



HAL
open science

Quantum harmonic oscillators and Feynman-Kac path integrals for linear diffusive particles

Pierre del Moral, Emma Horton

► **To cite this version:**

Pierre del Moral, Emma Horton. Quantum harmonic oscillators and Feynman-Kac path integrals for linear diffusive particles. 2021. hal-03272255

HAL Id: hal-03272255

<https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03272255>

Preprint submitted on 28 Jun 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Quantum harmonic oscillators and Feynman-Kac path integrals for linear diffusive particles

P. Del Moral, E. Horton

June 28, 2021

Abstract

We propose a new solvable class of multidimensional quantum harmonic oscillators for a linear diffusive particle and a quadratic energy absorbing well associated with a semi-definite positive matrix force. Under natural and easily checked controllability conditions, the ground state and the zero-point energy are explicitly computed in terms of a positive fixed point of a continuous time algebraic Riccati matrix equation. We also present an explicit solution of normalized and time dependent Feynman-Kac measures in terms of a time varying linear dynamical system coupled with a differential Riccati matrix equation.

A refined non asymptotic analysis of the stability of these models is developed based on a recently developed Floquet-type representation of time varying exponential semigroups of Riccati matrices. We provide explicit and non asymptotic estimates of the exponential decays to equilibrium of Feynman-Kac semigroups in terms of Wasserstein distances or Boltzmann-relative entropy.

For reversible models we develop a series of functional inequalities including de Bruijn identity, Fisher's information decays, log-Sobolev inequalities, and entropy contraction estimates. In this context, we also provide a complete and explicit description of all the spectrum and the excited states of the Hamiltonian, yielding what seems to be the first result of this type for this class of models.

We illustrate these formulae with the traditional harmonic oscillator associated with real time Brownian particles and Mehler's formula. The analysis developed in this article can also be extended to solve time dependent Schrodinger equations equipped with time varying linear diffusions and quadratic potential functions.

Keywords : Feynman-Kac path integrals, Hamiltonian, particle absorption models, ground state and excited states, Mehler's formula, Boltzmann-Kullback Leibler relative entropy, log-Sobolev inequality, Wasserstein metric, de Bruijn's identity, Poincaré inequality, h -processes, Riccati matrix differential equation, continuous time algebraic Riccati equation.

Mathematics Subject Classification : Primary: 47D08, 81Q05, 33D45, 35J10; Secondary: 37A30, 35C05, 35Q40, 47D07.

1 Introduction

1.1 Description of the models

Given $r \geq 1$ and some square $(r \times r)$ -matrices A, R, S with real entries, let \mathcal{H} be the Hamiltonian differential operator given by the formula

$$\mathcal{H} = -\mathcal{L} + V \quad \text{with the potential energy} \quad V(x) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq k, l \leq r} x_k S_{k,l} x_l \quad (1)$$

In the above display, \mathcal{L} stands for the second order differential kinetic energy operator

$$\mathcal{L} := \sum_{1 \leq k, l \leq r} A_{k,l} x_l \partial_{x_k} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq k, l \leq r} R_{k,l} \partial_{x_k, x_l} \quad (2)$$

In the present article we shall assume that R and S are positive semi-definite matrices and the pairs of matrices $(A, R^{1/2})$ and $(A', S^{1/2})$ are both controllable, in the sense that the $(r \times r^2)$ -matrices

$$\left[R^{1/2}, AR^{1/2}, \dots, A^{r-1}R^{1/2} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \left[S^{1/2}, A'S^{1/2}, \dots, (A')^{r-1}S^{1/2} \right] \quad \text{have rank } r. \quad (3)$$

In the above display, A' stands for the transposition of the matrix A . Note that the above condition holds trivially when R and S are positive matrices.

The time dependent Schrödinger equation and the imaginary time version associated with the hamiltonian \mathcal{H} are given respectively by the equations

$$i \partial_t \Psi_t(x) = \mathcal{H}(\Psi_t)(x) \quad \text{and} \quad -\partial_t \psi_t(x) = \mathcal{H}(\psi_t) \quad (4)$$

with prescribed initial conditions. In the above display, $i \in \mathbb{C}$ stands for the complex number such that $i^2 = -1$. The right hand side equation is obtained by a formal change of time by setting $\psi_t(x) = \Psi_{-it}(x)$. The corresponding evolution equation takes the following form

$$\partial_t \psi_t(x) = \mathcal{L}(\psi_t)(x) - V(x)\psi_t(x) \quad (5)$$

The first term \mathcal{L} represents the generator of a free linear diffusion process X_t with drift matrix and diffusion matrix R . The stochastic differential equation associated with this free evolution process is described in more details in (35). We emphasize that A is not required to be a stable Hurwitz matrix so that X_t can be a transient diffusion process that evolves exponentially fast to ∞ . As we shall see in the further development of the article, the controllability condition (3) ensures that the trapping force of the potential energy always compensates the delocalization kinetic energy of the diffusion.

For a twice differentiable function ψ_0 , the solution of (5) is given by the Feynman-Kac path integral formula

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_t(x) &= \mathcal{K}_t(\psi_0)(x) := \int \mathcal{K}_t(x, dy) \psi_0(y) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left(\psi_0(X_t) \exp \left(- \int_0^t V(X_u) ds \right) \mid X_0 = x \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The integral operator \mathcal{K}_t is sometimes called the Feynman-Kac propagator. Besides its mathematical elegance, the conditional expectations in (6) can rarely be solved analytically. Moreover, numerical solutions for general diffusions X_t and/or potential functions V that are not necessarily quadratic require extensive calculations, see for instance [23, 24, 36, 38] and the references therein.

There exists a rich literature on the micro-local analysis [54, 61, 86] and the semi-classical analysis [46, 70, 94] of self-adjoint Hamiltonian operators for general smooth potentials and Brownian particle free motions. These powerful mathematical tools provide a precise spectral asymptotic analysis by connecting the Schrödinger equation with the classical mechanics of point particles (a.k.a. Bohr correspondence principle) when the diffusion Planck constant tends to 0.

Non-asymptotic estimates for general models are rarely studied in the literature and they often rely on proving the existence of limiting unknown mathematical objects such as quasi-invariant measures, the zero-point energy and the corresponding ground state, see for instance [36, 39, 41, 42] and the more recent articles of Champagnat and Villemonais [25, 26, 27, 28, 41].

By an elementary second order Taylor expansion, any smooth potential V can be approximated by a harmonic quadratic potential of the form (1) at the vicinity of a stable equilibrium point. The quantum harmonic oscillator corresponding to the case $A = 0$ and diagonal matrices (R, S) is one of the most important quantum-mechanical Hamiltonian systems for which an exact analytical solution is known. To the best of our knowledge, the case $A \neq 0$ and non diagonal matrices (R, S) has not been considered in the literature on this subject. This article provides an analytical solution and a complete theoretical analysis the multivariate quantum harmonic oscillator and related particle absorption processes for general hamiltonian operators given by (1).

A brief description of the main objectives and the main results of this article is provided below:

- One of the main objective of this article is to construct an explicit closed form solution of the time dependent Schrödinger equation (4) for abstract and general Hamiltonian operators of the form (1) in the reversible situation, that is when $R > 0$ and $AR = RA'$ (see Theorem 3.7). In this context we provide a complete description of the entire spectrum of the Hamiltonian operator, including all the excited states in terms of the matrices (A, R, S) . In the non-reversible case, we also provide an explicit description of the zero-point energy and the ground state of the Hamiltonian in terms of the positive fixed point of a continuous algebraic Riccati matrix equation (a.k.a. CARE, see for instance (8) and Theorem 3.1).
- When the process is not necessarily reversible, our second main objective is to explicitly compute the time varying distribution flow of survival probabilities (23) including the distributions of a non-absorbed particle (13); see for instance the Gaussian preserving property (22) and the coupled equations (21). The distribution of a non-absorbed diffusion and a particle evolving in the ground state (a.k.a. h -process) are connected to each other by a Boltzmann-Gibbs transformation (a.k.a. Bayes' rule or Doob's h -transform, see for instance (18) and (19), as well as Theorem 7.1 in the context of path space models). For any initial Gaussian state we show that a non-absorbed particle

remains distributed according to a Gaussian probability with a mean vector satisfying a coupled time-varying linear system depending of the solution of a time dependent Riccati differential equation (21).

- An important part of the article is concerned with the long time behavior of h -processes (16) and normalised Feynman-Kac measures (cf. section 6.2 and section 6.3), including the convergence of the conditional distribution of a non absorbed particle (13) towards the unique fixed point (a.k.a. quasi-invariant distribution) of a nonlinear semigroup in distribution space (20). In the reversible case, the density of these limiting distributions with respect to the reversible measure of the free particle coincides with the ground state of the Hamiltonian (24).

Our main contributions to the stability analysis of h -processes and normalised Feynman-Kac semigroups are twofold:

- Firstly, we provide explicit and non-asymptotic estimates of the exponential decays to equilibrium in terms of Wasserstein distances or Boltzmann-relative entropy. These results are summarised in Theorems 3.3 and 3.4. We emphasise that these theorems are valid for non-necessarily reversible models, even when the drift matrix A is unstable, yielding what seems to be the first result of this type for this class of Feynman-Kac particle absorption models.
- In the reversible case, we analyze the stability properties of the h -process with a series of functional inequalities including de Bruijn identity (45), Fisher’s information decays, log-Sobolev inequalities, and entropy contraction estimates (cf. Theorem 2.2). We also deduce Poincaré inequality and variance-type exponential decays directly from the spectral theorem 3.5 (see also Corollary 3.6).
- Last but not least, section 8 discusses several classes of McKean-Vlasov interpretations of the distribution of a non-absorbed particle. These probabilistic models and their mean field simulation are defined in terms of a nonlinear Markov process that depends on the distribution of the random states so that the flow of distributions of all random states coincides with the conditional distribution of a non-absorbed particle. For a more thorough discussion on these nonlinear sampling methodologies we refer to the books [36, 38, 39, 40] and the references therein.

Section 8.1 is dedicated to interacting jump interpretations. Their mean field interpretations coincides with conventional Quantum Monte Carlo methods currently used in numerical physics. Their path space version can be interpreted as the genealogical tree associated with the killing and the birth/duplication/selection of walkers. An alternative way of sampling the trajectories of a non absorbed particle backward is provided in section 7.2 (see for instance Theorem 7.2).

In section 8.2 we present a new class of mean field samplers based on Ensemble Kalman filters and the novel feedback particle filter methodology introduced by Mehta and Meyn and their co-authors in a series of seminal articles [87, 88, 89, 90, 91]. To the best of our knowledge, this class of advanced Monte Carlo methods have not been used to solved ground state energies nor to sample non-absorbed particle processes.

A more formal discussion on the probabilistic models and the main results presented in

this article is provided in section 1.2 and section 1.3. The detailed statements of the main theorems are presented in section 3.

To facilitate the interpretation of the theoretical and numerical physics in the measure theoretical framework used in this article, we end this introduction with some comments on the probabilistic setting. In theoretical and mathematical physics, the Feynman-Kac propagator defined by the integral operator (6) is sometimes written in terms of the exponential of the Hamiltonian operator with the exponential-type symbol

$$\mathcal{K}_t := e^{-t\mathcal{H}} \quad \text{or in the bra-kets formalism} \quad \mathcal{K}_t(\psi_0) = |e^{-t\mathcal{H}}\psi_0\rangle.$$

The exponential notation is compatible with finite space models and the matrix notation of the continuous one-parameter semigroup for time homogenous models. The bra-ket notation (a.k.a. Dirac notation) is also used to represents linear projection forms acting on Hilbert spaces associated with some reversible or some stationary measure, such as the Lebesque measure for the harmonic oscillator.

The present article deals with different types of non necessarily stationary stochastic processes, including the free evolution process X_t discussed in (6), h -processes and McKean-Vlasov jump or diffusion-type processes. Apart in the reversible situation in which spectral theorems are stated on the Hilbert space associated with a reversible measure, the use of the exponential symbol or the use of the bra-kets formalism is clearly not adapted to represent different expectations with respect to different types of stochastic and non-necessarily reversible processes.

To analyze these general stochastic models, we have chosen to only use elementary and standard measure theory notation such as (6). The integral actions of a given integral operator $K_t(x, dy)$ on the right on functions $f(y)$ (such as (6)) and on the left on measures $\mu(dx)$ (such as (20)) are clearly compatible with finite space models and matrix notation. The left action $\mu \mapsto \mu K_t$ maps measures into measures, while the right action $f \mapsto K_t(f)$ maps functions into functions

$$(\mu K_t)(dy) := \int \mu(dx) K_t(x, dy) \quad \text{and} \quad K_t(f)(x) := \int K_t(x, dy) f(y).$$

For finite or countable state space models the integrals are clearly replaced by finite or countable sums, the integral operator $K_t(x, dy)$ is replaced by a square matrix, the function $f(y)$ by a column vector, and by duality, the measure $\mu(dx)$ is represented by a row vector. These are the only notation from measure theory used in the present article.

For any $s, t \geq 0$ the integral operators \mathcal{K}_t introduced in (6) satisfy the semigroup property

$$\mathcal{K}_{s+t}(x, dz) = (\mathcal{K}_s \mathcal{K}_t)(x, dz) := \int \mathcal{K}_s(x, dz) \mathcal{K}_t(z, dy) \implies \psi_{s+t} = \mathcal{K}_s(\psi_t).$$

In terms of left action bra-kets, defining $\mu_\varphi(dx) := \varphi(x)dx$, Fubini's theorem yields

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi | e^{-s\mathcal{H}} | \psi_t \rangle &= \int dx \varphi(x) \mathcal{K}_s(x, dy) \psi_t(dy) = (\mu_\varphi \mathcal{K}_s)(\psi_t) \\ &= \mu_\varphi((\mathcal{K}_s \mathcal{K}_t)(\psi_0)) = \mu_\varphi \mathcal{K}_{s+t}(\psi_0) = \langle \varphi | e^{-(s+t)\mathcal{H}} | \psi_0 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

1.2 Harmonic oscillator for linear diffusions

One of the main questions of quantum mechanics is to find the quantum numbers n , the eigenstates h_n and the energy levels λ_n of the Hamiltonian operator introduced in (1); that is, to find a sequence of functions $h_n(x)$ in some Hilbert space and some energy levels $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty[$ satisfying for any quantum numbers n the time independent Schrödinger equation

$$\mathcal{H}(h_n)(x) = \lambda_n h_n(x) \iff \mathcal{K}_t(h_n)(x) = \exp(-\lambda_n t) h_n(x). \quad (7)$$

The complete answer to this question is rather well known for the conventional isotropic harmonic oscillator associated with a null matrix $A = 0$ and diagonal matrices (R, S) . The one dimensional case with $A = 0$ corresponds to the well know harmonic oscillator treated in any textbook in quantum mechanics, see for instance [34, 52, 65, 85, 92]. In the multidimensional case, the Hamiltonian resumes to the sum of independent operators in each dimension. The resulting energy levels coincide with the tensor product of energy levels in each dimension. The isotropic harmonic oscillator corresponds to the case where $S = \rho I$, for some constant $\rho > 0$. The case $A = 0$ and non diagonal matrices S arise in the analysis of coupled harmonic oscillators, see for instance [29, 33, 69, 71, 73] and references therein. Coupled harmonic oscillators arise in a variety of applications including quantum and nonlinear physics [48, 74], quantum cryptography and communication [47, 8], quantum teleportation [84], as well as in biophysics [79, 82] and in molecular chemistry [60, 45].

To the best of our knowledge the case $A \neq 0$ has not been considered in quantum mechanics literature, the hypothesis of universal Brownian particle velocities in real time is always in force in all the studies published in this field. The main objective of this article is to extend conventional quantum harmonic oscillators to linear drift-type particle diffusions and general potential functions associated with some quadratic form. This class of models differs from the damped quantum harmonic oscillators with Ornstein-Uhlenbeck stable diffusions in imaginary time discussed in the series or articles [3, 7, 19, 31, 32, 35, 64].

For matrices (A, R, S) satisfying the controllability condition (3), we provide an explicit description of the zero-point energy λ_0 and the ground state h_0 of the Hamiltonian (see Theorem 3.1) in terms of the positive fixed point of a continuous time algebraic Riccati matrix equation. More precisely, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_\infty > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad A'Q_\infty + Q_\infty A - Q_\infty R Q_\infty + S &= 0 \\ \implies \lambda_0 = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(R Q_\infty) \quad \text{and} \quad h_0(x) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} x' Q_\infty x\right). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Here, and in the rest of the article, $\text{Tr}(\cdot)$ stands for the trace operator. As a rule in the present article, the state vectors $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ are column vectors and x' stands for the transposed row vector.

Riccati equations such as the one discussed above play a central role in signal process and optimal control theory, starting with the pioneering work of Kalman in the beginning of the 1960s, see for instance [1, 2, 18, 66, 78], and the more recent articles [15, 17] in the context of filtering theory. To the best of our knowledge, their application in the context of quantum harmonic oscillators seems to be new.

For large scale problems, the numerical solving of the algebraic Riccati matrix equation (8) using exact or inexact Kleinman-Newton type methods is generally impractical [50,

66, 63]. Several improvements have been suggested to avoid the degeneracy of the residuals arising in the Lyapunov recursions associated with these sequential gradient type estimates [12, 13, 55, 62]. An alternative approach is to use Diffusion Monte Carlo methods and extended versions of Ensemble Kalman type methodologies used in signal processing and information theory.

In the reversible situation, that is when $AR = RA'$, we solve the Schrödinger eigenvalue problem with the imaginary time technique. In this context the entire spectrum of \mathcal{H} can be computed explicitly in terms of the matrices (A, R, S) . For instance, the ground state can be computed with the formula

$$Q_\infty = R^{-1}A + R^{-1}(A^2 + RS)^{1/2}. \quad (9)$$

In the above display $(A^2 + RS)^{1/2}$ stands for the square root that has positive eigenvalues. A proof of the above assertion is provided in section 9.1.

In section 3.2 we shall see that the energy levels λ_n are indexed by multiple index quantum numbers $n = (n_1, \dots, n_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$ and given by the formulae

$$\lambda_n = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(A) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \left(n_i + \frac{1}{2} \right) \sqrt{|\lambda_i(A^2 + RS)|}, \quad (10)$$

where $\lambda_i(A^2 + RS)$ stands for the non-negative eigenvalues of $(A^2 + RS)$. The excited eigenstates h_n with the energy level λ_n are defined on the Hilbert space $\mathbb{L}_2(\mu)$ associated with a locally finite Gibbs-type measure μ that only depends on the matrices (A, R) (see Theorem 3.7). We already mention that μ is Gaussian if and only if the drift matrix A is Hurwitz.

After decomposing the initial state $\psi_0 = \Psi_0$ into the h_n -basis discussed above, we apply the time evolution at each energy level λ_n . Reassembling all eigenstates we obtain the solution of both the time dependent Schrödinger equation and the imaginary time version discussed in (4); that is, we have that

$$\Psi_t(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^r} e^{-i\lambda_n t} h_n(x) \int \Psi_0(y) h_n(y) \mu(dy) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_t(x) = \Psi_{-it}(x). \quad (11)$$

The null quantum number $(0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{N}^r$ will correspond to the bottom of the spectrum of the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} and to simplify notation we shall write λ_0 and h_0 instead of $\lambda_{(0, \dots, 0)}$ and $h_{(0, \dots, 0)}$. A detailed description of the measure μ , the energy levels and the corresponding eigenstates discussed in (7) and (11) is provided in the end of section 2.5.

We illustrate these spectral decompositions in section 4. One dimensional models are discussed in section 4.1. In this situation, it is clear from (10) that the trapping force of the potential energy always compensates the delocalisation kinetic energy of the diffusion even when $A > 0$ is very large.

In section 4.2 we show how to recover directly Mehler's formula from our spectral decompositions. The multidimensional quantum harmonic oscillator discussed in section 4.3 corresponds to the null drift $A = 0$ and diagonal matrices (R, S) .

1.3 Particle absorption processes

Consider a process X_t^c starting from $X_0^c = X_0$, evolving as the diffusion X_t and killed with rate $V(X_t^c)$. We denote by τ^c the random killing time of the process. In this interpretation,

the Feynman-Kac propagator discussed in (6) takes the following form

$$\psi_t(x) = \mathcal{K}_t(\psi_0)(x) = \mathbb{E}(\psi_0(X_t^c) 1_{\tau^c \geq t} \mid X_0^c = x). \quad (12)$$

An important question arising in applied probability and rare event analysis is to study the long time behavior of the conditional probability of the process X_t^c with respect to the non-absorption event and starting from a random variable $X_0^c = X_0$ with distribution η_0 . In a more synthetic form this distribution is given by the formula

$$\eta_t(dx) := \mathbb{P}_{\eta_0}(X_t^c \in dx \mid \tau^c > t). \quad (13)$$

Equivalently, for any bounded measurable function f on \mathbb{R}^r we have the integral formula

$$\eta_t(f) := \int f(x) \eta_t(dx) = \mathbb{E}(f(X_t^c) \mid \tau^c > t).$$

In section 6.3 we shall see that η_t satisfies a nonlinear integro-differential equation given in weak form for any smooth functions by the formula

$$\partial_t \eta_t(f) = \eta_t(\mathcal{L}(f)) - \eta_t(fV) + \eta_t(f)\eta_t(V). \quad (14)$$

In contrast with conventional Markov processes, the flow of conditional probability measures η_t has a nonlinear evolution semigroup; that is, for any $s \leq t$ we have

$$\eta_t = \Phi_{t-s}(\eta_s), \quad (15)$$

for some *nonlinear mapping* Φ_t from the set of probability measures on \mathbb{R}^r into itself. For a detailed description of these nonlinear transformations we refer to section 6.2 and section 6.3.

