

Choosability of bipartite graphs with maximum degree *Delta*

Stéphane Bessy, Frédéric Havet, Jérôme Palaysi

► **To cite this version:**

Stéphane Bessy, Frédéric Havet, Jérôme Palaysi. Choosability of bipartite graphs with maximum degree *Delta*. RR-4522, INRIA. 2002. inria-00072066

HAL Id: inria-00072066

<https://hal.inria.fr/inria-00072066>

Submitted on 23 May 2006

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

***Choosability of bipartite graphs with maximum
degree Δ***

Stéphane Bessy — Frédéric Havet — Jérôme Palaysi

N° 4522

Juillet 2002

THÈME 1



*R*apport
de recherche

Choosability of bipartite graphs with maximum degree Δ

Stéphane Bessy , Frédéric Havet , Jérôme Palaysi

Thème 1 — Réseaux et systèmes
Projet Mascotte

Rapport de recherche n° 4522 — Juillet 2002 — 15 pages

Abstract: Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a graph. A *list assignment* is an assignment of a set $L(v)$ of integers to every vertex v of G . An L -colouring is an application C from $V(G)$ into the set of integers such that $C(v) \in L(v)$ for all $v \in V(G)$ and $C(u) \neq C(v)$ if u and v are joined by an edge. A (k, k') -*list assignment* of a bipartite graph G with bipartition (A, B) is a list assignment L such that $|L(v)| = k$ if $v \in A$ and $|L(v)| = k'$ if $v \in B$. A bipartite graph is (k, k') -*choosable* if it admits an L -colouring for every (k, k') -list assignment L . In this paper, we study the (k, k') -choosability of graphs. Alon and Tarsi [2] proved in an algebraic and non-constructive way, that every bipartite graph with maximum degree Δ is $(\lceil \Delta/2 \rceil + 1, \lfloor \Delta/2 \rfloor + 1)$ -choosable. In this paper, we give an alternative and constructive proof to this result. We conjecture that this result is sharp (i.e. there is a bipartite graph with maximum degree Δ that is not $(\lceil \Delta/2 \rceil, \lfloor \Delta/2 \rfloor + 1)$ -choosable) and prove it for $\Delta \leq 5$. Moreover, for a fixed $\Delta \in \{4, 5\}$, we show that given a bipartite graph with maximum degree Δ and a $(\lceil \Delta/2 \rceil, \lfloor \Delta/2 \rfloor + 1)$ -list assignment L , it is NP-complete to decide if G is L -colourable. At last, we give upper bounds for the minimum size $n_3(\Delta)$ of a non $(3, 3)$ -choosable bipartite graph with maximum degree Δ : $n_3(5) \leq 846$ and $n_3(6) \leq 128$.

Key-words: list colouring, choosability, bipartite graph, NP-complete

Research supported in part by a grant Color2002 from INRIA Sophia-Antipolis

Choisissabilité des graphes bipartis de degré maximum Δ

Résumé : Soit $G = (V(G), E(G))$ un graphe. Une *affectation de listes* est l'affectation à chaque sommet v de G d'un ensemble d'entiers $L(v)$. Une *L-coloration* est une application C de $V(G)$ dans l'ensemble des entiers telle que $C(v) \in L(v)$ pour tout $v \in V(G)$ et $C(u) \neq C(v)$ si u et v sont reliés par une arête. Une (k, k') -*affectation de listes* d'un graphe biparti G de bipartition (A, B) est une affectation de listes l telle que $|L(v)| = k$ si $v \in A$ et $|L(v)| = k'$ si $v \in B$. Un graphe biparti est (k, k') -*choisissable* s'il admet une *L-coloration* pour toute (k, k') -affectation de listes L . Dans ce rapport, nous étudions la (k, k') -choisissabilité des graphes. Alon et Tarsi ont prouvé, de manière algébrique et non constructive, que tout graphe biparti de degré maximum Δ est $(\lceil \Delta/2 \rceil + 1, \lfloor \Delta/2 \rfloor + 1)$ -choisissable. Nous donnons une preuve constructive de ce résultat. Nous conjecturons que ce résultat est le meilleur possible (i.e. il y a des graphes bipartis de degré maximum Δ qui ne sont pas $(\lceil \Delta/2 \rceil, \lfloor \Delta/2 \rfloor + 1)$ -choisissables). Nous montrons ceci pour $\Delta \leq 5$. De plus, pour $\Delta \in \{4, 5\}$ fixé, nous montrons qu'étant donné un graphe biparti de degré maximum Δ et une $(\lceil \Delta/2 \rceil, \lfloor \Delta/2 \rfloor + 1)$ -affectation de listes L , il est NP-complet de décider si G est *L-colorable*. Enfin, nous donnons des bornes supérieures du nombre minimum de sommets $n_3(\Delta)$ d'un graphe biparti de degré maximum Δ non $(3, 3)$ -choisissable: $n_3(5) \leq 846$ et $n_3(6) \leq 128$.

