

# An Empirical Research on the Evaluation Index Regarding the Service Quality of Agricultural Information Websites in China

Liyong Liu, Xiaoqing Yuan, Daoliang Li

► **To cite this version:**

Liyong Liu, Xiaoqing Yuan, Daoliang Li. An Empirical Research on the Evaluation Index Regarding the Service Quality of Agricultural Information Websites in China. Daoliang Li; Yande Liu; Yingyi Chen. 4th Conference on Computer and Computing Technologies in Agriculture (CCTA), Oct 2010, Nanchang, China. Springer, IFIP Advances in Information and Communication Technology, AICT-347 (Part IV), pp.742-750, 2011, Computer and Computing Technologies in Agriculture IV. <10.1007/978-3-642-18369-0\_88>. <hal-01564869>

**HAL Id: hal-01564869**

**<https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01564869>**

Submitted on 19 Jul 2017

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



# AN EMPIRICAL RESEARCH ON THE EVALUATION INDEX REGARDING THE SERVICE QUALITY OF AGRICULTURAL INFORMATION WEBSITES IN CHINA

Liyong Liu<sup>1</sup>, Xiaoqing Yuan<sup>1</sup>, Daoliang Li<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*College of Information and Electrical Engineering, China Agricultural University, Beijing, P.R. China, 100083*

\*Corresponding author, Address: P. O. Box 121, College of Information and Electrical Engineering, China Agricultural University, 17 Tsinghua East Road, Beijing, 100083, P. R. China, *Tel: +86-10-62736764, Fax: +86-10-62737741, Email: li\_daoliang@yahoo.com*

**Abstract:** Agricultural information website, which takes the mission of providing valid information for the expansive agricultural information demanders, is an important carrier for the state to enact its strategy of agriculture informatization. This paper establishes a set of evaluation measures regarding the service quality of agricultural information websites by setting up the index system of agricultural information websites, evaluates comprehensively agricultural information websites in the following four respects: the range of content, the operation, the benefits and the costs, and finally conducts an empirical research on 13 agricultural information websites in China to test and justify these measures.

**Keywords:** agricultural information website, information service, evaluation, index system

## 1 INTRODUCTION

According to Gu Shen and An Baojun (2005), an agricultural information website should have agriculture industry as its main content; or both its target client and its main content or service involve agriculture industry. The website itself should at least meet one of these three requirements: (1) a website with independent domain and host server; (2) an independent website using webhost if without independent domain; (3) a website with virtual directory and independent complete information system if without independent domain or host server (Hu Dehua, Wang Jinhua, 2007).

## 2 THE EVALUATION INDEX SYSTEM

### 2.1 Constructing evaluation items

Constructing evaluation items lays the basis for designing the evaluation index system of agricultural information websites. In accordance with the characteristics of agriculture websites, by breaking down the evaluation aims, four first-class evaluation indexes can be established: the range of content, the operation, the benefits and the costs (Jia and Zhao, 2003); then sixteen second-class indexes can be established, such as the practicability, comprehensiveness, the accuracy, etc.; and finally thirty third-class indexes, such as satisfying the real demand for agricultural information (Li, 2008), targeting recipients, click rate, etc.

### 2.2 Distributing the weight of indexes

Among the first-class indexes, the range of content should be considered as the primary factor during the evaluation process, and the operation should be the second one. The benefits should be the third one due to the effectiveness and development consideration while evaluating the quality of websites. In addition, because of the development cost issue of websites, the cost should be regarded as the fourth factor. The weight of both the first-class indexes and the second-class indexes is decided through expert evaluating method and the results are shown in the table 1:

