

$$\frac{w_1^{n+1} - w_1^n}{\Delta t} + u_1^n \frac{\partial w_1^n}{\partial x} + w_1^n \frac{\partial w_1^n}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \left(\frac{\partial^2 w_1^{n+1}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 w_1^{n+1}}{\partial z^2} \right) + \frac{1}{\delta^2} \frac{\partial p_1^{n+1}}{\partial z} = 0 \quad (18)$$

$$\frac{\partial u_1^{n+1}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w_1^{n+1}}{\partial z} = 0 \quad (19)$$

where Δt is the time step and where the superscript n relates to the approximate solution at the n^{th} time step. Thus one has to solve a Stokes problem (corresponding to the \mathcal{L}_1 operator in section 3) at each time step:

$$\frac{1}{\Delta t} u_1 - \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \left(\frac{\partial^2 u_1}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u_1}{\partial z^2} \right) + \frac{\partial p_1}{\partial x} = f_1^x \quad (20)$$

$$\frac{1}{\Delta t} w_1 - \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \left(\frac{\partial^2 w_1}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 w_1}{\partial z^2} \right) + \frac{1}{\delta^2} \frac{\partial p_1}{\partial z} = f_1^z \quad (21)$$

$$\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w_1}{\partial z} = 0 \quad (22)$$

Its hydrostatic counterpart in Ω_2 (corresponding to the \mathcal{L}_2 operator in section 3) reads:

$$\frac{1}{\Delta t} u_2 - \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \left(\frac{\partial^2 u_2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u_2}{\partial z^2} \right) + \frac{\partial p_2}{\partial x} = f_2^x \quad (23)$$

$$\frac{\partial p_2}{\partial z} = 0 \quad (24)$$

$$\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w_2}{\partial z} = 0 \quad (25)$$

In summary we want to couple the two systems (20)-(22) and (23)-(25), complemented of course with boundary conditions on $\partial\Omega_i^{\text{ext}}$. These two sets of equations correspond to the coupled system written in generic form (12) in section 3. Moreover their compatibility conditions, corresponding to (13) in section 3, can be directly deduced from (11). Since we couple the hydrostatic and nonhydrostatic models,

the interface must obviously stand in a hydrostatic region (where both models are relevant). Consequently the compatibility conditions read:

$$u_1 = u_2 \quad (26)$$

$$-\frac{1}{\text{Re}} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} + p_1 = -\frac{1}{\text{Re}} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} + p_2 \quad (27)$$

We have now to determine interface conditions on Γ for the Schwarz coupling algorithm, *i.e.* to choose operators corresponding to \mathcal{C}_i and \mathcal{D}_i in (14) that will make the corresponding boundary value problem well-posed. At convergence, these conditions must imply the compatibility conditions (26) and (27) (and possibly more, see Remark 1 above). Following the method explained at the end of Section 3.2, we will first determine absorbing conditions for the two systems (20)-(22) and (23)-(25).

4.2. Expression of the errors in the Schwarz algorithm. The first step consists in determining approximate analytical expressions for the errors \mathbf{e}_1^m and \mathbf{e}_2^m . Regarding the Stokes system (20)-(22), a very similar calculation was already performed in our paper [3], except that it was not conducted in a shallow domain (*i.e.* there were no δ in the equations). The adaptation of this previous work being quite straightforward, we will only give here a rapid sketch of the derivation, the reader being referred to [3] for further details.

The error $\mathbf{e}_1^m = (e_{u,1}^m, e_{w,1}^m, e_{p,1}^m)^T$ satisfies:

$$\frac{1}{\Delta t} e_{u,1}^m - \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \left(\frac{\partial^2 e_{u,1}^m}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 e_{u,1}^m}{\partial z^2} \right) + \frac{\partial e_{p,1}^m}{\partial x} = 0 \quad (28)$$

$$\frac{1}{\Delta t} e_{w,1}^m - \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \left(\frac{\partial^2 e_{w,1}^m}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 e_{w,1}^m}{\partial z^2} \right) + \frac{1}{\delta^2} \frac{\partial e_{p,1}^m}{\partial z} = 0 \quad (29)$$

$$\frac{\partial e_{u,1}^m}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial e_{w,1}^m}{\partial z} = 0 \quad (30)$$

