



Small Animal Shanoir (SAS) A Cloud-Based Solution for Managing Preclinical MR Brain Imaging Studies

Michael Kain, Marjolaine Bodin, Simon Loury, Yao Chi, Julien Louis, Mathieu Simon, Julien Lamy, Christian Barillot, Michel Dojat

► To cite this version:

Michael Kain, Marjolaine Bodin, Simon Loury, Yao Chi, Julien Louis, et al.. Small Animal Shanoir (SAS) A Cloud-Based Solution for Managing Preclinical MR Brain Imaging Studies. *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics*, 2020, 14, pp.1-11. 10.3389/fninf.2020.00020 . hal-02888669

HAL Id: hal-02888669

<https://inria.hal.science/hal-02888669>

Submitted on 29 Sep 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Small Animal Shanoir (SAS) A Cloud-Based Solution for Managing Preclinical MR Brain Imaging Studies

Michael Kain^{1*}, Marjolaine Bodin², Simon Loury², Yao Chi¹, Julien Louis¹, Mathieu Simon¹, Julien Lamy³, Christian Barillot¹ and Michel Dojat^{2*}

¹ INRIA U1228, INSERM, Université de Rennes, Rennes, France, ² INSERM U1216, Grenoble Institut des Neurosciences, Université Grenoble Alpes, CHU Grenoble Alpes, Grenoble, France, ³ ICube, University of Strasbourg-CNRS, Strasbourg, France

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

David A. Gutman,
Emory University, United States

Reviewed by:

Graham J. Galloway,
University of Queensland, Australia
Christian Haselgrove,
University of Massachusetts Medical
School, United States

*Correspondence:

Michael Kain
michael.kain@inria.fr
Michel Dojat
michel.dojat@inserm.fr

Received: 14 June 2019

Accepted: 16 April 2020

Published: 19 May 2020

Citation:

Kain M, Bodin M, Loury S, Chi Y, Louis J, Simon M, Lamy J, Barillot C and Dojat M (2020) Small Animal Shanoir (SAS) A Cloud-Based Solution for Managing Preclinical MR Brain Imaging Studies. *Front. Neuroinform.* 14:20. doi: 10.3389/fninf.2020.00020

Clinical multicenter imaging studies are frequent and rely on a wide range of existing tools for sharing data and processing pipelines. This is not the case for preclinical (small animal) studies. Animal population imaging is still in infancy, especially because a complete standardization and control of initial conditions in animal models across labs is still difficult and few studies aim at standardization of acquisition and post-processing techniques. Clearly, there is a need of appropriate tools for the management and sharing of data, post-processing and analysis methods dedicated to small animal imaging. Solutions developed for Human imaging studies cannot be directly applied to this specific domain. In this paper, we present the Small Animal Shanoir (SAS) solution for supporting animal population imaging using tools compatible with open data. The integration of automated workflow tools ensures accessibility and reproducibility of research outputs. By sharing data and imaging processing tools, hosted by SAS, we promote data preparation and tools for reproducibility and reuse, and participation in multicenter or replication “open science” studies contributing to the improvement of quality science in preclinical domain. SAS is a first step for promoting open science for small animal imaging and a contribution to the valorization of data and pipelines of reference.

Keywords: MRI, data sharing, open science, population imaging, image processing

INTRODUCTION

Recently, the validity of the published results in life sciences has been questioned (Button et al., 2013; Ingre, 2013). The poor replication of research findings is directly linked to an endemic low statistical power of the majority of biological studies. It is now well recognized that data sharing should be promoted to improve the robustness and the replicability of the scientific results (Poldrack et al., 2017). Technical solutions should be proposed to support a more “open science” approach, making data discoverable, accessible, interpretable, and reusable, as captured in the FAIR Guiding Principles (Findability, Accessibility, Interoperability, and Reusability)

(Wilkinson et al., 2016). For human population imaging, large data repositories exist, such as ADNI for Alzheimer disease, PPMI for Parkinson disease, centerTBI for brain trauma, United Kingdom Biobank for various diseases or ConnectomeDB for the Human Connectome Project, extensively used by researchers all over the world and leading to new findings. Additionally, data analysis plays an important role. For such large datasets, image processing pipelines should be constructed based on the best algorithms available and their performance should be objectively compared to diffuse the more relevant solutions. Also, provenance of processed data should be secured (Mackenzie-Graham et al., 2008). Specific architectures (e.g., COINS, LONI) are now available mainly for human population imaging [see (Kennedy et al., 2019) and other recent works here].

