A Stochastic Optimization Model for Commodity Rebalancing Under Traffic Congestion in Disaster Response

Xuehong Gao

► To cite this version:

Xuehong Gao. A Stochastic Optimization Model for Commodity Rebalancing Under Traffic Congestion in Disaster Response. IFIP International Conference on Advances in Production Management Systems (APMS), Sep 2019, Austin, TX, United States. pp.91-99, 10.1007/978-3-030-29996-5_11 . hal-02460520

HAL Id: hal-02460520

<https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02460520>

Submitted on 30 Jan 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution| 4.0 International License

A Stochastic Optimization Model for Commodity Rebalancing under Traffic Congestion in Disaster Response

Xuehong Gao

Pusan National University, Busan, Republic of Korea
gao2016@pnu.edu

Abstract. After a large-scale disaster, the emergency commodity should be distributed to relief centers. However, the initial commodity distribution may be unbalanced due to the incomplete information and uncertain environment. It is necessary to rebalance the emergency commodity among relief centers. Traffic congestion is an important factor to delay delivery of the commodity. Neither the commodity rebalancing nor traffic congestion is considered in previous studies. In this study, a two-stage stochastic optimization model is proposed to manage the commodity rebalancing, where uncertainties of demand and supply are considered. The goals are to minimize the expected total weighted unmet demand in the first stage and minimize the total transportation time in the second stage. Finally, a numerical analysis is conducted for a randomly generated instance; the results illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed model in the commodity rebalancing over the transportation network with traffic congestion.

Keywords: Commodity Rebalancing, Emergency Logistics, Stochastic Optimization, Traffic Congestion.

1 Introduction

In the last decade, large-scale natural disasters have occurred frequently. Such natural disasters pose serious threats to the sustainable development of society, economy, and ecology. A large number of people are impacted significantly and a lot of assets are damaged severely. Upon these disasters, relief centers should be determined and emergency commodity should be distributed to these relief centers to provide basic life support [1-3]. However, because the initial commodity distribution may be unbalanced due to the incomplete information and uncertain environment, each relief center may have a surplus or a shortage. In such a situation, the surplus should be redelivered to other unmet relief centers to make the efficient use of the commodity. In the transportation process to rebalance the commodity, traffic congestion is considered, because large-scale disasters usually trigger huge demand and service in the disaster area, which makes the mobile network operators no longer able to provide demand and service sufficiently. Hence, tasks to rebalance the commodity over the transportation network with traffic congestion are very difficult to accomplish under demand and

supply uncertainties. Against the backdrop of difficulties, the aim of this study is to formulate this commodity rebalancing problem with stochastic elements considering traffic congestion and solve it using mathematical programming.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews previous studies and highlights the main differences from the previous studies. Section 3 provides a problem description, a stochastic optimization model, and a solution method. Then, the application of the proposed model in a numerical instance is shown where the results are presented and discussed in Section 4. Finally, Section 5 concludes this study with contributions and further directions.

2 Literature review

Humanitarian logistics research has attracted growing attention as human suffering and economic loss continue to increase. In the past, many studies mainly surveyed on the humanitarian logistics for disaster management. Caunhye, Nie [4] reviewed optimization models in emergency logistics, which were divided into the following parts: facility location, relief distribution, casualty transportation, and other operations. Galindo and Batta [5] reviewed recent OR/MS research in disaster operations management and provided future research directions. Here, the related studies are reviewed on the commodity distribution, commodity rebalancing (also referred to as redistribution), and humanitarian logistics under the consideration of traffic congestion in disaster response. Dessouky, Ordonez [6] argued two important issues to solve facility location and vehicle routing problems that could ensure the rapid distribution of medical supplies in a logistics network. Jotshi, Gong [7] developed a robust methodology for dispatching and routing emergency vehicles in a post-disaster environment with the support of data fusion. Chen and Yu [8] applied an integer programming and a network-based partitioning to determine temporary locations for on-post EMS facilities after the disaster. Al Theeb and Murray [9] presented a mathematical programming model to deliver goods, disaster victims, and volunteer workers through a road network. Gao and Lee [10] proposed a stochastic programming model to facilitate the multi-commodity redistribution process under uncertainty. Gao and Lee [11] proposed a two-stage stochastic programming model to design a multi-modal transportation network for multi-commodity redistribution.