Taking the Fourier transform in z (*i.e.* also making the approximation of an infinite domain in z direction) leads to:

$$\frac{1}{\Delta t} \hat{e}_{u,1}^m - \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \left(\frac{d^2 \hat{e}_{u,1}^m}{dx^2} - k^2 \hat{e}_{u,1}^m \right) + \frac{d \hat{e}_{p,1}^m}{dx} = 0 \quad (31)$$

$$\frac{1}{\Delta t} \hat{e}_{w,1}^m - \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \left(\frac{d^2 \hat{e}_{w,1}^m}{dx^2} - k^2 \hat{e}_{w,1}^m \right) + \frac{ik}{\delta^2} \hat{e}_{p,1}^m = 0 \quad (32)$$

$$\frac{d \hat{e}_{u,1}^m}{dx} + ik \hat{e}_{w,1}^m = 0 \quad (33)$$

where k is the Fourier symbol. Looking for non zero solutions under the form $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1^m = \mathbf{\Phi}^m \exp(\xi x)$ and making the additional approximation $-L_1 \rightarrow -\infty$ in order to retain only positive values for ξ (since $\mathbf{e}_1^m(-L_1) = 0$), we get the following explicit expression for $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1^m$:

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1^m = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{e}_{u,1}^m \\ \hat{e}_{w,1}^m \\ \hat{e}_{p,1}^m \end{pmatrix} = \gamma_{1,1}^m \begin{pmatrix} ik \\ -\lambda \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \exp(\lambda x) + \gamma_{1,2}^m \begin{pmatrix} -|k|\delta\mu \\ -ik\mu \\ 1/\Delta t \end{pmatrix} \exp\left(\frac{|k|}{\delta} x\right) \quad (34)$$

where

$$\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{\text{Re}}{\Delta t} + k^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu = \frac{\lambda^2 - k^2}{\delta^2 \lambda^2 - k^2} = \frac{\text{Re}/\Delta t}{\delta^2 \lambda^2 - k^2}.$$

The same kind of computation in the hydrostatic case ($x > 0$) leads to:

$$\frac{1}{\Delta t} \hat{e}_{u,2}^m - \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \left(\frac{d^2 \hat{e}_{u,2}^m}{dx^2} - k^2 \hat{e}_{u,2}^m \right) + \frac{d \hat{e}_{p,2}^m}{dx} = 0 \quad (35)$$

$$ik \hat{e}_{p,2}^m = 0 \quad (36)$$

$$\frac{d \hat{e}_{u,2}^m}{dx} + ik \hat{e}_{w,2}^m = 0 \quad (37)$$

so that we finally have:

$$\hat{e}_2^m = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{e}_{u,2}^m \\ \hat{e}_{w,2}^m \\ \hat{e}_{p,2}^m \end{pmatrix} = \gamma_2^m \begin{pmatrix} ik \\ \lambda \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \exp(-\lambda x) \quad (38)$$

4.3. Exact absorbing conditions. We now look for exact absorbing conditions on Γ , as defined in section 3.2. As we did in [3], we search them as perturbations of the natural transmission conditions:

$$-\frac{1}{\text{Re}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u_1^m}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial w_1^m}{\partial x} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} p_1^m \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \mathcal{S} \begin{pmatrix} u_1^m \\ w_1^m \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{1}{\text{Re}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u_2^{m-1}}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial w_2^{m-1}}{\partial x} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} p_2^{m-1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \mathcal{S} \begin{pmatrix} u_2^{m-1} \\ w_2^{m-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (39)$$

for the nonhydrostatic equations, and

$$-\frac{1}{\text{Re}} \frac{\partial u_2^m}{\partial x} + p_2^m - \mathcal{S}_H \begin{pmatrix} u_2^m \\ w_2^m \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{1}{\text{Re}} \frac{\partial u_1^m}{\partial x} + p_1^m - \mathcal{S}_H \begin{pmatrix} u_1^m \\ w_1^m \end{pmatrix} \quad (40)$$

for the hydrostatic equations, where the operators \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}_H are to be chosen appropriately. Note once again the fact that 2D NS leads to two scalar equations while 2D HNS leads to only one.