Similarly, to human population imaging, there are several well-founded motivations for *animal population imaging*: optimization of costs and subject participation, improvement of quality of science (use of sufficiently large animal cohorts for ensuring statistical result validity, e.g., drug development process) and enhancement of research discovery [see the special Lab Animal focus on reproducibility in animal research (Prescott and Lidster, 2017)]. However, at the difference of Human imaging, where the issues with large cohorts are well-known and solutions have been proposed, sharing small animal imaging data and corresponding image processing pipelines is a recent preoccupation. Some efforts are performed especially toward the construction of animal atlases (Sunken et al., 2013; Bjerke et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2018). Despite of some attempts (Lee et al., 2010), there is a clear miss of appropriate infrastructure for facilitating sharing data and processing tools for animal population imaging. Indeed, solutions developed for Human studies can only be reused in part and should be adapted and extended to take into account the specificities of animal studies: for instance, with the introduction, in the data model, of new concepts for strain and anesthetic, or of a specific converter for raw data (e.g., mainly Bruker files). Moreover, population imaging infrastructures for clinical or preclinical purposes, have to handle, presently or in a close future, very large datasets while providing a fast and robust data access via an efficient web interface. Today the proposed solutions do not really address this issue because internal mechanisms for scalability are missing. Presently, as an example, we currently handle clinical studies with up to 3000 subjects with around 4Tb of data and we are now just at the emergence of the population imaging studies, recently boosted by the introduction in life science domain of efficient machine learning approaches, avid of large mass of data.

In this paper, we present the Small Animal Shanoir (SAS) solution. SAS is an extension of the Shanoir data management system for Human brain imaging repositories (Barillot et al., 2016) with the integration of dedicated microservices for small animal imaging studies. SAS was developed under the hospices of the national infrastructure project France Life Imaging, with the goal of coordinating research activities in France in *in vivo* imaging and combining the skills to push the current technological barriers. The specific action “Information Analysis and Management” (FLI-IAM) was devoted to the development of a federated infrastructure for the management

of *in vivo* imaging data and processing tools. FLI-IAM is partner of OpenAIRE-connect, an H2020 European project promoting Open Science.¹ The paper is organized as follows: firstly, the global architecture of FLI-IAM is presented, then SAS and the notion of micro-service are introduced, illustrated by the description of a selection of representative micro-services; then current applications are briefly presented followed by the discussion section.

ARCHITECTURE OVERVIEW

The goal of the architecture is to federate different systems that have their specific data model which does not necessary fulfill the XNAT reference scheme. For this purpose, we chose to use the core ontology OntoNeurolog, accessible via BioPortal.² This ontology is based at the upper level on the DOLCE foundational ontology and described in details in Temal et al. (2008); Gibaud et al. (2011); Batrancourt et al. (2015). All specific data models including XNAT are mapped to this core ontology. The technical architecture of FLI-IAM is shown in **Figure 1**. The FLI-IAM architecture is web-oriented and constructed on three main functionalities: (1) query and retrieval of data and image processing pipelines (via the web portal), (2) storage of data and associated metadata (via the image archive module), and (3) the execution of the selected pipelines on the selected data (via the computing platforms module).

The data transfer module (DTM) is used to exchange data between the image archive and the computing platforms. The architecture was successfully used for hosting two MICCAI Human imaging challenges on Multiple Sclerosis (Commowick et al., 2018) and PET tumor segmentation (Hatt et al., 2018).

FLI-IAM Web Portal

The web portal of FLI-IAM³ guides the user to the resource or service adapted to his/her needs. Therefore, an overview of all resources and services available is proposed. After registration and authentication, the candidate accesses to the set of components: the image archive via the common catalog graphical interface, the computing platforms via the CARMIN client (see below) and the DTM via the DTM client. Technically the FLI-IAM web portal is based on Liferay Portal Server v6.2, Community Edition, a Java Enterprise application server that provides a full content management system and a market place with multiple extensions. For the MICCAI challenges the portal was used to inform about the challenge rules, register as candidate, with a process specifically integrated for this purpose, and give access to the data.


FLI-IAM Authentication Process

Security is a sensitive aspect for all web-oriented data management systems. OpenID Connect (OIDC) is the

¹OpenAire aims at developing a technical infrastructure for an interoperable European network of research repositories through the establishment of common guidelines and shared metadata standards.

²<https://biportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/ONL-DP>

³<https://portal.fli-iam.irisa.fr/home>



authentication protocol used by the FLI-IAM infrastructure, implemented in Keycloak, to verify the identity of a user based on the authentication performed by an authorization server, as well as to obtain basic profile information about the user in an interoperable and REST-like manner (see **Figure 1**). All components in FLI-IAM delegate the authentication to Keycloak that allows single sign-on with identity and access management to applications and services. In line with GDPR, all user passwords are exclusively stored in Keycloak and only the current user has knowledge of his/her password. For academic researchers who have a Renater account, a connection between FLI-IAM Keycloak with Renater is always possible with the SAML authentication provider.

