



# Motion of an uncalibrated stereo rig : self-calibration and metric reconstruction

Zhengyou Zhang, Quang-Tuan Luong, Olivier Faugeras

► **To cite this version:**

Zhengyou Zhang, Quang-Tuan Luong, Olivier Faugeras. Motion of an uncalibrated stereo rig : self-calibration and metric reconstruction. [Research Report] RR-2079, INRIA. 1993. <inria-00074592>

**HAL Id: inria-00074592**

**<https://hal.inria.fr/inria-00074592>**

Submitted on 24 May 2006

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

***Motion of an Uncalibrated Stereo Rig:  
Self-Calibration and Metric Reconstruction***

Zhengyou Zhang  
Quang-Tuan Luong  
Olivier Faugeras

**N° 2079**

Octobre 1993

PROGRAMME 4

Robotique,  
image  
et vision



***R*** ***apport  
de recherche***

1993





## Motion of an Uncalibrated Stereo Rig: Self-Calibration and Metric Reconstruction

Zhengyou Zhang  
Quang-Tuan Luong  
Olivier Faugeras

Programme 4 — Robotique, image et vision  
Projet Robotvis

Rapport de recherche n° 2079 — Octobre 1993 — 18 pages

**Abstract:** We address in this paper the problem of self-calibration and metric reconstruction (up to a scale) from one unknown motion of an uncalibrated stereo rig, assuming the coordinates of the principal point of each camera are known (This assumption is not necessary if one more motion is available). The epipolar constraint is first formulated for two uncalibrated images. The problem then becomes one of estimating unknowns such that the discrepancy from the epipolar constraint, in terms of distances between points and their corresponding epipolar lines, is minimized. The initialization of the unknowns is based on the work of Maybank, Luong and Faugeras on self-calibration of a single moving camera, which requires to solve a set of so-called Kruppa equations. Redundancy of the information contained in a sequence of stereo images makes this method more robust than using a sequence of monocular images. Real data have been used to test the proposed method, and the results obtained are quite good.

**Key-words:** Camera Calibration, Stereovision, Reconstruction, Self-calibration

*(Résumé : tsvp)*

## **Mouvement d'un système stéréoscopique non-calibré : calibration automatique et reconstruction métrique**

**Résumé :** Cet article décrit une méthode pour la calibration automatique des caméras d'un système stéréoscopique, et la reconstruction métrique (à un facteur d'échelle près) à partir d'un mouvement inconnu de ce système, en supposant que les coordonnées du point principal de chaque caméra sont connues (cette hypothèse n'est pas nécessaire si l'on fait un mouvement supplémentaire). La contrainte épipolaire est d'abord formulée pour deux images non-calibrées. Le problème devient celui de l'estimation des inconnues pour lesquelles l'écart de la contrainte épipolaire, quantifié par les distances des points à leurs lignes épipolaires correspondantes, est minimal. L'initialisation des inconnues est fondée sur les travaux de Maybank, Luong et Faugeras sur l'auto-calibration d'une caméra en mouvement, qui passent par la résolution d'un ensemble d'équations dites de Kruppa. A cause de la redondance de l'information dans une séquence d'images stéréoscopique, cette méthode donne des résultats plus robustes que l'utilisation d'une séquence monoculaire. Des données réelles ont été utilisées pour tester l'algorithme proposé, et de bons résultats ont été obtenus.

**Mots-clé :** Calibration de caméras, Vision stéréoscopique, Reconstruction, Calibration automatique



## **Contents**

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Problem Statement and Notations</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Camera Model . . . . .	5
2.2	Problem Statement . . . . .	6
<b>3</b>	<b>Epipolar Constraint</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Problem Solving</b>	<b>10</b>
4.1	Formulation . . . . .	10
4.2	Implementation details . . . . .	13
<b>5</b>	<b>Initialization of the Parameters to be Estimated</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Experimental Results</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>21</b>



**List of Figures**

1	Illustration of the problem to be studied . . . . .	7
2	The epipolar geometry . . . . .	9
3	An example to show the difficulty in localizing the principal point of a camera. a: images; b: intrinsic parameters estimated with a classical calibration method . . . . .	12
4	Images with overlay of the points of interest used for self-calibration . . . . .	18
5	Reconstruction result. (a): Back projection on the left image at $t_1$ ; (b): Projection on a plane perpendicular to the image plane (top view) . . . . .	19
6	Stereogram of the metric reconstruction for cross-eye fusion . . . . .	20

**List of Tables**

1	Results of the self-calibration . . . . .	18
2	Errors in reconstruction (distances in millimeters) versus positions of the principal points . . . . .	21

## 1 Introduction

It is well recognized [15, 17, 18] that stereoscopic cues play an important role in understanding the 3-D environment surrounded us and provide a robust way for 3-D reconstruction. In order for the 3-D reconstruction to be possible, one need to know the relationship between the 3-D world coordinates and their corresponding 2-D image coordinates for each camera, and the relative geometry between the two cameras. This is the purpose of camera calibration. A wealth of work on camera calibration has been carried out by researchers either in Photogrammetry [1, 3] or in Computer Vision and Robotics [25, 7, 12, 26, 23, 28, 29] (see [27] for a recent review). The usual method of calibration is to compute cameras parameters from one or more images of an object of *known size and shape*, for example, a flat plate with a regular pattern marked on it. One problem is that it is impossible to calibrate online, while the cameras are involved in a visual task [16]. Any change of camera calibration occurring during the performance of the task cannot be corrected without interrupting the task. The change may be deliberate, for example the focal length of a camera may be adjusted, or it may be accidental, for example the camera may undergo small mechanical or thermal changes. In many situations such as vision-based planetary exploration, it is not very practical to calibrate cameras with a calibration apparatus. We can either send a calibration apparatus together with the planetary rover and show it each time we need to calibrate the cameras, which is not very realistic, or we can pre-calibrate the stereo system on the ground, which is not reliable.

