MALTESQUE 2019 Workshop Summary

Francesca Arcelli Fontana
University of Milano-Bicocca
Milan, Italy

francesca.arcelli@unimib.it

Mathieu Acher
University of Rennes I,
IRISA/INRIA Rennes, France
mathieu.acher@irisa.fr

Gilles Perrouin^{*}
University of Namur
Namur, Belgium
gilles.perrouin@unamur.be

Bartosz Walter
Poznań University of Technology
Poznań, Poland
Bartosz.Walter@cs.put.poznan.pl

Fabio Palomba
University of Zurich
Zurich, Switzerland
palomba@ifi.uzh.ch

Xavier Devroey
Delft University of Technology
Delft, The Netherlands
X.D.M.Devroey@tudelft.nl

Apostolos Ampatzoglou
University of Macedonia
Thessaloniki, Greece
apostolos.ampatzoglou@gmail.com

Maxime Cordy
University of Luxembourg
Luxembourg
maxime.cordy@uni.lu

ABSTRACT

Welcome to the third edition of the workshop on Machine Learning Techniques for Software Quality Evaluation (MaLTesQuE 2019), held in Tallinn, Estonia, August 27th, 2019, co-located with ESEC / FSE 2019. This year MALTESQUE merged with the MASES (Machine Learning and Software Engineering in Symbiosis) workshop, co-located with the ASE 2018 conference. Ten papers from all over the world were submitted, seven of them were accepted. The program also featured a keynote by Lionel Briand on the use of machine learning to improve software testing.

1. INTRODUCTION

The assessment of software quality is one of the most multifaceted (e.g., structural quality, product quality, process quality, etc.) and subjective aspects of software engineering (since in many cases it is substantially based on expert judgment). Such assessments can be performed at almost all phases of software development (from project inception to maintenance) and at different levels of granularity (from source code to architecture). However, human judgment is: (a) inherently biased by implicit, subjective criteria applied in the evaluation process, and (b) its economical effectiveness is limited compared to automated or semi-automated approaches. To this end, researchers are still looking for new, more effective methods of assessing various qualitative characteristics of software systems and the related processes.

In the recent years, we observed a rising interest in adopting various approaches to exploit machine learning (ML) and automated decision-making processes in several areas of software engineering. These models and algorithms help to alleviate human subjectivity in order to make informed decisions based on available data and evaluated with objective criteria. Thus, the adoption of ML techniques is a promising way to improve software quality evaluation. Conversely, learning capabilities are increasingly embedded within software, including in critical domains such as automotive and health. This calls for the application of quality assurance techniques to ensure the reliable engineering of ML-based software systems.

The aim of MaLTesQuE is to provide a forum for researchers and practitioners to present and discuss new ideas, trends and results concerning the application of ML methods to software quality

evaluation and the application of software engineering techniques to self-learning systems. We expect that the workshop will help in (1) validating existing ML methods for software quality evaluation as well as their application to novel contexts, (2) evaluating the effectiveness of ML methods, both compared to other automated approaches and the human judgment, (3) adapting ML approaches being already used in other areas of science in the context of software quality, (4) designing new techniques to validate ML-based software, inspired by traditional software engineering techniques.

2. KEYNOTE TAKEAWAY

Lionel Briand from the University of Ottawa, Canada and from the Interdisciplinary Centre of Security and Trust at the University of Luxembourg delivered the keynote entitled “Effective Use of AI in Automated Software Testing: Practicality and Scalability Benefits”.

Testing is the main mechanism used in industry to assess and improve the dependability of software systems. Various techniques from Artificial Intelligence (AI), e.g., evolutionary computing, machine learning, and natural language processing, can lead, if integrated properly, to scalable and practical test automation solutions. The talk covered recent and representative examples of novel applications of AI to software test automation, done in collaboration with industry partners in the satellite and automotive domains. It was complemented with lessons learned and future research directions. Lionel Briand stressed the fact that effective solutions often involve multiple techniques, inviting us to combine them and to mix different expertises. Additionally, Lionel Briand mentioned that to fill the industry-academia gap, academia should focus on ignored industrial problems, consider the hypotheses and contextual factors that may hinder the deployment of software engineering solutions.

3. INSIGHTS FROM PAPER SESSIONS

The workshop also had three sessions: “Testing and debugging”, “On the role of data” and “Quality attributes”. Each session was followed by a panel to engage the discussion between speakers and the audience.

---

^{*}FNRS Research Associate

3.1 Testing and debugging

Aravind Nair introduced the session by explaining how machine learning can be used for predicting metamorphic relations [5], relying on mutation testing as a data augmentation technique. This approach reduces the risks of overfitting for small datasets.

Markus Borg followed by exposing an open implementation of the SZZ algorithm, complaining that existing implementations are not publicly available [1]. The SZZ algorithm is used to identify bug-introducing commits. He then illustrated this implementation to extract data from the open source Jenkins repository. This data is finally used to train machine learning classifiers to predict bug-introducing commits. The results were not so encouraging, but deserve further evaluation.