The stability analysis of the nonlinear evolution semigroups given by the composition of mappings $\Phi_{t+s} = \Phi_t \circ \Phi_s$ is closely related to the long time behavior of a particle evolving in the ground state h_0 , sometimes called the h -process, denoted $(X_t^h)_{t \geq 0}$ and defined by the stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t^h = \left(AX_t^h + R \nabla \log h_0(X_t^h) \right) dt + BdW_t. \quad (16)$$

The initial distribution of the random state X_0^h is defined by a Boltzmann-Gibbs transformation of η_0 with respect to the ground state h_0 ; that is, we have that

$$\eta_0^h = \mathbb{B}_{h_0}(\eta_0) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbb{B}_{h_0}(\eta_0)(dx) := \frac{1}{\eta_0(h_0)} h_0(x) \eta_0(dx), \quad (17)$$

whenever $\eta_0(h_0)$ is a well-defined positive normalising constant. By (8), the generator \mathcal{L}^h of the diffusion process X_t^h is defined as \mathcal{L} by replacing A by the matrix $(A - RQ_\infty)$.

The distribution η_t^h of the random states X_t^h and the distribution η_t of a non-absorbed particle are connected by the Boltzmann-Gibbs transformation; that is, for any time horizon $t \geq 0$ we have that

$$\eta_t^h = \mathbb{B}_{h_0}(\eta_t) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_t = \mathbb{B}_{h_0^{-1}}(\eta_t^h) \quad \text{with} \quad h_0^{-1}(x) := 1/h_0(x). \quad (18)$$

In the same vein, the Markov transitions of X_t^h defined by the transition probabilities

$$\mathcal{K}_t^h(x, dy) := \mathbb{P}(X_t^h \in dy \mid X_0^h = x)$$

are connected to the Feynman-Kac propagator \mathcal{K}_t discussed in (6) and (12) by the formula

$$\exp(\lambda_0 t) \mathcal{K}_t(x, dy) = h_0(x) \mathcal{K}_t^h(x, dy) h_0^{-1}(y). \quad (19)$$

The Boltzmann-Gibbs formulae (18) and (19) remain valid for nonlinear diffusions X_t (see for instance exercise 445 in [40]). Under our controllability conditions (3), we shall prove that the flow of probability measures η_t and η_t^h converge exponentially fast as $t \rightarrow \infty$ towards a pair of unique limiting measures, η_∞ and η_∞^h . That is for any $t \geq 0$ we have

$$\eta_\infty = \Phi_t(\eta_\infty) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_\infty^h(dy) = (\eta_\infty^h \mathcal{K}_t^h)(dy) := \int \eta_\infty^h(dx) \mathcal{K}_t^h(x, dy). \quad (20)$$

The uniqueness property of η_∞ is discussed at the end of section 3.1. The measure η_∞ satisfies a nonlinear fixed point equation and it is sometimes called a quasi-invariant probability measure. Another important problem is to describe these limiting measures in terms of the parameters of the model and to quantify, with some precision, the convergence decays to equilibrium.

To briefly outline our answers to these questions, we denote by $\mathcal{N}(m, P)$ an r -dimensional Gaussian probability measure with mean $m \in \mathbb{R}^r$ and covariance matrix P .

We also let $(\hat{X}_t, P_t) \in (\mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{R}^{r \times r})$ be the solution of the *coupled evolution equations* given by the system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \hat{X}_t &= (A - P_t S) \hat{X}_t \\ \partial_t P_t &= \text{Ricc}(P_t) \quad \text{with} \quad \text{Ricc}(P) := AP + PA' + R - PSP \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

for some initial state $\hat{X}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^r$ and some given positive semi-definite matrix P_0 . Under the our controllability conditions (3), we shall see that \hat{X}_t converges exponentially fast to 0 as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and the Riccati matrix P_t converges exponentially fast as $t \rightarrow \infty$ to a single positive fixed point matrix P_∞ satisfying the continuous time algebraic Riccati equation $\text{Ricc}(P_\infty) = 0$.

Our main reason for introducing the coupled process (\hat{X}_t, P_t) comes from the following pivotal Gaussian preserving property

$$\eta_0 = \mathcal{N}(\hat{X}_0, P_0) \implies \forall t \geq 0 \quad \eta_t = \mathcal{N}(\hat{X}_t, P_t) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} \eta_\infty := \mathcal{N}(0, P_\infty) \quad (22)$$

In addition, the zero-point energy level λ_0 of the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} is related to the survival probability of a non absorbed particle by the following formulae

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{P}_{\eta_0}(\tau^c > t) &= \frac{1}{2t} \int_0^t \left(\hat{X}_s' S \hat{X}_s + \text{Tr}(SP_s) \right) ds. \\ \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_0 &\stackrel{\forall s > 0}{=} -\frac{1}{s} \log \mathbb{P}_{\eta_\infty}(\tau^c > s) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(SP_\infty) = \eta_\infty(V) := \int \eta_\infty(dx) V(x). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

The Gaussian preserving property is discussed in Theorem 3.2. Formula (23) is a consequence of the exponential formula (78) applied to the unit function. The trace formula $\text{Tr}(RQ_\infty) = \text{Tr}(SP_\infty)$ for non-necessarily reversible models is proved in (68). The convergence of the mean and covariance matrices

$$(\widehat{X}_t, P_t) \rightarrow_{t \rightarrow \infty} (0, P_\infty)$$

can be made precise using the Lipschitz exponential decays estimates presented in section 5.2 and section 5.3 (see for instance Theorems 5.3 and 5.5). The convergence of the distributions

$$\eta_t^h \rightarrow_{t \rightarrow \infty} \eta_\infty^h \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_t \rightarrow_{t \rightarrow \infty} \eta_\infty$$

can also be quantified with some precision in terms of relative entropy (cf. section 6.4.1) or in terms of Wasserstein distances (cf. section 6.4.2). See also the non-asymptotic estimates stated in theorem 3.4.

Whenever the free particle X_t is reversible with respect to some measure ν the quasi-invariant distribution η_∞ discussed above is related to the ground state h_0 by the Boltzmann-Gibbs formula

$$\eta_\infty = \mathbb{B}_{h_0}(\nu) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_\infty^h = \mathbb{B}_{h_0}(\eta_\infty) = \mathbb{B}_{h_0^2}(\nu). \quad (24)$$

The proof of the above assertion is provided in section 9.1. The left hand side assertion in (24) indicates that the stationary density of a non absorbed particle with respect to ν is proportional to the ground state, while the stationary distribution with respect to ν of a particle evolving in the ground state is proportional to the square of the ground state. These Boltzmann-Gibbs formulae yields the Hilbert space isometry

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Upsilon_h : f \in \mathbb{L}_2(\eta_\infty^h) \mapsto \Upsilon_h(f) := \sqrt{\eta_\infty^h(h_0^{-2})} f h_0 \in \mathbb{L}_2(\nu) \\ \text{with the inverse} \quad \Upsilon_h^{-1}(f) = \sqrt{\nu(h_0^2)} f h_0^{-1}. \end{array} \right. \quad (25)$$

This a direct consequence of the fact that (24) implies that

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{2,\nu} := \nu(fg) = \nu(h_0^2) \langle h_0^{-1}f, h_0^{-1}g \rangle_{2,\eta_\infty^h} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_\infty^h(h_0^{-2}) = 1/\nu(h_0^2).$$

The Feynman-Kac propagator is connected to the semigroup of the particle evolving in the ground state h_0 via the operator formulae

$$\exp(\lambda_0 t) \mathcal{K}_t = \Upsilon_h \circ \mathcal{K}_t^h \circ \Upsilon_h^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad -\mathcal{L} + (V - \lambda_0) = \Upsilon_h \circ \mathcal{L}^h \circ \Upsilon_h^{-1}.$$

The Boltzmann-Gibbs mappings discussed in (18), (19) and (24) and the Hilbert space isometry (25) allow to transfer directly any known regularity property at the level of the h -process $(\mathcal{K}_t^h, \eta_t^h, \eta_\infty^h)$ to the Feynman-Kac model $(\mathcal{K}_t, \eta_t, \eta_\infty)$, and vice versa.

2 Basic notation and preliminary results

2.1 Some norms and matrix spaces

We denote by \mathcal{M}_{r_1, r_2} the set of $(r_1 \times r_2)$ -matrices with real entries and $r_1, r_2 \geq 1$. When $r = r_1 = r_2$ we write \mathcal{M}_r instead of $\mathcal{M}_{r,r}$ the set of square $(r \times r)$ -matrices.

A square root of a square matrix $A \in \mathcal{M}_r$ is a (non unique) matrix $A^{1/2}$ such that $A^{1/2}A^{1/2} = A$. When A has positive eigenvalues, we choose the square root $A^{1/2}$ that has positive eigenvalues. We let $\mathcal{S}_r \subset \mathcal{M}_r$ denote the subset of symmetric matrices, $\mathcal{S}_r^0 \subset \mathcal{S}_r$ the subset of positive semi-definite matrices, and $\mathcal{S}_r^+ \subset \mathcal{S}_r^0$ the subset of positive definite matrices. We also let \mathcal{S}_r^- the set of negative definite matrices.

Given $B \in \mathcal{S}_r^0 - \mathcal{S}_r^+$ we denote by $B^{1/2}$ a (non-unique) but symmetric square root of B (given by a Cholesky decomposition). When $B \in \mathcal{S}_r^+$ we choose the principal (unique) symmetric square root. We write A' to denote the transposition of a matrix A , and $A_{sym} = (A + A')/2$ to denote the symmetric part of $A \in \mathcal{M}_r$. We denote by $\text{Spec}(A)$ the spectrum of A defined by

$$\text{Spec}(A) := \{\lambda \mid \lambda \text{ eigenvalue of } A\},$$

where each eigenvalue is listed the number of times it occurs as a root of the characteristic polynomial of A . We also denote by $\mathcal{G}l_r \subset \mathcal{M}_r$ the general linear group of invertible matrices. The set \mathcal{M}_r is equipped with the spectral or the Frobenius norms (a.k.a. Hilbert-Schmidt norm) defined by

$$\|A\| = \sqrt{\lambda_{max}(AA')} \leq \|A\|_F := \sqrt{\text{Tr}(AA')} \leq \sqrt{r} \|A\|,$$

where $\lambda_{max}(\cdot)$ denotes the maximal eigenvalue. The minimal eigenvalue is denoted by $\lambda_{min}(\cdot)$. Let $\text{Tr}(A) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} A(i, i)$ denote the trace operator. We also denote by $\mu(A) = \lambda_{max}(A_{sym})$ the logarithmic norm and by

$$\zeta(A) := \max_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(A)} \{\text{Re}(\lambda)\},$$

the spectral abscissa. We recall that

$$\|A\| \geq \mu(A) = \lambda_{max}(A_{sym}) \geq \zeta(A) := \max \{\text{Re}(\lambda) : \lambda \in \text{Spec}(A)\}.$$

A matrix A is said to be stable (a.k.a. Hurwitz) when $\zeta(A) < 0$. We recall that

$$\zeta(A) < 0 \implies \exists \alpha, \beta > 0 : \forall t \geq 0 \quad \|e^{tA}\| \leq \alpha e^{-\beta t}. \quad (26)$$

The parameters (α, β) can be made explicit in terms of the spectrum of the matrix A . For instance, applying Coppel's inequality (cf. Proposition 3 in [30]), for any $t \geq 0$ and any for any $0 < \gamma < 1$ we can choose

$$\alpha = (a/\gamma)^{r-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = (1 - \gamma)\zeta(A) \quad \text{with} \quad a := 2\|A\|/|\zeta(A)|.$$

In the case where $\beta = -\mu(A) > 0$, we can choose $\alpha = 1$.

2.2 Relative entropy and metrics

The n -th Wasserstein distance between two probability measures ν_1 and ν_2 on \mathbb{R}^r is defined for any parameter $n \geq 1$ by the formula

$$\mathbb{W}_n(\nu_1, \nu_2) = \inf \left\{ \mathbb{E}(\|Z_1 - Z_2\|^n)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right\}.$$

The infimum in the above display is taken over all pairs of random variables (Z_1, Z_2) such that $\text{Law}(Z_i) = \nu_i$, for $i = 1, 2$.

We denote by $\text{Ent}(\nu_1 | \nu_2)$ the Boltzmann-relative entropy, defined as

$$\text{Ent}(\nu_1 | \nu_2) := \int \log \left(\frac{d\nu_1}{d\nu_2} \right) d\nu_1,$$

whenever $\nu_1 \ll \nu_2$, and $+\infty$ otherwise. Further, the Fisher information is defined by

$$\mathcal{J}(\nu_1 | \nu_2) := \int \|\nabla \log \left(\frac{d\nu_1}{d\nu_2} \right)\|^2 d\nu_1,$$

if $\log d\nu_1/d\nu_2 \in \mathbb{L}_2(\nu_1)$, and $+\infty$ otherwise. The total variation distance between the measures ν_1 and ν_2 is defined by

$$\|\nu_1 - \nu_2\|_{tv} := \frac{1}{2} \sup \{ |\nu_1(f) - \nu_2(f)| : f \text{ s.t. } \|f\|_\infty \leq 1 \},$$

with the uniform norm and Lebesgue integrals defined, respectively, by

$$\|f\|_\infty := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^r} |f(x)|, \quad \nu_i(f) := \int \nu_i(dx) f(x).$$

Finally, given some locally finite measure ν on \mathbb{R}^r , for any $n \geq 1$ we denote by $\mathbb{L}_n(\nu)$ the Banach space of measurable functions f on \mathbb{R}^r equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{n,\nu} := \nu(|f|^n)^{1/n}.$$

2.3 Evolution semigroups

The evolution semigroup $(\widehat{X}_t(x, P), \phi_t(P))$ of the equations (21) starting at (x, P) satisfies the coupled equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \widehat{X}_t(x, P) = (A - \phi_t(P)S)\widehat{X}_t(x, P) & \text{with } \widehat{X}_0(x, P) = x \\ \partial_t \phi_t(P) = \text{Ricc}(\phi_t(P)) & \text{with } \phi_0(P) = P. \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

By the Gaussian preserving property of the measure-valued nonlinear semigroup Φ_t , defined in (15), we have

$$\Phi_t(\mathcal{N}(x, P)) = \mathcal{N}(\widehat{X}_t(x, P), \phi_t(P)) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_t(\delta_x) = \mathcal{N}(\widehat{X}_t(x, 0), \phi_t(0)). \quad (28)$$

We refer to Theorem 3.2, as well as section 5.2 and Proposition 6.5 for different ways of writing the evolution semigroup $(\widehat{X}_t(x, P), \phi_t(P))$.

The controllability conditions (3) are well known in filtering theory, see for instance [18, 66] and the more recent articles [14, 17], and references therein. They ensure the existence of a unique pair (P_∞^-, P_∞) of negative and positive fixed point matrices of the algebraic Riccati equation

$$\text{Ricc}(P_\infty^-) = 0 = \text{Ricc}(P_\infty) \quad (29)$$

In addition, the matrices

$$A - P_\infty S \quad \text{and} \quad A' + (P_\infty^-)^{-1}R \quad (30)$$

are stable (a.k.a. Hurwitz).

For a more thorough discussion on the above assertions we refer to [18, Chapter 3], [66] and the more recent articles [14, 17].

As already mentioned, the pair of matrices $((P_\infty^-)^{-1}, P_\infty^{-1})$ satisfy the same fixed point equation as that of (P_∞^-, P_∞) by replacing (A, R, S) by $(-A', S, R)$. In addition, the matrices (Q_∞^-, Q_∞) defined by

$$Q_\infty^- := -P_\infty^{-1} < 0 < Q_\infty := -(P_\infty^-)^{-1} \quad (31)$$

satisfy the same fixed point equation as $((P_\infty^-)^{-1}, P_\infty^{-1})$ by replacing A by $(-A)$. Thus, the matrices (Q_∞^-, Q_∞) satisfy the algebraic Riccati matrix equation (8).

Let $\mathcal{E}_{s,t}(P)$ be the exponential semigroup associated with the matrix flow $u \mapsto (A - \phi_u(P)S)$; that is the solution for any $0 \leq s \leq t$ of the matrix evolution equations

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E}_{s,t}(P) = (A - \phi_t(P)S) \mathcal{E}_{s,t}(P) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_s \mathcal{E}_{s,t}(P) = -\mathcal{E}_{s,t}(P) (A - \phi_s(P)S), \quad (32)$$

with $\mathcal{E}_{s,s}(P) = I$ and where we often write $\mathcal{E}_t(P)$ for $\mathcal{E}_{0,t}(P)$. In this notation, the solution of the right hand side equation in (21) takes the form

$$\hat{X}_t(x, P) = \mathcal{E}_t(P)x \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}_{s,s+t}(P_\infty) = \mathcal{E}_t(P_\infty) = \exp(t(A - P_\infty S)). \quad (33)$$

From a mathematical viewpoint, it is tempting to integrate sequentially the differential equations (32), to obtain an explicit description of $\mathcal{E}_{s,t}(P)$ in terms of the Peano-Baker series [75, 5], see also [21, 51, 58]. Another natural strategy is to express the semigroup as a true matrix exponential involving a Magnus series expansion of iterated integrals on the Lie algebra generated by the matrices $(A - \phi_u(P)S)$, with $s \leq u \leq t$. For more details on these exponential expansions we refer to [20, 72]. In practical terms, the use of Peano-Baker and/or exponential Magnus series in the study of the stability properties of time-varying linear dynamical systems is rather limited.

For any semi-definite positive initial matrix $P \in \mathcal{S}_r^0$, we have the following results (see e.g. [14, 22]),

$$\forall t \geq \delta > 0, \quad 0 < \Pi_{-, \delta} \leq \phi_t(P) \leq \Pi_{+, \delta} \quad \text{and} \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \|\mathcal{E}_t(P_\infty)\| \leq \alpha e^{-\beta t}, \quad (34)$$

for some positive matrices $\Pi_{-, \delta}, \Pi_{+, \delta}$ and some $\alpha, \beta > 0$, all of which depend on the model parameters (A, R, S) . The right hand side assertion comes from the fact that $(A - P_\infty S)$ is a stable matrix. Here, $\|\cdot\|$ stands for the spectral norm of matrices.

2.4 Linear diffusion processes

Consider an r -dimensional process given by the linear stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = AX_t dt + B dW_t \quad (35)$$

for some initial state X_0 with distribution η_0 on \mathbb{R}^r . In the above display, W_t is an r_1 -dimensional Brownian motion, for some $r_1 \geq 1$, which is independent of X_0 , and B is a $(r \times r_1)$ -matrix such that $BB' = R$. The random state vectors X_t and the Brownian states W_t are column vectors. The generator of the diffusion process X_t coincides with the second

order differential kinetic energy operator defined in (2). In the same vein, the h -process (16) satisfies the stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t^h = (A - RQ_\infty) X_t^h dt + BdW_t. \quad (36)$$

Due to (30), the matrix $(A - RQ_\infty)$ is Hurwitz so that X_t^h is a stable Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process even when A is unstable. This property ensures the existence of some parameters $\alpha_h, \beta_h > 0$ such that

$$\|e^{(A-RQ_\infty)t}\| \leq \alpha_h e^{-\beta_h t} \quad \text{and} \quad \iota_h := \int_0^\infty \|e^{(A-RQ_\infty)t}\|^2 dt \leq \frac{\alpha_h^2}{2\beta_h}. \quad (37)$$

For a more thorough discussion on the exponential decays of matrix exponential-type semigroups (a.k.a. fundamental matrices) we refer to section 2.1. Recall that

$$\eta_0^h = \mathcal{N}(x, P) \implies \forall t \geq 0 \quad \eta_t^h = \mathcal{N}(\widehat{X}_t^h(x), \phi_t^h(P)),$$

with the mean vector

$$\widehat{X}_t^h(x) = e^{(A-RQ_\infty)t}x, \quad (38)$$

and the covariance matrices

$$\phi_t^h(P) := e^{(A-RQ_\infty)t} P e^{(A-RQ_\infty)'t} + \int_0^t e^{(A-RQ_\infty)s} R e^{(A-RQ_\infty)'s} ds.$$

Notice that $\phi_t^h(P)$ is the evolution semigroup of associated with the matrix valued differential equation

$$\partial_t P_t^h = (A - RQ_\infty) P_t^h + P_t^h (A - RQ_\infty)' + R \quad \text{with} \quad P_0^h = P.$$

The stochastic flow $X_t^h(x)$ of the h -process is defined as in (36) by choosing the initial condition $X_0^h(x) = x$. The random function $x \mapsto X_t^h(x)$ can be seen as the Gaussian random field

$$X_t^h(x) \sim \mathcal{N}(\widehat{X}_t^h(x), \phi_t^h(0)).$$

Without additional conditions on the matrices (A, R) the h -process defined in (36) may not be reversible, see for instance Theorem 2.1. Nevertheless, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $P \geq 0$, we have

$$\mathcal{N}(\widehat{X}_t^h(x), \phi_t^h(P)) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} \eta_\infty^h := \mathcal{N}(0, P_\infty^h), \quad (39)$$

with the limiting covariance matrix

$$P_\infty^h := \int_0^\infty e^{(A-RQ_\infty)s} R e^{(A-RQ_\infty)'s} ds = (P_\infty^{-1} + Q_\infty)^{-1}.$$

The right hand side assertion is a consequence of the Gramian formula (67) (see also Theorem 3.2). Exponential decay estimates to equilibrium can be easily extracted from the exponential inequalities (37).