Traffic congestion is one of the most important factors to delay humanitarian logistics and contribute to increasing the time of delivery and the number of injuries after disasters [12]. Transportation time may also be affected by traffic congestion on the roads due to various reasons [7]. Feng and Wen [13] pointed out that the roadway systems usually got different levels of damage, and thus the roadway capacity was reduced, which caused traffic congestion after a severe earthquake. Nagurney, Flores [14] proposed a network model which consisted of multiple nongovernmental organizations who sought to supply multiple demand points with relief items post a disaster to reduce the convergence and even congestion. To estimate the traffic congestion, the travel time function suggested in the traditional Bureau of Public Roads

(BPR) curve [15] provides the relationship between the link travel time and the volume of traffic on a highway network, which is shown in the following functional form:

$$F(x) = F_0 \cdot [1.0 + \alpha \cdot \left(\frac{x}{C}\right)^\beta]. \quad (1)$$

where $F(x)$ is the link travel time when the link-flow rate is x , F_0 is the free flow travel time, C is the practical link capacity, and $\alpha \geq 0$, $\beta \geq 0$ are parameters. This BPR function is widely used in the transportation planning field [16].

In spite of many studies that have been dedicated to humanitarian logistics after the disasters, few of them concern the commodity rebalancing process. To fill this research gap, this study focuses on the commodity rebalancing problem that incorporates traffic congestion. The novelty and contribution can be summarized as follows. A stochastic mixed-integer nonlinear programming model is proposed to formulate this commodity rebalancing problem, which has never been studied before. Then, a linearization method is also proposed to reformulate the nonlinear model so that it can be solved in the optimization solver CPLEX. Finally, a numerical instance is carried out and some managerial insights are obtained.

3 Problem and Methodology

3.1 Problem Statement

A transportation network which consists of a number of roads and relief centers is considered in this study. An initial commodity distribution has been delivered to these relief centers. Upon the disaster, the surplus commodity at some relief centers needs to be redelivered to other unmet relief centers. It is difficult to determine how much of commodity should be delivered and received at each relief center, which makes the supply and demand uncertain. In this study, a scenario-based approach is applied for uncertain elements, which are represented in terms of a number of discrete realizations of stochastic quantities. Generally, in a demand or supply relief center, there is a set of scenarios $\mathcal{E}$. For a particular scenario $\xi \in \mathcal{S}$, there is a probability of occurrence $\mathcal{P}(\xi)$, such that $\mathcal{P}(\xi) \geq 0$ and $\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{P}(\xi) = 1$. In this study, two assumptions are made: (i) each relief center is a separate unit in term of the possible quantities of demand or supply; (ii) the available paths with background traffic-flow rates are given.

In light of the above requirements, this study develops a two-stage stochastic mixed-integer nonlinear programming model for the commodity rebalancing problem. The objective functions are to minimize the expected total weighted unmet demand in the first stage and minimize the total transportation time in the second stage.

3.2 Model Formulation

The problem is modeled using the following notations.

Sets

- $\mathcal{S}$ Set of supply relief centers, indexed by $s \in \mathcal{S}$.
- $\mathcal{D}$ Set of demand relief centers, indexed by $d \in \mathcal{D}$.
- $\mathcal{S}$ Set of scenarios, indexed by $\xi \in \mathcal{S}$.