Common Catalog

FLI-IAM portal users can execute cross-database searches to find published datasets and studies in the different databases using the common catalog. A free search-field and a search result display

page are provided within the graphical user interface of the portal (see **Figure 2**) thanks to the search engine Apache Solr.

A specific workflow for the combination of metadata has been developed for the whole interrogation of the set of databases. Imaging studies, associated meta-data and corresponding images are hosted within each instance of the federated databases. Each database manages independently its users, access rights and roles for the hosted studies. The Principal Investigator (PI) of a study has the responsibility to place metadata into the common catalog of the FLI-IAM infrastructure for sharing the study publicly or with specific user groups, using access control lists. Users of the portal can then identify the origin of the datasets and ask the corresponding PI for data access. Technically a common search index has been defined and four mapping plugins have been developed, used for the connection to the common catalog of the corresponding database instance. Once a PI has allowed data sharing of a study, a nightly job updates the common catalog. When he/she decides the




FIGURE 2 | User's interface for either a specific search query or to display all images available for one user. In this example, no specific query is addressed and the interface shows all the available studies. An expanded view on T1-weighted images for the MICCAI MS challenge is displayed.

sharing ending, the corresponding datasets are deleted from the search index.

FLI-IAM Image Archive

The FLI-IAM infrastructure federates currently four imaging databases. Their main features are described in **Table 1**. CATI-DB and Shanoir manage various large mono- or multicenter brain studies, while ArchiMed (Micard et al., 2016) collects imaging data from various clinical research projects. Presently, each database is hosted on an independent hardware infrastructure and could be hosted in a future central FLI-IAM hardware infrastructure. Each database has its independent workflow to import images. The federative architecture is constructed for a seamless integration of new databases.

For a common interrogation of the federated databases, an excerpt of the common catalog is presented in **Table 2**.

Computing Platforms

As shown in **Figure 1**, beside databases, FLI-IAM integrates four computing platforms: BrainVisa, medInria, Virtual Imaging Platform (Glatard et al., 2013) and Boutiques (Glatard et al., 2018). The former, BrainVisa is a neuroimaging software platform for mass data analysis, providing image processing

tools such as Morphologist for brain segmentation and sulcal morphometry, and an interactive 3D neuroimaging data visualizer (Anatomist). medInria is a multi-platform medical image processing and visualization software. Through a graphical user interface, medInria offers processing tools for medical images, such as 2D/3D/4D image visualization, image registration, diffusion MR processing and fiber tracking. VIP is a web portal for the execution of medical image processing pipelines for massive data analysis and simulation. It leverages resources available in the biomed virtual organization of the European Grid Infrastructure to offer an open service to academic researchers worldwide. Boutiques represents the app store of FLI-IAM. Boutiques allows automatic publication, integration and execution of applications across computational platforms. Boutiques applications are described in a JSON file and enable the simulation, validation, evaluation, and application-specific monitoring of command-line tools. Providers can integrate their application into a Docker or Singularity container, describe it and share it via Boutiques. Each computing platform can be used and installed independently. Note that Boutiques is integrated into VIP.

FLI-IAM provides an integration layer with two features: (1) an authentication using OpenID Connect and (2) a unified

TABLE 1 | Main characteristics of the four databases currently hosted by FLI-IAM.

Database	Who	Key concepts	Modalities	Browser	Add. Info	Open source
ArchiMed	CIC-IT, Nancy, Inserm, Fr	Centralized server, Model close to DICOM/PACS, Desktop client, PAAS	MRI, CT	No	Link	Not yet
CATI-DB	CATI, Paris, CEA, Fr	Centralized file-system (NFS) with meta-data server, Model oriented on processing, Perfectly adapted into CATI-infrastructure, SAAS (turn-key)	MRI, PET/SPECT	No	Link	Yes, access registration
Shanoir	Empenn, Rennes, Inria, Fr	Centralized server, Ontology based, Model remains fix, Web client, PAAS	MRI, PET, CT, EEG	Yes	Link	Yes, on GitHub
XNAT	NRG Lab, Washington, University School of Medicine, US	Centralized server, Schema based, Default model to customize, Web client, PAAS	MRI, PET, CT	Yes	Link	Yes, on Bitbucket

PAAS, Platform As A Service; SAAS, Software As A Service. MRI, Magnetic Resonance Imaging; CT, Computed Tomography; PET, Position Emission Tomography; SPECT, Single-Photon Emission Computed Tomography; EEG, Electro-Encephalography.

TABLE 2 | Common catalog. A common catalog is required for querying the different databases accessible via the federated platform.