2.5 Reversible models

Without further mention, until the end of this section we shall assume that matrices (A, R, S) satisfying the rank condition (3). In addition, we have $R > 0$ and $AR = RA'$.

In this situation, the diffusion process X_t defined in (35) is reversible with respect to the locally finite measure

$$v(dx) := \exp(U(x)) dx \quad \text{with} \quad U(x) := x'R^{-1}Ax. \quad (40)$$

The stochastic differential equations (35) and (36) resume to the Langevin diffusions

$$dX_t = \frac{1}{2} R \nabla U(X_t) dt + BdW_t \quad \text{and} \quad dX_t^h = \frac{1}{2} R \nabla (U + \log h_0^2)(X_t^h) dt + BdW_t. \quad (41)$$

All the limiting covariance matrices $(P_\infty, P_\infty^h, Q_\infty)$ can be explicitly computed in terms of the parameters (A, R, S) . The matrix Q_∞ was already given in (9) and we have

$$\frac{1}{2} (P_\infty^h)^{-1} = Q_\infty - R^{-1}A \quad \text{and} \quad P_\infty^{-1} = Q_\infty - 2R^{-1}A.$$

For a more thorough discussion on these formulae we refer to section 9.1.

In the reversible case, it is convenient to rewrite the generator of the h -process given by (41) in the divergence form

$$\mathcal{L}^h(f) = \frac{1}{2} e^{U_h} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \partial_{x_i} (e^{-U_h} \partial_{x_i} f) \quad \text{with} \quad U_h(x) := \frac{1}{2} x' (P_\infty^h)^{-1} x.$$

Theorem 2.1. *For any $t \geq 0$ we have the master equation*

$$\eta_\infty^h(dx) \mathcal{K}_t^h(x, dy) = \eta_\infty^h(dy) \mathcal{K}_t^h(y, dx) \quad (42)$$

with the distribution η_∞^h defined in (39). In addition, we have the density-transport formulae

$$\eta_0^h(dx) := f_0(x) \eta_\infty^h(dx) \implies \eta_t^h(dx) = f_t(x) \eta_\infty^h(dx) \quad \text{with} \quad f_t(x) := \mathcal{K}_t^h(f_0)(x). \quad (43)$$

Proof. Using the divergence form of the generator we check that

$$\eta_\infty^h \left(g \mathcal{L}^h(\mathcal{K}_t^h(f_0)) \right) = -\frac{1}{2} \int \eta_\infty^h(dx) \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \partial_{x_i}(g)(x) \partial_{x_i} \mathcal{K}_t^h(f_0)(x) \quad (44)$$

for sufficiently smooth functions f and g for which we can perform integration by parts. This yields for any $f, g \in \mathbb{L}_2(\eta_\infty^h)$ the formula

$$\eta_\infty^h(f \mathcal{K}_t^h(g)) = \eta_\infty^h(\mathcal{K}_t^h(f) g),$$

which is equivalent to (42). The density-transport formulae (43) is a direct consequence of the reversible property (42). ■

The convergence to equilibrium of Langevin-type h -processes can be studied in terms of the Boltzmann-relative entropy using the rather well-known de Bruijn identity

$$\partial_t \text{Ent} \left(\eta_t^h \mid \eta_\infty^h \right) = -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{J} \left(\eta_t^h \mid \eta_\infty^h \right). \quad (45)$$

From this, one can obtain the exponential decays of the Fisher information

$$\mathcal{J} \left(\eta_t^h \mid \eta_\infty^h \right) \leq \|e^{(A-RQ_\infty)t}\|^2 \mathcal{J} \left(\eta_0^h \mid \eta_\infty^h \right), \quad (46)$$

which also yields the log-Sobolev inequality

$$\text{Ent} \left(\eta_0^h \mid \eta_\infty^h \right) \leq \frac{\iota_h}{2} \mathcal{J} \left(\eta_0^h \mid \eta_\infty^h \right), \quad (47)$$

where the parameter ι_h introduced in (37). Applying the log-Sobolev inequality to η_t^h , the de Bruijn identity now yields the free energy exponential decays

$$\partial_t \text{Ent} \left(\eta_t^h \mid \eta_\infty^h \right) = -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{J} \left(\eta_t^h \mid \eta_\infty^h \right) \leq -\iota_h^{-1} \text{Ent} \left(\eta_t^h \mid \eta_\infty^h \right). \quad (48)$$

The proofs of the assertions (45)-(48) follow standard probabilistic manipulations and are thus provided in the Appendix. We summarise the above discussion with the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *For any $t \geq 0$ we have the relative entropy exponential decays*

$$\mathcal{J} \left(\eta_t^h \mid \eta_\infty^h \right) \leq \alpha_h^2 e^{-2\beta_h t} \mathcal{J} \left(\eta_0^h \mid \eta_\infty^h \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ent} \left(\eta_t^h \mid \eta_\infty^h \right) \leq e^{-t/\iota_h} \text{Ent} \left(\eta_0^h \mid \eta_\infty^h \right)$$

with the parameters $(\iota_h, \alpha_h, \beta_h)$ introduced in (37).

From the practical point of view, the functional inequalities discussed above are rarely useful when the matrix Q_∞ and thus the limiting measure η_∞^h is not explicitly known.

3 Statement of some main results

3.1 Ground state energy

Our first main result provides an explicit description of the ground state energy of the Hamiltonian operator for general matrices (A, R, S) in terms of the negative and positive fixed points of the algebraic Riccati equation (29).

Theorem 3.1. *For any matrices (A, R, S) satisfying the rank condition (3), the function h_0 in (8) is the ground state of the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} introduced in (1); that is, we have*

$$\mathcal{H}(h_0) = \lambda_0 h_0 \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda_0 := \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} (SP_\infty) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} (RQ_\infty) > 0. \quad (49)$$

In addition, we have the Feynman-Kac propagator formula stated in (19).

The proof of the right hand side trace formula in (49) is provided in section 5 dedicated to Riccati algebraic equations (see Lemma 5.1). The first assertion and the Feynman-Kac propagator formula stated in (19) is a direct consequence of (6) and Theorem 7.1.

Theorem 3.2. *For any matrices (A, R, S) , the law η_t^h of the random states X_t^h of the h -process defined in (36) and the distribution of the non-absorbed particle defined in (13) are*

connected by the Boltzmann-Gibbs transformation (18). In addition, we have the Gaussian preserving property

$$\eta_0 = \mathcal{N}(\widehat{X}_0, P_0) \implies \forall t \geq 0 \quad \eta_t^h = \mathcal{N}(\widehat{X}_t^h, P_t^h) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_t = \mathcal{N}(\widehat{X}_t, P_t)$$

with the parameters (\widehat{X}_t, P_t) defined in (21), the covariance matrix

$$P_t^h = (P_t^{-1} + Q_\infty)^{-1} \quad \text{and the mean vector} \quad \widehat{X}_t^h = P_t^h P_t^{-1} \widehat{X}_t. \quad (50)$$

The proof of Theorem 3.2 is provided in the end of section 7.1. The next theorem provides a non asymptotic expansion of the Feynman-Kac propagator.

Theorem 3.3. *For any time horizon $t \geq \delta > 0$ and any $f \in \mathbb{L}_1(\eta_\infty)$ we have*

$$e^{\lambda_0 t} \mathcal{K}_t(f)(x) = \frac{h_0(x)}{\eta_\infty(h_0)} (\eta_\infty(f) + \epsilon_t(f)(x)) k_t(x)$$

where

$$\epsilon_t(f)(x) := \Phi_t(\delta_x)(f) - \eta_\infty(f)$$

and k_t is a function satisfying

$$\exp\left(-c_\delta e^{-2\beta t}\right) \leq k_t(x) \leq \exp\left(c_\delta (1 + \|x\|^2) e^{-2\beta t}\right). \quad (51)$$

In the above display β stands for the parameter defined in (34), and $c_\delta < \infty$ is some finite constant whose value only depends on δ .

The proof of the above theorem is provided in section 6.4.3.

The convergence of η_t to the limiting measure η_∞ discussed in (22) can be studied in terms of both the stationary properties of the h -process and the stability properties of the Riccati matrix flow P_t . Due to the exponential semigroup formula (33), the long time behavior of the mean vector \widehat{X}_t is also directly related to P_t . Thus, in section 5 we provide a brief discussion on Riccati matrix flows, including the Floquet-type theory developed in [17], as well as several Lipschitz type inequalities and exponential type decays to equilibrium for Riccati flows and their associated exponential semigroups. Applied to our context, these quantitative estimates allow one to prove a variety of non asymptotic convergence theorems.

To give a flavour of these results, consider the initial distributions

$$\eta_0 = \mathcal{N}(x, P) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_0 = \mathcal{N}(y, Q), \quad (52)$$

for some $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^r$ and some covariance matrices $P, Q \in \mathcal{S}_r^0$. Our main results can be summarised with the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *There exists $\delta = \delta(P, Q) > 0$, which depends on the distance $P - Q$, such that for any $t \geq \delta$ we have*

$$\mathbb{W}_2(\Phi_t(\eta_0), \Phi_t(\mu_0)) \leq c_\delta e^{-\beta t} (\|x - y\| + (\|x\| \vee 1) \|P - Q\|)$$

and for sufficiently large time horizon we have

$$\text{Ent}(\Phi_t(\eta_0) \mid \Phi_t(\mu_0)) \leq c_\delta (\|P - Q\| + (\|x\|^2 \|P - Q\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2)) e^{-2\beta t}$$

for some finite constant c_δ and the parameter $\beta > 0$ introduced in (34).

In addition, when $P = Q$ for any $n \geq 1$ we have

$$\mathbb{W}_n(\Phi_t(\eta_0), \Phi_t(\mu_0)) \leq c_\delta e^{-\beta t} \|x - y\| \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ent}(\Phi_t(\eta_0) \mid \Phi_t(\mu_0)) \leq c_\delta e^{-2\beta t} \|x - y\|^2.$$

The case $P = Q$ is a direct consequence of the Gaussian preserving property (28) and the Lipschitz estimates stated in Theorem 5.5. For the general case, we refer the reader to Theorems 6.7 and 6.9, where a more precise description of the constant c_δ and the time horizon in the relative entropy estimates are provided.

Total variation estimates for initial Gaussian measures can be deduced directly from the relative entropy estimates stated in theorem 3.4 using Pinsker's inequality

$$\|\Phi_t(\eta_0) - \Phi_t(\mu_0)\|_{tv} \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \text{Ent}(\Phi_t(\eta_0) \mid \Phi_t(\mu_0))}$$

More generally (cf. Theorem 6.4), for any pair of probability measures η_0 and μ_0 on \mathbb{R}^r and any time horizon $t \geq \delta > 0$ we have

$$\|\Phi_t(\eta_0) - \Phi_t(\mu_0)\|_{tv} \leq c_\delta(\eta_0, \mu_0) e^{-\beta t} m$$

where the parameter β was introduced in (34), and $c_\delta(\eta_0, \mu_0)$ is a finite constant that depends on the parameters (δ, η_0, μ_0) . The above result implies the uniqueness of the fixed point Gaussian distribution η_∞ introduced in (22). Choosing $\mu_0 = \eta_\infty$, it also shows that for any initial distribution η_0 the probability measure $\Phi_t(\eta_0)$ converges exponentially fast towards a Gaussian distribution as the time horizon $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 3.4 also provides several ways of estimating the difference $\epsilon_t(f)(x)$ defined in Theorem 3.3. For instance, for any Lipschitz function f with unit Lipschitz constant or for any bounded functions g with unit uniform norm, we have the estimates

$$|\epsilon_t(f)(x)| \leq \mathbb{W}_1(\Phi_t(\delta_x), \eta_\infty) \quad \text{and} \quad |\epsilon_t(g)(x)| \leq 2 \|\Phi_t(\delta_x) - \eta_\infty\|_{tv}.$$

3.2 Spectral theorems

Assume that matrices (A, R, S) satisfy (3) and we have $R > 0$ and $AR = RA'$. Let Λ^h be the matrix defined by

$$\Lambda^h := -(P_\infty^h)^{-1/2} (A^2 + RS)^{1/2} (P_\infty^h)^{1/2},$$

where the positive matrix $P_\infty^h > 0$ was introduced in (39). We denote by $\mathcal{Z} := (z_1, \dots, z_r)$ the orthogonal matrix with columns given by the orthonormal eigenvector z_i of the matrix Λ^h associated with an eigenvalue $\lambda_i(\Lambda^h) := -\lambda_i^h < 0$, for $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$.

Under the reversibility condition, the matrix $(A^2 + RS)$ may not be symmetric but it has positive eigenvalues (see for instance (99) and (109)), so that the parameters λ_i^h coincide with the square roots of the eigenvalues of $(A^2 + RS)$. We shall assume that these eigenvalues are ranked in increasing order

$$\lambda_1^h \leq \dots \leq \lambda_r^h.$$

Let $\mathbb{H}_n^r(x)$ be the collection of multivariate Chebychev-Hermite polynomials on \mathbb{R}^r indexed by the multiple indices $n = (n_1, \dots, n_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$. We use the multiple index notation $n! = n_1! \times \dots \times n_r!$. Denote by (0) the multiple index with null entries $n_i = 0$, and recall that $\mathbb{H}_{(0)}^r(x) = 1$. Further, set $\lambda_0(\Lambda^h) = -\lambda_0^h := 0$.

We are now in position to state the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.5. *For any time horizon $t \geq 0$, we have the $\mathbb{L}_2(\eta_\infty^h)$ -spectral decomposition*

$$\mathcal{K}_t^h(x, dy) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^r} e^{-\lambda_n^h t} \varphi_n^h(x) \varphi_n^h(y) \eta_\infty^h(dy)$$

with the $\mathbb{L}_2(\eta_\infty^h)$ orthonormal basis eigenfunctions φ_n^h and corresponding eigenvalues λ_n^h given respectively by

$$\varphi_n^h(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \mathbb{H}_n^r \left(\mathcal{Z}'(P_\infty^h)^{-1/2} x \right), \quad \lambda_n^h := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} n_i \lambda_i^h. \quad (53)$$

The proof of the above theorem is provided in section 9.3.

Thus, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^r$ and any $t \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^r$ we have the formulae

$$\mathcal{K}_t^h \left(\varphi_n^h \right) = e^{-\lambda_n^h t} \varphi_n^h \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}^h \left(\varphi_n^h \right) = -\lambda_n^h \varphi_n^h. \quad (54)$$

Exponential decays to equilibrium can be extracted directly from the spectral decomposition. For instance we have the following estimates.

Corollary 3.6. *For any time horizon $t \geq 0$ we have the exponential decays to equilibrium*

$$\|\mathcal{K}_t^h(f) - \eta_\infty^h(f)\|_{2, \eta_\infty^h} \leq e^{-\lambda_1^h t} \|f - \eta_\infty^h(f)\|_{2, \eta_\infty^h}. \quad (55)$$

Equivalently, we have the Poincaré inequality

$$\lambda_1^h \|f - \eta_\infty^h(f)\|_{2, \eta_\infty^h}^2 \leq E_h(f, f) := -\eta_\infty^h(f \mathcal{L}^h(f)). \quad (56)$$

The proof of the above corollary is also provided in section 9.3.

The isometry (25) shows that the $\mathbb{L}_2(v)$ orthonormal basis and those of $\mathbb{L}_2(\eta_\infty^h)$ are linked to each other by the formulae

$$\varphi_n = \Upsilon_h \left(\varphi_n^h \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_n^h = \Upsilon_h^{-1} \left(\varphi_n \right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^r.$$

The master equation (42) is equivalent to the reversible property

$$v(dx) \mathcal{K}_t(x, dy) = v(dy) \mathcal{K}_t(y, dx) \quad (57)$$

Rewritten in terms of Feynman-Kac propagators Theorem 3.5 takes the following form.

Theorem 3.7. *For any $t \geq 0$ we have the $\mathbb{L}_2(v)$ spectral decomposition*

$$\mathcal{K}_t(x, dy) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^r} e^{-\lambda_n t} \varphi_n(x) \varphi_n(y) v(dy), \quad (58)$$

with the $\mathbb{L}_2(v)$ orthonormal basis given for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^r$ by the eigenstates

$$\varphi_n(x) = \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^r} \det(P_\infty^{-1} + Q_\infty) \right)^{1/4} h_0(x) \varphi_n^h(x),$$

and corresponding eigenvalues $\lambda_n := \lambda_0 + \lambda_n^h$.

Using the above spectral decomposition we check the formulae

$$\mathcal{K}_t(\varphi_n) = e^{-\lambda_n t} \varphi_n \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}(\varphi_n) - V\varphi_n = -\lambda_n \varphi_n$$

Choosing $\mu(dx) = v(dx)/v(h_0^2)$ and $h_n(x) = \sqrt{v(h_0^2)}\varphi_n(x)$ we obtain the formulae (7) and (11) stated in the introduction. The Feynman-Kac propagator version of Corollary 3.6 is described below.

Corollary 3.8. *For any time horizon $t \geq 0$ and any $f \in \mathbb{L}_2(v)$ we have the estimates*

$$\left\| e^{\lambda_0 t} \mathcal{K}_t(f) - \frac{h_0}{\eta_\infty(h_0)} \eta_\infty(f) \right\|_{2,v} \leq e^{-\lambda_1^h t} \left(v(f^2) - \frac{v(h_0)^2}{v(h_0^2)} \eta_\infty(f)^2 \right)^{1/2}. \quad (59)$$

The proof of the above theorem and corollary are given in section 9.3. If, in addition we have $R > 0$ and the matrix A is Hurwitz, then we have $v(1) < \infty$. In this situation, applying (59) to the unit function $f = 1$ yields

$$\left\| e^{\lambda_0 t} \mathcal{K}_t(1) - \frac{h_0}{\eta_\infty(h_0)} \right\|_{2,v} \leq e^{-\lambda_1^h t} \left(v(1) - \frac{v(h_0)^2}{v(h_0^2)} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (60)$$

The above results are clearly unsatisfactory when A is not Hurwitz. In this situation, it is preferable to use the non-asymptotic expansions presented in Theorem 3.3.