Parameters

S_s^Δ, S_s^∇	The minimum and maximum supply at relief center s .
R_d^Δ, R_d^∇	The minimum and maximum demand at relief center d .
M	A big positive number.
W, V	Commodity weight and volume.
CW, CV	Vehicle weight and volume capacities.
Z^s, Z^d	Weighted values of supply relief center s and demand relief center d .
D_{sd}	Distance between relief centers s and d .
B_{sd}	Background traffic-flow rate between relief centers s and d .
C_{sd}	Practical traffic-flow rate capacity between relief centers s to d .
T	Planning period for the transportation process.
V	Vehicle travel speed.
L	Vehicle loading/unloading time.
o_s^ξ	Possible supply at relief center s in scenario ξ .
i_d^ξ	Possible demand at relief center d in scenario ξ .
p_s^ξ	Probability of occurrence of o_s^ξ .
p_d^ξ	Probability of occurrence of i_d^ξ .

Decision variables

d_s	Outgoing quantity at relief center s .
r_d	Incoming quantity at relief center d .
w_{sd}	Commodity-flow from relief centers s to d .
n_{sd}	Vehicle number from relief centers s to d .

The completed formulation is given as the following two-stage stochastic mixed-integer nonlinear programming model. In the first stage, the objective function is defined as follows

$$\Psi_1 = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}} \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{S}} p_d^\xi \cdot Z^d \cdot \max\{i_d^\xi - r_d, 0\} + \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{S}} p_s^\xi \cdot Z^s \cdot \max\{d_s - o_s^\xi, 0\} \quad (2)$$

To remove the MAX function in (2) and realize the MAX function, the following two auxiliary binary variables are introduced into the model.

$$p_d^\xi = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r_d \text{ is smaller than } i_d^\xi \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \forall d \in \mathcal{D}, \xi \in \mathcal{S}, \quad (3)$$

$$q_s^\xi = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d_s \text{ is greater than } o_s^\xi \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \xi \in \mathcal{S}. \quad (4)$$

Then, the commodity rebalancing problem can be formulated as a deterministic optimization model:

$$\text{Min } \Psi_1 = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}} \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{S}} p_d^\xi \cdot Z^d \cdot (i_d^\xi - r_d) \cdot p_d^\xi + \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{S}} p_s^\xi \cdot Z^s \cdot (d_s - o_s^\xi) \cdot q_s^\xi \quad (5)$$

s.t.

$$\sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} d_s = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}} r_d, \quad (6)$$

$$(i_d^\xi - r_d) + M \geq M \cdot p_d^\xi \quad \forall d \in \mathcal{D}, \xi \in \mathcal{S}, \quad (7)$$

$$(i_d^\xi - r_d) + M \geq M \cdot q_s^\xi \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \xi \in \mathcal{S}, \quad (8)$$

$$(i_d^\xi - r_d) \leq M \cdot p_d^\xi \quad \forall d \in \mathcal{D}, \xi \in \mathcal{S}, \quad (9)$$

$$(d_s - o_s^\xi) \leq M \cdot q_s^\xi \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \xi \in \mathcal{S}, \quad (10)$$

$$S_s^\Delta \leq d_s \leq S_s^\nabla \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \quad (11)$$

$$R_d^A \leq r_d \leq R_d^V \quad \forall d \in \mathcal{D}, \quad (12)$$

where objective function (5) aims to minimize the expected total weighted unmet demand at relief centers. Constraint (6) guarantees the balance of outgoing and incoming shipments. Constraints (7)-(10) guarantee that relief centers only account for the unmet demand. Given a general positive number to $i_d^\xi - r_d$, p_d^ξ is 1, whereas p_d^ξ is 0. Constraints (11) and (12) define the decision variables. After the first-stage decision variables are obtained, the second-stage problem can be formulated as follows.

$$\text{Min } \psi_2 = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}} T \cdot n_{sd} + \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{D_{sd}}{V} \cdot \left[1 + \alpha \cdot \left(\frac{n_{sd} + B_{sd} \cdot T}{C_{sd} \cdot T} \right)^\beta \right] \cdot n_{sd} \quad (13)$$

s.t.

