

The Pattern of Foreign Direct Investment and International Trade: A Study of 30 OECD Countries from 1981 to 2015

Yutong Li

► **To cite this version:**

Yutong Li. The Pattern of Foreign Direct Investment and International Trade: A Study of 30 OECD Countries from 1981 to 2015. Kecheng Liu; Keiichi Nakata; Weizi Li; Cecilia Baranauskas. 18th International Conference on Informatics and Semiotics in Organisations (ICISO), Jul 2018, Reading, United Kingdom. Springer International Publishing, IFIP Advances in Information and Communication Technology, AICT-527, pp.318-319, 2018, Digitalisation, Innovation, and Transformation. hal-01928378

HAL Id: hal-01928378

<https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01928378>

Submitted on 20 Nov 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



The Pattern of Foreign Direct Investment and International Trade: A Study of 30 OECD Countries from 1981 to 2015

Yutong Li^(✉)

University of Reading, Berkshire RG6 6AE, UK
y.li8@pgr.reading.ac.uk

Abstract. This paper is a macroeconomic study investigating the causality of foreign direct investment and international trade. The research is based on 30 OECD countries from 1981 to 2015 and using data collected from official annual time series data. To interpret the causality in each country, we added six country profile factors to cooperate analysis whether different country profile factors would change the causality. The main findings indicate that there is a bi-direction always exists if the country has either pure high-ranking level of all the country profile factors or they have a pure of the low ranking level of country profile factors.

Keywords: FDI · International trade · OECD

1 Introduction

The primary purpose of this working paper is to measure the causality of foreign direct investment and international trade: whether they are ‘complementary’ to each other, or they could ‘substitute’ for each other. We will analysis 30 countries in OECD by using vector autoregression model; the endogenous variables of VAR model consist of FDI inward, FDI outward, export, import; and the exogenous variable in this model will be GDP at a constant price in 2005. To interpret the relationship between foreign direct investment and international trade, we will separate it into two parts: one is to analyze the effect of global trade on FDI, and the other one is to measure the impact of FDI on international trade. There will be four key relationships are including the effect of import on FDI inward, the impact of FDI inward on import, the effect of export on FDI outward, and the effect of FDI outward on export. The model will use in the paper showed below:

$$\begin{pmatrix} FDIN_t \\ FDIO_t \\ X_t \\ M_t \end{pmatrix} = C \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{11} \\ \phi_{21} \\ \phi_{31} \\ \phi_{41} \end{pmatrix} + \Pi \begin{pmatrix} FDIN_{t-1} \\ FDIO_{t-1} \\ X_{t-1} \\ M_{t-1} \end{pmatrix} + ExogenousVariables + \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{1t} \\ \mu_{2t} \\ \mu_{3t} \\ \mu_{4t} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

2 Contribution and Conclusion

The contribution of this paper is that we add five country profiles to analysis the regression result to explain the relationship between FDI and international trade. These profiles are including government institutions, market sophistication, knowledge input, knowledge and technology output, and product market regulations. We also use FDI regulatory restriction to divided 30 countries into two groups. There are 11 countries in the first group, which means they have a strict FDI restriction. The remaining 19 countries have a less FDI regulatory restriction is in the second group. Moreover, we use ‘Y’ indicate if one country has a strong comparative advantage in this sector; ‘N’ indicates if a country has a comparative weakness advantage than other OECD countries in this sector. Moreover, ‘Y*’ means this country has a relatively strong comparative advantage (the score above the average), and ‘N*’ means this country has a relative weakness comparative advantage (the score below the average). We found that the more factors the country has and also under the less FDI regulatory restriction, this country has a more robust relationship between FDI and international trade.

References

1. Harding, T., Javorcik, B.: Foreign direct investment and export upgrading. *Rev. Econ. Stat.* **94**(4), 964–980 (2012)
2. Markuson, R., Svensson, L.: Trade in goods and factors with international differences in technology. *Int. Econ. Rev.* **26**, 175–192 (1985)
3. Pain, N., Wakelin, K.: *Export Performance and the Role of Foreign Direct Investment*, vol. 66. University of Manchester (1998)
4. Rana, A., Keberwar, M.: *The Political Economy of FDI Flows into Developing Countries: Does the Depth of International Trade Agreements Matter?* University of Orleans (2014)
5. Tekin, R.: Economic growth, exports and foreign direct investment in least development countries: a panel granger causality analysis. *Econ. Model.* **29**, 868–878 (2012)
6. Vu, B., Noy, I.: Sector analysis of foreign direct investment and growth in the developed countries. *J. Int. Financ. Markets Inst. Money* **19**, 402–413 (2009)
7. Wacker, K.: *Do Multinationals Deteriorate Developing Countries’ Export Price? The Impact of FDI ON Net Barter Terms of Trade.* The World Economy. (2015)
8. Wooldridge, J.: *Introductory Econometrics: A Modern Approach.* South-Western, Cengage Learning, United States (2010)