4 Some illustrations

4.1 One dimensional models

When $r = 1$ the reversible condition is trivially met and we have

$$P_\infty = \frac{A + \sqrt{A^2 + RS}}{S}, \quad Q_\infty = \frac{A + \sqrt{A^2 + RS}}{R} \quad \text{and} \quad P_\infty^h = \frac{R}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{A^2 + RS}}.$$

In this situation, the ground state h_0 discussed in (8) and (49) is given by

$$h_0(x) := \exp\left(-\frac{A + \sqrt{A^2 + RS}}{2R} x^2\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left(A + \sqrt{A^2 + RS}\right).$$

In addition, the eigenfunctions defined in (53) are given, for any $n \geq 1$, by

$$\varphi_n^h(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \mathbb{H}_n^1 \left(\sqrt{\frac{2\sqrt{A^2 + RS}}{R}} x \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_n^h = n \sqrt{A^2 + RS}$$

For instance, we have

$$\varphi_1^h(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2\sqrt{A^2 + RS}}{R}} x \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_2^h(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{2(A^2 + RS)^{1/2}}{R} x^2 - 1 \right).$$

As expected the first excited state φ_1 of the Hamiltonian is null at the origin, while

$$\varphi_2(x) = 0 \iff x = \pm \sqrt{\frac{R}{2\sqrt{A^2 + RS}}}.$$

4.2 Mehler's formula

We now assume that, $r = 1$, $A = 0$ and $R = S^{-1}$. In this situation, we have

$$Q_\infty = S, \quad 2P_\infty^h = P_\infty = S^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda^h = -I.$$

Thus, we may choose $\mathcal{Z} = I$. In this context, we readily check that

$$v(dx) = dx, \quad \lambda = \frac{r}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_n^V = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \left(n_i + \frac{1}{2} \right) = |n| + \frac{r}{2}.$$

The eigenstates are defined by the formulae

$$\varphi_n(x) = \left(\frac{1}{\pi^r} \det(S) \right)^{1/4} h_0(x) \varphi_n^h(x)$$

with the re-scaled Hermite polynomials

$$\varphi_n^h(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \mathbb{H}_n^r \left(\sqrt{2} S^{1/2} x \right),$$

and the ground state

$$h_0(x) = \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} x' S x \right).$$

We also have that

$$\widehat{X}_t^h(x) = e^{-t} x \quad \text{and} \quad P_t^h = \frac{1}{2} (1 - e^{-2t}) S^{-1} \implies \det(P_t^h) = \frac{(1 - e^{-2t})^r}{2^r} \frac{1}{\det(S)}.$$

The spectral decomposition reduces to the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_t^h(x, dy) &= \frac{1}{\pi^{r/2}} \frac{\sqrt{\det(S)}}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{r/2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{(1 - e^{-2t})} (y - e^{-t} x)' S (y - e^{-t} x) \right) dy \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^r} e^{-|n|t} \varphi_n^h(x) \varphi_n^h(y) \eta_\infty^h(dy) \end{aligned}$$

with the collection of functions

$$\varphi_n^h(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \mathbb{H}_n^r \left(\sqrt{2} S^{1/2} x \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_\infty^h = \mathcal{N}(0, P_\infty^h) = \mathcal{N} \left(0, \frac{1}{2} S^{-1} \right).$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{r/2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{(1 - e^{-2t})} (y - e^{-t} x)' S (y - e^{-t} x) \right) \exp(y' S y) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^r} e^{-|n|t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \mathbb{H}_n^r \left(\sqrt{2} S^{1/2} x \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \mathbb{H}_n^r \left(\sqrt{2} S^{1/2} y \right). \end{aligned}$$

Replacing $(S^{1/2}x, S^{1/2}y)$ by (x, y) and e^{-t} by ρ , we recover Mehler's formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(1-\rho^2)^{r/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\rho^2}{1-\rho^2} (\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2) + \frac{2\rho}{1-\rho^2} x'y\right) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^r} \rho^{|n|} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \mathbb{H}_n^r(\sqrt{2}x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \mathbb{H}_n^r(\sqrt{2}y). \end{aligned}$$

The Feynman-Kac propagator takes the form

$$\mathcal{K}_t(x, dy) = \exp\left(-\frac{rt}{2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x'Sx\right) K_t^h(x, dy) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}y'Sy\right).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2}x'Sx + \frac{1}{2}y'Sy - \frac{1}{1-e^{-2t}} (y - e^{-t}x)'S(y - e^{-t}x) \\ &= -y'Sy \left(\frac{1}{1-e^{-2t}} - \frac{1}{2}\right) - x'Sx \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{e^{-2t}}{1-e^{-2t}}\right) + 2x'Sy \frac{e^{-t}}{1-e^{-2t}} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} (x'Sx + y'Sy) \frac{1+e^{-2t}}{1-e^{-2t}} + x'Sy \frac{2e^{-t}}{1-e^{-2t}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we recover the Mehler's formulation of the Feynman-Kac propagator

$$\mathcal{K}_t(x, dy) = \frac{\sqrt{\det(S)}}{(2\pi)^{r/2}} \left(\frac{1}{\sinh(t)}\right)^{r/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\coth(t)}{2} (x'Sx + y'Sy) + \frac{x'Sy}{\sinh(t)}\right) dy.$$

4.3 Quantum harmonic oscillator

For diagonal matrices R and S we can choose $\mathcal{Z} = Id$. When $A = 0$, the measure ν coincides with the Lebesgue measure $\nu(dx) = dx$. The r -dimensional quantum harmonic oscillator is associated with diagonal matrices R and S with diagonal entries

$$\begin{aligned} S_{i,i} &= \kappa_i = m\omega_i^2 \quad \text{and} \quad R_{i,i} = \frac{\hbar^2}{m} \\ \implies \sqrt{\lambda_i(RS)} &= \sqrt{(RS)_{i,i}} = \hbar\omega_i \quad \text{and} \quad ((SR^{-1})_{i,i})^{1/2} = \frac{m\omega_i}{\hbar}, \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq r$, where m stands for the mass of a particle, \hbar is Planck's constant, and $\omega_i = \sqrt{\kappa_i/m}$ stands for the angular frequencies for some non-negative parameters κ_i . In this situation, have

$$\lambda_n^V = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \lambda_{n_i}^V \quad \text{and} \quad h_n(x) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq r} h_{n_i}(x_i)$$

with the energy

$$\lambda_{n_i}^V := \left(n_i + \frac{1}{2}\right) \hbar\omega_i.$$

In addition, the eigenfunctions are given by

$$\varphi_{n_i}(x_i) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_i!}} \left(\frac{m\omega_i}{\pi\hbar} \right)^{1/4} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{m\omega_i}{\hbar} x_i^2\right) \mathbb{H}_{n_i} \left(\sqrt{\frac{2m\omega_i}{\hbar}} x_i \right).$$

The isotropic harmonic oscillator corresponds to the case $\kappa_i = \kappa \implies \omega_i = \omega$. In this case, the energy is given by

$$\lambda_n^V := \left(|n| + \frac{r}{2} \right) \hbar\omega.$$

4.4 Time varying models

Theorem 3.2 can be extended to time-varying models associated with time dependent matrices (A_t, B_t, S_t) and $R_t := B_t B_t'$. In this situation, the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} in (4) is a time varying operator

$$\mathcal{H} = -\mathcal{L}_t + V_t \quad \text{with} \quad V_t(x) := \frac{1}{2} x' S_t x,$$

with the second order differential kinetic energy operator

$$\mathcal{L}_t(f)(x) := (A_t x)' \nabla f(x) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} (R_t \nabla^2 f(x)). \quad (61)$$

In the above display, ∇f stands for the gradient column vector with entries $\partial_{x_i} f$, and $\nabla^2 f$ stands for the Hessian matrix with entries $\partial_{x_i x_j} f$. In the context of multidimensional harmonic oscillators discussed in section 4.3 we can choose $A = 0$ and diagonal matrices (R_t, S_t) with diagonal entries

$$(S_t)_{i,i} = \kappa_i = m(t)\omega_i(t)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad (R_t)_{i,i} = \frac{\hbar^2}{m(t)},$$

with mass $m(t)$ and angular frequency $\omega_i(t)$. Replacing h_0 by the time varying function

$$h_t(x) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} x' Q_t x\right) \quad \text{with} \quad -\partial_t Q_t := A_t' Q_t + Q_t A_t - Q_t R_t Q_t + S_t,$$

it follows that

$$h_t^{-1} (\partial_t + \mathcal{L}_t) (h_t)(x) - V_t(x) = -\lambda_t := -\text{Tr} (R_t Q_t).$$

Let $\mathcal{C}([0, t], \mathbb{R}^r)$ be the Banach space of all paths from $[0, t]$ to \mathbb{R}^r equipped with the uniform norm. For any time horizon $t \geq 0$, any measurable function F_t on $\mathcal{C}([0, t], \mathbb{R}^r)$ we find the exponential change of probability formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left(F((X_s)_{s \in [0, t]}) \exp\left(-\int_0^t V_s(X_s) ds\right) \right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\int_0^t \lambda_s ds\right) \eta_0(h_0) \mathbb{E} \left(F((X_s^h)_{s \in [0, t]}) h_t^{-1}(X_t^h) \right) \end{aligned}$$

with the time varying h -process

$$dX_t^h = (A_t - R_t Q_t) X_t^h dt + B_t dW_t. \quad (62)$$

In this context, the free evolution diffusion X_t , the h -process X_t^h as well as \hat{X}_t and the Riccati matrix flow P_t are defined as in (35) (36) and (21) by replacing the matrices Q_∞ by Q_t , and (A, B) and (R, S) by (A_t, B_t) and (R_t, S_t) , respectively. For a detailed discussion on time inhomogeneous Riccati equations and related exponential semigroups we refer the reader to [15] and references therein. We also mention that [15] discusses time-varying controllability conditions that ensures that the stability of the time inhomogeneous version of the evolution equations (21).

5 Riccati matrix differential equations

5.1 Gramians fixed point formulae

This section is mainly taken from [17]. The difference between the positive and negative fixed points (P_∞^-, P_∞) of the Riccati equation (29) is given by the formula

$$P_\infty - P_\infty^- = \Delta_\infty^{-1} \quad (63)$$

with the Gramian matrices defined via

$$\Delta_t := \int_0^t e^{s(A-P_\infty S)'} S e^{s(A-P_\infty S)} ds \longrightarrow_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_\infty := \int_0^\infty e^{s(A-P_\infty S)'} S e^{s(A-P_\infty S)} ds \in \mathcal{S}_r^+. \quad (64)$$

Consider now the linear matrix functional

$$\mathbb{F}_t : P \in \mathcal{S}_r^0 \mapsto \mathbb{F}_t(P) := [(\Delta_t^{-1} - \Delta_\infty^{-1}) + (P - P_\infty^-)] \Delta_t \in \mathcal{G}l_r \quad (65)$$

Rearranging and using (64) implies that

$$\mathbb{F}_t(P) = I + (P - P_\infty) \Delta_t \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{F}_t(P_\infty) = I. \quad (66)$$

Recall that Q_∞ is defined as P_∞ by replacing (A, R, S) by (A', S, R) . In the same vein, Δ_t^h is defined as Δ_t by replacing (A, R, S) and P_∞ by (A', S, R) and Q_∞ . Thus, by symmetry arguments and (31), we also have

$$(\Delta_\infty^h)^{-1} = P_\infty^{-1} - (P_\infty^-)^{-1} = Q_\infty - Q_\infty^- \quad \text{and} \quad (\phi_t^h(0), P_\infty^h) = (\Delta_t^h, \Delta_\infty^h), \quad (67)$$

with the Gramian matrices

$$\Delta_t^h := \int_0^t e^{(A-RQ_\infty)s} R e^{(A-RQ_\infty)'s} ds \longrightarrow_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_\infty^h := \int_0^\infty e^{(A-RQ_\infty)s} R e^{(A-RQ_\infty)'s} ds \in \mathcal{S}_r^+.$$

The following lemma proves the second equality on the right hand side of (49).

Lemma 5.1. *For any (A, R, S) satisfying the rank condition (3), we have*

$$\text{Tr}(SP_\infty) = 2 \text{Tr}(A) - \text{Tr}(SP_\infty^-) = \text{Tr}(Q_\infty R). \quad (68)$$

Proof. The Gramian Δ_∞ satisfies the Sylvester equations given by

$$(A - P_\infty S)' \Delta_\infty + \Delta_\infty (A - P_\infty S) + S = 0 = \Delta_\infty^{-1} (A - P_\infty S)' + (A - P_\infty S) \Delta_\infty^{-1} + \Delta_\infty^{-1} S \Delta_\infty^{-1}.$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Tr}(\Delta_\infty(\Delta_\infty^{-1}(A - P_\infty S)' + (A - P_\infty S)\Delta_\infty^{-1} + \Delta_\infty^{-1}S\Delta_\infty^{-1})) &= 0 \\ \implies 2\operatorname{Tr}(SP_\infty) &= 2\operatorname{Tr}(A) + \operatorname{Tr}(S\Delta_\infty^{-1}) = 2\operatorname{Tr}(A) + \operatorname{Tr}(SP_\infty) - \operatorname{Tr}(SP_\infty^-), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (63) to obtain the final equality. From this we obtain

$$\operatorname{Tr}(SP_\infty^-) = 2\operatorname{Tr}(A) - \operatorname{Tr}(SP_\infty). \quad (69)$$

In the same vein, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Tr}(P_\infty^{-1}\operatorname{Ricc}(P_\infty)) = 0 &\implies \operatorname{Tr}(SP_\infty) = 2\operatorname{Tr}(A) + \operatorname{Tr}(P_\infty^{-1}R) \\ \operatorname{Tr}((P_\infty^-)^{-1}\operatorname{Ricc}(P_\infty^-)) = 0 &\implies \operatorname{Tr}(SP_\infty^-) = 2\operatorname{Tr}(A) + \operatorname{Tr}((P_\infty^-)^{-1}R). \end{aligned}$$

Combining the last assertion with (69) we conclude that

$$\operatorname{Tr}(P_\infty S) = -\operatorname{Tr}((P_\infty^-)^{-1}R) = \operatorname{Tr}(Q_\infty R),$$

as required. ■

5.2 A Floquet-type representation

For any $P \in \mathcal{S}_r^0$ and $\delta > 0$ set

$$\chi(P) := \|P_\infty^-\|^{-1} [\|P_\infty - P_\infty^-\| + \|P - P_\infty\|] \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_\delta := [\lambda_{\min}(\Delta_\delta) \lambda_{\min}(-P_\infty^-)]^{-1}.$$

The next theorem provides an explicit description of $\mathcal{E}_t(P)$ in terms of the matrices (A, S, P_∞) .

Theorem 5.2 (Floquet-type representation [17]). *For any time horizon $t \geq 0$ and any $P \in \mathcal{S}_r^0$ we have Riccati exponential semigroup formula*

$$\mathcal{E}_t(P) = e^{t(A - P_\infty S)} \mathbb{F}_t(P)^{-1} = \mathcal{E}_t(P_\infty) \mathbb{F}_t(P)^{-1}, \quad (70)$$

where $\mathbb{F}_t(P)$ was defined in (65). For any $t \geq \delta > 0$ we have the uniform estimates

$$\|\mathbb{F}_t(P)^{-1}\| \leq \chi_\delta \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathcal{E}_t(P)\| \leq \chi_\delta \|\mathcal{E}_t(P_\infty)\|. \quad (71)$$

In addition, for any $t \geq 0$ we have the exponential estimates (34) as well as the bounds

$$\|\mathbb{F}_t(P)^{-1}\| \leq \chi(P) \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathcal{E}_t(P)\| \leq \chi(P) \|\mathcal{E}_t(P_\infty)\|. \quad (72)$$

Using the decomposition

$$\operatorname{Ricc}(Q_1) - \operatorname{Ricc}(Q_2) = (A - Q_1 S)(Q_1 - Q_2) + (Q_1 - Q_2)(A - Q_2 S)', \quad (73)$$

for $Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathcal{S}_r^0$, applying (70) we have the closed form Lipschitz type matrix formula

$$\phi_t(Q_1) - \phi_t(Q_2) = \mathcal{E}_t(P_\infty) \mathbb{F}_t(Q_1)^{-1} (Q_1 - Q_2) (\mathcal{E}_t(P_\infty) \mathbb{F}_t(Q_2)^{-1})'. \quad (74)$$

Applying (74) with $Q_2 = P_\infty$ and using (66), we recover the Bernstein-Prach-Tekinalp formula [76, 77] given by

$$\phi_t(P) = P_\infty + \mathcal{E}_t(P_\infty) \mathbb{F}_t(P)^{-1} (P - P_\infty) \mathcal{E}_t(P_\infty)'. \quad (75)$$

5.3 Lipschitz inequalities

Combining Theorem 5.2, (34) and (74) we easily obtain the following result.

Theorem 5.3. *For any time horizon $t \geq \delta > 0$ and any $Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathcal{S}_r^0$ we have the Lipschitz estimate*

$$\|\phi_t(Q_1) - \phi_t(Q_2)\| \leq (\alpha\chi_\delta)^2 e^{-2\beta t} \|Q_1 - Q_2\|$$

with the parameters $(\alpha, \beta, \chi_\delta)$ defined in (34) and Theorem 5.2. In addition, for any $t \geq 0$ we have the local Lipschitz estimate

$$\|\phi_t(Q_1) - \phi_t(Q_2)\| \leq \alpha^2 \chi(P_1) \chi(P_2) e^{-2\beta t} \|Q_1 - Q_2\|,$$

with the parameters $\chi(Q_i)$ defined in Theorem 5.2.

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_t(Q_1) - \mathcal{E}_t(Q_2) &= \mathcal{E}_t(P_\infty) \mathbb{F}_t(Q_1)^{-1} [\mathbb{F}_t(Q_2) - \mathbb{F}_t(Q_1)] \mathbb{F}_t(Q_2)^{-1} \\ &= \mathcal{E}_t(P_\infty) \mathbb{F}_t(Q_1)^{-1} (Q_2 - Q_1) \Delta_t \mathbb{F}_t(Q_2)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where Δ_t was defined in (64), we also obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 5.4. *For any time horizon $t \geq \delta > 0$ and any $Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathcal{S}_r^0$ we have the Lipschitz estimate*

$$\|\mathcal{E}_t(Q_1) - \mathcal{E}_t(Q_2)\| \leq \alpha \chi_\delta^2 \|\Delta_\infty\| e^{-\beta t} \|Q_1 - Q_2\|$$

with the parameters $(\alpha, \beta, \chi_\delta)$ defined in (34) and Theorem 5.2. In addition, for any $t \geq 0$ we have local Lipschitz estimate

$$\|\mathcal{E}_t(Q_1) - \mathcal{E}_t(Q_2)\| \leq \alpha \|\Delta_\infty\| \chi(Q_1) \chi(Q_2) e^{-\beta t} \|Q_1 - Q_2\|$$

with the parameter $\chi(Q_i)$ defined in Theorem 5.2.

The first coordinate of the evolution semigroup (27) can be written as

$$\hat{X}_t(x, P_0) = \mathcal{E}_t(P_0)x$$

Using the decomposition

$$\hat{X}_t(x_1, Q_1) - \hat{X}_t(x_2, Q_2) = (\mathcal{E}_t(Q_1) - \mathcal{E}_t(Q_2))x_1 + \mathcal{E}_t(Q_2)(x_1 - x_2),$$

we readily check the following theorem.

Theorem 5.5. *For any time horizon $t \geq \delta > 0$ and any $Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathcal{S}_r^0$ we have the estimate*

$$\|\hat{X}_t(x_1, Q_1) - \hat{X}_t(x_2, Q_2)\| \leq \alpha \chi_\delta e^{-\beta t} (\chi_\delta \|\Delta_\infty\| \|x_1\| \|Q_1 - Q_2\| + \|x_1 - x_2\|)$$

with the parameters $(\alpha, \beta, \chi_\delta)$ defined in (34) and Theorem 5.2. In addition, for any $t \geq 0$ we have the estimate

$$\|\hat{X}_t(x_1, Q_1) - \hat{X}_t(x_2, Q_2)\| \leq \alpha \chi(Q_2) e^{-\beta t} (\chi(Q_1) \|\Delta_\infty\| \|x_1\| \|Q_1 - Q_2\| + \|x_1 - x_2\|)$$

with the parameter $\chi(Q_i)$ defined in Theorem 5.2.

6 Feynman-Kac propagators

6.1 Unnormalised semigroups

Whenever the initial state X_0 is distributed according to some probability measure η_0 on \mathbb{R}^d , by Fubini's theorem and (6) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_0(\psi_t) &:= \int \eta_0(dx) \psi_t(x) = \int \eta_0(dx) \int \mathcal{K}_t(x, dy) \psi_0(y) \\ &= \int \left(\int \eta_0(dx) \mathcal{K}_t(x, dy) \right) \psi_0(y) = (\eta_0 \mathcal{K}_t)(\psi_0).\end{aligned}$$

This yields the formula

$$\eta_0(\psi_t) = \gamma_t(\psi_0) \quad \text{with the measure} \quad \gamma_t := \eta_0 \mathcal{K}_t.$$

Equivalently, the measure γ_t is defined by the unnormalised Feynman-Kac path integral

$$\gamma_t(\psi_0) = \mathbb{E} \left(\psi_0(X_t) \exp \left(- \int_0^t V(X_u) ds \right) \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_t(1) = \mathbb{E} \left(\exp \left(- \int_0^t V(X_u) ds \right) \right).$$

In the above display, 1 stands for the unit function. Observe that the evolution semigroup of γ_t is linear and given by the formulae

$$\gamma_{t+s} = \eta_0 \mathcal{K}_{s+t} = \eta_0 (\mathcal{K}_s \mathcal{K}_t) = (\eta_0 \mathcal{K}_s) \mathcal{K}_t = \gamma_s \mathcal{K}_t. \quad (76)$$

Finally observe that

$$\partial_t \gamma_t(\psi_0) = \mathbb{E} \left(\mathcal{L}(\psi_0)(X_t) \exp \left(- \int_0^t V(X_u) ds \right) \right) - \mathbb{E} \left(\psi_0(X_t) V(X_t) \exp \left(- \int_0^t V(X_u) ds \right) \right)$$

This yields the evolution equation

$$\partial_t \gamma_t(\psi_0) = \gamma_t(\mathcal{L}(\psi_0)) - \gamma_t(\psi_0 V) = -\gamma_t(\mathcal{H}(\psi_0)), \quad (77)$$

where \mathcal{H} was defined in (1).