Table 1 The content and weight of first-class and second-class indexes

First-class	Second-class	Third-class	Weight
<b>The range of content</b> (0.4)	Practicability 0.3	Satisfying the real demand for agricultural information	0.5
		Targeting recipients	0.3
		Effectiveness level	0.2
	Comprehensiveness 0.2	Variety of agricultural information	0.5
		Depth of agricultural information	0.35
		Availability of original document	0.15
	Accuracy 0.25	Objectivity	0.6
		Information standards	0.4
	Authority 0.05	Reliability	0.6
		Reputation of information providers	0.4
	Timeliness 0.05	The longest update cycle	1.0
	Specificity 0.05	distinctiveness	1.0
		Providing information stably	0.6
	Stability 0.1	Opening speed of the website	0.4
Navigation design 0.3		Internal linkage	0.55
		Relativity of the linked resource	0.45
<b>The operation</b> (0.3)	Resources organization 0.2	Categories in terms of agricultural subjects	0.5
		Balance of all kinds of agricultural information resources	0.5
	User interface 0.2	Menu design	0.4
		User interface	0.35
		User guide and help information	0.25
	Search function 0.15	Search method	0.4
		Search speed	0.25
		Search range	0.35
	Connectivity 0.15	Response speed	0.5
		Download speed	0.5
<b>The benefits</b> (0.2)	Interactivity 0.5	Registration, forum	1.0
	Effectiveness 0.5	(global) click rate	1.0
<b>The costs</b> (0.1)	Technical support 0.5	Software and hardware requirements	1.0
	Connection cost 0.5	Connection fee	1.0

### 2.3 Three levels of grading standards

Evaluation objects can be rated into four levels as A, B, C, and D, each level is given different score scale. Therefore the evaluation criteria of websites are refined greatly. As shown in table 2, such a method is simple and clear and easy to operate.

Table 2 Three levels of grading standards

Three levels of grading	A	B	C	D
Score scale	90-99	70-89	60-69	40-59

### 2.4 Grading criteria of evaluation objects (agriculture websites)

Criteria of preparing index system refers to grading requirements for defining evaluation indexes. The evaluation system is divided into four levels: A (91.00 – 100.00), B (81.00 – 90.00), C (71.00 – 80.00), and D (40.00 – 70.00), the scale of each level will be determined, and the evaluation results will be calculated by corresponding mathematical formula.

## 3 EVALUATION METHOD

### 3.1 Mathematical modeling of evaluation indexes

The overall objective of evaluation can be embodied in evaluation indexes which include sub-objectives (major evaluation respects) and factors (evaluation items). The series of index sets constitute the evaluation index system. The mathematical relation of the overall evaluation objective, sub-objectives and evaluation factors, namely the structure of the evaluation index system, is illustrated by the DirectionalTree Graph as shown in Chart 1 (Zhou, 2006).

In Chart 1, Z refers to the evaluation object, which is the ultimate question solved by the evaluation system; F refers to the range of content in the first-class indexes; S is the operation in the second-class indexes (The benefits and costs are left out since this chart in this article is only for the purpose of brief explanation). DirectionalTree can be mastered quickly and easily applied to concrete problems as it is a simple and manifest calculation model solving this kind of mathematical problems, its calculation process is easy to understand (Yang, Liu and Wang, 2009).

Taking DirectionalTree's advantages above, we analyzed and calculated agricultural information websites by this model, and got the final results.




Chart 1 DirectionalTree Graph

### 3.2 Analyzing and calculating process

#### 3.2.1 Parameters description

Z: The overall index

$Z_i$ : first-class indexes ( $Z_1, Z_2, Z_3$ )

$Z_{ij}$ : second-class indexes ( $Z_{11}—Z_{1n}, Z_{21}—Z_{2n}, Z_{31}—Z_{3n}$ )

$Z_{ijk}$ : third-class indexes ( $Z_{ij1}—Z_{ijn}, Z_{i1k}—Z_{ink}, Z_{1ik}—Z_{njk}$ )

$\alpha$ : Level correction factor ( $\alpha_A: 0.0101, \alpha_B : 0.0112, \alpha_C : 0.0139, \alpha_D : 0.0145$ )

NOTE: Considering the impact of the evaluator's subjective factors, we magnify the evaluation results properly and determine  $\alpha$  in the following way: the full score of each level is presumed as a, then  $\alpha = a/(a-1)-1$ .