Mots-clés : coloration sur liste, choisissabilité, graphe biparti, NP-complet

The list colouring problem is a variation and generalization of the well-known problem of colouring the vertices of a graph with as few colours as possible so that adjacent vertices get distinct colours. The additional requirement in this concept is that every vertex v has to be coloured with a colour from a set $L(v)$ of allowed colours which is assigned to every vertex of the graph.

List colouring is well motivated by various practical or theoretical problems. It provides a natural interpretation for scheduling problems [3, 4], extendability of partial Latin squares [1] and frequency assignment problems [11, 16]. Other interesting problems which lead to list colourings may be found in [15].

Let G be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. The *degree* $d(v)$ of a vertex v is the number of edges incident to it. The *maximum degree* of G is $\Delta(G) := \max\{d(v) | v \in V(G)\}$. A *list assignment* L is an assignment of a set $L(v)$, called the *list of v* , of integers to every vertex $v \in V(G)$. If all lists $L(v)$ have the same number k of elements then L is called a *k -list assignment* and k the *length* of L .

Let L be a list assignment. An *L -colouring* is a application C from $V(G)$ into the integers set such that $C(v) \in L(v)$ for all v in $V(G)$ and $C(u) \neq C(v)$ if u and v are joined by an edge. A graph is *L -colourable* if it admits an L -colouring. Notice that these definitions lead to the problem of k -colourability of G if all lists are identical with $L(v) = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$.

A graph is *k -choosable* if it is L -colourable for all k -list assignment L . Of course, it is interesting to ask about the shortest length k of list assignment such that the graph is always list colourable. The *choice number* $ch(G)$ of G is the smallest integer such that G is k -choosable. Obviously, the choice number is at least as big as the *chromatic number* $\chi(G)$, that is the smallest integer such that G is k -colourable. Furthermore, the ratio $ch(G)/\chi(G)$ may be arbitrarily large. In particular, Erdős, Rubin and Taylor [6] established that for arbitrary k there are *bipartite* (i. e. 2-colourable) graphs that are not k -choosable. Moreover, Gravier [8] proved that every non- k -choosable graphs may be constructed from non- k -choosable bipartite graphs using three operations. This theorem is an analogue of Hajós's Theorem on colourings [10] stating that every non- k -colourable graphs can be obtained from the complete graph K_{k+1} by three operations (almost identical to those of Gravier). Hence, bipartite graphs play a special role in investigations of list colourings and choosability, more or less the same as complete graphs do in colourability. And since they have a simple structure in relation to ordinary vertex colourings, it seems to be reasonable to consider first the choosability of bipartite graphs. Rubin[6] characterized all 2-choosable graphs. Mahadev, Roberts and Santhanakrishnan [13] started to characterize complete bipartite 3-choosable graphs and Shende and Tesman [17] and O'Donnell [14] completed this characterization. In particular, $K_{7,7}$ is not 3-choosable.

In this paper, we investigate the choosability of bipartite graphs with maximum degree Δ and the complexity of the corresponding problem. More precisely, we study the (k, k') -choosability of bipartite graphs. A bipartite graph with bipartition (A, B) is (k, k') -choosable if it is L -colourable for every (k, k') -list assignment L , i. e. such that $|L(v)| = k$ if $v \in A$ and $|L(v)| = k'$ if $v \in B$.