6.2 Normalised semigroups

We shall denote by η_t the normalised probability measures

$$\eta_t(f) := \gamma_t(f) / \gamma_t(1) \implies \gamma_t(f) = \eta_t(f) \exp \left(- \int_0^t \eta_s(V) ds \right). \quad (78)$$

We check this claim using the formula

$$-\partial_t \log \gamma_t(1) = \frac{1}{\gamma_t(1)} \mathbb{E} \left(V(X_t) \exp \left(- \int_0^t V(X_u) ds \right) \right) = \eta_t(V).$$

In bra-ket notation, the semigroup property (76) and the probability measure η_t can be written in the form

$$\eta_0 = \mu_\varphi \implies \langle \varphi | e^{-(s+t)\mathcal{H}} | \psi \rangle = \langle \varphi | e^{-s\mathcal{H}} e^{-t\mathcal{H}} | \psi \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_t(\psi_0) = \frac{\langle \varphi | e^{-t\mathcal{H}} | \psi_0 \rangle}{\langle \varphi | e^{-t\mathcal{H}} | 1 \rangle}.$$

Observe that for any $s \leq t$ we have the correspondence principle

$$\eta_t(f) = \frac{\gamma_t(f)}{\gamma_t(1)} = \frac{\gamma_s \mathcal{K}_{t-s}(f)}{\gamma_s \mathcal{K}_{t-s}(1)} = \frac{\eta_s \mathcal{K}_{t-s}(f)}{\eta_s \mathcal{K}_{t-s}(1)} =: \Phi_{t-s}(\eta_s)(f). \quad (79)$$

The semigroup $\Phi_{t-s}(\eta_s) = \eta_t$ of the normalised measures described above is a nonlinear mapping from the set of probability measures on \mathbb{R}^r into itself.

6.3 Normalised Feynman-Kac propagators

There two different ways to normalise the integral Feynman-Kac operators \mathcal{K}_t . The first one is based on (78), which implies that

$$\eta_t(f) = \gamma_t(f) \exp \left(\int_0^t \eta_s(V) ds \right). \quad (80)$$

This yields the formula

$$\eta_t(f) = \mathbb{E} \left(f(X_t) \exp \left(- \int_0^t V_{\eta_s}(X_s) ds \right) \right) \quad \text{with} \quad V_{\eta_s}(x) = V(x) - \eta_s(V).$$

This shows that the normalised measures η_t are defined as γ_t by replacing V by the time varying centered potential function V_{η_t} . It is therefore natural to consider the normalised propagator defined below.

Definition 6.1. For any initial distribution η_0 and for any $t \geq 0$ we denote by $\mathcal{K}_t^{\eta_0}$ the integral operator

$$\mathcal{K}_t^{\eta_0}(f)(x) := \mathbb{E} \left(f(X_t) \exp \left(- \int_0^t V_{\eta_s}(X_s) ds \right) \mid X_0 = x \right).$$

Using (79), it is straightforward to see that

$$\mathcal{K}_t^{\eta_0}(f)(x) = \exp \left(\int_0^t \Phi_s(\eta_0)(V) ds \right) \times \mathcal{K}_t(f)(x) = \frac{\mathcal{K}_t(f)(x)}{\eta_0 \mathcal{K}_t(1)}. \quad (81)$$

From this we deduce that

$$\eta_0 \mathcal{K}_t^{\eta_0}(f) = \frac{1}{\gamma_t(1)} \eta_0 \mathcal{K}_t(f) = \frac{\gamma_t(f)}{\gamma_t(1)} \implies \eta_0 \mathcal{K}_t^{\eta_0} = \eta_t.$$

Proposition 6.2. For any $s, t \geq 0$ we have the evolution semigroup properties

$$\mathcal{K}_{s+t}^{\eta_0} = \mathcal{K}_s^{\eta_0} \mathcal{K}_t^{\eta_s}, \quad \eta_{s+t} = \eta_s \mathcal{K}_t^{\eta_s} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{K}_t^{\eta_\infty}(f) = e^{\lambda_0 t} \mathcal{K}_t(f)$$

Proof. To prove the two semigroup properties, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_t^{\eta_0}(f)(x) &= \exp \left(\int_0^s \eta_u(V) du \right) \exp \left(\int_s^t \Phi_{u-s}(\eta_s)(V) du \right) \mathcal{K}_{t+s}(f)(x) \\ &= \exp \left(\int_0^{t-s} \Phi_u(\eta_s)(V) du \right) \mathcal{K}_s^{\eta_0}(\mathcal{K}_t(f))(x) = \mathcal{K}_s^{\eta_0} \left(\mathcal{K}_{t-s}^{\Phi_s(\eta_0)}(f) \right)(x). \end{aligned}$$

The second then follows from $\eta_0 \mathcal{K}_t^{\eta_0} = \eta_t$.

To check the right hand side, note that

$$\eta_\infty(\mathcal{K}_t(1)) = \exp\left(-\int_0^t \Phi_s(\eta_\infty)(V) ds\right) = e^{-\eta_\infty(V)t} = e^{-\lambda_0 t}.$$

The result then follows from (81). ■

Arguing as in (77) with V replaced by V_{η_t} , we also find the evolution equation

$$\bar{\psi}_t := \mathcal{K}_t^{\eta_0}(\psi_0) \implies -\partial_t \bar{\psi}_t = \mathcal{H}_{\eta_t}(\bar{\psi}_t) \quad \text{and} \quad -\partial_t \eta_t(f) = \eta_t(\mathcal{H}_{\eta_t}(f)), \quad (82)$$

with the normalised time varying Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{\eta_t} = -\mathcal{L} + (V - \eta_t(V)) \iff (14).$$

A second strategy to normalise the Feynman-Kac propagator is to divide by its total mass.

Definition 6.3. *We associate with \mathcal{K}_t the normalised the Markov integral operator $\bar{\mathcal{K}}_t$ defined by the ratio formula*

$$\bar{\mathcal{K}}_t(f)(x) := \mathcal{K}_t(f)(x)/\mathcal{K}_t(1)(x) = \int f(y) \Phi_t(\delta_x)(dy).$$

By (76) and (78) the normalising constant is given by

$$\mathcal{K}_t(1)(x) = \delta_x \mathcal{K}_t(1) = \exp\left(-\int_0^t \Phi_s(\delta_x)(V) ds\right).$$

Using Theorem 3.4 (see also the estimates presented in section 5.3) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_x &= \mathcal{N}(x, 0) \\ \implies \bar{\mathcal{K}}_t(x, dy) &= \Phi_t(\delta_x)(dy) = \mathcal{N}\left(\hat{X}_t(x, 0), \phi_t(0)\right)(dy) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} \eta_\infty = \mathcal{N}(0, P_\infty). \end{aligned}$$

This yields the formula

$$2\Phi_t(\delta_x)(V) = \hat{X}_t(x, 0)' S \hat{X}_t(x, 0) + \text{Tr}(S \phi_t(0)) = x' \mathcal{E}_t(0)' S \mathcal{E}_t(0) x + \text{Tr}(S \phi_t(0)),$$

from which we conclude that

$$\mathcal{K}_t(1)(x) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} x' \Delta_t(0) x - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \text{Tr}(S \phi_s(0)) ds\right),$$

with

$$\Delta_t(0) := \int_0^t \mathcal{E}_s(0)' S \mathcal{E}_s(0) ds \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_\infty(0) := \int_0^\infty \mathcal{E}_s(0)' S \mathcal{E}_s(0) ds.$$

In contrast with linear semigroups we have the nonlinear transport formula

$$\Phi_t(\eta_0) = \mathbb{B}_{\mathcal{K}_t(1)}(\eta_0) \bar{\mathcal{K}}_t \neq \eta_0 \bar{\mathcal{K}}_t,$$

and thus, the following theorem.

Theorem 6.4. For any probability measure η on \mathbb{R}^r and for any $t \geq 0$ we have the Boltzmann-Gibbs formula

$$\Phi_t(\eta) = \mathbb{B}_{\varpi_t}(\eta) \overline{\mathcal{K}}_t$$

with the energy function

$$\log \varpi_t(x) = -\frac{1}{2} x' \Delta_t(0) x \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} \log \varpi_\infty(x) = -\frac{1}{2} x' \Delta_\infty(0) x.$$

In addition, for any $\delta > 0$ there exists some constant c_δ such that for any pair of probability measures, η and μ , on \mathbb{R}^r and for $t \geq \delta > 0$, we have

$$\|\Phi_t(\eta) - \Phi_t(\mu)\|_{tv} \leq \frac{c_\delta}{\eta(\varpi_\infty) \wedge \mu(\varpi_\infty)} e^{-\beta t}, \quad (83)$$

where the parameter β was introduced in (34).

Proof. The first assertion comes from the fact that

$$\mathcal{K}_t(1)(x) = \varpi_t(x) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \text{Tr}(S\phi_s(0)) ds\right) \implies \mathbb{B}_{\mathcal{K}_t(1)} = \mathbb{B}_{\varpi_t}.$$

To prove (83), observe that

$$\Phi_t(\eta)(f) - \Phi_t(\mu)(f) = \int \mathbb{B}_{\varpi_t}(\eta)(dx) \mathbb{B}_{\varpi_t}(\mu)(dy) (\overline{\mathcal{K}}_t(f)(x) - \overline{\mathcal{K}}_t(f)(y)).$$

From Definition 6.3 and Theorem 3.4, for any function f such that $\|f\| \leq 1$, we have

$$|\overline{\mathcal{K}}_t(f)(x) - \overline{\mathcal{K}}_t(f)(y)| \leq \|\Phi_t(\delta_x) - \Phi_t(\delta_y)\|_{tv} \leq c_\delta e^{-\beta t} \|x - y\|.$$

This implies that

$$\|\Phi_t(\eta) - \Phi_t(\mu)\|_{tv} \leq 2c_\delta e^{-\beta t} \left(\int \mathbb{B}_{\varpi_t}(\eta)(dx) \|x\| \vee \int \mathbb{B}_{\varpi_t}(\mu)(dx) \|x\| \right).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\eta(\varpi_t) \geq \eta(\varpi_\infty) > 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \log \varpi_\infty(x) = -\frac{1}{2} x' \Delta_\infty(0) x,$$

as well as the uniform estimate

$$\int \eta(dx) \varpi_t(x) \|x\| \leq \int \eta(dx) \varpi_\delta(x) \|x\| \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^r} |\varpi_\delta(x) \|x\|| =: c'_\delta < \infty, \quad t \geq \delta > 0.$$

Thus

$$\int \mathbb{B}_{\varpi_t}(\eta)(dx) \|x\| = \frac{1}{\eta(\varpi_t)} \int \eta(dx) \varpi_t(x) \|x\| \leq \frac{c'_\delta}{\eta(\varpi_\infty)},$$

which completes the proof of the theorem. ■

Observe that

$$\eta = \mathcal{N}(x, P) \implies \begin{cases} \mathbb{B}_{\varpi_t}(\eta) &= \mathcal{N}\left((P^{-1} + \Delta_t(0))^{-1} P^{-1} x, (P^{-1} + \Delta_t(0))^{-1}\right) \\ \eta \overline{\mathcal{K}}_t &= \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{E}_t(0)x, \phi_t(0) + \mathcal{E}_t(0)P\mathcal{E}_t(0)'). \end{cases}$$

Combining these two formula we readily check the following proposition.

Proposition 6.5. *For any $t \geq 0$, we have the Gaussian preserving property*

$$\eta_0 = \mathcal{N}(x, P) \implies \eta_t = \mathcal{N}\left(\widehat{X}_t(x, P), \phi_t(P)\right)$$

with the mean vector and covariance matrices given by

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{X}_t(x, P) &= \mathcal{E}_t(0) (P^{-1} + \Delta_t(0))^{-1} P^{-1}x \\ \phi_t(P) &= \phi_t(0) + \mathcal{E}_t(0) (P^{-1} + \Delta_t(0))^{-1} \mathcal{E}_t(0)' \end{cases}$$

6.4 Some stability properties

6.4.1 Relative entropy

For any initial conditions of the form given in (52), the Boltzmann-Kullback Leibler relative entropy of $\Phi_t(\eta_0)$ with respect to $\Phi_t(\mu_0)$ has a closed form (see for instance the article [93] and formula (A.23) in [83]) given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Ent}(\Phi_t(\eta_0) \mid \Phi_t(\mu_0)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\text{Tr}(\phi_t(P)\phi_t(Q)^{-1} - I) + \log \det(\phi_t(Q)\phi_t(P)^{-1}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\widehat{X}_t(x, P) - \widehat{X}_t(y, Q) \right)' \phi_t(Q)^{-1} \left(\widehat{X}_t(x, P) - \widehat{X}_t(y, Q) \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

When $P = 0 = Q$ the above formula reduces to

$$\text{Ent}(\Phi_t(\delta_x) \mid \Phi_t(\delta_y)) = \frac{1}{2} (x - y)' \mathcal{E}_t(0)' \phi_t(0)^{-1} \mathcal{E}_t(0) (x - y).$$

To estimate determinants of matrices close to the identity we use the following lemma.

Lemma 6.6 ([43]). *For any $(r \times r)$ -matrix A we have*

$$\|A\|_F < \frac{1}{2} \implies |\log \det(I - A)| \leq \frac{3}{2} \|A\|_F.$$

For any $n \geq 1$ and $\delta > 0$ we set

$$t_{n,\delta} := \delta \vee \frac{1}{2\beta} \log(2n\alpha_\delta) \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha_\delta := (\alpha\chi_\delta)^2 \left(r \lambda_{\max}(\Pi_{-\delta}^{-1}) \text{Tr}(\Pi_{-\delta}^{-1}) \right)^{1/2}.$$

with the positive matrix $\Pi_{-\delta}$ and the parameters $(\alpha, \beta, \chi_\delta)$ defined in (34) and Theorem 5.2.

Theorem 6.7. *For any initial conditions*

$$\eta_0 = \mathcal{N}(x, P) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_0 = \mathcal{N}(y, Q) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \|P - Q\| \leq n,$$

and for any $t \geq t_{\delta,n}$ with $n \geq 1$ and $\delta > 0$, we have the exponential decay estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Ent}(\Phi_t(\eta_0) \mid \Phi_t(\mu_0)) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{5}{4} \alpha_\delta \|P - Q\| + \lambda_{\max}(\Pi_{-\delta}^{-1}) (\alpha\chi_\delta)^2 \left((\chi_\delta \|\Delta_\infty\|)^2 \|x\|^2 \|P - Q\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 \right) \right) e^{-2\beta t}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We start by controlling the log det term on the right hand side of (84) using Lemma 6.6. First note that, by (34), for any $t \geq \delta > 0$ we have

$$0 < \Pi_{+, \delta}^{-1} \leq \phi_t(Q)^{-1} \leq \Pi_{-, \delta}^{-1} \implies \text{Tr}(\phi_t(Q)^{-2}) \leq r \lambda_{\max}(\Pi_{-, \delta}^{-1}) \text{Tr}(\Pi_{-, \delta}^{-1}).$$

Combining this with the Lipschitz estimates stated in Theorem 5.3 we check that

$$\|I - \phi_t(Q)^{-1} \phi_t(P)\|_F \leq \left(r \lambda_{\max}(\Pi_{-, \delta}^{-1}) \text{Tr}(\Pi_{-, \delta}^{-1}) \right)^{1/2} (\alpha \chi_\delta)^2 e^{-2\beta t} \|P - Q\|.$$

For any $t \geq t_{n, \delta}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} t \geq \delta \quad \text{and} \quad e^{-2\beta t} &\leq \frac{1}{2n\alpha_\delta} \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha_\delta := (\alpha \chi_\delta)^2 \left(r \lambda_{\max}(\Pi_{-, \delta}^{-1}) \text{Tr}(\Pi_{-, \delta}^{-1}) \right)^{1/2} \\ \implies \|I - \phi_t(Q)^{-1} \phi_t(P)\|_F &\leq \frac{1}{2n} \|P - Q\|. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 6.6 to $A = \phi_t(Q)^{-1}(\phi_t(Q) - \phi_t(P))$, for any $t \geq t_{n, \delta}$ and $\|P - Q\| \leq n$ we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\log \det (\phi_t(P) \phi_t(Q)^{-1})| &\leq \frac{3}{2} \|\phi_t(P) - \phi_t(Q)\|_F \|\phi_t(Q)^{-1}\|_F \\ &\leq \frac{3}{2} \left(r \lambda_{\max}(\Pi_{-, \delta}^{-1}) \text{Tr}(\Pi_{-, \delta}^{-1}) \right)^{1/2} (\alpha \chi_\delta)^2 e^{-2\beta t} \|P - Q\|. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for any $t \geq \delta$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{tr} (I - \phi_t(Q)^{-1} \phi_t(P))| &\leq \|\phi_t(Q)^{-1}\|_F \|\phi_t(P) - \phi_t(Q)\|_F \\ &\leq \left(r \lambda_{\max}(\Pi_{-, \delta}^{-1}) \text{Tr}(\Pi_{-, \delta}^{-1}) \right)^{1/2} \|\phi_t(P) - \phi_t(Q)\| \\ &\leq \left(r \lambda_{\max}(\Pi_{-, \delta}^{-1}) \text{Tr}(\Pi_{-, \delta}^{-1}) \right)^{1/2} (\alpha \chi_\delta)^2 e^{-2\beta t} \|P - Q\|. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we notice that

$$\left| \left(\widehat{X}_t(x, P) - \widehat{X}_t(y, Q) \right)' \phi_t(Q)^{-1} \left(\widehat{X}_t(x, P) - \widehat{X}_t(y, Q) \right) \right| \leq \lambda_{\max}(\Pi_{-, \delta}^{-1}) \|(\widehat{X}_t(x, P) - \widehat{X}_t(y, Q))\|^2.$$

Applying Theorem 5.5 for any $t \geq \delta$ we check that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \left(\widehat{X}_t(x, P) - \widehat{X}_t(y, Q) \right)' \phi_t(Q)^{-1} \left(\widehat{X}_t(x, P) - \widehat{X}_t(y, Q) \right) \right| \\ &\leq \lambda_{\max}(\Pi_{-, \delta}^{-1}) (\alpha \chi_\delta)^2 e^{-2\beta t} (\chi_\delta \|\Delta_\infty\| \|x\| \|P - Q\| + \|x - y\|)^2, \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof. ■

Applying the above theorem to $P = 0$ and $(y, Q) = (0, P_\infty)$ we check the following corollary

Corollary 6.8. *For any $t \geq t_{\delta,n}$ with $n = \lceil \|P_\infty\| \rceil$ and $\delta > 0$, we have the exponential decay estimate*

$$\text{Ent}(\Phi_t(\delta_x) \mid \eta_\infty) \leq \left(\frac{5}{4} \alpha_\delta \|P_\infty\| + \lambda_{\max}(\Pi_{-, \delta}^{-1}) (\alpha \chi_\delta)^2 (1 + (\chi_\delta \|\Delta_\infty\| \|P_\infty\|)^2) \|x\|^2 \right) e^{-2\beta t}.$$

In addition, for any $t \geq \delta > 0$ and any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^r$ we have

$$\text{Ent}(\Phi_t(\delta_x) \mid \Phi_t(\delta_y)) \leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{\max}(\Pi_{-, \delta}^{-1}) (\alpha \chi_\delta)^2 e^{-2\beta t} \|x - y\|^2.$$

6.4.2 Wasserstein distances

For any initial conditions of the form (52) we have

$$\mathbb{W}_2(\Phi_t(\mu_0), \Phi_t(\eta_0))^2 \leq \|\hat{X}_t(x, P) - \hat{X}_t(y, Q)\|^2 + \|\phi_t(P)^{1/2} - \phi_t(Q)^{1/2}\|_F^2$$

For any $P, Q \in \mathcal{S}_r^+$ we also have the Ando-Hemmen inequality

$$\|P^{1/2} - Q^{1/2}\| \leq \left[\lambda_{\min}^{1/2}(P) + \lambda_{\min}^{1/2}(Q) \right]^{-1} \|P - Q\| \quad (85)$$

for any unitary invariant matrix norm $\|\cdot\|$ (including the spectral and the Frobenius norms). See for instance Theorem 6.2 on page 135 in [56], as well as Proposition 3.2 in [4]. For a more thorough discussion on the geometric properties of positive semidefinite matrices and square roots we refer to [57].

Using (34) and theorem 5.3 for any $t \geq \delta > 0$ we check that

$$\|\phi_t(P)^{1/2} - \phi_t(Q)^{1/2}\|_F \leq \sqrt{r} \left[2\lambda_{\min}^{1/2}(\Pi_{-, \delta}) \right]^{-1} (\alpha \chi_\delta)^2 e^{-2\beta t} \|P - Q\|$$

Using theorem 5.5 we obtain the following theorem

Theorem 6.9. *For any $t \geq \delta > 0$ and any initial conditions*

$$\eta_0 = \mathcal{N}(x, P) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_0 = \mathcal{N}(y, Q)$$

we have the exponential decay estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{W}_2(\Phi_t(\mu_0), \Phi_t(\eta_0)) \\ & \leq \alpha \chi_\delta e^{-\beta t} \left(\|x - y\| + \chi_\delta \left(\|\Delta_\infty\| \|x\| + \alpha \sqrt{r} \left[2\lambda_{\min}^{1/2}(\Pi_{-, \delta}) \right]^{-1} e^{-\beta t} \right) \|P - Q\| \right) \end{aligned}$$

6.4.3 Proof of Theorem 3.3

Observe that

$$\mathcal{K}_t(h_0)(x) = e^{-\lambda_0 t} h_0(x) \implies e^{\lambda_0 t} \mathcal{K}_t(1)(x) = \frac{h_0(x)}{\bar{\mathcal{K}}_t(h_0)(x)} = \frac{h_0(x)}{\eta_\infty(h_0)} k_t(x)$$

with

$$k_t(x) := \eta_\infty(h_0) / \bar{\mathcal{K}}_t(h_0)(x) = \eta_\infty(h_0) / \Phi_t(\delta_x)(h_0)$$

Observe that

$$k_t(x) = \left(\frac{\det(I + \phi_t(0)Q_\infty)}{\det(I + P_\infty Q_\infty)} \right)^{1/2} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \widehat{X}_t(x, 0)' (I + Q_\infty \phi_t(0))^{-1} \widehat{X}_t(x, 0) \right)$$

This shows that

$$k_t(x) \xrightarrow{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad k_t(x) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} 1$$

This shows that one cannot expect uniform upper bound with respect to the state variable. The estimates (51) are now a direct consequences of the Lipschitz estimates presented in section 5.3, which we now detail.

Applying (75) to $P = 0$ we check the formula

$$(I + \phi_t(0)Q_\infty)(I + P_\infty Q_\infty)^{-1} = I - \mathcal{E}_t(P_\infty) \mathbb{F}_t(0)^{-1} P_\infty \mathcal{E}_t(P_\infty)' (Q_\infty^{-1} + P_\infty)^{-1}$$

On the other hand, by (71) for any $t \geq \delta > 0$ we have

$$\|\mathcal{E}_t(P_\infty) \mathbb{F}_t(0)^{-1} P_\infty \mathcal{E}_t(P_\infty)' (Q_\infty^{-1} + P_\infty)^{-1}\| \leq \chi_\delta^3 \|\mathcal{E}_t(P_\infty)\|^2 \|P_\infty (Q_\infty^{-1} + P_\infty)^{-1}\|$$

Using (34) this implies that

$$\|\mathcal{E}_t(P_\infty) \mathbb{F}_t(0)^{-1} P_\infty \mathcal{E}_t(P_\infty)' (Q_\infty^{-1} + P_\infty)^{-1}\|_F \leq \sqrt{r} \chi_\delta^3 \alpha^2 e^{-2\beta t} \|P_\infty Q_\infty (I + P_\infty Q_\infty)^{-1}\|$$

Applying lemma 6.6 for any

$$t > t_\delta := \frac{1}{2\beta} \log (2\sqrt{r} \chi_\delta^3 \alpha^2 \|P_\infty Q_\infty (I + P_\infty Q_\infty)^{-1}\|)$$

we have

$$\left| \log \left(\frac{\det(I + \phi_t(0)Q_\infty)}{\det(I + P_\infty Q_\infty)} \right)^{1/2} \right| \leq \frac{3}{4} \sqrt{r} \chi_\delta^3 \alpha^2 e^{-2\beta t} \|P_\infty Q_\infty (I + P_\infty Q_\infty)^{-1}\|$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\widehat{X}_t(x, 0)' (I + Q_\infty \phi_t(0))^{-1} \widehat{X}_t(x, 0) \leq \|\phi_t(0)^{-1}\| \|(\phi_t(0)^{-1} + Q_\infty)^{-1}\| \|\widehat{X}_t(x, 0)\|^2$$

By (34) for any $t \geq \delta > 0$ we have

$$\phi_t(0)^{-1} \leq \Pi_{-, \delta}^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad (\phi_t(0) + Q_\infty)^{-1} \leq (\Pi_{-, \delta} + Q_\infty)^{-1}$$

combining the above with the estimates (34) and (71) we check that

$$\widehat{X}_t(x, 0)' (I + Q_\infty \phi_t(0))^{-1} \widehat{X}_t(x, 0) \leq \|\Pi_{-, \delta}^{-1}\| \|(\Pi_{-, \delta} + Q_\infty)^{-1}\| (\alpha \chi_\delta)^2 e^{-2\beta t} \|x\|^2$$

To finish the proof of the theorem, note that for any time horizon $t \geq 0$ and any $f \in \mathbb{L}_1(\eta_\infty)$ we have the decomposition

$$e^{\lambda_0 t} \mathcal{K}_t(f)(x) = \frac{h_0(x)}{\eta_\infty(h_0)} \left(\eta_\infty(f) + \widetilde{K}_t(f)(x) \right) k_t(x)$$

with the integral operator

$$\widetilde{K}_t(f)(x) = \overline{K}_t(f)(x) - \eta_\infty(f) = \Phi_t(\delta_x)(f) - \eta_\infty(f)$$

This ends the proof of theorem 3.3. ■

7 Path integral formulations

7.1 Particle absorption models

For any time horizon $t \geq 0$, any measurable function F_t on $\mathcal{C}([0, t], \mathbb{R}^r)$ and any starting point $x \in \mathbb{R}^r$, we have the Feynman-Kac path-integral formula

$$\mathbb{E} \left(F_t \left((X_s)_{s \in [0, t]} \right) \exp \left(- \int_0^t V(X_u) ds \right) \mid X_0^c = x \right) = \mathbb{E} (F_t \left((X_s^c)_{s \in [0, t]} \right) \mathbf{1}_{\tau^c \geq t} \mid X_0 = x).$$

We recover the Feynman-Kac propagator formula (6) by choosing functions that only depend on the terminal time.

Theorem 7.1. *For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^r$, we have*

$$h_0^{-1} \mathcal{L}(h_0)(x) = V(x) - \lambda_0, \quad (86)$$

where h_0 was defined in (8). In addition, for any time horizon $t \geq 0$, any measurable function F_t on $\mathcal{C}([0, t], \mathbb{R}^r)$ and any starting point $x \in \mathbb{R}^r$, we have the Feynman-Kac path-integral formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} (F_t \left((X_s^c)_{s \in [0, t]} \right) \mathbf{1}_{\tau^c \geq t} \mid X_0 = x) \\ &= \exp(-\lambda_0 t) h_0(x) \mathbb{E} \left(F_t \left((X_s^h)_{s \in [0, t]} \right) h_0^{-1}(X_t^h) \mid X_0^h = x \right), \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

where X_t^h stands for the diffusion with generator defined by

$$\mathcal{L}^h(f) = \mathcal{L}(f) + h_0^{-1} \Gamma_{\mathcal{L}}(h_0, f),$$

with the carré-du-champ operator

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{L}}(h_0, f)(x) := (B \nabla h_0(x))' (B \nabla f(x)) = -h_0(x) (R Q_{\infty} x)' \nabla f(x).$$

Proof. From (8), we have the gradient formula

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \log h_0(x) &= -Q_{\infty} x \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla^2 \log h_0(x) = -Q_{\infty} \\ \implies h_0^{-1}(x) \nabla h_0(x) &= -Q_{\infty} x \quad \text{and} \quad h_0^{-1}(x) \nabla^2 h_0(x) = -Q_{\infty} + (Q_{\infty} x) (Q_{\infty} x)'. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} h_0^{-1} \mathcal{L}(h_0)(x) &= h_0^{-1}(x) \left((Ax)' \nabla h_0(x) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} (R \nabla^2 h_0) \right) \\ &= -x' A' Q_{\infty} x + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} (R (Q_{\infty} x) (Q_{\infty} x)') - \frac{1}{2} 2 \text{Tr} (R Q_{\infty}) \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} h_0^{-1} \mathcal{L}(h_0)(x) - V(x) &= -\frac{1}{2} x' (A' Q_{\infty} + Q_{\infty} A) x + \frac{1}{2} x' (Q_{\infty} R Q_{\infty}) x - \frac{1}{2} x' S x - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} (R Q_{\infty}) \\ &= -\lambda_0, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that $A'Q_\infty + Q_\infty A - Q_\infty R Q_\infty + S = 0$, as in (8).

This yields the exponential change of probability formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp(\lambda_0 t) \mathbb{E} \left(F((X_s)_{s \in [0,t]}) \exp \left(- \int_0^t V(X_s) ds \right) \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left(F((X_s)_{s \in [0,t]}) \frac{h_0(X_0)}{h_0(X_t)} \left(\frac{h_0(X_t)}{h_0(X_0)} \exp \left(- \int_0^t (h_0^{-1} \mathcal{L} h_0)(X_s) ds \right) \right) \right) \\ &= \eta_0(h_0) \mathbb{E} \left(F((X_s^h)_{s \in [0,t]}) h_0^{-1}(X_t^h) \right), \end{aligned}$$

which ends the proof of the theorem. ■

Thus, combining this with Lemma 5.1, Theorem 3.1 is now proved. Moreover, Theorem 3.2 is now a direct consequence of (87). Indeed, using (87) we verify that the law η_t^h of the random states X_t^h of the h -process defined in (36) and the distribution of the non-absorbed particle defined in (13) are connected by the Boltzmann-Gibbs transformation (18). The Gaussian preserving property of the linear diffusion process X_t^h is immediate. The formulae given in (50) are easily checked using the the Boltzmann-Gibbs transformation (18). Moreover, we can check that the pair (\hat{X}_t, P_t) given by (50) satisfies (21) using brute force calculations, or by checking that the Gaussian distributions with mean and covariance matrices (\hat{X}_t, P_t) solves the nonlinear equation (14).

7.2 Backward h -processes

For a fixed time horizon $t \geq 0$, we let \bar{X}_t be a random sample from $\mathcal{N}(\hat{X}_t, P_t)$. We also denote by $X_{t,s}^h(x)$, with $s \in [0, t]$, be the backward diffusion defined by

$$dX_{t,s}^h(x) = \left(AX_{t,s}^h(x) + RP_s^{-1}(X_{t,s}^h(x) - \hat{X}_s) \right) ds + BdW_s,$$

starting at $X_{t,t}^h(x) = x$ at time $s = t$. In the above display, P_s stands for the solution of the Riccati matrix differential equation defined in (21). We assume that \bar{X}_t and $(W_s)_{s \leq t}$ are independent.

Rewritten in terms of the density g_s of the Gaussian distribution $\mathcal{N}(\hat{X}_s, P_s)$, we have

$$\bar{X}_{t,s}^h := X_{t,s}^h(\bar{X}_t) \implies d\bar{X}_{t,s}^h = \left(A\bar{X}_{t,s}^h - R \nabla \log g_s(\bar{X}_{t,s}^h) \right) ds + B dW_s. \quad (88)$$

The following theorem, taken from [10] links the non-absorbed particle process with the above backward diffusion

Theorem 7.2 ([10]). *Assume that $X_0 \sim \mathcal{N}(\hat{X}_0, P_0)$. In this situation, for any $t \geq 0$ we have the backward formulation of the Feynman-Kac path integral*

$$\mathbb{E} \left(F((X_s^c)_{s \in [0,t]}) \mid \tau^c \geq t \right) = \mathbb{E} \left(F((\bar{X}_{t,s}^h)_{s \in [0,t]}) \right).$$

The random state $\bar{X}_{t,s}^h$ is a Gaussian variable with a mean $\hat{X}_{t,s}^h$ and covariance matrix $P_{t,s}^h$ satisfying the backward equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_s \hat{X}_{t,s}^h &= A \hat{X}_{t,s}^h + R P_s^{-1} (\hat{X}_{t,s}^h - \hat{X}_s) \\ \partial_s P_{t,s}^h &= (A + R P_s^{-1}) P_{t,s}^h + P_{t,s}^h (A + R P_s^{-1})' - R \end{cases}$$

with the terminal condition $(\hat{X}_{t,t}^h, P_{t,t}^h) = (\hat{X}_t, P_t)$, where (\hat{X}_s, P_s) is the solution to the forward equations described in (21).

7.3 Extensions to nonlinear diffusions

The h -process methodology can be extended to more general generators \mathcal{L} and other choices of the potential function V . We now assume that \mathcal{L} is the generator of the diffusion equation

$$dX_t = A(X_t)dt + B(X_t)dW_t \quad (89)$$

for some drift function $A(x)$ and some diffusion matrix valued function $B(x)$ with appropriate dimensions. We also assume there exists some ground state h_0 associated with some energy λ_0 ; that is, we have that

$$h_0^{-1} \mathcal{L}(h_0)(x) = V(x) - \lambda_0$$

In this situation, the h -process X_t^h is a diffusion with generator defined by

$$\mathcal{L}^h(f) = \mathcal{L}(f) + h_0^{-1} \Gamma_{\mathcal{L}}(h_0, f)$$

with the carré-du-champ operator

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{L}}(h_0, f)(x) := (B(x) \nabla h_0(x))' (B(x) \nabla f(x)) = (R(x) \nabla h_0(x))' \nabla f(x),$$

where we have defined $R(x) := B(x)B(x)'$. Equivalently, the h -process is defined by the diffusion

$$dX_t^h = \left(A(X_t^h) + R(X_t^h) \nabla \log h_0(X_t^h) \right) dt + B(X_t^h) dW_t$$

Let \bar{X}_t a random sample from the Feynman-Kac probability measures η_t defined as in (78) for some potential function V .

Whenever it exists, let g_s be the density of the normalised or unnormalised Feynman-Kac measures η_s or γ_s . In this situation, following the analysis developed in [10], the assertion of Theorem 7.2 remains valid with the backward diffusion

$$d\bar{X}_{t,s}^h = \left(A(\bar{X}_{t,s}^h) - \text{div}_R \log g_s(\bar{X}_{t,s}^h) \right) ds + B(\bar{X}_{t,s}^h) dW_s \quad (90)$$

with the terminal condition $\bar{X}_{t,t}^h = \bar{X}_t$ and the R -divergence m -column vector operator with j -th entry given by the formula

$$\text{div}_R(f)(x)^j := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \partial_{x_i} (R_{i,j}(x) f(x)).$$

8 McKean-Vlasov interpretations

8.1 Interacting jump processes

Let \bar{X}_t be a nonlinear jump diffusion process with generator

$$\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\eta}_t}(f)(x) = \mathcal{L}(f)(x) + V(x) \int (f(y) - f(x)) \bar{\eta}_t(dy) \quad \text{where} \quad \bar{\eta}_t := \text{Law}(\bar{X}_t).$$

The process starts at $\bar{X}_0 = X_0$. Between the jumps the process \bar{X}_t evolves as X_t . At rate $V(\bar{X}_t)$ the process jumps onto a new location randomly selected according to the distribution $\bar{\eta}_t$. Observe that

$$\partial_t \bar{\eta}_t(f) = \bar{\eta}_t(\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\eta}_t}(f)) = \bar{\eta}_t(\mathcal{L}(f)) - \bar{\eta}_t(fV) + \bar{\eta}_t(f)\bar{\eta}_t(V).$$

This shows that $\bar{\eta}_t$ satisfies the same evolution equation as the one satisfied by η_t given in (14). Thus, for any choice of the generator \mathcal{L} and any choice of the potential function V we have that

$$\bar{\eta}_t(dx) = \eta_t(dx) := \mathbb{P}(X_t^c \in dx \mid \tau^c > t).$$

The mean field particle interpretation of the nonlinear process \bar{X}_t is defined by a system of N walkers, ξ_t^i , evolving independently as X_t with jump rate $V_t(X_t)$, for $1 \leq i \leq N$. At each jump time, the particle ξ_t^i jumps onto a particle uniformly chosen in the pool. The occupation measure of system is given by the empirical measure

$$\eta_t^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} \delta_{\xi_t^i} \longrightarrow_{N \rightarrow \infty} \eta_t \longrightarrow_{t \rightarrow \infty} \eta_\infty. \quad (91)$$

Mimicking (80) we also define the normalising constant approximations

$$\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \eta_s^N(V) ds := -\frac{1}{t} \log \gamma_t^N(1) \longrightarrow_{N \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{t} \log \gamma_t(1) \longrightarrow_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_0 = \eta_\infty(V) \quad (92)$$

The interacting particle system discussed above belongs to the class of diffusion Monte Carlo algorithms, see for instance the series of articles [23, 24, 67, 68, 80, 81], as well as [37, 39, 40, 41] and the references therein. Observe that the N ancestral lines $\zeta_t^i := (\xi_s^i)_{0 \leq s \leq t}$ of length t of the above genetic-type process can also be seen as a system of N path-valued particles evolving independently as the historical process $Y_t = (X_s)_{0 \leq s \leq t}$ of X_t , with a jump rate $V_t(X_t)$ that only depends on the terminal state X_t of the ancestral line Y_t .

8.2 Interacting diffusions

For any probability measure η on \mathbb{R}^r we let \mathcal{P}_η denote the η -covariance

$$\eta \mapsto \mathcal{P}_\eta := \eta([e - \eta(e)][e - \eta(e)]') \quad (93)$$

where $e(x) := x$ is the identity function and $\eta(f)$ is a column vector whose i -th entry is given by $\eta(f^i)$ for some measurable function $f : \mathbb{R}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$.

We now consider three different nonlinear McKean-Vlasov-type diffusion process,

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad d\bar{X}_t &= (A - \mathcal{P}_{\bar{\eta}_t} S) \bar{X}_t dt + \mathcal{P}_{\bar{\eta}_t} S^{1/2} d\mathcal{W}_t + B d\bar{\mathcal{W}}_t, \\
(2) \quad d\bar{X}_t &= \left(A \bar{X}_t - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{P}_{\bar{\eta}_t} S (\bar{X}_t + \bar{\eta}_t(e)) \right) dt + B d\bar{\mathcal{W}}_t, \\
(3) \quad \partial_t \bar{X}_t &= A \bar{X}_t - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{P}_{\bar{\eta}_t} S (\bar{X}_t + \bar{\eta}_t(e)) + (R + M_t) \mathcal{P}_{\bar{\eta}_t}^{-1} (\bar{X}_t - \bar{\eta}_t(e)),
\end{aligned} \tag{94}$$

for any skew symmetric matrix $M'_t = -M_t$ that may also depend $\bar{\eta}_t$. In all three cases $(\mathcal{W}_t, \bar{\mathcal{W}}_t)$ are independent copies of W_t ; and \bar{X}_0 is an independent copies of X_0 . We also assume that $(\mathcal{W}_t, \bar{\mathcal{W}}_t, \bar{X}_0)$ are independent. In all three cases in (94), $\bar{\eta}_t$ stands for the probability distribution of \bar{X}_t ; that is, we have that

$$\bar{\eta}_t := \text{Law}(\bar{X}_t). \tag{95}$$

Observe that, in all three cases the stochastic processes discussed above depend in some nonlinear fashion on the law of the diffusion process itself.

Theorem 8.1. *In all the three cases presented in (94), for any $t \geq 0$ we have the Gaussian preserving property*

$$\eta_0 = \mathcal{N}(\hat{X}_0, P_0) = \bar{\eta}_0 \implies \bar{\eta}_t = \mathcal{N}(\hat{X}_t, P_t) = \eta_t.$$

Proof. Let \bar{X}_t be the process defined as in (1) by replacing $\mathcal{P}_{\bar{\eta}_t}$ by P_t . In this case, we have

$$d(\bar{X}_t - \mathbb{E}(\bar{X}_t)) = (A - P_t S) (\bar{X}_t - \mathbb{E}(\bar{X}_t)) dt + P_t S^{1/2} d\mathcal{W}_t + B d\bar{\mathcal{W}}_t.$$

Applying Ito's formula and taking expectations we obtain

$$\partial_t \mathcal{P}_{\bar{\eta}_t} = (A - P_t S) \mathcal{P}_{\bar{\eta}_t} + \mathcal{P}_{\bar{\eta}_t} (A - P_t S)' + P_t S P_t + R.$$

This yields the linear system

$$\partial_t (\mathcal{P}_{\bar{\eta}_t} - P_t) = (A - P_t S) (\mathcal{P}_{\bar{\eta}_t} - P_t) + \mathcal{P}_{\bar{\eta}_t} (A - P_t S)' (\mathcal{P}_{\bar{\eta}_t} - P_t) \implies P_t = \mathcal{P}_{\bar{\eta}_t}.$$

We conclude that \bar{X}_t is a linear diffusion with mean \hat{X}_t and covariance matrix P_t . The proof for the other two cases follows the same lines of arguments, thus we leave the details to the reader. \blacksquare

The mean-field particle interpretation of the first nonlinear diffusion process in (94) is given by the McKean-Vlasov type interacting diffusion process

$$d\xi_t^i = (A - P_t^N S) \bar{X}_t dt + P_t^N S^{1/2} d\mathcal{W}_t^i + B d\bar{\mathcal{W}}_t^i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \tag{96}$$

where $(\mathcal{W}_t^i, \bar{\mathcal{W}}_t^i, \xi_0^i)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ are N independent copies of $(\mathcal{W}_t, \bar{\mathcal{W}}_t, \bar{X}_0)$. In the above display, the P_t^N are the rescaled empirical covariance matrices given by the formulae

$$P_t^N := \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{P}_{\eta_t^N} = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} (\xi_t^i - m_t^N) (\xi_t^i - m_t^N)', \tag{97}$$

with the empirical measures

$$\eta_t^N := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} \delta_{\xi_t^i} \quad \text{and the sample mean} \quad m_t^N := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} \xi_t^i.$$

Note that (96) is a set of N stochastic differential equations coupled via the empirical covariance matrix P_t^N . The mean-field particle interpretation of the second and third nonlinear diffusion processes in (94) are defined as above by replacing \mathcal{P}_{η_t} by the sample covariance matrices P_t^N . The quasi-invariant measure η_∞ and the parameter λ_0 are computed using the limiting formulae (91) and (92).