Common catalog	ArchiMed	CATI-DB	Shanoir	XNAT
study_name	study_code	Study.name	Study_Name	projectData.name
center_name	institution_name	Center.name	Center_Id	experimentData.acquisitionSite
manufacturer	manufacturer + manufacturer_model	unknown	Manufacturer_Name + Manufacturer_Model	imageSessionData.scanner
principal_investigator	study_investigator	Study.main_investigator	Investigator_Id	experimentData.investigator
subject_identifier	unknown in db, examCode used	Subject.code_in_study	Subject_Name	subjectData.ID
series_modality	file_type_acronym	Fileset.attribute.modality	Dataset_Ref_Dataset_Modality_Type_Id	imageScanData.modality
series_protocol	serie_desc	Fileset.attribute.sequence	Dataset_Name	imageScanData.series_description
series_creation_date	serie_date_time	Assessment.date	Dataset_Creation_Date	imageSessionData.date

The table above describes the structure of the common index and the mapping of each database into the common catalog.

remote access via the REST-API, CARMIN. Using such an environment, the same client can remotely use each platform implementing the interface. For example, this allows the FLI-IAM web portal, via the CARMIN client (JavaScript library), to access to a list of pipelines provided by VIP, send data and execute a pipeline. Moreover, the same CARMIN client can be integrated into each of the database instances present in the FLI-IAM image archive for its connection to any computing platform. Note that CARMIN is also implemented in CBrain, a Canadian infrastructure used for managing very large imaging medical projects. Thus, the users of the FLI-IAM web portal have potentially access to CBrain and *vice versa*.

Data Transfer Module

The DTM connects the image archive with the computing platforms. It transfers primarily images from one database (source) to one computing platform (destination), but can as well transfer images between databases or between computing platforms. Additionally, DTM can call a computing platform, e.g., VIP, to start processing pipelines with a given pipeline identifier, check for computation results and import the processed images back into one of the databases (see **Figure 1**). Therefore, it integrates four client libraries (one for each database), a SFTP client and a CARMIN client. The DTM is completely configurable

on using its web interface and has been used for the MICCAI 2016 challenges. A MySQL database is used to maintain state and all transferred data are temporarily stored in a local file system.

SMALL ANIMAL SHANOIR

Small Animal Shanoir is built on the top of a recent version of Shanoir, called Shanoir-NG, which relies on recent web technologies, such as Single-Page-Applications (SPAs) and micro-services, with the double objective of reinforcing the flexibility and scalability of the architecture.

Single-Page Application

Shanoir-NG has been developed as SPA for two major reasons: first to give the user the feeling of interacting with a desktop application rather than with a web application; second to support as many browsers as possible, even mobile browsers. This is based on the assumption, that modern modular SPAs could be more easily adapted to reduced screen sizes and other requirements of mobile applications. Technically SPAs rewrite parts of a page rather than loading the entire page and the application code is completely loaded when the application starts. Appropriate resources are dynamically loaded and added to the page as necessary, usually in response to actions of the user. Angular five

has been chosen as front-end technology after an evaluation of different frameworks available yet.

Micro-Service Architecture

Inspired by the multi-agent architecture (Minsky, 1986), the micro-service architecture⁴ considers the application as a suite of independent services; each service running with its own process and communicating with lightweight mechanisms, often an HTTP resource API. The main advantage of adopting a micro-architecture is the scalability in case of evolving computational load or availability of hardware resources. Moreover, the strong independency of services allows for their development and deployment separately from the others ensuring a continuous service delivery. Each micro-service can be developed using the more efficient language for its functionality with its own memory management, as long as the common external interface policy is respected.

The strong independency of services requires the improvement of the control at the global level of the architecture. Some control concerns are particularly important for our applications: for instance, how does react a micro-service when another depending service is no more available? Or how each micro-service is informed about changes in its environment or security policy? Some inconsistencies have to be tolerated for a short period of time and handled afterward, when a micro-service, stopped for a while, is back to function. Specific errors or activity tracing mechanisms have to be introduced to trace the service states, user actions and other events.

As a Cloud-native application, each micro-service is integrated in a Docker container. For instance, the DTM micro-service described above runs its own Docker container, provides a REST-API and an Angular five front-end to configure available platforms, connection settings (channels) or initiate/monitor transfers. The entire Shanoir-NG architecture is deployed using a Docker Compose script that initiates and runs each Docker container encapsulating a micro-service.

Based on the application specifications, we have to define how to distribute the different functionalities into a reasonable collection of micro-services, while preserving a good integration. **Figure 1** in **Supplementary Material** shows the current architecture. Six key services have been identified so far Users, Studies, Import, Datasets, Preclinical and Dicomifier. The two-latter specific for small animal applications are detailed below.