Alon and Tarsi [2] proved the following :

Theorem 1 (Alon et Tarsi [2]) *Every bipartite graph with maximum degree Δ is $(\lceil \Delta/2 \rceil + 1, \lfloor \Delta/2 \rfloor + 1)$ -choosable.*

However, the proof given by Alon and Tarsi is not constructive. In the first section, we give a constructive proof of this result. We conjecture that the lower bound $(\lceil \Delta/2 \rceil + 1, \lfloor \Delta/2 \rfloor + 1)$ of Theorem 1 is sharp.

Conjecture 1 *For any Δ , there is a bipartite graph with maximum degree Δ that is not $(\lceil \Delta/2 \rceil, \lfloor \Delta/2 \rfloor + 1)$ -choosable.*

We also consider the complexity of the corresponding list colouring problem:

Δ Bipartite graph List Colouring Problem (Δ -BLCP) :

Instance: A bipartite graph G with maximum degree Δ and a $(\lceil \Delta/2 \rceil, \lfloor \Delta/2 \rfloor + 1)$ -list assignment.

Question: Is G L -colourable?

For $\Delta \leq 3$, Conjecture 1 holds and Δ -BLCP is polynomial since the 2-List Colouring problem is easy to solve as observed in both early papers [18] and [6]. In Section 2 and 3, we prove that Conjecture 1 holds and that Δ -BLCP is NP-complete when Δ is 4 and 5, respectively.

In particular, we exhibit a non 3-choosable bipartite graph G_5 with maximum degree 5 which has a thousand of vertices. A natural question is to ask for the minimum number of vertices $n_3(\Delta)$ of a non-3-choosable bipartite graphs with maximum degree at most Δ . Our example give us $n_3(5) \leq 846$. The complete bipartite $K_{7,7}$ is not 3-choosable. And there is no non-3-choosable bipartite graph with less than 14 vertices (see [12]). Hence, $n_3(\Delta) = 14$ if $\Delta \geq 7$. In the last section, we show that $n_3(6) \leq 128$.

1 Constructive proof of Theorem 1.

The aim of this section is to give a constructive proof of the following theorem due to Alon and Tarsi.

Let D be a digraph with vertex set $V(D)$ and arc set $A(D)$. The *outneighbourhood* of a vertex v is the set $N^+(v) := \{x \mid (v, x) \in A(D)\}$. Its cardinality, called the *outdegree* of v is denoted by $d^+(v)$. If $y \in N^+(v)$, we say that v *dominates* y . A *stable set* S of D is a set of vertices such that there is no arc (u, v) with $u \in S$ and $v \in S$. A *kernel* of a digraph D is a stable set S such that every vertex is either in S or dominated by a vertex of S .

Lemma 1 (Bondy, Boppana, Siegel [7]) *Let D be a digraph. Let L be a list assignment such that $d^+(v) + 1 = |L(v)|$ for each vertex v . If every induced subdigraph of D has a kernel then D is L -colourable.*

Moreover the proof of this lemma is constructive and give the following algorithm to find an L -colouring of D .

Let $\bigcup_{v \in V(D)} L(v) = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_p\}$.

For $i = 1$ to n do

 Find a kernel K_i of the graph induced on G by the vertices whose list contains c_i .

 Assign the colour c_i to every vertex of K_i .

 Set $G = G - K_i$.

Lemma 2 *Every bipartite digraph has a kernel.*

Proof. Let D be a bipartite digraph. One can find one of its kernel K with following algorithm :

Step 0: Initialize K to the empty set.

Step 1: If there is a vertex x with no inneighbour then,

 add x to K , set $V(D) := V(D) \setminus (N^+(x) \cup \{x\})$ and go to Step 1.

Step 2: Add one of the vertex classes of the bipartition of D to K .

