

# Querying Attributed DL-Lite Ontologies Using Provenance Semirings (Extended Abstract)

Camille Bourgaux, Ana Ozaki

► **To cite this version:**

Camille Bourgaux, Ana Ozaki. Querying Attributed DL-Lite Ontologies Using Provenance Semirings (Extended Abstract). DL 2019 - 32nd International Workshop on Description Logics, Jun 2019, Oslo, Norway. hal-02152064

**HAL Id: hal-02152064**

**<https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02152064>**

Submitted on 11 Jun 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Querying Attributed DL-Lite Ontologies Using Provenance Semirings (Extended Abstract)

Camille Bourgaux and Ana Ozaki

DI ENS, CNRS, ENS, PSL University & Inria, France  
KRDB Research Centre, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy

Knowledge graphs often enrich data with contextual information (such as temporal validity or provenance of an assertion) in the format of annotations, which can be seen as *attribute-value pairs*. Recently, attributed description logics have been proposed to bridge the gap between the data format present in knowledge graphs and classical description logics [4, 3, 5]. The mentioned works analyse the complexity of the satisfiability problem for various attributed description logics. This extended abstract gives an overview of our work [1], published at AAI 2019, on query answering in attributed description logics using provenance semirings. After investigating satisfiability and query answering in attributed DL-Lite $\mathcal{R}$ , we turn our attention to *provenance information*, one of the most common types of annotation in knowledge graphs. Indeed, since knowledge graphs often integrate data from multiple sources, one may not be only interested in obtaining query results but also in establishing levels of trust, or determining authorship, among others [7]. To deal with provenance information, we propose a new semantics based on provenance semirings, as first introduced in database theory [2] (and also recently studied in an ontology-based data access setting [6]).

**Attributed DL-Lite $\mathcal{R}$**  Attributed DLs are defined over the usual DL signature with countable sets of *concept names*  $N_C$ , *role names*  $N_R$ , and *individual names*  $N_I$ . We consider an additional set  $N_U$  of *set variables* and a set  $N_V$  of *object variables*. Annotation sets are defined as finite binary relations, understood as sets of attribute-value pairs. Attributes and values refer to domain elements and are syntactically denoted by individual names. To describe annotation sets, we use a set  $S$  of *specifiers*, which can be either *set variables*; *closed specifiers*  $[a_1: v_1, \dots, a_n: v_n]$ ; or *open specifiers*  $[a_1: v_1, \dots, a_n: v_n]$ , where  $a_i \in N_I$  and  $v_i$  is either an individual name in  $N_I$ , an object variable in  $N_V$ , or an expression of the form  $X.a$ , with  $X$  a set variable in  $N_U$  and  $a$  an individual name in  $N_I$ . We use  $X.a$  to refer to the (finite, possibly empty) set of all values of attribute  $a$  in an annotation set  $X$ . Intuitively, closed specifiers define specific annotation sets whereas open specifiers merely provide lower bounds [3]. A *ground specifier* is a closed or open specifier that only contains individual names. A DL-Lite $\mathcal{R}$ <sub>@</sub> *role* (resp. *concept*) *assertion* is an expression  $R(a, b)@S$  (resp.  $A(a)@S$ ), with  $R \in N_R$  (resp.  $A \in N_C$ ),  $a, b \in N_I$ , and  $S \in S$  a ground closed specifier. DL-Lite $\mathcal{R}$ <sub>@</sub> *role* and *concept inclusions* are of the form  $X: S (P \sqsubseteq Q)$  and  $X: S (B \sqsubseteq C)$  respectively, where  $X \in N_U$ ,  $S \in S$  is a closed or open specifier, and  $P, Q$  and  $B, C$  are respectively role and concept expressions defined by the following syntax, where  $A \in N_C$ ,  $R \in N_R$  and  $S \in S$ :

$$P ::= R@S \mid R^-@S, \quad Q ::= P \mid \neg P, \quad B ::= A@S \mid \exists P, \quad C ::= B \mid \neg B.$$

We require that all variables are *safe*, which intuitively means that if they appear on the right side of an inclusion then they should also be associated to the concept on the left.

*Example 1.* In  $DL\text{-Lite}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathcal{R}}$ , we can express that those who are married (role spouse) to someone are married (concept Married), and that this fact is associated with the same sources from which the information has been extracted (attribute src):  $\exists \text{spouse}@X \sqsubseteq \text{Married}@[\text{src} : X.\text{src}]$ . The assertion  $\text{spouse}(\text{gabor}, \text{ryan})@[\text{src} : s_1, \text{src} : s_2]$  states that Gabor is married to Ryan and it is annotated with the sources of this information.

We similarly define attributed conjunctive queries by associating concept and role names with specifiers. The formal definition of the semantics is given in [1].

*Example 2.* The query  $\exists x \text{Married}(\text{gabor})@[\text{year} : x] \wedge \text{Married}(\text{taylor})@[\text{year} : x]$  holds if Gabor and Taylor were married (to someone) on the same year.

We establish complexity results for the satisfiability and query entailment problems.

**Theorem 3.** *In  $DL\text{-Lite}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathcal{R}}$ , satisfiability and query entailment are PSPACE-complete. When the ontology does not contain variables, query entailment is NP-complete.*

We now define a new semantics for dealing with provenance, which is based on semirings.

**Provenance semirings-based semantics** We study query entailment in attributed DL-Lite using a provenance semiring-based semantics. We represent provenance with the *positive algebra provenance semiring* for  $\mathbb{N}_1$ , defined as the commutative semiring of polynomials with variables in  $\mathbb{N}_1$  and coefficients from  $\mathbb{N}$ , with operations defined as usual:  $\mathcal{K} = (\mathbb{N}[\mathbb{N}_1], +, \times, 0, 1)$  [2]. We denote by  $\mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  the set of polynomials of  $\mathcal{K}$  and by  $\mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{S}}$  the subset of  $\mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  containing the sums of the commutative monoid  $(\mathbb{N}[\mathbb{N}_1], +, 0)$ . We allow to use *provenance polynomials* from  $\mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  as values in a specifier associated to the *whole query* and *provenance sums* from  $\mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{S}}$  as values in specifiers occurring in the ontology or associated with query atoms. Intuitively,  $+$  indicates *alternative* use of the data while  $\times$  indicates *join* use of the data. For instance, if the result of a query over an ontology can be obtained from source  $s_3$  together with any of  $s_1, s_2$  then the provenance polynomial is:  $(s_1 + s_2) \times s_3$ . The main idea is that now we allow the whole query to be annotated with a provenance polynomial and such query is entailed if the polynomial represents the alternative and join uses of the data. More specifically, we introduce *semiring attributed queries*, which are attributed queries where we associate a specifier to the whole query [1].

*Example 4.* The query  $(\text{Married}(a) \wedge \text{Married}(b))@[\text{src} : s_1 \times (s_2 + s_3), \text{cls} : \text{pub} \times \text{cnf}]$  is entailed by the assertions  $\text{Married}(a)@[\text{src} : s_1, \text{cls} : \text{pub}]$ ,  $\text{Married}(b)@[\text{src} : s_2, \text{cls} : \text{cnf}]$ , and  $\text{Married}(b)@[\text{src} : s_3, \text{cls} : \text{cnf}]$ . The fact that  $a$  and  $b$  are both married is obtained by combining  $s_1$  with  $s_2$  or  $s_3$ , and by having access to public and confidential information. Sums may also appear in concept inclusions, e.g.,  $X : [\text{src} : s_1 + s_2](\exists \text{spouse}@X \sqsubseteq \text{Married}@X)$ , which requires that the fact that someone has a spouse has to be associated both with  $s_1$  and with  $s_2$  to conclude that this person is married.

We establish complexity results for this variant of attributed DL-Lite, which we call  $DL\text{-Lite}_{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{K}}^{\mathcal{R}}$ . The salient results of our investigation are the EXPTIME-hardness for the satisfiability problem, and the NP-completeness of query entailment for a restricted class of ontologies, called *simple*, that allows for inclusions among atomic concepts or roles of the form  $E_1@S \sqsubseteq E_2@T$  where  $S$  and  $T$  are ground specifiers.

**Theorem 5.** *In  $DL\text{-Lite}_{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{K}}^{\mathcal{R}}$ , satisfiability is EXPTIME-hard and in 2EXPTIME. When the ontology is simple, query entailment is NP-complete.*

## References

1. Camille Bourgaux and Ana Ozaki. Querying attributed DL-Lite ontologies using provenance semirings. In *Proceedings of AAAI*, 2019.
2. Todd J. Green, Gregory Karvounarakis, and Val Tannen. Provenance semirings. In *Proceedings of PODS*, 2007.
3. Markus Krötzsch, Maximilian Marx, Ana Ozaki, and Veronika Thost. Attributed description logics: Ontologies for knowledge graphs. In *Proceedings of ISWC*, 2017.
4. Markus Krötzsch, Maximilian Marx, Ana Ozaki, and Veronika Thost. Attributed description logics: Reasoning on knowledge graphs. In *Proceedings of IJCAI*, 2018.
5. Ana Ozaki, Markus Krötzsch, and Sebastian Rudolph. Happy ever after: Temporally attributed description logics. In *Proceedings of DL*, 2018.
6. Ana Ozaki and Rafael Peñaloza. Provenance in ontology-based data access. In *Proceedings of DL*, 2018.
7. Pierre Senellart. Provenance and probabilities in relational databases. *SIGMOD Record*, 46(4):5–15, 2017.