$\beta$ : weight of indexes on each level

#### 3.2.2 calculation process

$$\text{Step 1: } Z_{ij} = \sum Z_{ijk} \cdot \beta_{ijk}$$

$$\text{Step 2: } Z_i = \sum Z_{ij} \cdot \beta_{ij} = \sum \sum Z_{ijk} \cdot \beta_{ijk} \beta_{ij}$$

$$\text{Step 3: } Z = \alpha \sum Z_i \cdot \beta_i = \alpha \sum \sum \sum Z_{ijk} \cdot \beta_{ijk} \beta_{ij} \beta_i$$

## 4 THE EMPIRICAL CALCULATION OF THE MODEL

13 agricultural information websites are selected for empirical research in this paper, which are: China Agriculture Web, Zhongshan Agricultural Information Web, China Livestock and Veterinary Web, China Forage Industry Information web, China Fruits web, China Agricultural Products Information Web, China Agricultural Products Information Window, Chengde Agricultural Information Web, China Plant Industry Information Web, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Wanquan Agricultural Information Web, Zhengding Agricultural

Information web and Shiji Rural Information Web. The scores and rank are listed in table 3, table 4 and table 5 as below.

Table 3 Scores of agricultural information websites based on second-class indexes

Index	Website												
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Practicability	28.53	27.00	29.37	29.07	28.17	28.56	28.41	27.96	28.20	27.60	26.76	27.42	27.24
Comprehensiveness	18.84	14.80	19.24	18.61	14.43	19.26	17.71	18.82	16.60	18.23	17.51	17.68	17.90
Accuracy	24.10	21.25	24.05	24.30	21.25	26.65	22.75	22.80	23.75	23.45	24.45	22.95	21.30
Authority	4.70	4.15	4.86	4.55	4.06	4.75	4.12	4.480	4.50	4.83	4.57	4.30	4.56
Timeliness	4.90	4.45	4.95	4.95	2.95	4.95	4.90	4.950	4.25	4.25	4.75	4.75	4.70
Specificity	4.75	3.25	4.45	2.95	3.15	4.90	4.50	4.450	4.75	4.40	4.65	4.70	4.65
Stability	9.48	8.90	9.80	9.52	4.98	9.12	8.98	9.26	9.50	9.54	9.32	9.34	9.24
Navigation design	28.34	27.83	28.97	28.91	26.76	26.67	22.50	27.69	24.52	27.83	26.57	26.25	27.83
Resources organization	19.00	17.00	19.40	18.70	17.20	18.7	19.00	19.50	15.00	17.60	18.00	14.40	17.60
User interface	19.60	17.21	17.36	12.52	14.02	19.31	19.50	17.07	17.69	17.50	16.00	17.37	17.90
Search function	14.55	13.96	14.48	14.65	8.39	13.64	13.31	8.85	13.46	8.85	12.44	13.29	13.85
Connectivity	13.65	11.63	12.53	12.38	7.88	11.03	8.78	11.85	10.50	13.88	11.03	13.80	13.80
Interactivity	49.00	47.00	34.50	49.00	47.50	49.50	48.50	29.50	45.00	29.50	29.50	40.00	46.00
Effectiveness	48.00	44.50	49.00	48.50	42.50	44.50	41.00	32.50	48.00	46.00	45.00	34.50	42.50
Technical support	46.50	47.50	47.50	48.50	46.00	46.00	45.00	47.50	40.00	47.00	45.00	47.50	47.50
Connection cost	47.00	47.50	47.50	48.50	47.50	46.00	45.00	47.50	45.00	47.50	45.00	47.00	47.50