$$\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}} w_{sd} \leq d_s \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \quad (14)$$

$$\sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} w_{sd} \geq r_d \quad \forall d \in \mathcal{D}, \quad (15)$$

$$\sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}} w_{sd} = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} w_{sd}, \quad (16)$$

$$w_{sd} \cdot W \leq n_{sd} \cdot CW \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, d \in \mathcal{D}, \quad (17)$$

$$w_{sd} \cdot V \leq n_{sd} \cdot CV \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, d \in \mathcal{D}, \quad (18)$$

$$n_{sd} \leq (C_{sd} - B_{sd}) \cdot T \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, d \in \mathcal{D}, \quad (19)$$

$$n_{sd} \geq 0 \text{ \& integer} \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, d \in \mathcal{D}, \quad (20)$$

$$w_{sd} \geq 0 \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, d \in \mathcal{D}. \quad (21)$$

where the objective function (13) aims to minimize the total transportation time. Constraint (14) ensures the total outgoing shipment cannot exceed the available amount at relief center s . Constraint (15) ensures that the total incoming shipment should be greater than or equal to the demand at relief center d . Constraint (16) guarantees transportation balance. Constraints (17) and (18) restrict assigned vehicles should be able to deliver the commodity by satisfying both weight and volume capacities. Constraint (19) ensures that assigned vehicles cannot exceed the route capacity. Constraints (20) and (21) are nonnegative constraints of variables.

3.3 Solution Method

The second-stage objective function is nonlinear when the BPR function is considered. To linearize the second-stage objective function, two more auxiliary parameters are introduced in this study. The first one is the maximum units of the commodity in one vehicle, which is represented as $G = \min(\frac{CW}{W}, \frac{CV}{V})$. The other one is the number of vehicles x from relief centers s to d , which is represented as n_{sd}^x . Because the vehicle number must be an integer, the discrete solution space can be built. The consecutive integer numbers are used to represent the potential solution.

$$n_{sd}^x \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, x, \dots, \min[(C_{sd} - B_{sd}) \cdot T, \min(\frac{d_s^*}{G}, \frac{r_d^*}{G})]\} \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, d \in \mathcal{D}. \quad (22)$$

And an auxiliary binary variable is used to represent the second-stage decision variables.

$$\varphi_{sd}^x = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n_{sd}^x \text{ vehicles are used from relief centers } s \text{ to } d. \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

Then, the BPR function can be rewritten as follows.

$$\psi(n_{sd}^x) = \left[1 + \alpha \cdot \left(\frac{n_{sd}^x + B_{sd} \cdot T}{C_{sd} \cdot T} \right)^\beta \right] \cdot n_{sd}^x \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, d \in \mathcal{D}. \quad (24)$$

With the new BPR function, the second-stage model can be rewritten as follows.

$$\text{Min } \Psi_2 = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}} \sum_{x=0}^{\min[(C_{sd}-B_{sd}) \cdot T, \min(\frac{d_s^* r_d^*}{G}, \frac{r_d^*}{G})]} [T \cdot n_{sd}^x + \frac{D_{sd}}{V} \cdot \psi(n_{sd}^x)] \cdot \varphi_{sd}^x, \quad (25)$$

s.t.

$$\sum_{x=0}^{\min[(C_{sd}-B_{sd}) \cdot T, \min(\frac{d_s^* r_d^*}{G}, \frac{r_d^*}{G})]} n_{sd}^x \cdot \varphi_{sd}^x \leq \min(\frac{d_s^*}{G}, \frac{r_d^*}{G}) \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, d \in \mathcal{D}, \quad (26)$$

$$\sum_{x=0}^{\min[(C_{sd}-B_{sd}) \cdot T, \min(\frac{d_s^* r_d^*}{G}, \frac{r_d^*}{G})]} n_{sd}^x \cdot \varphi_{sd}^x \leq (C_{sd} - B_{sd}) \cdot T \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, d \in \mathcal{D}, \quad (27)$$

$$\sum_{x=0}^{\min[(C_{sd}-B_{sd}) \cdot T, \min(\frac{d_s^* r_d^*}{G}, \frac{r_d^*}{G})]} \varphi_{sd}^x = 1 \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, d \in \mathcal{D}, \quad (28)$$