Recently, a number of researchers in Computer Vision and Robotics are trying to develop online camera calibration techniques, known as self-calibration. The idea is to calibrate a camera by just moving it in the surrounding environment. The motion rigidity provides several constraints on the camera intrinsic parameters. They are more commonly known as the

*epipolar constraint*, and can be expressed as a  $3 \times 3$ , the so-called *fundamental matrix*. Hartley [8] proposes a singular-value-decomposition method to compute the focal lengths from a pair of images if all other camera parameters are known. Trivedi [24] tries to determine only the coordinates of the principal point of a camera. The most noticeable work is the theory of self-calibration proposed by Maybank and Faugeras [16]. They show that a camera can be in general completely calibrated from three different displacements. At the same time, they propose an algorithm using tools from algebraic geometry. However, the algorithm is very sensitive to noise, and is of no practical use. Luong, in cooperation with them, has developed a real practical system as long as the points of interest can be located with sub-pixel precision, say 0.2 pixels, in image planes [13, 5].

In this paper, we describe a self-calibration method for a binocular stereo rig from one displacement using a simplified camera model (i.e., the principal points are known), although it can be easily extended to include all parameters if more displacements are available. Because of the exploitation of information redundancy in the stereo system, our approach yields more robust calibration result than only considering a single camera, as to be shown by experiments with real images. Sect. 2 describes the calibration problem to be addressed in this paper. Sect. 3 summarizes the epipolar constraint, which is the fundamental constraint underlying all self-calibration techniques. Sect. 4 deals with the details of the problem solving, including additional constraints present in a stereo rig. As the problem is solved by a nonlinear optimization, an initial estimation of the camera parameters must be supplied, which is described in Sect. 5. Experimental results with real data are provided in Sect. 6.

## 2 Problem Statement and Notations

### 2.1 Camera Model

A camera is described by the widely used pinhole model. The coordinates of a 3-D point  $M = [x, y, z]^T$  and its retinal image coordinates  $\mathbf{m} = [u, v]^T$  are related by

$$s \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbb{P} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $s$  is an arbitrary scale, and  $\mathbb{P}$  is a  $3 \times 4$  matrix, called the perspective projection matrix. Denoting the homogeneous coordinates of a vector  $\mathbf{x} = [x, y, \dots]^T$  by  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ , i.e.,  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = [x, y, \dots, 1]^T$ , we have  $s\tilde{\mathbf{m}} = \mathbb{P}\tilde{M}$ .

The basic assumption behind this model is that *the relationship between the world coordinates and the pixel coordinates is linear projective*. This allows us to use the powerful tools of projective geometry, which is emerging as an attractive framework for computer vision [19]. With the state of the art of the technology, camera distortion is reasonably small, and the pinhole model is thus a good approximation.

The matrix  $\mathbb{P}$  can be decomposed as

$$\mathbb{P} = \mathbf{A} [\mathbf{R} \ \mathbf{t}],$$

where  $\mathbf{A}$  is a  $3 \times 3$  matrix, mapping the normalized image coordinates to the retinal image coordinates,  $(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{t})$  is the displacement (rotation and translation) from the world coordinate system to the camera coordinate system.

The most general matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  can be written as

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} -fk_u & fk_u \cot \theta & u_0 \\ 0 & -\frac{fk_v}{\sin \theta} & v_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where

- $f$  is the focal length of the camera,
- $k_u$  and  $k_v$  are the horizontal and vertical scale factors, whose inverses characterize the size of the pixel in the world coordinate unit,
- $u_0$  and  $v_0$  are the coordinates of the principal point of the camera, i.e., the intersection between the optical axis and the image plane, and
- $\theta$  is the angle between the retinal axes. This parameter is introduced to account for the fact that the pixel grid may not be exactly orthogonal. In practice it is very close to  $\pi/2$ .

As is clear, we cannot separate  $f$  from  $k_u$  and  $k_v$ . In the following, we use the following notations:  $\alpha_u = -fk_u$  and  $\alpha_v = -fk_v$ . We thus have five intrinsic parameters for each camera:  $\alpha_u$ ,  $\alpha_v$ ,  $u_0$ ,  $v_0$  and  $\theta$ .

## 2.2 Problem Statement

The problem is illustrated in Fig. 1. The left and right images at time  $t_1$  are respectively denoted by  $I_1$  and  $I_2$ , and those at time  $t_2$  are denoted by  $I_3$  and  $I_4$ . A point  $\mathbf{m}$  in the image plane  $I_i$  is noted as  $\mathbf{m}_i$ , and a point  $M$  in 3-space expressed in the coordinate system attached to the  $i$ -th camera is noted as  $M_i$ . The second subscript, if any, will indicate the index of the point in consideration. Thus  $\mathbf{m}_{ij}$  is the image point in  $I_i$  of the  $j$ -th 3-D point, and  $M_{ij}$  is the  $j$ -th 3-D point expressed in the coordinate system attached to the  $i$ -th camera.

Without loss of generality, we choose as the world coordinate system the coordinate system attached to the left camera at  $t_1$ . Let  $(\mathbf{R}_s, \mathbf{t}_s)$  be the displacement between the left and right cameras of the stereo rig. Let  $(\mathbf{R}_l, \mathbf{t}_l)$  be the displacement of the stereo rig between  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  with respect to the left camera. Let  $(\mathbf{R}_r, \mathbf{t}_r)$  be the displacement of the stereo rig between  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  with respect to the right camera. Let  $\mathbf{A}_l$  and  $\mathbf{A}_r$  be the intrinsic matrices of the left and right cameras, respectively. The problem can now be stated as: