

# Frozen inference constraints for type-directed disambiguation

Olivier Martinot, Gabriel Scherer

Partout, Inria Saclay, France

August 21, 2021



## Type-directed disambiguation

Many language support type-directed disambiguation of names.  
How to combine this with type inference?

## Type-directed disambiguation

Many language support type-directed disambiguation of names.  
How to combine this with type inference?

*Type classes* (qualified types):

nice inference through *constraint abstraction*  
excellent approach for operator overloading.

## Type-directed disambiguation outside qualified types

A feature where type classes are not enough:  
*data constructor* disambiguation.

```
f (K t)
match t with K x -> u
```

## Type-directed disambiguation outside qualified types

A feature where type classes are not enough:  
*data constructor* disambiguation.

```
f (K t)
match t with K x -> u
```

- 1 We do not want to *abstract* over  $K$ .
- 2 The type of  $K$  may not be expressible as a class argument (existentials, etc.; data constructors are not functions.)
- 3 Different constructors  $K$  may have vastly different typing rules.

## Constructor disambiguation and type inference

```
f (K t)
  match t with K x -> u
```

Need program types to disambiguate  $K$ .

Need the type of  $K$  to infer program types.

HM type inference:

propagation by unification (within generalization boundaries).

Bidirectional type inference (commonly used for disambiguation):

leafward propagation from annotations (robust)

+ some lateral propagation (fragile):  $t \ u$

This Work In Progress explores unification-based type disambiguation  
*frozen constraints*.

## Constraint-based type inference: a primer

implicitly-typed  $t$   $\xRightarrow{\text{generate}}$  constraint  $C$   $\xRightarrow{\text{solve}}$  explicitly-typed  $t'$

Constraint for application  $t u$  with return type variable  $\alpha$ :

$$\llbracket t u \rrbracket_{\alpha} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \exists \beta_t. \exists \gamma_u. ((\beta_t = \gamma_u \rightarrow \alpha) \wedge \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\beta_t} \wedge \llbracket u \rrbracket_{\gamma_u})$$

## Frozen constraints

$\langle \alpha \rangle f$

$\alpha$ : type inference variable

$f$ : function from partial types to constraints

waits on a *type unification variable*  $\alpha$ :

when  $\alpha$  becomes (partly) defined as  $\tau$ ,  
the constraint  $f(\tau)$  must be solved.

Constructor constraint (non-GADT case):

$$\llbracket K \ t \rrbracket_{\alpha} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \exists \beta_t. (\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\beta_t} \wedge \langle \alpha \rangle (\lambda \tau. \beta_t = \text{arg\_type}(\tau, K)))$$

Principled (and principal) inference with type-disambiguation.  
(Maybe too restrictive?)

Difficult to combine with generalization!

## Practical difficulty: generalization (1/2)

If  $\langle \alpha \rangle f$  remains unsolved “at the end”, type inference fails.

But when is the end?

## Practical difficulty: generalization (1/2)

If  $\langle \alpha \rangle f$  remains unsolved “at the end”, type inference fails.

But when is the end?

How does  $\langle \alpha \rangle f$  interact with `let`-generalization?

## Practical difficulty: generalization (2/2)

Generalization: which inference variables  $\alpha$  are *local* and can be generalized into polymorphic variables?

## Practical difficulty: generalization (2/2)

Generalization: which inference variables  $\alpha$  are *local* and and can be generalized into polymorphic variables?

*Frozen generalization* of  $\tau$ :

if a variable  $\beta$  of  $\tau$  is “blocked” by a frozen constraint, it must be tracked during instantiation and possibly generalized later.

Partially-frozen schemas:

- On generalization: store  $\beta$  as a blocked schema variable.
- On instantiation: track the instance of the partially-frozen schema.
- When  $\beta$  gets unblocked: continue generalization, update tracked instances.

Delicate to implement. Difficult to implement efficiently.

## Theoretical difficulty: semantics (1/3)

Constraints are given meaning by a *solution relation*  $V \Vdash C$ .

A good constraint generator has correct solutions.

A good constraint solver (big-step function or small-step rewrites) preserves solutions.

$$\frac{\tau[V] =_{\text{ty}} \tau'[V]}{V \Vdash \tau = \tau'}$$

$$\frac{V \Vdash C[T/\alpha]}{(T, V) \Vdash \exists \alpha. C}$$

How to specify frozen constraints?

## Theoretical difficulty: semantics (2/3)

Natural approach:

$$\frac{V \Vdash f(\alpha[V])}{V \Vdash \langle \alpha \rangle f}$$

This specification allows “out of thin air” behaviors.

$$[\alpha \mapsto \text{int}] \Vdash \langle \alpha \rangle (\lambda \tau. \alpha = \text{int})$$

Our solver does not: the specification is not precise enough.

## Theoretical difficulty: semantics (3/3)

We want to express that  $\alpha[V]$  is determined “without looking inside  $f$ ” .  
How can we do this?

Morally:

$$\frac{C[T] \text{ determines } \alpha \quad V \Vdash C[f(\alpha[V])]}{V \Vdash C[\langle \alpha \rangle f]}$$

## Summary

Frozen constraints: interesting but difficult constraint combinator.

Work in progress.

Thanks! Questions?