

Bumping algorithm for set-valued shifted tableaux

Takeshi Ikeda, Hiroshi Naruse, Yasuhide Numata

► **To cite this version:**

Takeshi Ikeda, Hiroshi Naruse, Yasuhide Numata. Bumping algorithm for set-valued shifted tableaux. 23rd International Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics (FPSAC 2011), 2011, Reykjavik, Iceland. pp.527-538. hal-01215052

HAL Id: hal-01215052

<https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01215052>

Submitted on 13 Oct 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Bumping algorithm for set-valued shifted tableaux

Takeshi IKEDA^{1†}, Hiroshi NARUSE² and Yasuhide NUMATA^{3,4}

¹*Department of Applied Mathematics, Okayama University of Science, Ridai-cho, Kita-ku, Okayama, Japan*

²*Graduate School of Education, Okayama University, Tsushima-naka, Kita-ku, Okayama, Japan*

³*Department of Mathematical Informatics, The University of Tokyo, Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, Japan*

⁴*Japan Science and Technology (JST) CREST*

Abstract. We present an insertion algorithm of Robinson–Schensted type that applies to set-valued shifted Young tableaux. Our algorithm is a generalization of both set-valued non-shifted tableaux by Buch and non set-valued shifted tableaux by Worley and Sagan. As an application, we obtain a Pieri rule for a K -theoretic analogue of the Schur Q -functions.

Résumé Nous présentons un algorithme d’insertion de Robinson–Schensted qui s’applique aux tableaux décalés à valeurs sur des ensembles. Notre algorithme est une généralisation de l’algorithme de Buch pour les tableaux à valeurs sur des ensembles et de l’algorithme de Worley et Sagan pour les tableaux décalés. Comme application, nous obtenons une formule de Pieri pour un analogue en K -théorie des Q -fonctions de Schur.

Keywords: set-valued shifted tableaux, insertion, Robinson–Schensted, Pieri rule, K -theory, Schur Q -functions

1 Introduction

This article is an extended abstract of the paper [INN] of the same title. Most details of the proofs are omitted.

In [IN], we introduced a non-homogeneous (K -theoretic) analogue of Schur Q -functions. These functions are labeled by strict partitions (or shifted Young diagrams), as are the original Q -functions. For a strict partition λ , the corresponding K -theoretic Schur Q -function $GQ_\lambda(x)$ can be expressed as a weighted generating function of *shifted set-valued semistandard tableaux* of shape λ , which are the central concern of this article.

The main result of the paper is a Robinson–Schensted type insertion algorithm for the shifted set-valued tableaux (Thm 3.4). Our algorithm is a generalization of both set-valued non-shifted tableaux by Buch [Bu] and non set-valued shifted tableaux by Worley [Wo] and Sagan [Sa]. As an immediate consequence of our algorithm, we have a Pieri rule for $GQ_\lambda(x)$ (Cor. 3.5).

The original purpose for introducing functions $GQ_\lambda(x)$ was to apply them to Schubert calculus. In [IN] we introduced function $GQ_\lambda(x|b)$ (resp. $GP_\lambda(x|b)$) with the *equivariant* parameter $b = (b_1, b_2, \dots)$,

[†]Supported by KAKENHI (20540053).

which represents the structure sheaf of the Schubert variety indexed by λ in the K -ring of T -equivariant coherent sheaves on Lagrangian (resp. orthogonal) Grassmannian, where T is the maximal torus acting on the Grassmannians. Thus our Pieri rule gives an explicit description of K -theoretic Schubert structure constant for an arbitrary Schubert class times a special (one row type) Schubert class in the K -ring of Lagrangian Grassmannian.

Recently, a K -theoretic Littlewood-Richardson rule in terms of the *jeu de taquin* for odd orthogonal Grassmannians of maximal isotropic subspaces has been obtained by Clifford, Thomas and Yong [CTY]. Their method starts from a Pieri rule for the K -theory by Buch and Ravikumar [BR], which applies to cominuscule Grassmannians. Our approach differs from them substantially. We proceeded independently a different approach of tableaux insertion to result in the same formula as [BR], i.e. the counting of KLG-tableaux. But our method is only applicable to the case of Lagrangian Grassmannians, although there is a set valued tableaux description for $GP_\lambda(x)$.

Organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we give the definition of shifted set-valued tableaux, and K -theoretic Schur Q -functions $GQ_\lambda(x)$. In Section 3, we present our main result, an existence of a Robinson-Schensted type bijection for set-valued shifted tableaux. As a corollary, we have a Pieri rule for $GQ_\lambda(x)$. Precise description of the bijection is given by a bumping algorithm which is given in Section 4. In Section 5, we discuss a variant of the bijection, which is analogous to the results by Sagan and Worley. In Section 6, we give an outline of the proof of the main theorem.

2 Shifted Young diagrams, set-valued tableaux

2.1 Shifted Young diagrams

Let Δ denote the set $\{(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid 1 \leq i \leq j\}$. Any element $\alpha = (i, j)$ is called a *box*. If $i = j$, then (i, j) is called a *diagonal box*. A *shifted Young diagram* is any finite subset λ of Δ such that for each $\alpha = (i, j) \in \lambda$, any box $\beta = (i', j') \in \Delta$ satisfying $i' \leq i$ and $j' \leq j$ belongs to λ .

We define \mathbb{S} to be the set of shifted Young diagrams. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}$, we define $|\lambda|$ to be the number of boxes in λ . For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{S}$ such that $\lambda \subset \mu$, we define the skew shifted Young diagram μ/λ to be the set-theoretic difference $\mu - \lambda$.

Let $\alpha = (i, j), \beta = (i', j') \in \Delta$. We say that α is *weakly below* (resp. *weakly right of*) β if $i \geq i'$ (resp. $j \geq j'$). We say that α is *strictly below* (resp. *strictly right of*) β if $i > i'$ (resp. $j > j'$). We say that α is *directly below* (resp. *directly right of*) β if $i = i' + 1$ and $j = j'$ (resp. $i = i'$ and $j = j' + 1$).

We call a skew shifted diagram θ a *horizontal strip* (resp. *vertical strip*) if θ has no pair of boxes in the same column (resp. row). We call θ a *broken border strip* if θ contains no 2×2 square block.

2.2 Tableaux

Define a totally ordered set \mathcal{B} to be disjoint union of sets $\mathcal{A} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $\mathcal{A}' = \{1', 2', \dots\}$ with the following order:

$$1' < 1 < 2' < 2 < \dots$$

We define binary relations \leq_r and \leq_c on \mathcal{B} by

$$x \leq_r y \iff x = y \in \mathcal{A} \text{ or } x < y, \quad x \leq_c y \iff x = y \in \mathcal{A}' \text{ or } x < y.$$

Note that $x \not\leq_r y$ (resp. $x \not\leq_c y$) is equivalent to $y \leq_c x$ (resp. $y \leq_r x$) for any $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$.

Let \mathcal{X} denote the set of non-empty finite subsets of \mathcal{B} . We extend the relations \leq_r, \leq_c on \mathcal{X} by $A \leq_r B \iff \max A \leq_r \min B$ and $A \leq_c B \iff \max A \leq_c \min B$ for $A, B \in \mathcal{X}$.

Definition 2.1 (Shifted set-valued semistandard tableaux) Let λ be a shifted Young diagram. A set-valued semistandard tableau of shape λ is a map T from the set of boxes in λ to \mathcal{X} satisfying the following “semistandardness”:

1. $T(\alpha) \leq_r T(\beta)$ if $\beta \in \lambda$ is directly right of $\alpha \in \lambda$.
2. $T(\alpha) \leq_c T(\beta)$ if $\beta \in \lambda$ is directly below $\alpha \in \lambda$.

Example 2.2 An example of a set-valued tableau is given by the following:

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1' & 12' & 23 & 34' \\ \hline & 2' & 4' & 6 \\ \hline & & 6 & \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{T}(\lambda)$ the set of all set-valued tableaux of shape λ .

2.3 K -theoretic Q -Schur functions

Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ be a sequence of variables. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}$ and $T \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda)$. We define the corresponding monomial $x^T = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^{e_i(T)}$ where $e_i(T)$ denotes the total number of i and i' appearing in T . The weight of $T \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda)$ is defined to be $\beta^{|T|-|\lambda|} x^T$, where β is a formal parameter and $|T|$ is the total number of letters in T . The K -theoretic Q -Schur function $GQ_\lambda(x)$ is defined as the following formal sum of the weights of the elements in $\mathcal{T}(\lambda)$:

$$GQ_\lambda(x) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda)} \beta^{|T|-|\lambda|} x^T.$$

When $\beta = 0$ this becomes the Schur Q -function $Q_\lambda(x)$, and when $\beta = -1$ this represents K -theory Schubert class corresponding to λ for Lagrangian Grassmannians. See [IN] for other expressions of $GQ_\lambda(x)$ and geometric background.