Let us prove that the set K is a kernel. Let x and y be two vertices of K . Suppose for contradiction that (x, y) is an arc of D . Then x and y have not been put in K by Step 2. If x has been put in K before y then $y \notin N^+(x)$, which contradicts that (x, y) is an arc. And y has been put in K before x , then x is not an inneighbour of y which is again a contradiction. Hence K is a stable. Now let v be a vertex of $V(D) \setminus K$. If it has been deleted from $V(D)$ at Step 1 and it belongs to $N^+(x)$ for some $x \in K$. If not, it was in D at Step 2 thus it has an inneighbour y that is in the other vertex class of the bipartition. And $y \in K$ since $x \notin K$. So, every vertex of $V(D) \setminus K$ is dominated by a vertex of K . Hence K is a kernel of D . ■

Proof. (of Theorem 1) By König's Theorem, the edges of G may be partitionned in Δ matchings $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_\Delta$. Let D be the orientation of G such that every edge is oriented from A to B if and only if it is in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\lceil \Delta/2 \rceil} M_i$. Then every vertex of A has outdegree at most $\lceil \Delta/2 \rceil$ and every vertex of B has outdegree at most $\lfloor \Delta/2 \rfloor$. Then Lemmas 1 and 2 yield the result. ■


2 Bipartite graphs with maximum degree 4

Let H and $L_H[1]$ be the graph and its list assignment depicted Figure 1. For any integer i , let $L_H[i]$ be the list assignment obtained from $L_H[1]$ by applying a permutation σ on the integers which satisfies $\sigma(1) = i$.

Proposition 1 *For any i , H is not $L_H[i]$ -colourable.*

Proof. It suffices to prove it for $i = 1$. Suppose that there is a $L_H[1]$ -colouring C . Then $C(u) = 4$ thus d, e and f are not coloured 4. Since the complete bipartite $K_{3,3}$ is not list colourable with lists $\{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}$ on each vertex class, we get a contradiction. ■

Corollary 1 *There is a bipartite graph with maximum degree 4 that is not $(3, 2)$ -choosable.*

Figure 1: The graph H and its list assignment $L_H[1]$

Proof. Let G be the graph consisting of three copies H_i , $1 \leq i \leq 3$ of H whose vertices v are identified. Let L be the list assignment such that $L(v) = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and that L coincides with $L_H[i]$ on $V(H_i) \setminus v$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Then G is not L -colourable. Indeed if v is coloured $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ then Proposition 1 give a contradiction in H_i . ■

To prove the NP-completeness of 4-BLCP, we need to prove the NP-completeness of the following problem.

Auxiliary Bipartite List Colouring Problem (ABLCP)

Instance: A bipartite graph G with bipartition (A, B) such that each vertex has degree 2 or 3 and a list assignment L such that $|L(v)| = 2$ if $v \in A$ and $|L(v)| = d(v)$ if $v \in B$.

Question: Is G L -colourable?

Theorem 2 (Gravier [9]) *ABLCP is NP-complete.*

Proof. Given a Boolean formula Φ in conjunctive normal form, with a set C of clauses over the set X of variables. Let us define the graph G_Φ as follows: Its vertex set is $C \cup \{(c, x) \mid x \in c \in C\} \cup \{(c, \bar{x}) \mid x \in c \in C\}$. For all $x \in c \in C$, the vertex (c, x) is joined to the vertex c and (c, \bar{x}) . For each $x \in X$, the subgraph induced by the vertices (c, x) and (c, \bar{x}) for $x \in c$ is a cycle.

The symbols x and \bar{x} will be taken for the colours, and the list assignment L is defined as follows:

$$L(c) := \{\bar{x} \mid x \in c\} \cup \{x \mid \neg x \in c\} \quad \forall c \in C$$

and

$$L((c, x)) = L((c, \bar{x})) := \{x, \bar{x}\} \quad \forall x \in c \in C .$$

With $A = \{(c, x) \mid x \in c \in C\}$ and $B = C \cup \{(c, \bar{x}) \mid x \in c \in C\}$, (A, B) is a bipartition of G_Φ such that $|L(v)| = 2$ if $v \in A$ and $|L(v)| = d(v)$ if $v \in B$.

In an L -colouring, for each $x \in X$, the vertices of $\{(c, x) \mid x \in c \in C\}$ must get the same colour because the subgraph induced by the vertices (c, x) and (c, \bar{x}) for $x \in c$ is a cycle. It can be seen that there is a one-to-one correspondance between the satisfying truth assignments of Φ and the L -colourings. Then the NP-completeness of 3-SAT yield the result. ■

Theorem 3 *4-BLCP is NP-complete.*

Proof.