For the nonhydrostatic side Ω_1 , we obtain from (39) after a Fourier transform:

$$-\frac{1}{\text{Re}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{d \hat{e}_{u,1}^m}{dx} \\ \frac{d \hat{e}_{w,1}^m}{dx} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \hat{e}_{p,1}^m \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \hat{\mathcal{S}} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{e}_{u,1}^m \\ \hat{e}_{w,1}^m \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{1}{\text{Re}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{d \hat{e}_{u,2}^{m-1}}{dx} \\ \frac{d \hat{e}_{w,2}^{m-1}}{dx} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \hat{e}_{p,2}^{m-1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \hat{\mathcal{S}} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{e}_{u,2}^{m-1} \\ \hat{e}_{w,2}^{m-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (41)$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$ is defined by $\hat{\mathcal{S}} \hat{\mathbf{v}} = \widehat{\mathcal{S} \mathbf{v}}$. In order to obtain the exact absorbing boundary conditions, the operator \mathcal{S} must be such that the right-hand-side of (41) is zero. Replacing the error \hat{e}_2 by its expression (38) and making $x = 0$, one can easily check that this is achieved with

$$\hat{\mathcal{S}} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{u} \\ \hat{w} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\lambda}{\text{Re}} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{u} \\ \hat{w} \end{pmatrix} \quad (42)$$

i.e. $\hat{S} = \frac{\lambda}{\text{Re}} \text{Id}$, where we recall that $\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{\text{Re}}{\Delta t} + k^2}$.

For the hydrostatic side Ω_2 , we proceed similarly starting from (40):

$$-\frac{1}{\text{Re}} \frac{d\hat{e}_{u,2}^m}{dx} + \hat{e}_{p,2}^m - \hat{S}_H \begin{pmatrix} \hat{e}_{u,2}^m \\ \hat{e}_{w,2}^m \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{1}{\text{Re}} \frac{d\hat{e}_{u,1}^m}{dx} + \hat{e}_{p,1}^m - \hat{S}_H \begin{pmatrix} \hat{e}_{u,1}^m \\ \hat{e}_{w,1}^m \end{pmatrix} \quad (43)$$

In order to cancel the right-hand-side of (43), we use the expression of \hat{e}_1 given by (34). Thus $\hat{S}_H = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \end{pmatrix}$ is such that:

$$\gamma_{1,1}^m \left(\frac{-ik\lambda}{\text{Re}} - ika + \lambda b \right) + \gamma_{1,2}^m \left(\frac{k^2\mu}{\text{Re}} + \frac{1}{\Delta t} + |k|\delta\mu a + ik\mu b \right) = 0, \quad (44)$$

with $\mu = \frac{\text{Re}/\Delta t}{\delta^2\lambda^2 - k^2}$. Condition (44) is satisfied with

$$a = -\frac{\lambda}{\text{Re}} \left(1 + \frac{\delta\lambda}{|k|} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad b = -\frac{ik}{\text{Re}} \frac{\delta\lambda}{|k|}. \quad (45)$$

Finally, the exact absorbing boundary conditions are provided by equations (39) and (40) with operators \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}_H defined as the inverse Fourier transforms of

$$\hat{S} = \frac{\lambda}{\text{Re}} \text{Id} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{S}_H = -\frac{\lambda}{\text{Re}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{\delta\lambda}{|k|} & i\delta \frac{k}{|k|} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (46)$$

Remark 2. In the hydrostatic limit $\delta \rightarrow 0$, one can check that

$$a_0 = -\frac{\lambda}{\text{Re}}, \quad b_0 = 0,$$

so that in this limit, $\hat{S}_H = -\lambda/\text{Re} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is coherent with the definition of $\hat{S} = \frac{\lambda}{\text{Re}} \text{Id}$.