3 Statements of main results


3.1 Admissible strips

Let $\theta = \lambda/\mu$ be a broken border strip. We consider a decomposition $\theta = C \sqcup C'$, with C, C' skew diagrams, i.e. there is a diagram ν satisfying $\mu \subset \nu \subset \lambda$ and $C = \lambda/\nu$ and $C' = \nu/\mu$. Such a decomposition of θ is called *admissible* if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. in each of the diagrams C and C' , there is no pair of boxes in the same row or column.
2. there is no diagonal box in C' .

A non-empty broken border strip θ is called a *1-admissible strip* if there exists an admissible decomposition of θ . For a 1-admissible strip θ , we denote by $\mathcal{C}(\theta)$ the set of all admissible decompositions of θ . Later we define the notion of m -admissible decomposition of a broken border strip.

Example 3.1 The following is an example of a 1-admissible strip and its 1-admissible decomposition,



where the boxes with entry 1's form C and 1's form C' .

The next result shows the role of 1-admissible strip. The detailed construction of the map is given in Section 4. We define the weight of a 1-admissible strip θ to be $\beta^{|\theta|-1}$.

Proposition 3.2 *There is a weight preserving bijection:*

$$\phi : \mathcal{T}(\lambda) \times \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \bigsqcup_{\mu} \mathcal{T}(\mu) \times \mathcal{C}(\mu/\lambda)$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{S}$ runs for those μ such that μ/λ is a 1-admissible strip.

3.2 Composable admissible strips

Let $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{S}$ be such that $\mu \subset \nu \subset \lambda$. Suppose $\theta_1 = \nu/\mu, \theta_2 = \lambda/\nu$ are 1-admissible strips. Let $(C'_i, C_i) \in \mathcal{C}(\theta_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$). We say that (C'_1, C_1) precedes (C'_2, C_2) and denote $(C'_1, C_1) \triangleleft (C'_2, C_2)$, if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $C'_1 \cup C'_2$ is a vertical strip.
2. $C_1 \cup C_2$ is a horizontal strip.
3. Each box in C'_2 is strictly below any box in C'_1 .
4. Each box in C_2 is strictly right of any box in C_1 .
5. If $C_1 \neq \emptyset$, then $C'_2 = \emptyset$.

3.3 Main results

Let $\theta = \mu/\lambda$ be a broken border strip, and m be a positive integer. Suppose there is a nested sequence of shifted diagrams


$$\lambda = \nu^{(0)} \subset \nu^{(1)} \subset \nu^{(2)} \subset \dots \subset \nu^{(m)} = \mu \tag{1}$$

such that $\theta^{(i)} := \nu^{(i)}/\nu^{(i-1)}$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$) are 1-admissible strips. If, moreover, there is a sequence of 1-admissible decompositions $(C'_i, C_i) \in \mathcal{C}(\theta^{(i)})$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$) such that

$$(C'_i, C_i) \triangleleft (C'_{i+1}, C_{i+1}), \quad (1 \leq i \leq m - 1). \tag{2}$$

then we say θ is an m -admissible strip. For an m -admissible strip θ , let $\mathcal{C}_m(\theta)$ denote the set of pairs $(\{\nu^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^m, \{(C'_i, C_i)\}_{i=1}^m)$ satisfying the above conditions, which we call m -admissible decompositions of θ . Note $\mathcal{C}_1(\theta) = \mathcal{C}(\theta)$ since condition (2) is vacant for $m = 1$.

Example 3.3 The following is a 4-admissible strip



where the boxes with entry i are C_i , and i' are C'_i .

We denote by (m) the shifted diagram consisting of one row with m boxes. We simply denote $\mathcal{T}(m)$ for $\mathcal{T}((m))$. Recall that we define the weight of $T \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda)$ as $\beta^{|T|-|\lambda|}x^T$. Define the weight of $U \in \mathcal{C}_m(\theta)$ to be $\beta^{|\theta|-m}$.

Theorem 3.4 By algorithm 4.4, we have a weight preserving bijection:

$$\phi_m : \mathcal{T}(\lambda) \times \mathcal{T}(m) \longrightarrow \bigsqcup_{\mu} \mathcal{T}(\mu) \times \mathcal{C}_m(\mu/\lambda), \tag{3}$$

where μ runs for shifted diagrams μ such that $\mu \supset \lambda$ and μ/λ are m -admissible strips.

As an immediate consequence, we have the following.