Let (G, L) be an instance of the ABLCP. An equivalent instance (G', L') may be obtained for the 4-BLCP: For each vertex $x \in A$ whose list $L(x)$ has cardinality 2, set $L'(x) = L(x) \cup \{e\}$ for some $e \notin L(v)$, identify x to the vertex v of a copy H_x of H and set $L'(u) = L_H[e](u)$ for $u \in V(H_x) \setminus x$. It is easy to check that G' has degree at most four. ■

3 Non 3-choosable bipartite graph with maximum degree 5

In this section, we construct a non-3-choosable bipartite graph with maximum degree 5. For that, we need four intermediary graphs.

Let M and $L_M[4, 5]$ be the graph and its list assignment depicted Figure 2. Note that M is bipartite and that the vertices a and b lie in different parts of the bipartition. For any two integers $i \neq j$, let $L_M[i, j]$ be the list assignment obtained from $L_M[4, 5]$ by applying a permutation σ on the integers which satisfies $\sigma(4) = i$ and $\sigma(5) = j$.




Figure 2: The graph M and its list assignment $L_M[4, 5]$.

Proposition 2 For any $i \neq j$, M is not $L_M[i, j]$ -colourable.

Proof. Without loss of generality, it suffices to prove it for $L_M[4, 5]$. Suppose that M admits a $L_M[4, 5]$ -colouring C : colour 4 is forbidden on c and e , and 5 is forbidden on d and f . If $C(f) = 1$, we force $C(c) = 3$ and $C(e) = 2$, and then d may not be coloured. So, we have $C(f) = 2$ and, consequently, $C(e) = 1$. The same reasoning applied on vertices g, h, i and j shows that $C(i) = 4$ and $C(j) = 5$, and on the vertices k, l, m and n , it gives that $C(m) = 2$ and $C(n) = 1$. Hence colours 4 and 1 are forbidden on c and colours 5 and 2 are forbidden on d , and we must have $C(c) = C(d) = 3$, which is impossible. ■

Let N and $L_N[4, 5]$ be the graph and its list-assignment depicted Figure 3. The vertices a_1 and b_1 , and a_2 and b_2 are identified with the vertices a and b of the two copies of M , M_1 and M_2 respectively. And $L_N[4, 5]$ coincides with $L_M[5, 1]$ (resp. $L_M[5, 2]$) on $V(M_1) \setminus \{a_1, b_1\}$ (resp. $V(M_2) \setminus \{a_2, b_2\}$). Note that the graphs N is bipartite and that s and w lie in the same part of the bipartition. For any two integers $i \neq j$, let $L_N[i, j]$ be the list assignment obtained from $L_N[4, 5]$ by applying a permutation σ on the colours $\{1, \dots, 5\}$ which satisfies $\sigma(4) = i$ and $\sigma(5) = j$.




Figure 3: The graph N and its list assignment $L_N[4, 5]$.

Proposition 3 *For any $i \neq j$, N is not $L_N[i, j]$ -colourable.*

Proof. Suppose that N admits a $L_N[4, 5]$ -colouring C . Colour 4 is forbidden on vertices a_1 , a_2 and t and colour 5 is forbidden on vertex v . If $C(v) = 1$, then $C(b_2) \neq 1$ and $C(u) \neq 1$. Moreover, $C(b_2)$ can not be 5, otherwise $C(t) = 3$ and $C(a_2) = 2$ and u can not be coloured. Then $C(b_2) = 2$ and $C(a_2) = 5$ but this contradicts Proposition 2. Consequently, v may not be coloured 1. Analogously, by symmetry, v can not be coloured 2. So, N is not $L_N[4, 5]$ -colourable. ■

Let P and $L_P[4, 5]$ be the graph and its list assignment depicted Figure 4. The vertices a_3 and b_3 are identified with the vertices a and b of a copy of M , M_3 . And $L_P[4, 5]$ coincides with $L_M[2, 1]$ (resp. $L_N[3, 1]$) on $V(M_3) \setminus \{a_3, b_3\}$ (resp. $V(N) \setminus \{s, w\}$). The graph P is bipartite and s and w lie in different part of the bipartition. For $i \neq j$, let $L_P[i, j]$ be the list assignment obtained from $L_P[4, 5]$ by applying a permutation σ on the integers which satisfies $\sigma(4) = i$ and $\sigma(5) = j$.

