



On the exponential generating function of labelled trees

Alin Bostan, Antonio Jiménez-Pastor

► **To cite this version:**

Alin Bostan, Antonio Jiménez-Pastor. On the exponential generating function of labelled trees. Comptes rendus de l'Académie des sciences. Série I, Mathématique, Elsevier, In press. hal-03084481

HAL Id: hal-03084481

<https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03084481>

Submitted on 21 Dec 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



On the exponential generating function of labelled trees

Sur la série génératrice des arbres étiquetés

Alin Bostan^a and Antonio Jiménez-Pastor^{*,b}

^a Inria, 1 rue Honoré d'Estienne d'Orves 91120 Palaiseau, France

^b Johannes Kepler University Linz, Doctoral Program Computational Mathematics,
DK15.

E-mails: Alin.Bostan@inria.fr (A. Bostan), ajpastor@risc.uni-linz.ac.at
(A. Jiménez-Pastor).

Abstract. We show that the generating function of labelled trees is not D^∞ -finite.

Résumé. Nous montrons que la série génératrice des arbres étiquetés n'est pas D^∞ -finie.

Keywords. Combinatorics, generating functions, differential equations.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 05A15, 12H05, 34A34.

Funding. This research was partially funded by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): W1214-N15, project DK15. It was also supported in part by the ANR DeRerumNatura project, grant ANR-19-CE40-0018 of the French *Agence Nationale de la Recherche*.

Electronic supplementary material. Supplementary material for this article is supplied as a separate archive available from the journal's website under article's URL or from the author.

This article is a draft (not yet accepted!)

Version française abrégée

Nous montrons que la série génératrice exponentielle des arbres étiquetés, $T(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{n^{n-1}}{n!} x^n$, n'est pas D^∞ -finie. En particulier, cela implique que, bien que $T(x)$ vérifie des équations différentielles non-linéaires, ces dernières ne peuvent pas être « trop simples ». En particulier, $T(x)$ n'est pas un quotient de deux fonctions D -finies (vérifiant des équations différentielles à coefficients polynomiaux), et plus généralement, $T(x)$ ne vérifie aucune équation différentielle linéaire à coefficients des fonctions D -finies. La preuve repose ultimement sur un résultat de théorie de Galois différentielle. Plusieurs questions ouvertes sont proposées, dont une sur la nature de la série génératrice ordinaire des arbres étiquetés, $\sum_{n \geq 1} n^{n-1} x^n$.

* Corresponding author.

1. Context and main result

A formal power series $f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n x^n$ in $\mathbb{C}[[x]]$ is called *differentially finite*, or simply *D-finite* [22], if it satisfies a *linear* differential equation with polynomial coefficients in $\mathbb{C}[x]$. Many generating functions in combinatorics and many special functions in mathematical physics are D-finite [2, 9].

DD-finite series and more generally D^n -finite series are larger classes of power series, recently introduced in [12]. DD-finite power series satisfy linear differential equations, whose coefficients are themselves D-finite power series. One of the simplest examples is $\tan(x)$, which is DD-finite (because it satisfies $\cos(x)f(x) - \sin(x) = 0$), but is not D-finite (because it has an infinite number of complex singularities, a property which is incompatible with D-finiteness). Another basic example is the exponential generating function of the Bell numbers B_n , which count partitions of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, namely:

$$B(x) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{B_n}{n!} x^n. \quad (1)$$

Indeed, it is classical [9, p. 109] that $B(x) = e^{e^x - 1}$, therefore $B(x)$ is DD-finite. On the other hand, $B(x)$ is not D-finite: this can be proved either analytically (using the too fast growth of $B(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$), or purely algebraically (using [21], and the fact that the power series e^x is not algebraic).

More generally, given a differential ring R , the set of *differentially definable* functions over R , denoted by $D(R)$, is the differential ring of formal power series satisfying linear differential equations with coefficients in R . In particular, $D(\mathbb{C}[x])$ is the ring of D-finite power series, $D^2(\mathbb{C}[x]) := D(D(\mathbb{C}[x]))$ is the ring of DD-finite power series, and $D^n(\mathbb{C}[x]) := D(D^{n-1}(\mathbb{C}[x]))$ is the ring of D^n -finite power series. We say that a power series $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[[x]]$ is D^∞ -finite if there exists an n such that $f(x)$ is D^n -finite.

It is known [13] that D^n -finite power series form a strictly increasing sequence of sets and that any D^∞ -finite power series is *differentially algebraic*, in short *D-algebraic*, that is, it satisfies a differential equation, possibly *non-linear*, with polynomial coefficients in $\mathbb{C}[x]$. This class, as well as its complement (of *D-transcendental* series), are quite well studied [20, 23].