Corollary 3.5 (Pieri rule) We have


$$GQ_{\lambda}(x) \cdot GQ_m(x) = \sum_{\mu \supset \lambda} \beta^{|\mu|-|\lambda|-m} \#\mathcal{C}_m(\mu/\lambda) \times GQ_{\mu}(x),$$

where μ runs for shifted diagrams μ such that $\mu \supset \lambda$ and μ/λ are m -admissible strips.

For example we have

$$GQ_{2,1} \cdot GQ_2 = 2GQ_{4,1} + 2GQ_{3,2} + 3\beta GQ_{4,2} + \beta GQ_{5,1} + \beta GQ_{3,2,1} + \beta^2 GQ_{5,2} + \beta^2 GQ_{4,2,1}.$$

In order to give the coefficient of $GQ_{4,2}$, we count the elements in $\mathcal{C}_2(\mu/\lambda)$ with $\mu = (4, 2)$, $\lambda = (2, 1)$:



N.B. The elements in $\mathcal{C}_m(\mu/\lambda)$ are exactly the $KL\mathcal{G}$ -tableaux of shape μ/λ with content $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ in [BR].

4 Bumping algorithm

The aim of this section is to describe the bijection of Prop 3.2.

The input of our algorithm is a pair (T, w) with $T \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}$ and $w \in \mathcal{X}$. Basic output is a tableau T' of some shape $\mu \in \mathbb{S}$ such that $\mu \supset \lambda$. The skew diagram $\theta = \mu/\lambda$, the set of “new boxes”, turns out to be a 1-admissible strip. We also have some “recording data” on θ which gives an element of $\mathcal{C}(\theta)$.

4.1 Parts of “L” shape of a tableau

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}$. Let $\ell(\lambda)$ be the number of rows of λ . For $1 \leq t \leq \lambda_1$ we define a subset of λ by

$$L_t(\lambda) = \{(i, j) \in \lambda \mid i = t \text{ or } j = t\}.$$

For example, $L_1(\lambda)$ consists of the boxes in the first row. For $k \geq \ell(\lambda)$, $L_k(\lambda)$ is just the k -th column. In general, this is a subset of shape “L” including the diagonal box (t, t) . Let $T \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda)$. By restriction we have a map $L_t(T) : L_t(\lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, which we call the t -th part of T .

Our algorithm starts from inserting $w = w^{(0)} \in \mathcal{X}$ into $L_1 = L_1(T)$, the first row of T , resulting a row L'_1 with possibly a new box at the right end, and a set $w^{(1)} \in \mathcal{X}$ “bumped out” from the procedure. Then we modify the original tableau $T = T^{(0)}$ by replacing L_1 with L'_1 to obtain $T^{(1)}$. Next we insert $w^{(1)}$ into the second part of the modified tableau $T^{(2)}$. We repeat this procedure until no boxes are bumped out.

4.2 Insertion into a part of “L” shape (a rough idea)

We define a procedure to insert some sets $w \in \mathcal{X}$ into an L part X of a tableaux.

Here we present a rough idea of constructing the procedure. First, we look at the minimum letters of each boxes in order to decide the box into which a letter in w to be inserted, in the same manner as the classical bumping procedure (some letters go into empty box at the end). If we might simply insert these letters into X , some letters in w may violate the semistandardness, while some letters are not. So we eject some element in X before inserting w . Let \hat{w} be the set of letters in w which do not conflict any original letters in X , and let $\check{w} := w - \hat{w}$ be the complement. If $\check{w} \neq \emptyset$, let \check{u} be the set of elements in X that conflict some element in \check{w} . To ensure the semistandardness, we first eject the elements in \check{u} from the tableau. Furthermore, if a letter in \hat{w} is inserted into a non-empty box, we eject all the remaining (original) entries of the box. Thus any letter inserted into a non-empty box “does some work” (bumps out at least one letter). This feature is important for constructing the inverse algorithm.

There is a flaw in this idea. For example, we consider a tableau $T = \begin{bmatrix} 1' \end{bmatrix}$ and $w = w^{(1)} = \{1'\}$. According to the naive algorithm above, the resulting tableau is $T^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1' \\ 1' \end{bmatrix}$, and the ejected set is $w^{(2)} = \{1'\}$. Since the second part is empty, the final result is $\begin{bmatrix} 1' \\ 1' \end{bmatrix}$, which is not semistandard. This is a reason why we need the “unmark” process introduced in the next section. In fact, we should care for the case of inserting elements into the diagonal boxes.

4.3 Insertion into a diagonal box

Let $X \in \mathcal{X}$, and u be a subset of X . We insert $w \in \mathcal{X}$ into X , where we consider X to be a diagonal box.