Proposition 4 *For any $i \neq j$, P is not $L_P[i, j]$ -colourable.*




Figure 4: The graph P and its list assignment $L_P[4, 5]$.

Proof. Let C be an $L_P[4, 5]$ -colouring of P . Then $C(s) \neq 4$, $C(a_3) \neq 4$ and $C(b_3) \neq 5$. Furthermore $C(b_3) = 2$. Otherwise, $C(b_3) = 1$ so $C(a_3) = 2$ which contradicts Proposition 2. Hence $C(w) = 1$ and $C(s) = 3$. This contradicts Proposition 2. So P is not $L_P[4, 5]$ -colourable. ■

Let Q be the graph obtained from two copies P_1 and P_2 by identifying the vertices corresponding to x and y and adding an edge between them. See Figure 5. And let $L_Q[4]$ be the list assignment coinciding with $L_P[1, 4]$ (resp. $L_P[2, 4]$) on $V(P_1) \setminus y$ (resp. $V(P_2) \setminus y$) and $L_Q[4](y) = \{1, 2, 4\}$.





Figure 5: The graph Q and its list assignment $L_Q[4]$.

Proposition 5 Q is not $L_Q[4]$ -colourable.

Proof. Clearly, Q is not $L_Q[4]$ -colourable. Indeed y may not be coloured because of the edge $\{y, x\}$ and by Proposition 4 it can not be coloured 1 or 2 because of P_1 and P_2 . ■

Let G_5 and L_5 be the graph depicted Figure 6: Each Q_i , $1 \leq i \leq 10$, is a copy of Q and L coincides with $L_Q(4)$ on each $Q_i \setminus x_i$. Obviously, G_5 is bipartite and has maximum degree 5.

Proposition 6 G is not L_5 -colourable, so it is not 5-choosable.

Figure 6: The graph G_5 and its list assignment L_5 .

Proof. Suppose that G_5 admits a L_5 -colouring C . Every x_i , $1 \leq i \leq 10$, is not coloured 4 by Proposition 5. If $C(z_1) = 3$, then $C(x_1) = 3$ and $C(x_3) = 2$ and we can not colour x_2 . Analogously $C(z_2) = 3$ leads to a contradiction. If $C(z_1) = 1$ then $C(z_2) = 2$, so $C(x_4) = C(x_5) = 3$ which is a contradiction. And if $C(z_1) = 1$ then $C(z_2) = 2$ thus $C(x_9) = C(x_{10}) = 3$ which is a contradiction. ■

Remark 3.1 *In the proof of Proposition 6, the non colourable list assignment uses five different colours. This is the minimum number of colour for a counterexample. Indeed if a list assignment L uses only four colour then it is always L -colourable as observed in [5].*

Theorem 4 *5-BLCP is NP-complete.*

Proof. Let R be the subgraph of G_5 induced by the vertices of $V(G_5) \setminus (V(Q_1) \setminus \{x_1\})$ and let $L_R[1]$ be the list assignment defined by $L_R[4](v) = L_5(v)$ if $v \in V(R)$. For any integer i , let $L_R[i]$ be the list assignment obtained from $L_R[4]$ by applying a permutation σ on the integers which satisfies $\sigma(4) = i$. From the proof of Proposition 6, we deduce that every $L_R[4]$ -colouring C of R satisfies $C(x_1) = 4$. Thus for any integer i , every $L_R[i]$ -colouring C of R satisfies $C(x_1) = i$. Let (G, L) be an instance of the ABLCP. An equivalent instance (G'', L'') may be obtained for the 5-BLCP: For each vertex $x \in V(G)$ whose list $L(x)$ has cardinality 2, set $L''(x) = L(x) \cup \{e\}$ for some $e \notin L(v)$, join x to the vertex v of a copy R_x of R and set $L''(u) = L_R[e](u)$ for $u \in V(R_x)$. It is easy to check that G'' has degree at most five. ■

4 Upper bounds for $n_3(\Delta)$

The graph G_5 has 942 vertices, so $n_3(5) \leq 942$. However, one can get a slightly better bound:

Proposition 7 $n_3(5) \leq 846$.