Let now $(t_n)_{n \geq 0} = (0, 1, 2, 9, 64, 625, 7776, \dots)$ be the sequence whose general term t_n counts *labelled rooted trees* with n nodes. It is well known that $t_n = n^{n-1}$, for any n . This beautiful and non-trivial result is usually attributed to Cayley [6], although an equivalent result had been proved earlier by Borchardt [4], and even earlier by Sylvester, see [3, Chapter 4]. Due to the importance of the combinatorial class of trees, and to the simplicity of the formula, Cayley's result has attracted a lot of interest over the time, and it admits several different proofs, see e.g., [15, §4] and [1, §30]. One of the more conceptual proofs goes along the following lines (see [9, §II. 5.1] for details). Let

$$T(x) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{t_n}{n!} x^n \quad (2)$$

be the exponential generating function of the sequence $(t_n)_n$. The class \mathcal{T} of all rooted labelled trees is definable by a *symbolic equation* $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{Z} \star \text{SET}(\mathcal{T})$ reflecting their recursive definition, where \mathcal{Z} represents the atomic class consisting of a single labelled node, and \star denotes the labelled product on combinatorial classes. This symbolic equation provides, by syntactic translation, an implicit equation on the level of exponential generating functions:

$$T(x) = x e^{T(x)}, \quad (3)$$

which can be solved using *Lagrange inversion*

$$t_n = n! \cdot [x^n] T(x) = n! \cdot \left(\frac{1}{n} [z^{n-1}] (e^z)^n \right) = n^{n-1}. \quad (4)$$

From (3), it follows easily that $T(x)$ is D-algebraic and satisfies the non-linear equation

$$x(1 - T(x))T'(x) = T(x),$$

and from there, that the sequence $(t_n)_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies the non-linear recurrence relation

$$t_{n+1} = \frac{n+1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} t_i t_{n-i+1}, \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

This recurrence can also be proved using (4), by taking $y = n$, $x = w = 1$ in Abel's identity [11]

$$(x + y)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x(x + wk)^{k-1} (y - wk)^{n-k},$$

and then by isolating the term $k = n$ in the resulting equality.

On the other hand, it is known that the power series $T(x)$ is not D-finite, see [10, Theorem 7], or [8, Theorem 2]. This raises the natural question whether $T(x)$ is DD-finite, or D^n -finite for some $n \geq 2$. Our main result is that this is not the case:

Theorem 1. *The power series $T(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{n^{n-1}}{n!} x^n$ in (2) is not D^∞ -finite.*

To our knowledge, this is the first explicit example of a natural combinatorial generating function which is provably D-algebraic but not D^∞ -finite. In particular, Theorem 1 implies that $T(x)$ is not equal to the quotient of two D-finite functions, and more generally, that it does not satisfy any linear differential equation with D-finite coefficients.

2. Proof of the main result

Our proof of Theorem 1 builds upon the following recent result by Noordman, van der Put and Top.

Theorem 2 ([17]). *Assume that $u(x) \in \mathbb{C}[[x]] \setminus \mathbb{C}$ is a solution of $u' = u^3 - u^2$. Then u is not D^∞ -finite.*

The proof of Theorem 2 is based on two ingredients. The first one is a result by Rosenlicht [19] stating that any set of non-constant solutions (in any differential field) of the differential equation $u' = u^3 - u^2$ is algebraically independent over \mathbb{C} (see also [17, Prop. 7.1]); the proof is elementary. The second one [17, Prop. 7.1] is that any non-constant power series solution of an autonomous first-order differential equation with this independence property cannot be D^∞ -finite; the proof is based on differential Galois theory.

Proof of Theorem 1. We will use Theorem 2 and a few facts about the (principal branch of the) Lambert W function, satisfying $W(x) \cdot e^{W(x)} = x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{C}$.

Recall [7] that the Taylor series of W around 0 is given by

$$W(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-n)^{n-1}}{n!} x^n = x - x^2 + \frac{3}{2}x^3 - \frac{8}{3}x^4 + \frac{125}{24}x^5 - \dots.$$

In other words, our $T(x)$ and $W(x)$ are simply related by $W(x) = -T(-x)$.

The function defined by this series can be extended to a holomorphic function defined on all complex numbers with a branch cut along the interval $(-\infty, -\frac{1}{e}]$; this holomorphic function defines the principal branch of the Lambert W function.

We can substitute $x \mapsto e^{x+1}$ in the functional equation for $W(x)$ obtaining then

$$W(e^{x+1})e^{W(e^{x+1})} = e^{x+1},$$

or, renaming $Y(x) = W(e^{x+1})$, we have a new functional equation: $Y(x)e^{Y(x)-1} = e^x$. From this equality it follows by logarithmic differentiation that $Y'(x) \cdot (1 + Y(x)) = Y(x)$.

Take now $U(x) := \frac{1}{1+Y(x)} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{8}x + \frac{1}{64}x^2 + \frac{1}{768}x^3 + \dots$. We have that

$$U'(x) = \frac{-Y'(x)}{(1+Y(x))^2} = \frac{-Y(x)}{(1+Y(x))^3} = U(x)^3 - U(x)^2.$$

By Theorem 2, $U(x)$ is not D^∞ -finite. By closure properties of D^∞ -finite functions (see [13, Theorem 4] and [12, §3]), it follows that $Y(x)$ is not D^∞ -finite either.