$$\sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{x=0}^{\min[(C_{sd}-B_{sd}) \cdot T, \min(\frac{d_s^* r_d^*}{G}, \frac{r_d^*}{G})]} n_{sd}^x \cdot \varphi_{sd}^x \cdot G \geq r_d^* \quad \forall d \in \mathcal{D}, \quad (29)$$

$$\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}} \sum_{x=0}^{\min[(C_{sd}-B_{sd}) \cdot T, \min(\frac{d_s^* r_d^*}{G}, \frac{r_d^*}{G})]} n_{sd}^x \cdot \varphi_{sd}^x \cdot G \leq d_s^* \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \quad (30)$$

$$n_{sd}^x \geq 0 \text{ \& integer} \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, d \in \mathcal{D}. \quad (31)$$

where objective function (25) represents the total transportation time. Constraints (26) and (27) restrict the total number of vehicles on each route. Constraint (28) restricts that only one solution is selected from the set of potential solutions. Constraint (29) restricts that the pre-determined demand should be met. Constraint (30) restricts the outgoing commodity cannot exceed the pre-determined supply. Constraint (31) defines the decision variable.

4 Numerical analysis

To illustrate the validity of the proposed model and solution approach, a numerical analysis with a randomly generated instance is carried out and the related results are reported. In this numerical instance, 12 relief centers and the commodity of food are considered. In BRP function, $\alpha=0.15$ and $\beta=4.0$ are used to estimate the travel time under traffic congestion. The planning period T equals to 1. Relief-center weight values are integers generated randomly in the interval $[10, 30]$. The minimum and maximum quantities of demand and supply are integer numbers and drawn randomly from the intervals $[5, 15]$ and $[20, 30]$, respectively. Moreover, the scenarios in each of supply and demand relief centers are consecutive integer numbers from S_{cs}^Δ to S_{cs}^∇ with probability $1/(S_{cs}^\nabla - S_{cs}^\Delta + 1)$, and from R_{cd}^Δ to R_{cd}^∇ with probability $1/(R_{cd}^\nabla - R_{cd}^\Delta + 1)$ respectively. The commodity has a weight of 2.0 and a volume of 1.0. The vehicle has weight and volume capacities (10, 10). Besides, the vehicle has loading/unloading time 2 and speed 1. The distance between relief centers comes from the intervals $[20, 60]$. The background traffic-flow rates are randomly generated on the interval $[0.4, 0.8]$. The practical capacity of each route is randomly generated in the interval $[60, 100]$. All the models are implemented in IBM ILOG CPLEX Optimization Studio (Version: 12.6).

Table 1 shows that 6 relief centers are considered as supply relief centers. The parameters and decision variables at relief centers are also given in Table 1. It is obvious that the incoming and outgoing quantities of commodities are closely related to the weighted values, demand, and supply at relief centers. Generally, a demand relief center with a large weighted value and great demand receives more to meet its high-pressure

need. A supply relief center with a small weighted value and great supply shares more with other relief centers. For instance, the fifth demand relief center with weighted value 30 receives more food than the first demand relief center with weighted value 30. The second supply relief center with a weighted value of 28 shares less and maintains a higher food inventory level. To obtain a better insight into the behavior in the transportation process with traffic congestion, the obtained first-stage decision variables are expanded ten times. After that, the vehicle assignment between relief centers is obtained and shown in Table 2.

Table 1. Results of incoming and outgoing food at relief centers in the first stage

Relief center	$\mathcal{S}$						$\mathcal{D}$					
ID	1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6
Z^s, Z^d	16	28	19	16	19	13	30	30	17	22	30	26
$S_{cs}^\Delta/R_{cd}^\Delta$	11	9	5	12	12	12	13	13	12	9	6	5
$S_{cs}^\nabla/R_{cd}^\nabla$	26	21	28	26	22	20	21	21	28	30	28	20
d_s^*/r_d^*	21	13	18	21	17	19	18	18	18	20	21	14

