

Irreversible Electroporation: Disappearance of Observable Changes at Imaging Does Not Always Imply Complete Reversibility of the Underlying Causal Tissue Changes

Olivier Seror, Clair Poignard, Olivier Gallinato, Rafik Belkacem-Ourabia,
Olivier Sutter

► **To cite this version:**

Olivier Seror, Clair Poignard, Olivier Gallinato, Rafik Belkacem-Ourabia, Olivier Sutter. Irreversible Electroporation: Disappearance of Observable Changes at Imaging Does Not Always Imply Complete Reversibility of the Underlying Causal Tissue Changes. *Radiology*, Radiological Society of North America, 2017, 282, pp.301 - 302. 10.1148/radiol.2017161809 . hal-01421863

HAL Id: hal-01421863

<https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01421863>

Submitted on 23 Dec 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Irreversible Electroporation: Disappearance of observable changes at imaging does not always imply complete reversibility of the underlying causal tissue changes

Olivier Seror, MD, PhD; Clair Poignard, PhD; Olivier Gallinato, PhD; Rafik Belkacem-Ourabia, MD, Olivier Sutter, MD¹

¹ From the Service de Radiologie de l'Hôpital Jean Verdier, Hôpitaux universitaires Paris-Seine-Saint-Denis, Assistance publique Hôpitaux de Paris, Bondy, France (O.S., R.B-O., O.Su.), the Unité mixte de Recherche 1162, Génomique fonctionnelle des Tumeurs solides, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche médicale, Paris, France (O.S.), the Unité de Formation et de Recherche Santé Médecine et Biologie humaine, Université Paris 13, Communauté d'Universités et Etablissements Sorbonne Paris Cité, Bobigny, France (O.S., O.Su.), Institut National de Recherche en Informatique et en Automatique, Bordeaux-Sud-Ouest, F-33400, Talence, France (C.P., O.G.), Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Institut de Mathématiques de Bordeaux, Unité Mixte de Recherche 5251, F-33400, Talence, France (C .P., O.G.)

Letter to editor

1
2
3 **Irreversible Electroporation: Disappearance of observable changes at imaging does not**
4 **always imply complete reversibility of the underlying causal tissue changes**
5
6
7

8
9 We read with great interest Padia et al. article emphasizing the potential role of early MR
10 assessment of irreversible electroporation (IRE) ablation in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)
11 patients (1). We especially appreciated that authors pointed out that IRE efficacy cannot be assessed
12 like for other physical ablative methods because cell death is no longer mainly related to thermal
13 coagulative necrosis (2). One singularity of the technique is that below 600V/cm the change in
14 permeability of cell membrane are assumed to be reversible (3). Thus, Padia et al. suggested that the
15 transient changes seen at the periphery of IRE ablation zone on the early MR examinations were
16 related to reversible effect of the treatment.
17
18

19
20
21 From our point of view, this explanatory hypothesis calls some comments. The biological effects of
22 IRE on tissues are still unclear. Cell membrane electroporation leads to a swelling of the affected
23 cells as well as a release of cellular materials, which generate edema and inflammation in the tissue
24 (4). Such inflammation, visible with MRI disappears within few days (4, 5). On the other hand, it
25 has been reported that reversible electroporation lasts several tens of minutes and any way much
26 shorter that several hours (6). Interestingly, peripheral delayed enhanced zones have been also
27 described with perfusion CT performed immediately after IRE in livers of pigs (7).
28
29

30
31
32 Histopathological analysis of livers harvested one day after showed a strong correlation between
33 these peripheral zones and red zones which contained hepatocytes involved in terminal apoptotic
34 process. Preliminary numerical simulations of IRE on tissue model showed also that the ablation
35 zone induced is wider than its central necrotic part (8). Indeed, IRE is a multiprobe ablative
36 technique requiring the placement of multiple electrodes into the tumor margin rather than in the
37 centre of the lesion(9). In this setting, we suggest that the disappearance of peripheral delayed
38 enhanced zones could be related to fast remodeling of apoptotic component of IRE ablation
39 combining resolution of inflammation, phagocytosis and replacement of dead cells, and not
40 necessarily to the recovery of basal membrane permeability of cells that were submitted to amount
41 of energy bellow irreversibility threshold.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 **References:**
50
51

- 52 1. Padia SA, Johnson GE, Yeung RS, Park JO, Hippe DS, Kogut MJ. Irreversible
53 Electroporation in Patients with Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Immediate versus Delayed Findings at
54 MR Imaging. *Radiology*. 2016;278(1):285-94.
55 2. Edd JF, Horowitz L, Davalos RV, Mir LM, Rubinsky B. In vivo results of a new focal tissue
56 ablation technique: irreversible electroporation. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng*. 2006;53(7):1409-15.
57 3. Davalos RV, Mir IL, Rubinsky B. Tissue ablation with irreversible electroporation. *Ann*
58 *Biomed Eng*. 2005;33(2):223-31.
59
60

- 1
 - 2
 - 3
 - 4
 - 5
 - 6
 - 7
 - 8
 - 9
 - 10
 - 11
 - 12
 - 13
 - 14
 - 15
 - 16
 - 17
 - 18
 - 19
 - 20
 - 21
 - 22
 - 23
 - 24
 - 25
 - 26
 - 27
 - 28
 - 29
 - 30
 - 31
 - 32
 - 33
 - 34
 - 35
 - 36
 - 37
 - 38
 - 39
 - 40
 - 41
 - 42
 - 43
 - 44
 - 45
 - 46
 - 47
 - 48
 - 49
 - 50
 - 51
 - 52
 - 53
 - 54
 - 55
 - 56
 - 57
 - 58
 - 59
 - 60
4. Mahmood F, Hansen RH, Agerholm-Larsen B, Gissel H, Ibsen P, Gehl J. Detection of electroporation-induced membrane permeabilization states in the brain using diffusion-weighted MRI. *Acta Oncol.* 2015;54(3):289-97.
5. Al-Sakere B, Andre F, Bernat C, Connault E, Opolon P, Davalos RV, et al. Tumor ablation with irreversible electroporation. *PLoS One.* 2007;2(11):e1135.
6. Marty M, Sersa G, Garbay JR, al. e. Electrochemotherapy—an easy, highly effective and safe treatment of cutaneous and subcutaneous metastases: results of ESOPE (European Standard Operating Procedures of Electrochemotherapy) study. *Eur J Cancer* 2006;Suppl(4):3–13.
7. Chung DJ, Sung K, Osuagwu FC, Wu HH, Lassman C, Lu DS. Contrast Enhancement Patterns after Irreversible Electroporation: Experimental Study of CT Perfusion Correlated to Histopathology in Normal Porcine Liver. *J Vasc Interv Radiol.* 2016;27(1):104-11.
8. Leguebe M, Silve A, Mir LM, Pognard C. Conducting and permeable states of cell membrane submitted to high voltage pulses: mathematical and numerical studies validated by the experiments. *J Theor Biol.* 2014;360:83-94.
9. Seror O. Percutaneous hepatic ablation: what needs to be known in 2014. *Diagn Interv Imaging.* 2014;95(7-8):665-75.