3.2 On the role of data

The second session questioned the importance of data in the use of machine learning algorithms. As Markus Borg rightfully pointed in the first session, “ML is data-hungry”, and one would add that the quality of this data does matter.

Michael Felderer reinforced this statement and presented a risk assessment approach for the use of ML applications [2]. In particular, he presented a method to evaluate the risk of having poor data for some of the features (data smells, pipeline, etc.) and their potential impact on the ML model, into a risk factor being a combination of the two.

Dario di Nucci explained the role of balancing in the process of analysis and prediction of code smells [6]. The study covers five balancing techniques and found that SMOTE has the best performance. Yet, it was not always possible to use SMOTE when a small number of smells are present in the dataset and the performance improvement is not very important.

3.3 Quality attributes

The last session focused on using the machine learning to assess quality attributes. Nickolay Viuginov presented a technique to autofold code of lower importance in an IDE [9], allowing developers to focus on more relevant parts and facilitating comparisons amongst large files.

Valentina Lenarduzzi aimed at surgically precise technical debt estimation [4]. Starting from the observation that existing metrics used by SonarQube are too coarse-grained and are not taking into account development efforts and historical data, she therefore offered to use them in ML-based technical debt estimation techniques.

Finally, through a video presentation and live call from Bangladesh, Md Saeed Siddik demonstrated the use of recurrent neural networks (RNNs) and in particular LSTM to classify non-functional requirements [8]. Until now, this type of RNNs has been hardly used of this task, but produced good results, classifying correctly 60%–80% of requirements.

4. CONCLUSIONS

From the perspective of the three editions of the MaLTesQuE workshop, we observe the continuously rising interest in applying ML/AI to software quality assessment. This is manifested by the increasing number of studies published in journals and conferences, as well as the diversity of approaches, methods and techniques proposed by the researchers to model and evaluate the software quality. Additionally, other workshops concerning the

similar area already exist. That indicates the need for coordinating the efforts in provisioning a stable forum for exchanging ideas and presenting the results.

For that reason, we aim at strengthening and consolidating the community of researchers working in this area. This could be attained by joining forces with similar workshops as we have done this year by including the organisation of the MASES workshop [7]. In a longer perspective, we also consider converting the workshop into a working conference with a well-defined format and organization. We expect it would create a persistent and impactful venue with a stable and vivid community of researchers.

To help increase the visibility of the workshop, the 3rd edition of the MaLTesQuE workshop will be summarized by a special issue of the Journal of Systems and Software whose initial submissions were received in November 2019. We invite extended and revised versions of the papers presented at the workshop, as well as newly submitted, original works in this area.

5. REFERENCES

- [1] Markus Borg, Oscar Svensson, Kristian Berg, and Daniel Hansson. SZZ unleashed: an open implementation of the SZZ algorithm - featuring example usage in a study of just-in-time bug prediction for the jenkins project. In Fontana et al. [3], pages 7–12.
- [2] Harald Foidl and Michael Felderer. Risk-based data validation in machine learning-based software systems. In Fontana et al. [3], pages 13–18.
- [3] Francesca Arcelli Fontana, Bartosz Walter, Apostolos Ampatzoglou, Fabio Palomba, Gilles Perrouin, Mathieu Acher, Maxime Cordy, and Xavier Devroey, editors. *Proceedings of the 3rd ACM SIGSOFT International Workshop on Machine Learning Techniques for Software Quality Evaluation, MaLTesQuE@ESEC/SIGSOFT FSE 2019, Tallinn, Estonia, August 27, 2019*. ACM, 2019.
- [4] Valentina Lenarduzzi, Antonio Martini, Davide Taibi, and Damian Andrew Tamburri. Towards surgically-precise technical debt estimation: early results and research roadmap. In Fontana et al. [3], pages 37–42.
- [5] Aravind Nair, Karl Meinke, and Sigrid Eldh. Leveraging mutants for automatic prediction of metamorphic relations using machine learning. In Fontana et al. [3], pages 1–6.
- [6] Fabiano Pecorelli, Dario Di Nucci, Coen De Roover, and Andrea De Lucia. On the role of data balancing for machine learning-based code smell detection. In Fontana et al. [3], pages 19–24.
- [7] Gilles Perrouin, Mathieu Acher, Maxime Cordy, and Xavier Devroey, editors. *Proceedings of the 1st International Workshop on Machine Learning and Software Engineering in Symbiosis, MASES@ASE 2018, Montpellier, France, September 3, 2018*. ACM, 2018.
- [8] Md. Abdur Rahman, Md. Ariful Haque, Md. Nurul Ahad Tawhid, and Md. Saeed Siddik. Classifying non-functional requirements using RNN variants for quality software development. In Fontana et al. [3], pages 25–30.
- [9] Nickolay Viuginov and Andrey Filchenkov. A machine learning based automatic folding of dynamically typed languages. In Fontana et al. [3], pages 31–36.