Preclinical Micro-Services

For preclinical applications, the initial OntoNeurolog ontology was extended by the preclinical working group of FLI-IAM (SAIN) to introduce several concepts related to animal study for facilitating their sharing (see **Figure 3**). Thus, concepts have been added to describe the subject (specie, strain, provider, type [transgenic or not], and stabling), the model of pathology under study and the administered therapy. The experimental conditions are also described (type of anesthetic, physiological measurements: e.g., blood gas, temperature, SaO₂,

heart rate, ...). The ontology is represented in OWL using Protégé (Musen, 2015).

The ontological preclinical model has been translated into a relational database model and integrated into the preclinical microservice. It manages its own graphical user interface (GUI) and database and was added to Shanoir-NG. **Figures 4, 5** show the corresponding dedicated interface.

Dicomifier Micro-Service

Dicomifier is a generic and open-source converter designed to process both preclinical and clinical imaging data and to be easily extensible to account for the wealth of meta-data associated with medical images. This micro-service converts the current imaging data formats, Bruker and DICOM files. The former is largely used in preclinical imaging centers because the same manufacturer (Bruker Biospin) designs the preclinical MR scanners. The converter is modular: one part handles the conversion from the Bruker format to the DICOM format and another part handles the conversion from the DICOM format (either native or converted from the Bruker format) to NIfTI. This design allows future extensions, e.g., conversion from NIfTI to DICOM to store segmentation results in a PACS. Similar concepts guiding the hierarchy of data exist in both Bruker and DICOM formats: patient (or subject), study (i.e., a set of exams performed for a single goal) and series (single or multiple 2D or 3D images from one acquisition). However, implementation of those concepts in both formats differs, and, in addition to the file-format difference, data alignment is an integral part of the conversion. The Bruker-DICOM alignment is a mapping process in which a pre-defined, modality-specific, set of DICOM elements is extracted from the Bruker-format exam. The mapping may be a direct one, i.e., mapping a single Bruker element to a single DICOM element without additional transforms, or a more complex one, using data from multiple Bruker fields, transforming them, and storing them in disjoint DICOM fields.

Regarding the NIfTI conversion, we leverage the multi-dimensional capabilities of NIfTI to store images per-series, following the dimensions given by the DICOM files. For instance, a diffusion MRI acquisition with multiple b-values would appear as a five-dimensional dataset. The fixed-size header of the NIfTI format cannot accommodate the wide range of meta-data stored in the DICOM format, although this data may nevertheless be required for further processing, e.g., in parametric MRI. We chose to store the metadata in the widespread JSON format, for its seamless handling by many software libraries while remaining human-readable. For native DICOM files, i.e., not converted from Bruker, a normalization step is also applied to parse the data from vendor-specific fields, when the syntax of those fields is known.

Current SAS Applications

In vivo T1 and T2 relaxation times extracted from MR imaging data are tissue dependent and their modifications may be characteristic of specific anatomical regions and pathological situations. Recently, we used the SAS infrastructure to produce quantitative 3D T1 and T2 maps for the healthy rat brain at 7T. Such maps were defined based on data acquired at two different

⁴<https://martinfowler.com/microservices/>




FIGURE 3 | Ontology extension for preclinical study. Specific concepts are introduced extending the OntoNeurolog ontology expressed in OWL and represented using Protégé (<https://protege.stanford.edu/about.php>).

FIGURE 4 | SAS, GUI for animal studies, information about the subject. Pathologies and therapies can be added and managed.

The screenshot displays the SAS GUI for animal studies. At the top, there is a header with the 'shanoir' logo and a welcome message for 'adminFirstName adminFirstName !' with a 'Logout' button. Below the header, there are navigation tabs: 'Home', 'Preclinical-examination list', and 'View preclinical-examination'. The main section is titled 'Details on examination'. It contains several data fields organized into sections:

- Research study ***: Demo Study Boom
- Subject ***: FLI relaxometrie
- Center ***: CHU Rennes
- Examination executive**
- Examination date ***: 09/03/2015
- Comment**: 1
- Note**
- Subject weight**
- Assessments**
- Attach new files**

Below these fields, there are three main sections for data management:

- Examination anesthetic**:
 - Anesthetic: Injection iso. 50.0mg/ml
 - Injection interval: Before
 - Injection site: Caudal Vein
 - Injection type: Bolus
 - Dose: 12
 - Dose Unit: ml
- Physiological data**:
 - Heart Rate data: No
 - Respiratory Rate data: No
 - SaO2 data: No
 - Temperature data: No
 - File
- Blood gas data**:
 - File

At the bottom right, there is a 'Data' section with a list of items: '9.3.2015, ENCÉPHALIQUE (id = 14)', 'MR dataset acquisitions (has for part)', and 'Attached files'. At the bottom left, there are 'Back' and 'Edit' buttons.