Note: 1 China Agriculture Web, 2 Zhongshan Agricultural Information Web, 3 China Livestock and Veterinary Web, 4 China Forage Industry Information web, 5 China Fruits Web, 6 China Agricultural Products Information Web, 7 China Agricultural Products Information Window, 8 Chengde Agricultural Information Web, 9 China Plant Industry Information Web, 10 Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, 11 Wanquan Agricultural Information Web, 12 Zhengding Agricultural Information Web, 13 Shiji Rural Information Web

Table 4 Scores of agricultural information websites based on the first-class indexes

Website	Index			
	The range of content	The operation	The benefits	The costs
China Agriculture Web	38.12	28.54	19.4	9.35
Zhongshan Agricultural Information Web	33.52	26.29	18.3	9.5
China Livestock and Veterinary Web	38.69	27.82	16.7	9.5
China Forage Industry Information Web	37.58	26.15	19.5	9.65
China Fruits Web	31.6	22.28	18	9.35
China Agricultural Products Information Web	39.28	26.8	18.8	9.2
China Agricultural Products Information	36.55	24.92	17.9	9

Window				
Chengde Agricultural Information Web	37.09	25.49	12.4	9.5
China Plant Industry Information Web	36.62	17.00	18.6	8.5
Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences	36.92	25.7	15.1	9.45
Wanquan Agricultural Information Web	36.81	25.23	14.9	9
Zhengding Agricultural Information Web	36.46	25.53	14.9	9.45
Shiji rural Information Web	35.72	27.29	17.7	9.5

Table 5 Overall ranking of agricultural information websites

Rank	Website	Score	Grade
1	China Agriculture Web	96.37	A
2	China Agricultural Products Information Web	95.03	A
3	China Forage Industry Information Web	94.67	A
4	China Plant Industry Information Web	94.15	A
5	China Livestock and Veterinary Web	93.64	A
6	Shiji Rural Information Web	91.12	A
7	China Agricultural Products Information Window	89.36	B
8	Zhongshan Agricultural Information Web	88.59	B
9	Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences	88.14	B
10	Zhengding Agricultural Information Web	87.31	B
11	Wanquan Agricultural Information Web	86.9	B
12	Chengde Agricultural Information Web	85.42	B
13	China Fruits Web	82.13	B

It is demonstrated by Table 5 that six websites including China Agriculture Web, China Agricultural Products Information Web, China Forage Industry Information Web, China Plant Industry Web, China Livestock and Veterinary Web, and Shiji Rural Information Web all got high scores over 90. Hence they can be classified into A-class agricultural information websites. Particularly, these six websites all have an outstanding performance in terms of the range of content, the operation and can be viewed as the model of rural information websites. However the other seven websites such as China Agricultural Information Window, Zhongshan Agricultural Information Web and Chengde Agricultural Information Web score less. Some specific respects of these websites remain to be improved although they meet the basic needs of rural information demanders.

In addition, scores and ranking based on each index are listed in table 6, table 7, table 8 and table 9 below.

Table 6 Scores and ranking based on the range of content

Ranking	Website	Score
1	China Agriculture Web	28.54
2	China Plant Industry Information Web	27.84
3	China Livestock and Veterinary Web	27.82

4	Shiji Rural Information Web	27.29
5	China Agricultural Products Information Web	26.80
6	Zhongshan Agricultural Information Web	26.29
7	China Forage Industry Information Web	26.15
8	Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences	25.70
9	Zhengding Agricultural Information Web	25.53
10	Chengde Agricultural Information Web	25.49
11	Wanquan Agricultural Information Web	25.23
12	China Agricultural Products Information Web	24.92
13	China Fruits Web	22.28

Table 7 Scores and ranking based on the operation

Ranking	Website	Score
1	China Agriculture Web	28.54
2	China Plant Industry Information Web	27.84
3	China Livestock and Veterinary Web	27.82
4	Shiji Rural Information Web	27.29
5	China Agricultural Products Information Web	26.80
6	Zhongshan Agricultural Information Web	26.29
7	China Forage Industry Information Web	26.15
8	Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences	25.70
9	Zhengding Agricultural Information Web	25.53
10	Chengde Agricultural Information Web	25.49
11	Wanquan Agricultural Information Web	25.23
12	China Agricultural Products Information Window	24.92
13	China Fruits Web	22.28