The interacting diffusions discussed above belong to the class of Ensemble Kalman filters, see for instance the pioneering article by Evensen [49], the series of articles [14, 15, 16], as well as [43, 44] and the references therein.

In contrast with the interacting jump process discussed in section 8.1 none of the nonlinear diffusions discussed in (94) can be extended to more general generators \mathcal{L} and other choices of the potential function V .

We end this section with an application of the seminal feedback particle filter methodology recently developed by Mehta and Meyn and their co-authors [87, 88, 89, 90, 91] to Feynman-Kac models. Consider the diffusion

$$d\bar{X}_t = (A(\bar{X}_t) + U_t(\bar{X}_t)) dt + B(\bar{X}_t) dW_t,$$

where $U_t(x)$ is the solution of the Poisson equation

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \frac{1}{g_t(x)} \partial_{x_i} (U_t^i(x) g_t(x)) = (V(x) - \eta_t(V)), \quad t \geq 0.$$

In the above display $g_t(x)$ stands for the density of the distribution $\bar{\eta}_t$ of the random state \bar{X}_t . The generator $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\eta}_t}$ of the above time varying diffusion satisfies the equation

$$\bar{\eta}_t(\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\eta}_t}(f)) = \bar{\eta}_t(\mathcal{L}(f)) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \int U_t^i(x) \partial_{x_i} f(x) g_t(x) dx.$$

Integrating by part the last term we obtain the formula

$$\bar{\eta}_t(\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\eta}_t}(f)) = \bar{\eta}_t(\mathcal{L}(f)) - \int f(x) (V(x) - \bar{\eta}_t(V)) \bar{\eta}_t(dx),$$

from which we conclude that

$$\bar{\eta}_t(\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\eta}_t}(f)) = \bar{\eta}_t(\mathcal{L}(f)) - \bar{\eta}_t(fV) + \bar{\eta}_t(f)\bar{\eta}_t(V).$$

This shows that $\bar{\eta}_t = \text{Law}(\bar{X}_t) = \eta_t$ coincides with the normalised Feynman-Kac measures.

For linear-Gaussian models we have $\eta_t = \mathcal{N}(\hat{X}_t, P_t)$. Thus, the Poisson equation resumes to the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \partial_{x_i} U_t^i(x) - (x - \hat{X}_t)' P_t^{-1} U_t(x) &= \frac{1}{2} (x' S x - \hat{X}_t' S \hat{X}_t - \text{Tr}(S P_t)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (x - \hat{X}_t)' S (x - \hat{X}_t). \end{aligned}$$

The solution of the above equation is clearly given by

$$U_t(x) = -\frac{1}{2} P_t S(x + \hat{X}_t) \implies \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \partial_{x_i} U_t^i(x) = \text{Tr}(P_t S).$$

The resulting diffusion coincides with the second case in (94).

9 Spectral decompositions

The main focus of this section is the proof of Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.7, and the corresponding corollaries. Thus, in what follows, we assume that $R > 0$ and $A' = R^{-1}AR$. Before giving the proofs, we first spend some time discussing some properties of the reversible h -process introduced in section 2.5 and the Chebychev-Hermite polynomials introduced in section 3.2.

9.1 Reversible h -processes

Due to the reversibility conditions, the fixed points of the algebraic Riccati equation (29) are given by the formulae

$$(P_\infty^-)^{-1} = -R^{-1}A - R^{-1}(A^2 + RS)^{1/2} < 0 < P_\infty^{-1} = -R^{-1}A + R^{-1}(A^2 + RS)^{1/2}, \quad (98)$$

with the square root $(A^2 + RS)^{1/2}$ that has all positive eigenvalues. A proof of the above result can be found in [17]. To check that this square root is well-defined, observe that

$$A' = R^{-1}AR \implies A^2 + RS = R(A'R^{-1}A + S) = R((A')^2 + SR)R^{-1}, \quad (99)$$

which has positive eigenvalues. We also have the formulae

$$Q_\infty = P_\infty^{-1} + 2R^{-1}A \quad \text{and} \quad A - RQ_\infty = -(A^2 + RS)^{1/2}, \quad (100)$$

which yields

$$\text{Spec}(A - RQ_\infty) = \left\{ -|\lambda|^{1/2} : \lambda \in \text{Spec}(A^2 + RS) \right\} = \{-\lambda_1^h, \dots, -\lambda_r^h\} \subset \mathbb{R}_-.$$

This implies that the spectral abscissa satisfies,

$$\varsigma(A - RQ_\infty) = -\lambda_1^h < 0.$$

Observe that in general situations, even though $(A - RQ_\infty)$ and $(A - RQ_\infty)'$ have the same eigenvalues we have

$$(A - RQ_\infty)' = -((A')^2 + SR)^{1/2} \neq -(A^2 + RS)^{1/2} = (A - RQ_\infty).$$

Thus even when $\mu(A) < 0$ there are situations where

$$\varsigma(A - RQ_\infty) < 0 < \mu(A - RQ_\infty).$$

For a more thorough discussion on these situations, we refer the reader to section 4.1 in the article [43]. Using the formula (100), as well as the definitions of h_0 and v given in (8) and (40), respectively, we have

$$v(dx) h_0(x) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} x' P_\infty^{-1} x\right) \quad \text{and} \quad v(h_0) := \int v(dx) h_0(x) = \sqrt{\det(2\pi P_\infty)}.$$

This implies that

$$\mathbb{B}_h(v) = \eta_\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_\infty^h = \mathbb{B}_h(\eta_\infty) = \mathbb{B}_{h^2}(v).$$

The limiting covariance matrix of the h -process is given more explicitly by the formulae

$$P_\infty^h := (P_\infty^{-1} + Q_\infty)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} (P_\infty^{-1} + R^{-1}A)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} R(A^2 + RS)^{-1/2}, \quad (101)$$

where we have used (100) and (98). Combining this with the second equality in (100), we obtain

$$Q_\infty - R^{-1}A = R^{-1}(A^2 + RS)^{1/2} = (2P_\infty^h)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} (P_\infty^{-1} + Q_\infty), \quad (102)$$

which implies that

$$(102) \implies v(h_0^2) = (2\pi)^{r/2} / \sqrt{\det(P_\infty^{-1} + Q_\infty)}. \quad (103)$$

Finally notice that

$$AR = RA' \iff (A - RQ_\infty)P_\infty^h + P_\infty^h(A - RQ_\infty)' + R = 0. \quad (104)$$

Thus, our condition ensures the reversibility property (42) of the h -process.

Remark 9.1. Assume that $S > 0$ and $SA = A'S$. In this situation, the fixed point matrices (P_∞^-, P_∞) are given by (cf. [17])

$$P_\infty^- = AS^{-1} - (A^2 + RS)^{1/2}S^{-1} < 0 < P_\infty = AS^{-1} + (A^2 + RS)^{1/2}S^{-1}. \quad (105)$$

Thus, whenever $S, R > 0$ and $SAS^{-1} = A' = R^{-1}AR$ we have

$$(A - RQ_\infty) = -(A^2 + RS)^{1/2} = (A - P_\infty S) \quad \text{and} \quad RQ_\infty = P_\infty S. \quad (106)$$

Using (67) we also have

$$\begin{aligned} (P_\infty^h)^{-1} &= P_\infty^{-1} + Q_\infty = 2(P_\infty^{-1} + R^{-1}A) = 2R^{-1}(A^2 + RS)^{1/2} = -2R^{-1}(A - P_\infty S) \\ \implies \det(P_\infty^{-1} + Q_\infty) &= 2^r \sqrt{|\det(A^2 + RS)|} / \det(R). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$RQ_\infty = P_\infty S = RP_\infty^{-1} + 2A = A + (A^2 + RS)^{1/2}.$$

Whenever $S > 0$, up to a change of basis, there is no loss of generality to assume that $S = I$. More precisely the matrices $\bar{P}_t := S^{1/2}P_t S^{1/2}$ satisfy the same Riccati equation as P_t when we replace (A, R, S) by the matrices

$$(\bar{A}, \bar{R}, \bar{S}) := (S^{1/2}AS^{-1/2}, S^{1/2}RS^{1/2}, I). \quad (107)$$

9.2 Chebychev-Hermite polynomials

Before moving on to the proofs of the spectral theorems, we start with a brief review on multivariate Chebychev-Hermite polynomials.

The generating function for the family of multivariate Chebychev-Hermite polynomials $\mathbb{H}_n^r(x)$ indexed by $n \in \mathbb{N}^r$ is defined for any $u, x \in \mathbb{R}^r$ as the convergent series expansion

$$\mathbb{S}_u^r(x) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^r} \frac{u^n}{n!} \mathbb{H}_n^r(x) = \exp\left(u'x - \frac{1}{2} u'u\right)$$

with the multiple index notation

$$n = (n_1, \dots, n_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r \quad u = (u_1, \dots, u_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r \implies u^n := u_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times u_r^{n_r}.$$

Recall that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \mathbb{H}_n^r$ forms an orthonormal basis of the Hilbert space $\mathbb{L}_2(\nu)$, where $\nu = \mathcal{N}(0, I)$ stands for the centered Gaussian measure on \mathbb{R}^r with unit covariance. Observe that (100) implies

$$\begin{aligned} (A - RQ_\infty) &= -(A^2 + RS)^{1/2} = -\frac{1}{2} R(P_\infty^h)^{-1} \\ \implies \Lambda^h &= (P_\infty^h)^{-1/2} (A - RQ_\infty) (P_\infty^h)^{1/2} = -\frac{1}{2} (P_\infty^h)^{-1/2} R (P_\infty^h)^{-1/2} < 0. \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

In addition, we have

$$\text{Spec}(\Lambda^h) = \left\{ -|\lambda|^{1/2} : \lambda \in \text{Spec}(A^2 + RS) \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}_-. \quad (109)$$

Definition 9.2. We denote by z_i an orthonormal eigenvector of the matrix Λ^h associated with an eigenvalue $\lambda_i(\Lambda^h) := -\lambda_i^h < 0$, with $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, and we set

$$\mathcal{Z} := (z_1, \dots, z_r) \implies \mathcal{Z}'\mathcal{Z} = I \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}_t^h(Q_\infty) := \exp\left(\Lambda^h t\right).$$

Lemma 9.3. For any $t \geq 0$ we have

$$I - (P_\infty^h)^{-1/2} P_t^h (P_\infty^h)^{-1/2} = \mathcal{E}_t^h(Q_\infty)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}_t^h(Q_\infty)' = \mathcal{E}_t^h(Q_\infty).$$

Proof. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} AR = RA' &\implies R^{-1}(A - RQ_\infty) = (A - RQ_\infty)' R^{-1} \\ &\implies \forall n \geq 1 \quad R^{-1}(A - RQ_\infty)^n R = ((A - RQ_\infty)')^n. \end{aligned}$$

This yields the formula

$$P_t^h = P_\infty^h \left(I - R^{-1} e^{2(A-RQ_\infty)t} R \right) = P_\infty^h \left(I - e^{2(A-RQ_\infty)'t} \right)$$

and therefore

$$P_t^h = \left(I - e^{2(A-RQ_\infty)t} \right) P_\infty^h.$$

This implies that

$$(P_\infty^h)^{-1/2} P_t^h (P_\infty^h)^{-1/2} = I - (P_\infty^h)^{-1/2} e^{2(A-RQ_\infty)t} (P_\infty^h)^{1/2}.$$

By (108), we have the commutative property

$$(P_\infty^h)^{-1/2}(A - RQ_\infty)(P_\infty^h)^{1/2} = (P_\infty^h)^{1/2}(A - RQ_\infty)'(P_\infty^h)^{-1/2}, \quad (110)$$

which implies that

$$P_\infty^h e^{t(A-RQ_\infty)'} = e^{t(A-RQ_\infty)} P_\infty^h \iff e^{t(A-RQ_\infty)} = P_\infty^h e^{t(A-RQ_\infty)'} (P_\infty^h)^{-1}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (P_\infty^h)^{-1/2} e^{2(A-RQ_\infty)t} (P_\infty^h)^{1/2} \\ &= \left((P_\infty^h)^{-1/2} e^{(A-RQ_\infty)t} (P_\infty^h)^{1/2} \right) \left((P_\infty^h)^{1/2} e^{t(A-RQ_\infty)'} (P_\infty^h)^{-1/2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and can conclude that

$$\mathcal{E}_t^h(Q_\infty)^2 = \mathcal{E}_t^h(Q_\infty) \mathcal{E}_t^h(Q_\infty)'. \quad \blacksquare$$

Lemma 9.4. *For any $u, x \in \mathbb{R}^r$ and any $t \geq 0$ we have*

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{S}_u^r \left((P_\infty^h)^{-1/2} X_t^h(x) \right) \right) = \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{E}_t^h(Q_\infty)u}^r \left((P_\infty^h)^{-1/2} x \right). \quad (111)$$

Proof. Using the decomposition

$$(P_\infty^h)^{-1/2} X_t^h(x) \stackrel{law}{=} (P_\infty^h)^{-1/2} \widehat{X}_t^h(x) + (P_\infty^h)^{-1/2} (P_t^h)^{1/2} W_1,$$

where $W_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \log \mathbb{E} \left(\exp \left(u' (P_\infty^h)^{-1/2} X_t^h(x) - \frac{1}{2} u' u \right) \right) \\ &= u' (P_\infty^h)^{-1/2} e^{(A-RQ_\infty)t} x - \frac{1}{2} u' \left(I - (P_\infty^h)^{-1/2} P_t^h (P_\infty^h)^{-1/2} \right) u \\ &= u' (P_\infty^h)^{-1/2} e^{(A-RQ_\infty)t} (P_\infty^h)^{1/2} (P_\infty^h)^{-1/2} x - \frac{1}{2} u' (P_\infty^h)^{-1/2} e^{2(A-RQ_\infty)t} (P_\infty^h)^{1/2} u. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \log \mathbb{E} \left(\exp \left(u' (P_\infty^h)^{-1/2} X_t^h(x) - \frac{1}{2} u' u \right) \right) \\ &= \left(\mathcal{E}_t^h(Q_\infty) u \right)' (P_\infty^h)^{-1/2} x - \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathcal{E}_t^h(Q_\infty) u \right)' \left(\mathcal{E}_t^h(Q_\infty) u \right), \end{aligned} \quad (112)$$

from which the result now follows. \blacksquare

9.3 Proofs of the spectral theorems

We are now in position to prove the spectral theorems, Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.7.

9.3.1 Proof of Theorem 3.5

It suffices to show that

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{S}_u^r \left(\mathcal{Z}' (P_\infty^h)^{-1/2} X_t^h(x) \right) \right) = \mathbb{S}_{e^{t\bar{\Lambda}^h} u}^r \left(\mathcal{Z}' (P_\infty^h)^{-1/2} x \right),$$

where \mathcal{Z} was defined in Definition 9.2 and $\bar{\Lambda}^h := \mathcal{Z}' \Lambda^h \mathcal{Z} = \text{Diag}(-\lambda_1^h, \dots, -\lambda_r^h)$.

To this end, observe that for any $x, u \in \mathbb{R}^r$, we have

$$\mathbb{S}_u^r(\mathcal{Z}' x) = \exp \left(u' \mathcal{Z}' x - \frac{1}{2} u' \mathcal{Z}' \mathcal{Z} u \right) = \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{Z} u}^r(x).$$

Also note that

$$\mathcal{Z}' e^{t\Lambda^h} \mathcal{Z} = e^{t\bar{\Lambda}^h} \implies \mathcal{E}_t(Q_\infty) \mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z} e^{t\bar{\Lambda}^h}.$$

Thus, combining these observations with Lemma 9.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{S}_u^r \left(\mathcal{Z}' (P_\infty^h)^{-1/2} X_t^h(x) \right) \right) &= \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{Z} u}^r \left((P_\infty^h)^{-1/2} X_t^h(x) \right) \right) \\ &= \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{E}_t^h(Q_\infty) \mathcal{Z} u}^r \left((P_\infty^h)^{-1/2} x \right) \\ &= \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{Z} e^{t\bar{\Lambda}^h} u}^r \left((P_\infty^h)^{-1/2} x \right) \\ &= \mathbb{S}_{e^{t\bar{\Lambda}^h} u}^r \left(\mathcal{Z}' (P_\infty^h)^{-1/2} x \right). \end{aligned}$$

■

9.3.2 Proof of Corollary 3.6

We first prove the estimate (55). Using the decomposition from Theorem 3.5, it is straightforward to show that for any function $f \in \mathbb{L}_2(\eta_\infty^h)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{K}_t^h(f) - \eta_\infty^h(f)\|_{2, \eta_\infty^h}^2 &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^r - \{(0)\}} e^{-2\lambda_n^h t} \eta_\infty^h(f \varphi_n^h)^2 \\ &\leq e^{-2\lambda_1^h t} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^r - \{(0)\}} \eta_\infty^h(f \varphi_n^h)^2 \\ &= e^{-2\lambda_1^h t} \|f - \eta_\infty^h(f)\|_{2, \eta_\infty^h}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now let us prove that this is equivalent to (56). For small values of the time parameter $t < (2\lambda_1^h)^{-1}$ an elementary second order Taylor expansion of the exponential function yields

$$e^{-2\lambda_1^h t} \|f - \eta_\infty^h(f)\|_{2, \eta_\infty^h}^2 = \left(1 - 2\lambda_1^h t\right) \|f - \eta_\infty^h(f)\|_{2, \eta_\infty^h}^2 + o(t).$$

In the same vein, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\mathcal{K}_t^h(f) - \eta_\infty^h(f)\|_{2, \eta_\infty^h}^2 \\
&= \|f - \eta_\infty^h(f)\|_{2, \eta_\infty^h}^2 + t \eta_\infty^h \left(\frac{1}{t} (\mathcal{K}_t^h(f) - f) (\mathcal{K}_t^h(f) + f) \right) \\
&= \|f - \eta_\infty^h(f)\|_{2, \eta_\infty^h}^2 - 2t E_h(f, f) + o(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Using (55) we obtain the Poincaré inequality (56).