FIGURE 5 | SAS, GUI for animal studies, details about the experimental conditions. Anesthetic, physiological, and blood gas data can be added and managed.

centers on twenty Sprague-Dawley rats at GIN (Grenoble, Fr) and twenty Sprague-Dawley rats at CRMBM (Marseille, Fr). We used three different fitting algorithms from three difference centers (CRMBM, MRICen (Fontenay-aux-roses, Fr) and Icube (Strasbourg, Fr) to compute the T1 and T2 values in each voxel and to evaluate the influence of image processing steps on the final maps. To derive relaxation time values per brain area, two multi-atlas segmentation pipelines from MIRCen and Icube were executed. All data were stored on SAS and image processing pipelines were embedded into Docker containers and executed on the VIP processing platform. With the DTM, processed data were stored in SAS for each individual.


This work investigated the influence of data acquisition centers ($n = 2$) and image processing pipelines ($n = 3$) on the production of T1 and T2 brain maps. **Figure 6** shows the reproducibility of the T1 and T2 relaxometry values computed from two MR acquisitions for each rat in each center (intra-reproducibility) and the coherency between the values obtained between the centers (inter-reproducibility).

It showed the coherence of the final computed maps when the acquisition sequence parameters are optimized and harmonized between centers (Deruelle et al., 2020). Using SAS, the study

demonstrates the feasibility of pooling animal data from different centers. The use of a distributed computing architecture for image storage and for processing pipeline execution paves the way for future multi-center preclinical studies. The quantitative reference maps produced for longitudinal (T1) and transverse (T2) relaxation times obtained on 40 rats (to our knowledge the largest number to date) are valuable to investigate and monitor deviations from normality in animal model of pathologies. A similar study at different magnetic field on mice is undergoing with the same infrastructure involving more data providers (12 centers).

DISCUSSION

Similarly to human research, sharing (data and tools) requirements will necessarily grow up in the next future for preclinical (animal) studies. The design of SAS was motivated by the needs of the preclinical research community. The problem of replication and reproducibility in preclinical studies is now well documented (Sena et al., 2010; Begley and Ellis, 2012; Tsilidis et al., 2013) and can negatively impacted the



translation to human studies, leading to waste of resources, unnecessary risks for volunteers and slowing down new therapies development. The first cause of non-reproducibility is the weakness of experimental design including low power (Begley and Ioannidis, 2015).

With SAS, we show that animal population imaging is feasible and that research in this field can be conducted using tools compatible with open sharing and use of automated workflow tools to ensure accessibility and reproducibility of research outputs. Our first preliminary experiments (see §3.5) demonstrate the strong interest of the community to promote the federation of multiple sources of information, processing tools and diffusion of knowledge distributed in various centers for preclinical studies. We will promote data preparation and tools for reproducibility and reuse and participation in multicenter or replication studies. Thanks to the FLI-IAM architecture used, we will diffuse our results beyond the national community. Indeed, a recent external survey (30 interviews) indicated that the primary application domain for such an infrastructure was preclinical imaging where solution is missing. Note that developing such a platform requires some efforts: from 2016, up to 15 computer engineers were employed for Shanoir-NG and SAS developments.

Moreover, FLI-IAM is partner of OpenAIRE-connect, for connection with European large scale open science projects. Additionally, SAS will contribute to the promotion of this platform and in return will be internationally visible: data and tools will be interoperable and queryable via international platforms. In using SAS, users strongly advocate for the diffusion of acquired and processed data and dissemination of imaging processing pipelines. They promote *Open Science by Design* (Committee on Toward an Open Science Enterprise et al., 2017), meaning that research conducted openly and transparently leads to better science. SAS is a first step for promoting Open science for small animal imaging and a contribution to the valorization of data and pipelines of reference. SAS will also be a good starting point for launching specific working group for animal MR studies

at the European (RDA) or International (INCF, ISMRM) levels creating a culture that actively supports Open science by Design.

Extensions and Future Plans

As a cloud platform, multiple extensions are already defined in the roadmap of Shanoir-NG. From the operational point of view the following extensions will have to be addressed: continuous delivery, automatic service discovery, data replication, backup and constant monitoring. The usage of smaller, in terms of memory usage, Docker container base images should be evaluated to optimize the memory footprint of the entire application. With the extension of the number of micro-services, the use of new container management platforms such as Apache Mesos or Kubernetes should be envisaged. From the functional point of view, we plan extensions for massive data import and download and management of BIDS format.

Finally, our next step is to connect SAS, a tool dedicated for small animal imaging, to other complementary environments dedicated to genetics or biology. The idea is to seamlessly integrate SAS with the open science community and to provide researchers a tool for easy sharing in a controlled way.