Table 2. Results of vehicle assignment between relief centers in the second stage

n_{sd}	$\mathcal{D}$					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
$\mathcal{S}$	1	--	32	10	--	--
	2	--	--	--	26	--
	3	--	--	36	--	--
	4	--	26	4	12	--
	5	30	--	--	4	--
	6	6	4	--	--	28

5 Conclusion and future research

This paper presents a two-stage stochastic mixed-integer nonlinear programming model for the commodity rebalancing considering traffic congestion under uncertainties of demand and supply. A method to linearize the model is developed so that it can be solved in the CPLEX solver. A numerical analysis is applied to demonstrate the applicability of the solution method for the proposed model. In the end, the problem of interests from the following aspects can be explored in future studies. It is interesting to consider the multi-commodity rebalancing cases. It is a significant topic to extend the model to the multi-period rebalancing process. Another future consideration is to extend this work to the budget-based uncertain cases. These questions will be considered in further research.

References

1. Akgün, İ., F. Gümüşbuğa, and B. Tansel, *Risk based facility location by using fault tree analysis in disaster management*. Omega, 2015. **52**: p. 168-179.
2. Chen, Y., et al., *The regional cooperation-based warehouse location problem for relief supplies*. Computers & Industrial Engineering, 2016. **102**: p. 259-267.
3. Gao, X., et al., *A Hybrid Genetic Algorithm For Multi-emergency Medical Service Center Location-allocation Problem In Disaster Response*. International Journal of Industrial Engineering, 2017. **24**(6).
4. Caunhye, A.M., X. Nie, and S. Pokharel, *Optimization models in emergency logistics: A literature review*. Socio-economic planning sciences, 2012. **46**(1): p. 4-13.
5. Galindo, G. and R. Batta, *Review of recent developments in OR/MS research in disaster operations management*. European Journal of Operational Research, 2013. **230**(2): p. 201-211.
6. Dessouky, M., et al., *Rapid distribution of medical supplies*. International Series in Operations Research and Management Science, 2006. **91**: p. 309.
7. Jotshi, A., Q. Gong, and R. Batta, *Dispatching and routing of emergency vehicles in disaster mitigation using data fusion*. Socio-Economic Planning Sciences, 2009. **43**(1): p. 1-24.
8. Chen, A.Y. and T.-Y. Yu, *Network based temporary facility location for the Emergency Medical Services considering the disaster induced demand and the transportation infrastructure in disaster response*. Transportation Research Part B: Methodological, 2016. **91**: p. 408-423.
9. Al Theeb, N. and C. Murray, *Vehicle routing and resource distribution in postdisaster humanitarian relief operations*. International Transactions in Operational Research, 2017. **24**(6): p. 1253-1284.
10. Gao, X. and G.M. Lee. *A Stochastic Programming Model for Multi-commodity Redistribution Planning in Disaster Response*. in *IFIP International Conference on Advances in Production Management Systems*. 2018. Springer.
11. Gao, X. and G.M. Lee. *A two-stage stochastic programming model for commodity redistribution under uncertainty in disaster response*. in *Proceedings of International Conference on Computers and Industrial Engineering, CIE*. 2018.
12. Campos, V., R. Bandeira, and A. Bandeira, *A method for evacuation route planning in disaster situations*. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 2012. **54**: p. 503-512.
13. Feng, C.-M. and C.-c. Wen, *A fuzzy bi-level and multi-objective model to control traffic flow into the disaster area post earthquake*. Journal of the Eastern Asia Society for Transportation Studies, 2005. **6**: p. 4253-4268.
14. Nagurney, A., E.A. Flores, and C. Soyly, *A Generalized Nash Equilibrium network model for post-disaster humanitarian relief*. Transportation research part E: logistics and transportation review, 2016. **95**: p. 1-18.
15. Roads, U.S.B.o.P., *Traffic assignment manual for application with a large, high speed computer*. 1964: U.S. Dept. of Commerce, Bureau of Public Roads, Office of Planning, Urban Planning Division.
16. Florian, M.A., *Traffic equilibrium methods*. Lecture notes in economics and mathematical systems, 1974. **118**.