Proof. Let G be the graph obtained from G_5 by doing the following : For $4 \leq i \leq 6$, (resp. $i = 3$) identify the vertices h, g, k and l of each subgraph M of Q_i with the vertices h, g, k and l of the identical M in Q_{14-i} (resp. Q_1). It is easy to check that G is bipartite, because identified vertices lied in the same part of the bipartition, and G has maximal degree 5. Moreover, for two identified vertices u and v , $L_5(u) = L_5(v)$. Hence, L_5 is still well-defined and G is not L_5 -colourable. The graph G has 846 vertices (24 less per pair of Q_i). Hence $n_3(5) \leq 846$. ■

Let us now construct a small non 3-choosable bipartite graph with maximum degree 6. As in the previous section, we need some intermediary graphs.

Proposition 8 *Let $(\{b, c, d\}, \{b', c', d'\})$ be the bipartition of $K_{3,3}$. And let L be the following list assignment : $L(b) = \{1, 2\} = L(b')$, $L(d) = L(c') = \{1, 3\}$, $L(c) = \{2, 3, 4\}$ and $L(d') = \{2, 3\}$. Then any L -colouring C of $K_{3,3}$ (of the two) satisfies $C(c) = 4$, $C(b) = C(d) = 1$, $C(b') = 2$ and $C(c') = 3$.*

Proof. Let C be an L -colouring of $K_{3,3}$. Since $K_{3,3}$ is not list colourable with lists $\{1, 2\}$, $\{1, 3\}$, $\{2, 3\}$ on each vertex class, $C(c) = 4$. Moreover $C(b') = 2$ otherwise $C(b) = 2$ and $C(d) = 3$ and d' can not be coloured. In the same way, $C(c') = 3$. It follows that $C(b) = C(d) = 1$. ■

Proposition 9 *Let F be the graph and L_F the list assignment depicted Figure 7. Then F is not L_F -colourable.*

Proof. Suppose that F admits a L_F -colouring C . Then $C(v) = 4$, thus $C(v')$ is 2 or 5.

Suppose that it is 5. Then b', c' and d' may not be coloured 5. Moreover b and d may not be coloured 4. Thus by Proposition 8, $C(c) = 4$, $C(b) = 1$ and $C(b') = 2$ and $C(c') = 3$. Hence $C(a') = 5 = C(a)$ which is a contradiction.

Analogously, if $C(v') = 2$, we get the contradiction $C(g') = 2 = C(g)$. ■

Let G_6 and L_6 be the graph and its list-assignment depicted Figure 8. The vertex v_i , $1 \leq i \leq 9$, b_1 , is identified with the vertex v of the copy F_i of F . And L_6 coincides with L_F on $F_i \setminus v_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 9$.

Proposition 10 G_6 is not L_6 -colourable. So it is not 3-choosable.

Proof.

Let us show that G_6 is not L -colourable. By Proposition 9, none of the v_i may be coloured with 4. Suppose now that x is coloured with 1 then v_1 must be coloured 2 and v_3 coloured 3 then v_2 may not be coloured which is a contradiction. Analogously, if x is coloured 2 (resp. 3), we obtain a contradiction along the 4-cycle (x, v_4, v_5, v_6) (resp. (x, v_7, v_8, v_9)). ■

The graph G_6 has 145 vertices, so $n_3(6) \leq 145$. Once again, one can get a better bound:

Proposition 11 $n_3(6) \leq 128$.




Figure 7: The graph F and the list assignment L_F




Figure 8: The graph G_6

Proof. Let G be the graph obtained from G_6 by doing the following : For $i \in \{1, 4, 7\}$ (resp. $i = 2$), identify the vertices a' , a , g' and g of F_i with the vertices a' , a , g' and g of F_{i+2} (resp. F_5). And identify the vertex a of F_8 with the vertex g of F_3 . It is easy to check

that G is bipartite, because identified vertices lied in the same part of the bipartition, and G has maximal degree 6. Moreover, for two identified vertices u and v , $L_6(u) = L_6(v)$. Hence, G is not L_6 -colourable and has 128 vertices. Hence $n_3(6) \leq 128$. ■

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank Olivier Cogis and Jean-Claude König for stimulating discussions.