Algorithm 4.1 (Bumping for a diagonal box)

input $X, w, u \in \mathcal{X}$ satisfying $u \subset X$ and $\max w \leq_c \min X$.

output Y, v .

procedure

1. If $X \neq u$, then let $Y = (X - u) \cup w$ and $v = u$; and return Y, v .
2. If $i' = \max(w) \in \mathcal{A}'$ and $i \in X$, $i' \notin X$, then let $Y = \{i\} \cup (w - \{i'\})$ and $v = X$; and return Y, v .

3. If $i' = \max(w) \in \mathcal{A}'$ and $i' \in X, i \notin X$, then let $Y = w$ and $v = \{i\} \cup (X - \{i'\})$; and return Y, v .
4. If $i' = \max(w) \in \mathcal{A}'$ and $i, i' \in X$, then let $Y = \{i\} \cup w$ and $v = X - \{i'\}$; and return Y, v .
5. Otherwise, let $Y = w$ and $v = X$; and return Y, v .

For example, if $u = X = \overline{34}$ and $w = 13'$, then we apply (2) to obtain $Y = \overline{13}$ rather than $\overline{13'}$, and $u = 34$. Thus letter $3'$ is unprimed to be 3 in u . If $u = X = \overline{3'4}$ and $w = 13'$, then we apply (3) to obtain $Y = \overline{13'}$ and $u = 34$, rather than $u = 3'4$. In this case, two $3'$ are involved, and one may think of this process as unpriming “bigger” $3'$. Case (4) is a bit strange. If $u = X = \overline{3'3}$ and $w = 3'$, then we have $Y = \overline{3'3}$ and $u = 3$. This case we are unpriming “bigger” $3'$ also, and let it remain in the box.

4.4 Insertion into a part of “L” shape (definition)

Let T be a tableau of shape λ , and t be a positive integer such that $t \leq \lambda_1$. Let $X = L_t(T)$ be the t -th part of T . If $t = 1$, then X is a row: $X = (X_{(1,1)} \leq_r X_{(1,2)} \leq_r \dots \leq_r X_{(1,\lambda_1)})$. If $t > \ell(\lambda)$ then X is a column: $X = (X_{(1,t)} \leq_c \dots \leq_c X_{(k,t)})$ for some $k < t$. We say that X is a *pure column* in this case (note that X does not contain diagonal box). If $1 < t \leq \ell(\lambda)$ then $X = L_t(T)$ is a sequence of elements in \mathcal{X} :

$$X = (X_{(1,t)} \leq_c \dots \leq_c X_{(t-1,t)} \leq_c X_{(t,t)} \leq_r X_{(t,t+1)} \leq_r \dots \leq_r X_{(t,t+\lambda_t-1)}).$$

The following algorithm takes as an input a sequence of elements in \mathcal{X} satisfying

$$X = (X_{-k} \leq_c \dots \leq_c X_{-1} \leq_c X_0 \leq_r X_1 \leq_r \dots \leq_r X_l),$$

for some $k, l \geq 0$, and $w \in \mathcal{X}$. If $k = 0$, we consider X as a row. Output is a triple (Y, Y_+, v) , where Y is a sequence $Y = (Y_i)_{i=-k}^l$ satisfying the same condition as X , and $Y_+, v \in \mathcal{X} \cup \emptyset$. If $Y_+ \neq \emptyset$ we will make a new box with entry Y_+ at the right end of Y .

Algorithm 4.2 (Bumping rule for an L part)

input $X = (X_i)_{i=-k}^l$: tableau of L shape, i.e.

$$X = (X_{-k} \leq_c \dots \leq_c X_{-1} \leq_c X_0 \leq_r X_1 \leq_r \dots \leq_r X_l),$$

and $w \in \mathcal{X}$.

output Y tableau of L shape of the same length of X , and $Y_+, v \in \mathcal{X} \cup \emptyset$.

procedure

1. Define the subsets w_{-k}, \dots, w_{l+1} of w by

$$w_t = \begin{cases} \{x \in w \mid x \leq_r \min X_{-k}\} & (t = -k) \\ \{x \in w \mid \min X_{t-1} \leq_c x \leq_r \min X_t\} & (t = -k, \dots, -1) \\ \{x \in w \mid \min X_{-1} \leq_c x \leq_c \min X_0\} & (t = 0) \\ \{x \in w \mid \min X_{t-1} \leq_r x \leq_c \min X_t\} & (t = 1, \dots, l) \\ \{x \in w \mid \min X_l \leq_r x\} & (t = l + 1) \end{cases}$$