4.4. Coupling algorithm. We summarize hereafter the iterative algorithm to be run until convergence:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{\Delta t} u_1^m - \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \Delta_{x,z} u_1^m + \frac{\partial p_1^m}{\partial x} = f_1^{x,m} \\ \frac{1}{\Delta t} w_1^m - \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \Delta_{x,z} w_1^m + \frac{1}{\delta^2} \frac{\partial p_1^m}{\partial z} = f_1^{z,m} \\ \frac{\partial u_1^m}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w_1^m}{\partial z} = 0 \\ C \begin{pmatrix} u_1^m \\ w_1^m \\ p_1^m \end{pmatrix} = C \begin{pmatrix} u_2^{m-1} \\ w_2^{m-1} \\ p_2^{m-1} \end{pmatrix} \end{array} \right\} \quad \text{then} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{\Delta t} u_2^m - \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \Delta_{x,z} u_2^m + \frac{\partial p_2^m}{\partial x} = f_2^{x,m} \\ \frac{\partial p_2^m}{\partial z} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial u_2^m}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w_2^m}{\partial z} = 0 \\ C_H \begin{pmatrix} u_2^m \\ w_2^m \\ p_2^m \end{pmatrix} = C_H \begin{pmatrix} u_1^m \\ w_1^m \\ p_1^m \end{pmatrix} \end{array} \right.$$

where

$$C \begin{pmatrix} u \\ w \\ p \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{1}{\text{Re}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} p \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \mathcal{S} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ w \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$C_H \begin{pmatrix} u \\ w \\ p \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{1}{\text{Re}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + p - \mathcal{S}_H \begin{pmatrix} u \\ w \end{pmatrix},$$

the operators \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}_H being defined by their Fourier transform in equations (46). Unfortunately (and this is a traditional issue with absorbing boundary conditions), these operators are non-local (see the definition of λ) and we must approximate

them by local operators to get a tractable algorithm. As we did in [3], we propose to introduce the parameter $\varepsilon = k^2\Delta t/\text{Re}$ so that $\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{\text{Re}}{\Delta t}}\sqrt{1+\varepsilon}$. Assuming that ε is small we can introduce two approximations for λ :

$$\text{Order 0:} \quad \lambda_0 = \sqrt{\frac{\text{Re}}{\Delta t}} \quad (47)$$

$$\text{Order 1:} \quad \lambda_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\text{Re}}{\Delta t}} \left(1 + \frac{k^2\Delta t}{2\text{Re}}\right) \quad (48)$$

These approximations provide local approximations for the operator S (and also for S_H since the aspect ratio δ is small). For $\lambda = \lambda_0$, S is a simple Dirichlet operator, and for $\lambda = \lambda_1$ it reads $\sqrt{\frac{\text{Re}}{\Delta t}} \left(\text{Id} - \frac{\Delta t}{2\text{Re}}\partial_{zz}\right)$.

These computations provide *ansatz* for the search of accurate interface operators to be implemented in the above coupling algorithm. These *ansatz* can be used to provide the type of boundary operators, with scalar coefficients that will need to be optimized, as we did in [3], keeping in mind that the chosen operators must absolutely ensure that the converged solution satisfies the compatibility conditions (26) and (27). Interface boundary conditions could be search with

$$\begin{aligned} S &= -\alpha \text{Id} + \beta\partial_{zz} \\ S_H &= \alpha_H \text{Id}, \end{aligned}$$

with coefficients α, α_H and β to be optimized. As a first guess (see discussion above), one could set $\beta = 0$ and $\alpha_H = \alpha$.

This work can be extended in several directions:

- accounting for the free surface in geophysical flows is a challenging question,
- adding the third dimension along the y axis would not change the modeling principles above, but of course this would complicate the calculations (in particular an interface can seldom be defined as $x = cst$),
- numerical validation for coupled systems is much more challenging than with traditional DDM problems. Indeed, coupled problems generally do not have reference solutions. In the present case, the coupled reference solution is not expected to be the fully non-hydrostatic solution, although not too far from it. Testing and validating our coupling algorithms is thus a demanding work, that will require a collaboration with physicists.

Finally a future objective is now to address global-in-time Schwarz methods in order to improve the cost/accuracy ratio of this coupling algorithm. This is let to future works.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the ANR through contract ANR-11-MONU- 005 (COMODO) and by the French national programme LEFE/INSU (project CoCoA).