To conclude, note that by definition, for real x in the neighborhood of 0, we have $W(x) = Y(\log(x) - 1)$, and by Theorem 10 in [13], it follows that $W(x)$ and $T(x)$ are not D^∞ -finite either, proving Theorem 1. \square

3. Open questions

The class of D -finite power series is closed under Hadamard (term-wise) product. This is false for D^∞ -finite power series; for instance, Klazar showed in [14] that the ordinary generating function $\sum_{n \geq 0} B_n x^n$ of the Bell numbers is not differentially algebraic, contrary to its exponential generating function (1), which is DD -finite.

Moreover, it was conjectured by Pak and Yeliussizov [18, Open Problem 2.4] that this is an instance of a more general phenomenon.

Conjecture 3 ([18, Open Problem 2.4]). *If for a sequence $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ both ordinary and exponential generating functions $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n x^n$ and $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \frac{x^n}{n!}$ are D -algebraic, then both are D -finite. (Equivalently, $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies a linear recurrence with polynomial coefficients in n .)*

This conjecture has been recently proven for large (infinite) classes of generating functions [5]. However, the very natural example of the generating function for labelled trees escapes the method in [5].

We therefore leave the following as an open question.

Open question 1. *Is the power series $\sum_{n \geq 1} n^{n-1} x^n$ D^∞ -finite? Is it at least differentially algebraic?*

According to Conjecture 3, the answer should be “no” for both questions in Open question 1.

Another natural question concerns the generating function for partition numbers:

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} p_n x^n := \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{1 - x^n} = 1 + x + 2x^2 + 3x^3 + 5x^4 + 7x^5 + 11x^6 + \dots,$$

which is known to be differentially algebraic [16].

Open question 2. *Is it true that $\sum_{n \geq 0} p_n x^n$ is not D^∞ -finite?*

One may also ask for the nature of the exponential variant of the generating function for partition numbers.

Open question 3. *Is the power series $\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{p_n}{n!} x^n$ D^∞ -finite, or at least differentially algebraic?*

Acknowledgements We would like to warmly thank the referees for their careful reading and for their constructive remarks.

References

- [1] M. Aigner and G. M. Ziegler. *Proofs from The Book*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, fourth edition, 2010.
- [2] G. E. Andrews, R. Askey, and R. Roy. *Special functions*, volume 71 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [3] N. L. Biggs, E. K. Lloyd, and R. J. Wilson. *Graph theory. 1736–1936*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, second edition, 1986.
- [4] C. W. Borchardt. Ueber eine der Interpolation entsprechende Darstellung der Eliminations-Resultante. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 57:111–121, 1860.
- [5] A. Bostan, L. Di Vizio, and K. Raschel. Differential transcendence of Bell numbers and relatives — a Galois theoretic approach, 2020. In preparation.
- [6] A. Cayley. A theorem on trees. *Q. J. Math.*, 23:376–378, 1889.
- [7] R. M. Corless, G. H. Gonnet, D. E. G. Hare, D. J. Jeffrey, and D. E. Knuth. On the Lambert W function. *Adv. Comput. Math.*, 5(4):329–359, 1996.
- [8] P. Flajolet, S. Gerhold, and B. Salvy. On the non-holonomic character of logarithms, powers, and the n th prime function. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 11(2):Article 2, 16, 2004/06.
- [9] P. Flajolet and R. Sedgewick. *Analytic combinatorics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.
- [10] S. Gerhold. On some non-holonomic sequences. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 11(1):Research Paper 87, 8, 2004.
- [11] J. L. W. V. Jensen. Sur une identité d’Abel et sur d’autres formules analogues. *Acta Math.*, 26(1):307–318, 1902.
- [12] A. Jiménez-Pastor and V. Pillwein. A computable extension for D-finite functions: DD-finite functions. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 94:90–104, 2019.
- [13] A. Jiménez-Pastor, V. Pillwein, and M. F. Singer. Some structural results on D^n -finite functions. *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, 117:102027, 29, 2020.
- [14] M. Klazar. Bell numbers, their relatives, and algebraic differential equations. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 102(1):63–87, 2003.
- [15] L. Lovász. *Combinatorial problems and exercises*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, second edition, 1993.
- [16] A. M. Mian and S. Chowla. The differential equations satisfied by certain functions. *J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 8:27–28, 1944.
- [17] M. P. Noordman, M. van der Put, and J. Top. Combinatorial autonomous first order differential equations, 2019. Preprint, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1904.08152v1>.
- [18] I. Pak. Complexity problems in enumerative combinatorics. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians—Rio de Janeiro 2018. Vol. IV. Invited lectures*, pages 3153–3180. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2018.
- [19] M. Rosenlicht. The nonminimality of the differential closure. *Pacific J. Math.*, 52:529–537, 1974.
- [20] L. A. Rubel. A survey of transcendently transcendental functions. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 96(9):777–788, 1989.
- [21] M. F. Singer. Algebraic relations among solutions of linear differential equations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 295(2):753–763, 1986.
- [22] R. P. Stanley. Differentiably finite power series. *European J. Combin.*, 1(2):175–188, 1980.
- [23] J. van der Hoeven. Computing with D-algebraic power series. *Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput.*, 30(1):17–49, 2019.