On the other hand, suppose (56) holds. The Markov transitions \mathcal{K}_t^h satisfy the Chapman-Kolmogorov evolution equation given in weak form by the formulae

$$\partial_t \mathcal{K}_t^h = \mathcal{K}_t^h \mathcal{L}^h = \mathcal{L}^h \mathcal{K}_t^h. \quad (113)$$

This yields the Dirichlet form equation

$$\partial_t \eta_\infty^h(f \mathcal{K}_t^h(g))|_{t=0} = -E_h(f, g) := \eta_\infty^h(f \mathcal{L}^h(g)),$$

and hence

$$\partial_t \|\mathcal{K}_t^h(f) - \eta_\infty^h(f)\|_{2, \eta_\infty^h}^2 = -2 E_h(\mathcal{K}_t^h(f), \mathcal{K}_t^h(f)). \quad (114)$$

Combining this with (56) yields (55). ■

9.3.3 Proof of Theorem 3.7

Recall that \mathcal{K}_t^h and \mathcal{K}_t are connected via

$$e^{\lambda_0 t} \mathcal{K}_t = \Upsilon_h \circ \mathcal{K}_t \circ \Upsilon_h,$$

where the isometry Υ_h was defined in (25). Using this and the formula $\eta_\infty^h = \mathbb{B}_{h_0^2}(v)$, it is straightforward to show that

$$\mathcal{K}_t(x, \delta y) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^r} e^{-\lambda_n t} \varphi_n(x) \varphi_n(y) v(dy),$$

where

$$\varphi_n(x) = \Upsilon_h(\varphi_n^h) = \frac{h_0(x)}{\sqrt{v(h_0^2)}} \varphi_n^h(x) = \frac{h_0(x) \varphi_n^h(x)}{\sqrt{v(h_0) \eta_\infty(h_0)}} \implies \varphi_0(x) = \frac{h_0(x)}{\sqrt{v(h_0^2)}}$$

and $\lambda_n = \lambda_0 + \lambda_n^h$. To complete the proof, note that from the definitions of η_∞ , h_0 and v given in (22), (8) and (40), respectively, we observe that

$$\eta_\infty(h_0) = (\det(I + P_\infty Q_\infty))^{-1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad v(h_0) = (\det(2\pi P_\infty))^{1/2}.$$

This yields the formulae

$$v(h_0) \eta_\infty(h_0) = (2\pi)^{r/2} (\det(P_\infty^{-1} + Q_\infty))^{-1/2},$$

which ends the proof of the theorem. ■

9.3.4 Proof of Corollary 3.8

We have the decomposition

$$e^{\lambda_0 t} \mathcal{K}_t(f)(x) - \frac{h_0(x)}{\eta_\infty(h_0)} \eta_\infty(f) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^r - \{0\}} e^{-\lambda_n^h t} \varphi_n(x) v(\varphi_n f). \quad (115)$$

The proof of (59) is now a direct consequence of the formulae $\eta_\infty = \mathbb{B}_{h_0}(v)$ and

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^r - \{0\}} v(f \varphi_n)^2 = \|f\|_{2,v}^2 - v(\varphi_0 f)^2 = v(f^2) - \left(v \left(\frac{h_0 f}{\sqrt{v(h_0^2)}} \right) \right)^2.$$

■

10 Appendix

Here we provide the proofs of the assertions (45)-(48) stated in section 2.5. Thus we assume that the matrices (A, R, S) satisfy the rank condition (3) and that we have $R > 0$ and $AR = RA'$. We prove the four assertions in the order they are stated.

By the density transport formula (43) we have

$$\eta_0^h(dx) = f_0(x) \eta_\infty^h(dx) \implies \partial_t \text{Ent} \left(\eta_t^h \mid \eta_\infty^h \right) = \int (1 + \log \mathcal{K}_t^h(f_0)) \mathcal{L}^h(\mathcal{K}_t^h(f_0)) d\eta_\infty^h.$$

Applying the integration by parts formula (44) to $g = 1 + \log \mathcal{K}_t^h(f_0)$ we find the de Bruijn identity (45)

$$\partial_t \text{Ent} \left(\eta_t^h \mid \eta_\infty^h \right) = -\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\|\nabla \mathcal{K}_t^h(f_0)\|^2}{\mathcal{K}_t^h(f_0)} d\eta_\infty^h := -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{J} \left(\eta_t^h \mid \eta_\infty^h \right).$$

Next, observe that using (38) we obtain

$$\nabla \widehat{X}_t^h(x) = \exp((A - RQ_\infty)'t).$$

Also note that

$$\nabla \mathcal{K}_t^h(f_0)(x) = \nabla \mathbb{E}(f_0(\widehat{X}_t^h(x))) = \mathbb{E}(\nabla \widehat{X}_t^h(x) (\nabla f_0)(\widehat{X}_t^h(x))).$$

This yields the commutative property

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \mathcal{K}_t^h(f_0) &= e^{(A-RQ_\infty)'t} \mathcal{K}_t^h(\nabla f_0) \\ \implies \mathcal{J} \left(\eta_t^h \mid \eta_\infty^h \right) &= \int \frac{\mathcal{K}_t^h(\nabla f_0)(x)'}{\sqrt{\mathcal{K}_t^h(f_0)(x)}} e^{(A-RQ_\infty)t} e^{(A-RQ_\infty)'t} \frac{\mathcal{K}_t^h(\nabla f_0)(x)}{\sqrt{\mathcal{K}_t^h(f_0)(x)}} \eta_\infty^h(dx). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Cauchy Schwartz inequality we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J} \left(\eta_t^h \mid \eta_\infty^h \right) &\leq \|e^{(A-RQ_\infty)t}\|^2 \int \eta_\infty^h(dx) \frac{\|\mathcal{K}_t^h(\sqrt{f_0}(\nabla f_0/\sqrt{f_0}))(x)\|^2}{\mathcal{K}_t^h(f_0)(x)} \\
&\leq \|e^{(A-RQ_\infty)t}\|^2 \int \eta_\infty^h(dx) \mathcal{K}_t^h(\|\nabla f_0\|^2/f_0)(x) \\
&= \|e^{(A-RQ_\infty)t}\|^2 \eta_\infty^h(\|\nabla f_0\|^2/f_0).
\end{aligned}$$

This yields the Fisher information exponential decays (46)

$$\mathcal{J} \left(\eta_t^h \mid \eta_\infty^h \right) \leq \|\exp((A - RQ_\infty)t)\|^2 \mathcal{J} \left(\eta_0^h \mid \eta_\infty^h \right) \longrightarrow_{t \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Applying the de Bruijn identity we have

$$\text{Ent} \left(\eta_0^h \mid \eta_\infty^h \right) = - \int_0^\infty \partial_s \text{Ent} \left(\eta_s^h \mid \eta_\infty^h \right) ds = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \mathcal{J} \left(\eta_s^h \mid \eta_\infty^h \right) ds,$$

which yields the log-Sobolev inequality (47)

$$\text{Ent} \left(\eta_0^h \mid \eta_\infty^h \right) \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \|\exp((A - RQ_\infty)s)\|^2 ds \right) \mathcal{J} \left(\eta_0^h \mid \eta_\infty^h \right).$$

Finally, applying the Log-Sobolev inequality to η_t^h , the de Bruijn identity now yields the free energy exponential decays (48)

$$\partial_t \text{Ent} \left(\eta_t^h \mid \eta_\infty^h \right) = -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{J} \left(\eta_t^h \mid \eta_\infty^h \right) \leq - \left(\int_0^\infty \|e^{(A-RQ_\infty)s}\|^2 ds \right)^{-1} \text{Ent} \left(\eta_t^h \mid \eta_\infty^h \right).$$

References

- [1] H. Abou-Kandil, G. Freiling, V. Ionescu, and G. Jank. Matrix Riccati Equations in Control and Systems Theory. Birkhauser Verlag (2003).
- [2] B.D.O. Anderson and J.B. Moore. Linear Optimal Control. Prentice-Hall (1971).
- [3] V. Aguiar, I. Guedes. Fisher information of quantum damped harmonic oscillators. *Physica Scripta* 90.4, 045207 (2015).
- [4] T. Ando and J. L. van Hemmen. An inequality for trace ideals. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, vol. 76, pp. 143–148 (1980).
- [5] H.F. Baker. Note on the integration of linear differential equations. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*. vol. 2, no. 1. pp. 293–296 (1905).
- [6] H. Bateman. Higher transcendental functions, vol I-III, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1953.
- [7] H. Bateman. Partial Differential Equations of Mathematical Physics. Dover Publications, New York (1944).

- [8] C. H. Bennett and S. J. Wiesner, Communication via one- and two-particle operators on Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen states *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 69 2881 (1992).
- [9] R. Bhatia. Positive definite matrices. Princeton university press (2009).
- [10] B. D. O. Anderson, A. N. Bishop, P. Del Moral, C. Palmier. Backward nonlinear smoothing diffusions, *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen.*, Volume 66, Issue 2, Pages 305–326 (2021).
- [11] S. S. Barsov and V. V. Ul'yanov. Estimates of the proximity of Gaussian measures. *Sov. Math., Dokl.*, vol. 34, pp. 462–466 (1987).
- [12] P. Benner and R. Byers, An exact line search method for solving generalized continuous-time algebraic Riccati equations, *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, vol. 43, pp. 101–107 (1998).
- [13] P. Benner, J.R. Li, T. Penzl. Numerical solution of large Lyapunov equations, Riccati equations, and linear-quadratic control problems, *Numer. Lin. Alg. Appl.*, vol. 15, pp. 755–777 (2008).
- [14] A.N. Bishop and P. Del Moral. On the stability of Kalman-Bucy diffusion processes. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*. vol. 55, no. 6. pp 4015–4047 (2017); arxiv e-print [arXiv:1610.04686](https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.04686).
- [15] A.N. Bishop and P. Del Moral. On the Stability of Matrix-Valued Riccati Diffusions. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, vol. 55, no. 6, pp. 4015–4047 (2017).
- [16] A.N. Bishop, P. Del Moral, K. Kamatani, R. Rémillard. On one-dimensional Riccati diffusions. arXiv e-print, [arXiv:1711.10065](https://arxiv.org/abs/1711.10065) (2017).
- [17] A.N. Bishop and P. Del Moral. An explicit Floquet-type representation of Riccati aperiodic exponential semigroups. *International Journal of Control*, vol. 94, no.1, pp. 258–266 (2021).
- [18] S. Bittanti, A.J. Laub and J.C. Willems (Editors). *The Riccati Equation*. Springer-Verlag (1991).
- [19] J.P. Bouchaud. Quantum mechanics with a nonzero quantum correlation time. *Physical Review A* 96.5, 052116 (2017).
- [20] S. Blanes, F. Casas, J.A. Oteo, J. Ros. The Magnus expansion and some of its applications. *Physics Reports*. vol. 470, no. 5-6. pp. 151–238 (2009).
- [21] R.W. Brockett. *Finite Dimensional Linear Systems*. Wiley, New York (1970).
- [22] R.S. Bucy. Global Theory of the Riccati Equation. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*. vol. 1. pp. 349–361 (1967).
- [23] M. Caffarel, R. Assaraf. A pedagogical introduction to quantum Monte Carlo. In *Mathematical models and methods for ab initio Quantum Chemistry in Lecture Notes in Chemistry*, eds. M. Defranceschi and C. Le Bris, Springer p.45 (2000).

- [24] E. Cancès, B. Jourdain, T. Lelièvre. Quantum Monte Carlo simulations of fermions. A mathematical analysis of the fixed-node approximation, *Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences*, 16(9), 1403-1440, (2006).
- [25] N. Champagnat, D. Villemonais. Exponential convergence to quasi-stationary distribution and h -process. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, vol.164, no.1-2, pp. 243–283 (2016).
- [26] N. Champagnat, D. Villemonais. General criteria for the study of quasi-stationarity. ArXiv preprint arXiv:1712.08092 (2017).
- [27] N. Champagnat, D. Villemonais. Lyapunov criteria for uniform convergence of conditional distributions of absorbed Markov processes. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, vol. 135, pp. 51-74 (2021).
- [28] N. Champagnat, D. Villemonais. Uniform convergence to the Q -process. *Electronic Communications in Probability* 22 (2017).
- [29] C. Cook Horne. Analyzing the Dynamics of Coupled Quantum Harmonic Oscillators. Diss. Brigham Young University, 2017.
- [30] W.A. Coppel. *Dichotomies in Stability Theory*. Springer (1978).
- [31] R. Cordero-Soto, E. Suazo, S.K. Suslov. Models of damped oscillators in quantum mechanics. *Journal of Physical Mathematics*, 1 (2009).
- [32] R. Cordero-Soto, E. Suazo, S.K. Suslov. Quantum integrals of motion for variable quadratic Hamiltonians. *Annals of Physics*, vol. 325, no. 9, pp. 1884–1912 (2010).
- [33] A.L. Davidova, S. Bordely, Z. Neda. Collective behavior of coupled quantum mechanical oscillators. *Studia Universitatis Babeş-Bolyai, Physica* 60, no. 1 (2015).
- [34] A. S. Davydov, *Quantum Mechanics*, Pergamon Press, Oxford and New York (1965).
- [35] H. Dekker. Classical and quantum mechanics of the damped harmonic oscillator. *Physics Reports* vol. 80, no. 1, pp. 1–110 (1981).
- [36] P. Del Moral. *Feynman-Kac formulae*. Springer, New York, NY (2004).
- [37] P. Del Moral, L. Miclo. A Moran particle system approximation of Feynman-Kac formulae. *Stochastic processes and their applications*, 86(2), pp. 193–216 (2000).
- [38] P. Del Moral. *Mean field simulation for Monte Carlo integration*. CRC press (2013).
- [39] P. Del Moral and L. Miclo. **Branching and interacting particle systems** approximations of Feynman-Kac formulae with applications to non-linear filtering. In *Séminaire de Probabilités, XXXIV*, volume 1729, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 1–145. Springer, Berlin (2000).
- [40] P. Del Moral, S. Penev. *Stochastic Processes: From Applications to Theory*. CRC Press, (2017).

- [41] P. Del Moral, L. Miclo. Particle approximations of Lyapunov exponents connected to Schrödinger operators and Feynman-Kac semigroups. *ESAIM: Probability and Statistics*, no. 7, pp. 171–208 (2003).
- [42] P. Del Moral, L. Miclo. On the stability of nonlinear Feynman-Kac semigroups. *Annales de la Faculté des sciences de Toulouse: Mathématiques*. Vol. 11. No. 2 (2002).
- [43] P. Del Moral, J. Tugaut. On the stability and the uniform propagation of chaos properties of ensemble Kalman-Bucy filters. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 790–850 (2018).
- [44] P. Del Moral, A. Kurtzmann, J. Tugaut. On the stability and the uniform propagation of chaos of a class of extended ensemble Kalman–Bucy filters. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, vol. 55, no. 1, pp. 119–155 (2017).
- [45] M. Delor et al. Directing the path of light-induced electron transfer at a molecular fork using vibrational excitation *Nature Chemistry* in the press (doi:10.1038/nchem.2793) (2017).
- [46] M. Dimassi and J. Sjöstrand, *Spectral Asymptotics in the Semi-Classical Limit*, Cambridge U Press (1999).
- [47] A. K. Ekert, Quantum cryptography based on Bell’s theorem *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 67 661 (1991).
- [48] U. Fano. Description of States in Quantum Mechanics by Density Matrix and Operator Techniques *Rev. Mod. Phys* 29, 7493 (1957).
- [49] G. Evensen. The Ensemble Kalman Filter: theoretical formulation and practical implementation. *Ocean Dynamics*. vol. 53, no. 4. pp. 343–367 (2003).
- [50] F. Feitzinger, T. Hylla, and E. W. Sachs, Inexact Kleinman-Newton method for Riccati equations, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, vol. 31, pp. 272–288 (2009).
- [51] R.A. Frazer, W.J. Duncan and A.R. Collar. *Elementary Matrices and Some Applications to Dynamics and Differential Equations*. Cambridge University Press (1938).
- [52] S. Flugge, *Practical Quantum Mechanics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1999).
- [53] M. Gelbrich. On a formula for the L2 Wasserstein metric between measures on Euclidean and Hilbert spaces. *Mathematische Nachrichten*, vol. 147, no. 1, pp. 185–203 (1990).
- [54] A. Grigis and J. Sjöstrand, *Microlocal Analysis for Differential Operators, An Introduction*. Cambridge University Press (1994).
- [55] C.H. Guo, A. J. Laub, On a Newton-like method for solving algebraic Riccati equations, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, vol. 21, pp. 694–698 (1999).
- [56] N. J. Higham. *Functions of Matrices : Theory and Computation*, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA (2008).

- [57] J.B. Hiriart-Urruty and J. Malick A Fresh Variational-Analysis Look at the Positive Semidefinite Matrices World. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* no. 153, pp.551–577 (2012) .
- [58] E.L. Ince. *Ordinary Differential Equations*. Dover, New York (1956).
- [59] M.E.H. Ismail, Z. Ruiming. A review of multivariate orthogonal polynomials. *Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society*, vol. 25, no. 2 pp. 91–110 (2017).
- [60] S. Ikeda, F. Fillaux, Incoherent elastic-neutron-scattering study of the vibrational dynamics and spin-related symmetry of protons in the KHCO₃ crystal *Phys. Rev. B* 59 41344145 (1999).
- [61] V. Ivrii. *Microlocal analysis and precise spectral asymptotics*. Springer Science & Business Media (2013).
- [62] C. T. Kelley, *Iterative Methods for Linear and Nonlinear Equations*, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia (1995).
- [63] D. L. Kleinman, On an iterative technique for Riccati equation computations, *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 114–115 (1968).
- [64] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz. *Mechanics*. Pergamon Press, Oxford (1976).
- [65] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics: Nonrelativistic Theory*, Pergamon Press, Oxford (1977).
- [66] P. Lancaster and L. Rodman. *Algebraic Riccati Equations*. Oxford University Press (1995).
- [67] T. Lelièvre, M. Rousset and G. Stoltz. *Free energy computations: A mathematical perspective*. Imperial College Press (2010).
- [68] T. Lelièvre, M. Rousset and G. Stoltz. Computation of free energy differences through non-equilibrium stochastic dynamics: the reaction coordinate case, *Journal of Computational Physics*, 222(2), 624-643, (2007).
- [69] D.N. Makarov. Coupled harmonic oscillators and their quantum entanglement. *Physical Review E* 97.4, 042203 (2018).
- [70] A.Martinez, *An Introduction to Semiclassical and Microlocal Analysis*, Springer (2002).
- [71] R.M. McDermott, I. H. Redmount. Coupled classical and quantum oscillators. ArXiv preprint quant-ph/0403184 (2004).
- [72] W. Magnus. On the exponential solution of differential equations for a linear operator. *Comm. Pure and Appl. Math.* VII (4): 649–673 (1954).
- [73] D. Park. Dynamics of entanglement in three coupled harmonic oscillator system with arbitrary time-dependent frequency and coupling constants. *Quantum Information Processing*, vol. 18, no.9, pp. 1–23 (2019).

- [74] J.P. Paz, A. J. Roncaglia, Dynamics of the entanglement between two oscillators in the same environment. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 100 220401 (2008).
- [75] G. Peano. Intégration par séries des équations différentielles linéaires. *Mathematische Annalen.* vol. 32, no. 3. pp. 450–456 (1888).
- [76] A. Prach, O. Tekinalp, and D.S Bernstein. Infinite-horizon linear-quadratic control by forward propagation of the differential Riccati equation. *IEEE Control Systems.* vol. 35, no. 2. pp. 78–93 (2015).
- [77] A. Prach. Faux Riccati equation techniques for feedback control of nonlinear and time-varying systems. PhD. Thesis. School of Natural and Applied Sciences. Aerospace Engineering. Middle East Technical University. May 2015.
- [78] W.T. Reid. *Riccati Differential Equations.* Academic Press, New York (1972).
- [79] E. Romero et al. Quantum coherence in photosynthesis for efficient solar-energy conversion *Nature Physics* 10 676-682 (2014).
- [80] M. Rousset. On the control of an interacting particle approximation of Schrödinger ground states. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 824–844 (2006).
- [81] M. Rousset and G. Stoltz. Equilibrium sampling from non equilibrium dynamics. *J. Stat. Phys.*, vol. 123, no. 6, pp. 1251–1272 (2006).
- [82] A. Halpin et al. Two-dimensional spectroscopy of a molecular dimer unveils the effects of vibronic coupling on exciton coherences *Nature Chemistry* 6 196201 (2014).
- [83] C.E. Rasmussen, C.K.I. Williams. *Gaussian Processes for Machine Learning.* Adaptive Computation and Machine Learning. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA (2006).
- [84] L. Samuel, H. Braunstein, J. Kimble, Teleportation of Continuous Quantum Variables. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 80 869-872 (1998).
- [85] L. I. Schiff, *Quantum Mechanics*, third edition, McGraw-Hill, New York (1968).
- [86] J. Sjöstrand. *Microlocal analysis for the periodic magnetic Schrödinger equation and related questions.* Microlocal analysis and applications. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 237–332 (1991).
- [87] Y. Tao, P. G. Mehta, S. P. Meyn. Feedback particle filter. *IEEE transactions on Automatic control*, vol. 58, no.10, pp. 2465–2480 (2013).
- [88] Y. Tao, R.S. Laugesen, P.G. Mehta, S.P. Meyn. Multivariable feedback particle filter. *Automatica*, vol. 71, pp. 10–23 (2016).
- [89] A. Taghvaei, P.G. Mehta. Gain function approximation in the feedback particle filter. In 2016 IEEE 55th Conference on Decision and Control (CDC) (pp. 5446–5452). IEEE (2016).
- [90] A. Taghvaei, P.G. Mehta. An optimal transport formulation of the linear feedback particle filter. 2016 American Control Conference (ACC). IEEE (2016).

- [91] Y. Tao, H.A.P Blom, P.G. Mehta. The continuous-discrete time feedback particle filter. American Control Conference. IEEE (2014).
- [92] R. van der Spek. From the Quantum Harmonic Oscillator to the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Process and Back. Thesis TU Delft, Applied Mathematics and Applied Physics (2019).
- [93] Y. Zhang, W. Liu, Z. Chen, K. Li, J. Wang.. On the Properties of Kullback-Leibler Divergence Between Gaussians. arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.05485 (2021).
- [94] M. Zworski, Semiclassical Analysis, AMS (2012).