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Audusse, P. Dreyfuss, B. Merlet, Schwarz waveform relaxation for primitive equations of the ocean, *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, **32(45)** (2010), 2908–2936.

- [2] P. Azerad and F. Guillen, Mathematical justification of the hydrostatic approximation in the primitive equations of geophysical fluids dynamics, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **33(4)** (2001), 847–859.
- [3] E. Blayo, D. Cherel and A. Rousseau Towards optimized Schwarz methods for the Navier-Stokes equations, *J. Sci. Comput.*, Published online, **10.1007/s10915-015-0020-9** (2015), 1–21.
- [4] C. Cao and E.S. Titi, Global well-posedness of the three-dimensional viscous primitive equations of large scale ocean and atmosphere dynamics, *Ann. of Math.*, **166** (2007), 245–267.
- [5] B. Engquist and A. Majda, Absorbing boundary conditions for the numerical simulation of waves, *Math. Comput.*, **31** (1977), 629–651.
- [6] O.B. Fringer, M. Gerritsen and R. Street, An unstructured-grid, finite-volume, nonhydrostatic, parallel coastal-ocean simulator, *Ocean Modell.*, **14** (2006), 139–173.
- [7] O.B. Fringer, J.C. McWilliams and R.L. Street, A new hybrid model for coastal simulations, *Oceanography*, **19** (2006), 46–59.
- [8] P.C. Gallacher, D.A. Hebert and M.R. Schaferkötter, Nesting a nonhydrostatic model in a hydrostatic model: The boundary interface, *Ocean Modell.*, **40** (2011), 190–198.
- [9] M.J. Gander, Schwarz methods over the course of time, *Elec. Trans. Num. Anal.*, **31** (2008), 228–255.
- [10] G. Kobelkov, Existence of a solution ‘in the large’ for the 3D large-scale ocean dynamics equations, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **343(4)** (2006), 283–286.
- [11] J.-L. Lions, R. Temam and S. Wang, On the equations of the large-scale ocean, *Nonlinearity*, **5** (1992), 1007–1053.
- [12] C. Lucas and A. Rousseau, New developments and cosine effect in the viscous shallow water and quasi-geostrophic equations, *Multiscale Model. Simul.*, **7(2)** (2008), 796–813.
- [13] J. Marshall, A. Adcroft, C. Hill, L. Perelman and C. Heisey, A finite-volume, incompressible Navier Stokes model for studies of the ocean on parallel computers, *J. Geophys. Res. Ocean.*, **102** (1997), 5753–5766.
- [14] J. Marshall, C. Hill, L. Perelman and A. Adcroft, Hydrostatic, quasi-hydrostatic, and nonhydrostatic ocean modeling, *J. Geophys. Res. Ocean.*, **102** (1997), 5733–5752.
- [15] F. Nataf and F. Rogier, Factorization of the convection-diffusion operator and the Schwarz algorithm, *Math. Models and Methods in Applied Sciences*, **5** (1995), 67–93.
- [16] F. Nataf, F. Rogier and E. de Sturler, Domain decomposition methods for fluid dynamics, in *Navier-Stokes Equations and Related Nonlinear Problems* (ed. A. Sequeira), Springer US, (1995), 367–376.
- [17] M.F. Peeters, W.G. Habashi and E.G. Dueck, Finite element streamfunction - vorticity solutions of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, *Int. J. Num. Methods in Fluids*, **7** (2008), 17–27.
- [18] M. Petcu, R. Temam and M. Ziane, Some mathematical problems in fluid dynamics, in *Handbook of Numerical Analysis Vol.14* (eds. P.G. Ciarlet), Elsevier, (2008), 577–750.
- [19] A. Rousseau, R. Temam and J. Tribbia, Boundary value problems for the inviscid primitive equations in limited domain, in *Handbook of Numerical Analysis Vol.14* (eds. P.G. Ciarlet), Elsevier, (2008), 481–575.

Received May 2015; revised August 2015.

E-mail address: Eric.Blayo@imag.fr

E-mail address: Antoine.Rousseau@inria.fr