

# Exponential convergence of one-dimensional toom's probabilistic cellular automata

S.A. Berezner, M. Krutina, Vadim A. Malyshev

► **To cite this version:**

| S.A. Berezner, M. Krutina, Vadim A. Malyshev. Exponential convergence of one-dimensional toom's  
| probabilistic cellular automata. [Research Report] RR-1811, INRIA. 1992. <inria-00074861>

**HAL Id: inria-00074861**

**<https://hal.inria.fr/inria-00074861>**

Submitted on 24 May 2006

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# INRIA

UNITÉ DE RECHERCHE  
INRIA-ROUENECOURT

Institut National  
de Recherche  
en Informatique  
et en Automatique

Domaine de Voluceau  
Rocquencourt  
B.P. 105  
78153 Le Chesnay Cedex  
France  
Tél. (1) 39 63 55 11

## Rapports de Recherche

1992



ème  
anniversaire

N° 1811

### *Programme 1*

*Architectures parallèles, Bases de données,  
Réseaux et Systèmes distribués*

## EXPONENTIAL CONVERGENCE OF ONE-DIMENSIONAL TOOM'S PROBABILISTIC CELLULAR AUTOMATA

S.A. BEREZNER  
M. KRUTINA  
V.A. MALYSHEV

Décembre 1992



★ R R - 1 8 1 1 ★

# EXPONENTIAL CONVERGENCE OF ONE-DIMENSIONAL TOOM'S PROBABILISTIC CELLULAR AUTOMATA

by

S.A. Berezner<sup>1</sup>, M. Krutina<sup>2</sup> and V.A. Malyshev<sup>3</sup>

## ABSTRACT

We obtain cluster expansions for small random perturbations of deterministic Toom's automata in the one-dimensional case. Exponential convergence follows.

**Key words:** Probabilistic Cellular Automata (PCA); Toom's condition; exponential convergence; cluster expansions.

---

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematical Statistics, University of Natal (Durban), Republic of South Africa.

<sup>2</sup>Karlov University, Prague, Czecho-Slovakia.

<sup>3</sup>INRIA – Domain de Voluceau Rosquencort – BP.105 -78153, Le Chesney Cedex, France.

*Sur le convergence exponentielle d'automates  
probabilistiques cellulaires de Toom en dimension un*

Sergei Berezner<sup>1</sup>, Miroslav Krutina<sup>2</sup>, Vadim Malyshev<sup>3</sup>

**Résumé**

On obtient une serie convergente pour les perturbations aléatoires d' une classe d'automates cellulaires en dimension 1.

-----  
<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematical Statistics, University of Natal (Durban, Republic os South Africa

<sup>2</sup> Karlov University, Prague, Czecho-Slovakia

<sup>3</sup> INRIA - Domain de Voluceau, Rocquencourt - B.P. 105 - 78153, Le Chesnay Cedex, France

# EXPONENTIAL CONVERGENCE OF ONE-DIMENSIONAL TOOM'S PROBABILISTIC CELLULAR AUTOMATA

## SECTION 1. INTRODUCTION

Processes with local interaction in the “high temperature” region (i.e. when the interaction is weak) are sufficiently well understood (see review [1], [7]). One can reasonably assert that the low temperature region for Gibbs random fields corresponds to small perturbations of deterministic processes with local interaction. One of the deepest results is the proof of stability by Toom [6] for his class of deterministic processes. For the simplest processes of this type (e.g. for Stavskaya’s model) cluster expansion techniques and as a consequence stability, exponential convergence and an analytic property were known earlier ([3], [4], [5]). In this paper we solve this problem completely (mainly the one of exponential convergence) for the general Toom’s model in the one-dimensional case. For more dimensions only very special cases can be treated with our ideas. The general case now seems to be beyond our reach.

### PCA formalism

We consider PCA’s with memory which describe the stochastic discrete time evolution of spin variables on the lattice  $Z$ . We denote the value of the spin at the point  $x \in Z$  at time  $t \in Z$  by  $\sigma_{(x,t)} = \pm 1$  and write  $\underline{\sigma}_t$  for the configuration at time  $t$ ;  $\sigma_A$  will denote the configuration on the space-time set  $A \subset Z^2$ . We assume that the past of the PCA is fixed:  $\sigma_{(x,t)} = +1$  if  $t < 0$ . The PCA evolves by simultaneous updating of spins. That is the spin configurations  $\underline{\sigma}_{t-1}, \dots, \underline{\sigma}_{t-T}$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , determine the probabilities  $P(\sigma_{(x,t)} | \underline{\sigma}_{t-1}, \dots, \underline{\sigma}_{t-T})$ ,  $\sigma_{(x,t)} = \pm 1$  of the spin values at each point  $x$  at time  $t$ . The natural number  $T$  is called the depth of memory. The conditional probability distribution of  $\underline{\sigma}_t$  is a product measure given by

$$\prod_{x \in Z} P(\sigma_{(x,t)} | \underline{\sigma}_{t-1}, \dots, \underline{\sigma}_{t-T}). \quad (1.1)$$