Shanoir-NG and its extension SAS are open-source software, published under the GNU General Public License (GPL), version 3. The source code is freely available on GitHub under⁵. The current implementation of SAS is available at⁶. Developer documentation is centralized in the GitHub Wiki⁷ with code⁸ and general user information can be found under <http://shanoir.org>. The development of Shanoir-NG is driven by a roadmap that is defined by the members of the consortium Shanoir within InriaSoft. Contributions are integrated in form of pull requests. The source code of Dicomifier is available at⁹.

⁵<https://github.com/fli-iam/shanoir-ng>

⁶<https://shanoir-ng-dev.irisa.fr>

⁷<https://github.com/fli-iam/shanoir-ng/wiki>

⁸<https://github.com/fli-iam/shanoir-ng/tree/apppning>

⁹<https://github.com/lamyj/dicomifier>

OpenID Connect (OIDC) is an authentication layer on the top of the authorization framework OAuth 2.0, a standardized protocol controlled by the OpenID Foundation. This protocol is implemented in Keycloak, an open source software product that implements the OpenID Connect protocol and then is used as the central authorization server.

Renater is a highly fast and secured academic European network for internet access and associated services.

SAML (Security Assertion Markup Language) defines a protocol for security information exchange. It is based on XML and proposes a unique (single sign-on) identifier.

Apache Solr is a search and navigation platform built on the open-source HTTP server, Apache.

Docker allows to define containers in a standardized way that encapsulate code and the associated dependencies for an execution on different computing environments.

Singularity is an alternative solution to Docker.

CARMIN (Common API for Research Medical Imaging Network) defines a REST-API for exchanging data and remotely calling pipelines provided by computing platforms. The REST-API is specified using the OpenAPI initiative (OAI) available on SwaggerHub.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets generated for this study are available on request to the corresponding author.

REFERENCES

- Barillot, C., Bannier, E., Commowick, O., Corouge, I., Baire, A., Fakhfakh, I., et al. (2016). Shanoir: applying the software as a service distribution model to manage brain imaging research repositories. *Front. ICT* 3:25. doi: 10.3389/fict.2016.00025
- Batrancourt, B., Dojat, M., Gibaud, B., and Kassel, G. (2015). A multilayer ontology of instruments for neurological, behavioral and cognitive assessments. *Neuroinformatics* 13, 93–110. doi: 10.1007/s12021-014-9244-3
- Begley, C. G., and Ellis, L. M. (2012). Drug development: raise standards for preclinical cancer research. *Nature* 483, 531–533. doi: 10.1038/483531a
- Begley, C. G., and Ioannidis, J. P. (2015). Reproducibility in science: improving the standard for basic and preclinical research. *Circ. Res.* 116, 116–126. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.114.303819
- Bjerke, I. E., Øvsthus, M., Papp, E. A., Yates, S. C., Silvestri, L., Fiorilli, J., et al. (2018). Data integration through brain atlas: human brain project tools and strategies. *Eur. Psychiatry* 50, 70–76. doi: 10.1016/j.eurpsy.2018.02.004
- Button, K. S., Ioannidis, J. P., Mokrysz, C., Nosek, B. A., Flint, J., Robinson, E. S., et al. (2013). Power failure: why small sample size undermines the reliability of neuroscience. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 14, 365–376. doi: 10.1038/nrn3475
- Committee on Toward an Open Science Enterprise, Board on Research Data, and Information and Affairs PaG, (2017). *Open Science by Design: Realizing a Vision for 21st Century Research*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- Commowick, O., Istace, A., Kain, M., Laurent, B., Leray, F., Simon, M., et al. (2018). Objective evaluation of multiple sclerosis lesion segmentation using a data management and processing infrastructure. *Sci. Rep.* 8:13650. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-31911-7
- Deruelle, T., Perles-Barbacaru, A., Kober, F., Noblet, V., Delzescaux, T., Barbier, E., et al. (2020). A Multicenter preclinical MRI study: definition of rat brain

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MK, CB, and MD contributed conception and design of the study. MK, MB, SL, YC, JL, and MS implement the different micro services; MK, JL, and MD wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to manuscript revision, read and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work is partly funded by the French program “Investissement d’Avenir” run by the Agence Nationale pour la Recherche (ANR-11-INBS-0006).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the technical staff of VIP (<https://www.creatis.insa-lyon.fr/vip/>), for their support and all the members of the FLI-IAM project for inspiring discussions and fruitful collaborations.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fninf.2020.00020/full#supplementary-material>