Table 8 Scores and ranking based on the benefits

Ranking	Website	Score
1	China Forage Industry Information Web	19.50
2	China Agriculture Web	19.40
3	China Agricultural Products Information Web	18.80
4	China Plant Industry Information Web	18.60
5	Zhongshan Agricultural Information Web	18.30
6	China Fruits Web	18.00
7	China Agricultural Products Information Web	17.90
8	Shiji Rural Information Web	17.70
9	China Livestock and Veterinary Web	16.70
10	Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences	15.10
11	engding Agricultural Information Web	14.90
12	Wanquan Agricultural Information Web	14.90
13	Chengde Agricultural Information Web	12.40



Table 9 Scores and ranking based on the costs

Ranking	Website	Score
1	China Forage Industry Information Web	9.65
2	China Livestock and Veterinary Web	9.50
3	Chengde Agricultural Information Web	9.50
4	Shiji Agricultural Information Web	9.50
5	Zhongshan Agricultural Information Web	9.50
6	China Plant Industry Information Web	9.45
7	Zhengding Agricultural Information Web	9.45
8	Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences	9.45
9	China Fruits Web	9.35
10	China Agriculture Web	9.35
11	China Agricultural Products Information Web	9.2
12	China Agricultural Products Information Window	9.00
13	Wanquan Agricultural Information Web	9.00

It can be interpreted from these tables that in terms of the range of content, all these websites score relatively equal and most of them is capable of providing real, accurate and comparatively extensive and deep agricultural information. This suggests that Chinese agricultural information websites' amount and depth of information are increasing annually.

In terms of the operation, each website performs well. For instance, China Agriculture Web, China Plant Industry Information Web and China Livestock and Veterinary Web have a reasonable navigation design, evenly distributed resources, friendly user interface and convenient function of search and download while China Livestock and Veterinary Web has a very good navigation design and users can retrieve information clearly as long as they login to the website.

As far as the benefits are concerned, users can easily judge which website does well or not since this index has a strong objectivity. For example, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences doesn't score very due to its lacking of functions of registration, forum and etc. in spite of its favorable impression on users.

As for the costs, all of these websites have lower requirements for users' internet condition and the connection fee of each website is not high either. And these websites would benefit from these to expand their user scope.

## 5 CONCLUSION

The evaluation method for the service quality of agricultural information websites constructed by this research is a relative scientific and impartial method by establishing the evaluation principles and method and applying to 13 agricultural information websites in random. It does not look at a website only from a single respect nor roughly evaluate a website from all respects. On the contrary, it evaluates the quality of a website based on the most important 30 respects and furthermore it is simple and clear, easy to learn and use, and can be used by anyone on any condition to evaluate a website scientifically and objectively.

## REFERENCE:

- [1] Gu,S., An,B.J.,The Status Quo and Analysis of Agricultural Information Websites Construction in China, Agricultural Network Information, 2005 (7).
- [2] Hu,D.H., Wang,J.H..The Evaluation of University Library Websites Based on Information Construction Theory, Information Science, 2007 (2).
- [3] Jia S.G., Zhao,Y.J..The Status Quo and Analysis of Agricultural Information Website Construction in China, Computer and Agriculture, 2003 (9).
- [4] Wang X.L..The Evaluation System of Professional Websites, Information Magazine, 2002 (10).
- [5] Zhou,H..Constructing the Evaluation System on Information Resources of Medical Websites by Applying Mathematical Model, Medical Information Magazine, 2006 (3).
- [6] Yang,H.Y, Liu,J., Wang C.Q..The Application of AHP in the Evaluation of Agricultural Information Websites[J], Anhui Agricultural Science, 2009, 37(28): 13940~13942, 13952.
- [7] Li,D.L..China's rural informatization development report 2007 [M], China's agricultural science and technology publishing press, 2007