2. Decompose w_t into the subsets \check{w}_t and \hat{w}_t defined by

$$\hat{w}_t = \begin{cases} w_t & (t = -k) \\ \{x \in w_t \mid \max X_{t-1} \leq_c x\} & (t = -k + 1, \dots, 0), \\ \{x \in w_t \mid \max X_{t-1} \leq_r x\} & (t = 1, \dots, l + 1) \end{cases}$$

$$\check{w}_t = w_t - \hat{w}_t, \text{ for } t = -k, \dots, l + 1,$$

3. Define $\check{u}_t, \hat{u}_k,$ and u_k ($t = -k, \dots, l$) by:

$$\check{u}_t = \begin{cases} \emptyset & (\text{if } \check{w}_{t+1} = \emptyset) \\ \{y \in X_t \mid y \not\leq_c \min \check{w}_{t+1}\} & (\text{if } t = -k, \dots, -1 \text{ and } \check{w}_{t+1} \neq \emptyset), \\ \{y \in X_t \mid y \not\leq_r \min \check{w}_{t+1}\} & (\text{if } t = 0, \dots, l \text{ and } \check{w}_{t+1} \neq \emptyset) \end{cases}$$

$$\hat{u}_t = \begin{cases} \emptyset & (\text{if } \hat{w}_t = \emptyset) \\ X_t - \check{u}_t & (\text{if } \hat{w}_t \neq \emptyset) \end{cases}$$

$$u_t = \hat{u}_t \cup \check{u}_t \subset X_t.$$

4. Define $Y_t = (X_t - u_t) \cup w_t$ and $v_t = u_t$ for $t \neq 0$.

5. Let (Y_0, v_0) be the pair obtained from the triple (X_0, w_0, u_0) by Algorithm 4.1 if $l \geq 0$.

6. Let $Y = (Y_{-k}, \dots, Y_l), Y_+ = w_{l+1},$ and $v = \bigcup_{t=-k}^l v_t;$ and return $Y, Y_+, v.$

Example 4.3 Let $X = (X_{-2}, X_{-1}; X_0; X_1, X_2, X_3)$ be

$$\boxed{13 \mid 4' \mid \mathbf{5} \mid 56 \mid 8 \mid 9}.$$

Let us insert $w = 25'6'79'9 \in \mathcal{X}$ into X . Since the minimums in X is

$$\boxed{1 \mid 4' \mid \mathbf{5} \mid 5 \mid 8 \mid 9},$$

we have $(w_{-2}, \dots, w_4) = (\emptyset, 2, 5', \emptyset, 6'7, 9', 9)$. Since the maximums of X is

$$\boxed{3 \mid 4' \mid \mathbf{5} \mid 6 \mid 8 \mid 9},$$

we have

t	-2	-1	0	1	2	3	4
\hat{w}_t	\emptyset	\emptyset	$5'$	\emptyset	7	$9'$	9
\check{w}_t	\emptyset	2	\emptyset	\emptyset	$6'$	\emptyset	\emptyset
\hat{u}_t	\emptyset	\emptyset	5	\emptyset	8	9	-
\check{u}_t	3	\emptyset	\emptyset	6	\emptyset	\emptyset	-

Finally we get

$$Y = \boxed{1 \mid 24' \mid 5 \mid 5 \mid 6'7 \mid 9'}, Y_+ = \{9\}, u = \{3, 5, 6, 8, 9\}.$$

We need to define the bumping algorithm applicable also when $X = L_t(T)$ is a pure column case, i.e. $t > \ell(\lambda)$. However, extension of the algorithm to the column case is straightforward, so we omit detailed description here.

4.5 Insertion of w into arbitrary tableau

We define a procedure to insert an element $w \in \mathcal{X}$ into an arbitrary tableau T . In the procedure, we insert w into the first L part of the tableaux. When some letters are bumped out, we insert them into the second L part of the tableaux. Then, while some letters are bumped out, we try to insert them into the next L part of the tableaux until no letters are bumped out.

Algorithm 4.4


input $T \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda)$ and $w \in \mathcal{X}$.

output U, S', S .


procedure

1. Let $u = w, U = T, S = \emptyset$ and $S' = \emptyset$.
2. While $u \neq \emptyset$, do the following:
 - (a) Let X be the t -th L part of U ,
 - (b) Let (Y, Y_+, u) be the triple obtained from (X, u) by Algorithm 4.2.
 - (c) Let U be the tableaux obtained from U by replacing the t -th L part by Y .
 - (d) If $Y_+ \neq \emptyset$, then do the following:
 - i. Add a new box to the end of t -th L part of U , and insert Y_+ into the box.
 - ii. If X is a *pure column*, then add the new box to S , else add the new box to S' .
3. Return U, S' and S .

