

Reading Aloud Training Game for Children with Auto Evaluation of Oral Reading Fluency

Toshiaki Takita, Kazuhisa Akimoto, Junichi Hoshino

► **To cite this version:**

Toshiaki Takita, Kazuhisa Akimoto, Junichi Hoshino. Reading Aloud Training Game for Children with Auto Evaluation of Oral Reading Fluency. 16th International Conference on Entertainment Computing (ICEC), Sep 2017, Tsukuba City, Japan. pp.404-408, 10.1007/978-3-319-66715-7_50. hal-01771275

HAL Id: hal-01771275

<https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01771275>

Submitted on 19 Apr 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Reading Aloud Training Game for Children with Auto Evaluation of Oral Reading Fluency

Toshiaki Takita Kazuhisa Akimoto Junichi Hoshino¹

¹ University of Tsukuba, Graduate school of Systems and Information Engineering,
1-1-1, Tennodai, Tsukuba-shi, Ibaraki, Japan
jhoshino@esys.tsukuba.ac.jp

Abstract. Reading aloud is an important study method of acquiring information and building knowledge from text. This article proposes an objective and automatable method that evaluates fluency of children's reading by reflecting the evaluation by those who are experienced in book reading (to children), and presents the outcomes of building an automatic evaluation system on the fluency of reading with that method.

Keywords: *Read aloud training, gamification, evaluation of oral reading fluency*

1 Introduction

Upon obtaining information and building knowledge, reading aloud is a basic and important learning method. It has been indicated that there is a correlation between children's fluency in reading aloud and their reading comprehension [1]. It has been also indicated that, by enhancing children's fluency of reading aloud, their reading comprehension can be also enhanced[2]. Therefore, it can be said that it is important to enhance children's fluency in reading aloud.

In this article, by reflecting the evaluation of reading fluency by those who are experienced in book reading, an objective and automatable evaluation method on children's reading fluency was proposed, and using that method, an automatic reading fluency evaluation system was built. Upon building the system, it was designed to be capable of enhancing children's reading fluency in their reading practices with the automated evaluation system without having a teacher with them. In the evaluation experiment of the proposed system, the actual system was used by children, and a questionnaire survey was conducted on both children and their parents. As a result, it was revealed that the proposed system was easy to use, and it enhanced children's motivation for reading aloud, resulting in the enhanced reading fluency, not only in the material they practiced on, but also in other materials as well.

2 Game Design

The proposed system aimed to be a system that could motivate children to practice reading, and to help enhance their reading fluency. By implementing the proposed system in a terminal, it would allow children to practice their reading aloud and have it evaluated anytime without having a teacher or parents around. In order to realize such system, three requirements were set: 1) being capable of enhancing children's reading fluency, 2) being capable of motivating them to practice reading aloud, and 3) being easy to use.

$$S_{IPA} = \sum_{i=1}^L |\overline{IPA}_i - IPA_i|$$

$$\overline{IPA}_i = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x=1}^N IPA_{i,x}$$

'IPA' is the time it takes to read the i-th phoneme, comma or period, and 'IPA_{i, x}' is the time the reader 'x' took to read the i-th phoneme, comma or period. 'L' indicates the numbers of phonemes, commas and periods in the reading material. The detailed explanations on 'S'IPA' will be referred in [3].

The evaluation of reading fluency was automatically conducted by quantifying the reading fluency using S'IPA, setting the threshold values. When the result was below the lower threshold value, it is defined as 'fluent', when it is in-between the two thresholds, it is 'neither', and when it is higher than the higher threshold, it is defined 'not fluent'.

In [3], taking into account the close correlation with the fluency, the applicability of the reading fluency evaluation index was verified that indicated that S'IPA was best applicable. In addition, it suggested that CIPA and C'IPA that used average time length of each phoneme in model reading materials are the index adequately applicable to evaluate the reading fluency.

4 Experiments

After having 8 children participate in the reading-aloud practice for a week, an evaluation experiment was conducted by having a questionnaire survey on both children and their parents. By having the parents evaluate children's reading before and after the practice according to the items of Table 3, the improvement of fluency was observed in all the reading materials as shown in Table 2. Among the reading materials, significant improvement was observed in the material A and C, and those children who practiced reading with the proposed system not only improved in fluency of reading in the practiced material, but also in other materials as well. From this result, it was suggested that the proposed system was capable of enhancing children's general fluency of reading.

Moreover, the usability of the system was asked to the children. The content of the questions and the obtained answers were shown in Table 1. All the question items received favorable answers as shown in Table 1, and it was revealed that they found the proposed system easy to use, and were motivated to practice reading.

Table1 Questionnaire and choices

Question	Did the child read it fluently?
Choices	12 Yes. VERY Fluent.
	11 Yes. Very Fluent.
	10 Yes. Fluent.
	9 Yes. A little fluency.
	8 Neither. Become fluent a little more.
	7 Neither. If I had to choose one, fluent.
	6 Neither. If I had to choose one, non-fluent.
	5 Neither. Become non-fluent a little more.
	4 No. A little non-fluent.
	3 No. Non-fluent.
	2 No. Very non-fluent.
	1 No. VERY non-fluent.

Table2 Questionnaires about oral reading practice and the proposed system

Question	Answer
1 Was it fun to practice reading reading?	5.0
2 Did you practice reading well?	4.0
3 Did you do your best and practice?	4.4
4 Did you feel bad when you were practicing?	1.4
5 Was it easy to use?	4.9
6 Was the scoring result helpful?	4.5
7 Did you help in practicing reading aloud?	5.0
8 Can you keep using it all the time?	4.6
9 Do you want to use it again?	4.8

Table3 Result of oral reading fluency evaluation

	Before	After
Book 1	8.3	9.1
Book 2	8.5	8.8
Book 3	6.8	7.8

Furthermore, as a questionnaire survey was conducted on the parents, it was reported that there were children who practiced reading-aloud proactively everyday, or who raised hands to speak during Japanese class, as well as those who became better in reading aloud in short time. However, since the system offered only one reading material this time, it was reported that some children got bored after a while.

5 Conclusion

In this article, an automatic evaluating system on the fluency of reading aloud was developed, using the evaluation index that reflected the evaluation by experienced book readers (to children). In the children's fluency evaluation, the feature amount S'IPA that compared the time duration of each phoneme read by a child with the average time duration of the preliminarily recorded reading. The evaluation experiment of the proposed system revealed that it was capable of enhancing the fluency of children's reading. Moreover, children were able to use the proposed system easily, and became motivated to engage in reading aloud proactively. On the other hand, as the system offered only one reading material, it would be necessary to have multiple materials to keep children interested.

References

1. D.R.Reutzell, P. M. Hollingsworth, "Effects of fluency training on second grader's reading comprehension", *Journal of Education Research*, Vol.86, No.6, pp.325-331, 1993.
2. F. Z. Stayter, R. L. Allington, "Fluency and the understanding of texts", *Theory Into Practice*, Vol30, No.3, pp.143-148, 1991.
3. T.Takita, H.Nakadai, J.Hoshino: Fluency of reading reading by children Automatic evaluation index, *Information Processing Society of Japan*, Vol.57, No.3, 2016