- relaxometry referece maps. *Front. ICT Sect. Comput. Image Anal. Rev.* doi: 10.1101/2020.02.06.928549
- Gibaud, B., Kassel, G., Dojat, M., Batrancourt, B., Michel, F., Gagnard, A., et al. (2011). NeuroLOG: sharing neuroimaging data using an ontology-based federated approach. *AMIA Annu. Symp. Proc.* 2011, 472–480.
- Glatard, T., Kiar, G., Aumentado-Armstrong, T., Beck, N., Bellec, P., Bernard, R., et al. (2018). Boutiques: a flexible framework to integrate command-line applications in computing platforms. *Gigascience* 7:giy016. doi: 10.1093/gigascience/giy016
- Glatard, T., Lartizien, C., Gibaud, B., da Silva, R. F., Forestier, G., Cervenansky, F., et al. (2013). A virtual imaging platform for multi-modality medical image simulation. *IEEE Trans. Med. Imag.* 32, 110–118. doi: 10.1109/TMI.2012.2220154
- Hatt, M., Laurent, B., Ouahabi, A., Fayad, H., Tan, S., Li, L., et al. (2018). The first MICCAI challenge on PET tumor segmentation. *Med. Image Anal.* 44, 177–195. doi: 10.1016/j.media.2017.12.007
- Ingre, M. (2013). Why small low-powered studies are worse than large high-powered studies and how to protect against “trivial” findings in research: comment on Friston (2012). *Neuroimage* 81, 496–498. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.03.030
- Kennedy, D. N., Abraham, S. A., Bates, J. F., Crowley, A., Ghosh, S., Gillespie, T., et al. (2019). Everything matters: the reponim perspective on reproducible neuroimaging. *Front. Neuroinform.* 13:1. doi: 10.3389/fninf.2019.00001
- Lartizien, C., Gibaud, B., da Silva, R. F., Forestier, G., Cervenansky, F., et al. (2013). A virtual imaging platform for multi-modality medical image simulation. *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging* 32, 110–118. doi: 10.1109/TMI.2012.2220154
- Lee, D., Ruffins, S., Ng, Q., Sane, N., Anderson, S., and Toga, A. (2010). MBAT: a scalable informatics system for unifying digital atlas workflows. *BMC Bioinformatics* 11:608. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-11-608
- Mackenzie-Graham, A. J., Van Horn, J. D., Woods, R. P., Crawford, K. L., and Toga, A. W. (2008). Provenance in neuroimaging. *Neuroimage* 42, 178–195. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.04.186

- Micard, E., Husson, D., CIC-IT Team, and Felblinger, J. (2016). ArchiMed: a data management system for clinical research in imaging. *Front ICT Sect. Comput. Image Anal.* doi: 10.3389/fict.2016.00031
- Minsky, M. (1986). *The Society of Mind*. New York: Simon & Schuster.
- Musen, M. (2015). The Protégé project: a look back and a look forward. *AI Matters* 1, 4–12.
- Poldrack, R. A., Baker, C. I., Durnez, J., Gorgolewski, K. J., Matthews, P. M., Munafò, M. R., et al. (2017). Scanning the horizon: towards transparent and reproducible neuroimaging research. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 18, 115–126. doi: 10.1038/nrn.2016.167
- Prescott, M. J., and Lidster, K. (2017). Improving quality of science through better animal welfare: the NC3Rs strategy. *Lab. Anim.* 46, 152–156. doi: 10.1038/labn.1217
- Sena, E. S., van der Worp, H. B., Bath, P. M., Howells, D. W., and Macleod, M. R. (2010). Publication bias in reports of animal stroke studies leads to major overstatement of efficacy. *PLoS Biol.* 8:e1000344. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1000344
- Sunkin, S. M., Ng, L., Lau, C., Dolbeare, T., Gilbert, T. L., Thompson, C. L., et al. (2013). Allen Brain Atlas: an integrated spatio-temporal portal for exploring the central nervous system. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, D996–D1008. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks1042
- Temal, L., Dojat, M., Kassel, G., and Gibaud, B. (2008). Towards an ontology for sharing medical images and regions of interest in neuroimaging. *J. Biomed. Inform.* 41, 766–778. doi: 10.1016/j.jbi.2008.03.002
- Tsilidis, K. K., Panagiotou, O. A., Sena, E. S., Aretouli, E., Evangelou, E., Howells, D. W., et al. (2013). Evaluation of excess significance bias in animal studies of neurological diseases. *PLoS Biol.* 11:e1001609. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1001609
- Wang, N., Anderson, R., Badea, A., Cofer, G., Dibb, R., Qi, Y., et al. (2018). Whole mouse brain structural connectomics using magnetic resonance histology. *Brain Struct. Funct.* 223, 4323–4335. doi: 10.1007/s00429-018-1750-x
- Wilkinson, M. D., Dumontier, M., Aalbersberg, I. J., Appleton, G., Axton, M., and Baak, A. (2016). The FAIR guiding principles for scientific data management and stewardship. *Sci Data* 3:160018. doi: 10.1038/s41597-019-0009-6

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Kain, Bodin, Loury, Chi, Louis, Simon, Lamy, Barillot and Dojat. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.