Example 4.5 Let T be the leftmost tableau below. We insert $w = \{1', 1, 2', 3\}$ into T as follows.




For each step, the relevant part of modification is enclosed. Sets S' and S are as follows:



where the box with entry $1'$ (resp. 1) is S' (resp. S).

4.6 Definition of the map ϕ

In order to complete the description of the map ϕ , we need one more combinatorial idea. Let θ be a 1-admissible strip. We define an involution $\varrho : \mathcal{C}(\theta) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\theta)$. A box $\alpha \in \theta$ is said to be *isolated* if α is not a diagonal box and there is no other box than α in the row and column where α presents. For each isolated box, apply its entry the obvious involution $1 \mapsto 1', 1' \mapsto 1$, while the non-isolated boxes are untouched. The resulting decomposition of θ is obviously admissible. For example, we have



It is obvious that ϱ is an involution.

Proposition 4.6 *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}$, $T \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda)$, and $w \in \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{T}(1)$. We have by Algorithm 4.4 a tableau $U = (T \leftarrow w) \in \mathcal{T}(\mu)$ for some $\mu \in \mathbb{S}$ such that $\mu \supset \lambda$ and a decomposition (S', S) of $\theta = \mu/\lambda$. We have $(S', S) \in \mathcal{C}(\theta)$, and therefore θ is a 1-admissible strip.*

Let $T \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda)$ and $w \in \mathcal{X}$ as in the above proposition. We define $\phi(T, w)$ to be $(U, \varrho(S', S)) \in \mathcal{T}(\mu) \times \mathcal{C}(\mu/\lambda)$.

4.7 Proof of Prop. 3.2

To show that ϕ is a bijection, we construct its inverse map. See [INN] for details.

5 Robinson–Schensted type correspondence

5.1 Quasi-standard tableaux

We will define a notion of “recording” tableaux in our setting. The resulting object is an analogue of a standard tableau, which we will call a *quasi-standard* tableau.


For $T \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda)$ and $w \in \mathcal{X}$ we denote by $T \leftarrow w$ the tableau given in Prop. 3.2. Let $T \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda)$ and $(w_1, \dots, w_m) \in \mathcal{X}^m$. By the consecutive insertions

$$T^{(i)} = (\dots((T \leftarrow w_1) \leftarrow w_2) \dots \leftarrow w_i)$$

we have a tableaux $T^{(i)} \in \mathcal{T}(\nu^{(i)})$ for some shifted diagram $\nu^{(i)}$ and an element of $\mathcal{C}(\nu^{(i)}/\nu^{(i-1)})$ given by Proposition 3.2. Thus we have a nested sequence of shifted diagrams

$$\lambda = \nu^{(0)} \subset \nu^{(1)} \subset \nu^{(2)} \subset \dots \subset \nu^{(m)} = \mu, \tag{4}$$

and also 1-admissible decompositions (C'_i, C_i) of $\theta^{(i)} = \nu^{(i)}/\nu^{(i-1)}$. These objects are expressed as a tableau like



where the boxes filled with i (resp. i') are C_i (resp. C'_i).

We call such a tableau a *quasi-standard* tableau of degree m . The precise definition is the following.

Definition 5.1 A map $U : \mu/\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_m := \{1', 1, \dots, m', m\}$ is a *quasi-standard tableau of degree m* , if U is semistandard in the sense of Def. 2.1 and for any $1 \leq i \leq m$, $U^{-1}(\{i, i'\})$ is a 1-admissible strip with admissible decomposition given by $(U^{-1}(i'), U^{-1}(i))$.

Let $\mathcal{S}_m(\mu/\lambda)$ denote the set of quasi-standard tableaux of degree m on μ/λ .

Remark. By the construction, $\mathcal{S}_1(\mu/\lambda)$ is non-empty if and only if $\theta = \mu/\lambda$ is an 1-admissible strip. Then we have $\mathcal{S}_1(\theta) = \mathcal{C}(\theta) = \mathcal{C}_1(\theta)$. For an m -admissible strip θ , the set $\mathcal{C}_m(\theta)$ is a subset of $\mathcal{S}_m(\theta)$.

5.2 Robinson–Schensted correspondence

The following result is an immediate consequence of Prop. 3.2.