References

- [1] L. D. Andersen, Completing partial latin squares, *Mat. Fys. Medd. Danske Vid. Selsk.* **41** (1985), 23–69.
- [2] N. Alon and M. Tarsi, Colorings and orientations of graphs, *Combinatorica* **12** (1992), no. 2, 125–134.
- [3] M. Biró, M. Hujter, Zs. Tuza, Cross fertilisation of graph theory and aircraft maintenance scheduling, *Thirty-Second Annual Symposium AGIFORS (Airline Group of the International Federation of Operational Research)*, (G. Davison, ed.), 1993, 307–317.
- [4] H. L. Bodlaender, K. Jansen, G. J. Woeginger, Scheduling with incompatible jobs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics* **55** (1994), 219–232.
- [5] O. Cogis, J.-C. König, J. Palaysi, Coloration sur liste, *Rapport interne du LIRMM N°02007*, (2002).
- [6] P. Erdős, A. L. Rubin, H. Taylor, Choosability in graphs, *Proc. West-Coast Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing*, Arcata, California, *Congressus Numerantium* **XXVI** (1979), 125–157.
- [7] F. Galvin, The list chromatic index of bipartite multigraph, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Ser. B* **63** (1995), 153–158.
- [8] S. Gravier, A Hajós-like theorem for list coloring, *Discrete Mathematics* **152** (1996), 299–302.
- [9] S. Gravier, *Coloration et Produits de Graphes*, PhD Thesis, Université Joseph Fourier, Grenoble, 1997.
- [10] G. Hajós, Über eine Konstruktion nicht n -färbbarer Graphen, *Wiss. Z. Martin Luther Univ. Math.-Natur. Reihe* **10** (1961), 116–117.
- [11] W. K. Hale, Frequency assignment: theory and applications, *Proceedings of the IEEE* **68** (1980), 1497–1514.

-
- [12] D. Hanson, G. MacGillivray, B. Toft, Choosability of bipartite graphs, *Ars Combinatoria* **44**, (1996) 183-192.
 - [13] N. V. R. Mahadev, F. S. Roberts and P. Santhanakrishnan, 3-choosable complete bipartite graphs, *Technical Report 49-91*, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ, 1991.
 - [14] P. O'Donnell, The choice number of $K_{6,q}$, *Preprint Rutgers Univ. Math. Dept.*
 - [15] F. S. Roberts, >From garbage to rainbows: generalizations of graph coloring and their applications, *Graph theory, combinatorics, and applications. Vol. 2 (Kalamazoo, MI, 1988)*, 1031–1052, Wiley-Intersci. Publ., Wiley, New York, 1991.
 - [16] F. S. Roberts, New directions in graph theory (with an emphasis on the role of applications), *Annals of Discrete Mathematics*, **55** (1993), 13–43.
 - [17] A. M. Shende and B. Tesman, 3-choosability of $K_{5,q}$, *Congressus Numerantium* **111** (1995), 193–221.
 - [18] V. G. Vizing, Coloring the vertices of a graph in prescribed colors, *Metody Diskret. Anal. v Teorii Kodov i Schem* **29** (1976), 3-10. (In Russian).

Contents

1	Constructive proof of Theorem 1.	4
2	Bipartite graphs with maximum degree 4	5
3	Non 3-choosable bipartite graph with maximum degree 5	7
4	Upper bounds for $n_3(\Delta)$	10



Unité de recherche INRIA Sophia Antipolis

2004, route des Lucioles - BP 93 - 06902 Sophia Antipolis Cedex (France)

Unité de recherche INRIA Lorraine : LORIA, Technopôle de Nancy-Brabois - Campus scientifique
615, rue du Jardin Botanique - BP 101 - 54602 Villers-lès-Nancy Cedex (France)

Unité de recherche INRIA Rennes : IRISA, Campus universitaire de Beaulieu - 35042 Rennes Cedex (France)

Unité de recherche INRIA Rhône-Alpes : 655, avenue de l'Europe - 38330 Montbonnot-St-Martin (France)

Unité de recherche INRIA Rocquencourt : Domaine de Voluceau - Rocquencourt - BP 105 - 78153 Le Chesnay Cedex (France)

Éditeur

INRIA - Domaine de Voluceau - Rocquencourt, BP 105 - 78153 Le Chesnay Cedex (France)

<http://www.inria.fr>

ISSN 0249-6399