Proposition 5.2 Let $T \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda)$ and $(w_1, \dots, w_m) \in \mathcal{X}^m$. By consecutive insertions

$$T' = (\dots((T \leftarrow w_1) \leftarrow w_2) \dots \leftarrow w_m)$$

we have a tableaux $T' \in \mathcal{T}(\mu)$ for some shifted diagram $\mu \supset \lambda$ and the recording tableau U . Then we have $U \in \mathcal{S}_m(\mu/\lambda)$. By this correspondence we have a weight preserving bijection

$$\phi_m : \mathcal{T}(\lambda) \times \mathcal{X}^m \longrightarrow \bigsqcup_{\mu} \mathcal{T}(\mu) \times \mathcal{S}_m(\mu/\lambda), \tag{5}$$

where the sum runs for shifted diagrams μ such that $\mathcal{S}_m(\mu/\lambda) \neq \emptyset$.

Then we have immediately the following:

Corollary 5.3 We have

$$GQ_{\lambda}(x) \cdot GQ_1(x)^m = \sum_{\mu} \beta^{|\mu/\lambda|-m} \#\mathcal{S}_m(\mu/\lambda) \times GQ_{\mu}(x),$$

where the sum runs for shifted diagrams μ such that $\mathcal{S}_m(\mu/\lambda) \neq \emptyset$.

As a special case of $\lambda = \emptyset$, we have the following.

Corollary 5.4 (Robinson–Schensted correspondence) There is a weight preserving bijection

$$\mathcal{X}^m \longrightarrow \bigsqcup_{\lambda} \mathcal{T}(\lambda) \times \mathcal{S}_m(\lambda).$$

This bijection is a set-valued extension of the results in [Sa] and [Wo].

Example 5.5 Let $(w_1, w_2, w_3) = (2'3, 12'2, 134)$. By the correspondence in Cor. 5.3 we have pair of tableaux

$$\left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 34 \\ \hline & & 23' & & \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2' & 2 & 3' & 3 \\ \hline & & 2 & & \\ \hline \end{array} \right),$$

as a result of bumping process:

$$\emptyset \xrightarrow{w_1} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 23' \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{w_2} \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 12 & 2 & 2 \\ \hline & & 3' \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{w_3} \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 34 \\ \hline & & & & 23' \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

6 Outline of proof of Thm 3.4

Now we have the bijection ϕ_m in Prop. 5.2. Since a tableau in $\mathcal{T}(m)$ is a sequence in \mathcal{X} such that

$$X_1 \leq_r \cdots \leq_r X_m,$$

we can think of $\mathcal{T}(m)$ as a subset of \mathcal{X}^m . Thus we only need to determine the image of $\mathcal{T}(\lambda) \times \mathcal{T}(m)$ under the map ϕ_m . The case $m = 1$ is obvious since $\mathcal{T}(1) = \mathcal{X}$. The case $m = 2$ is crucial.

Lemma 6.1 *Let $T \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda)$ and $w = (w_1, w_2) \in \mathcal{X}^2$, and*

$$\phi_2(T, w) = (T', (C'_1, C_1), (C'_2, C_2)).$$

Then the following are equivalent:

1. $w_1 \leq_r w_2$.
2. $(C'_1, C_1) \triangleleft (C'_2, C_2)$.

It is easy to see that the lemma leads to a proof of Thm 3.4. We show this lemma by an argument using “bumping routes”. Details are given in [INN].

References

- [Bu] A. S. Buch, A Littlewood-Richardson rule for K -theory of Grassmannians, *Acta. Math.* **189** (2002), 37-78.
- [BR] A. S. Buch, V. Ravikumar, Pieri rules for the K -theory of cominuscle Grassmannians, arXiv:1005.2605.
- [CTY] E. Clifford, H. Thomas, A. Yong, K -theoretic Schubert calculus for $OG(n, 2n + 1)$ and jeu de taquin for shifted increasing tableaux, arXiv:1002.1664v2
- [IN] T. Ikeda, H. Naruse, K -theoretic analogue of Schur Q -functions and maximal isotropic Grassmannians, in preparation.
- [INN] T. Ikeda, H. Naruse, Y. Numata, Bumping algorithm for shifted set-valued tableaux, in preparation.
- [Sa] B. E. Sagan, Shifted tableaux, Schur Q -functions, and a conjecture of R. Stanley. *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A* 45(1), 62-103, 1987.
- [Wo] D. Worley, A theory of shifted Young tableaux, Ph. D. Thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1984.