The transition probabilities satisfy the normalization condition

$$\sum_{\sigma_{(x,t)}=\pm 1} P(\sigma_{(x,t)}|\underline{\sigma}_{t-1}, \dots, \underline{\sigma}_{t-T}) = 1, \quad (1.2)$$

which is taken into account by writing

$$P(\sigma_{(x,t)}|\underline{\sigma}_{t-1}, \dots, \underline{\sigma}_{t-T}) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sigma_{(x,t)}h_{(x,t)}(\underline{\sigma}_{t-1}, \dots, \underline{\sigma}_{t-T})) \quad (1.3)$$

with  $|h_{(x,t)}(\underline{\sigma}_{t-1}, \dots, \underline{\sigma}_{t-T})| \leq 1$ . We assume that  $h_{(x,t)}$  is translation invariant, time homogeneous and of finite range, that is

$$h_{\underline{0}}(\underline{\sigma}_{-1}, \dots, \underline{\sigma}_{-T}) = h_{\underline{0}}(\sigma_U),$$

where  $U \subset Z \times \{-1, \dots, -T\}$  is a fixed finite set, which we call the basic set of the origin  $\underline{0}$  of the lattice  $Z^2$ . We shall consider nearly deterministic PCA's with

$$h_{\underline{0}} = \Phi_{\underline{0}} \cdot (1 - 2f_{\underline{0}}), \quad (1.4)$$

where  $|\Phi_{\underline{0}}| = 1$  and  $|f_{\underline{0}}| \leq \epsilon$  for a small parameter  $\epsilon > 0$ . If  $f_{\underline{0}} \equiv 0$  we obtain a deterministic PCA with deterministic function  $\Phi_{\underline{0}}$ . The existence of a small perturbation  $f_{\underline{0}}$  implies that for each point  $(x, t) \in Z^2$

$$P(\sigma_{(x,t)} \neq \Phi_{(x,t)}(\underline{\sigma}_{t-1}, \dots, \underline{\sigma}_{t-T})) \leq \epsilon.$$

The transition rates (1.1)-(1.4) define a distribution on the space of spin configurations on  $Z^2$ . We shall investigate the limiting behaviour of finite dimensional probabilities  $P(\sigma_A)$ ,  $A \subset Z^2$ . Thus we shall say that PCA exponentially converges to the stationary state if the probabilities  $P(\sigma_{T^\tau A})$  tend to some limit  $\mu(\sigma_A)$  as  $\tau \in \mathbb{N}$  tends to infinity and this convergence is exponential: that is, there exist constants  $C(A)$  dependent on  $A$  and  $\gamma < 1$  independent of  $A$  such that

$$|\mu(\sigma_A) - P(\sigma_{T^\tau A})| < C(A) \cdot \gamma^\tau.$$

Here, by  $T^\tau A$  we denote time shift of  $A$  - the set  $\{(0, \tau) + a | a \in A\}$ .

A PCA with the deterministic function  $\Phi_0$  is called stable if for any  $\delta > 0$  there is an  $\epsilon > 0$ , such that for any  $z \in Z^2$  we have  $P(\sigma_z = -1) < \delta$  uniformly in  $f_0$ , provided  $|f_0| < \epsilon$ .

Now consider Toom's criteria of stability for PCA's under consideration given in [6]. Toom calls a subset  $Q \subset U$  a plus set, if  $\Phi_0(\sigma_U) = +1$  for any configuration  $\sigma_U$  equal to +1 for all  $z \in Q$ ;  $Q$  is a minimal plus set if it contains no other plus sets. From now on we shall consider  $Z^2$  as a subset of real space  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ; for any  $A \subset Z^2$  we denote by  $\text{Conv}(A)$  the convex hull of  $A$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Toom stated his criteria for monotone deterministic functions  $\Phi_0$ , satisfying  $\Phi_0(\sigma'_U) \leq \Phi_0(\sigma''_U)$  if  $\sigma'_U \leq \sigma''_U$ , where the last inequality is considered at every point of  $U$ .

For monotone PCA's satisfying conditions (1.1)-(1.4) Toom's criteria is stated as follows: "a PCA is stable if and only if there is no ray from the origin, intersecting the convex hull of any minimal plus set" (Toom's condition).

We shall prove that Toom's condition is sufficient for exponential convergence of the PCA satisfying (1.1)-(1.4) to a stationary state. The requirement of monotonicity may be omitted.

Certain constructions used in our investigation are rather geometrical, so we need to introduce some, mostly well known, geometrical notions.

For any set  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  by  $z + G$  we denote a shift  $\{z + g | g \in G\}$  of the set  $G$ , and we designate  $U(z) = z + U$  - the basic set of the point  $z \in Z^2$ . The set  $O = \{\pm v | v \in U\} \cup \{v - w | v, w \in U\}$  is called a neighbourhood of zero and the set  $O(z) = z + O$  is the neighbourhood of the point  $z$ .

The notation  $|A|$  will be used for the cardinality of any set  $A \subset Z^2$ . For arbitrary  $A \subset Z^2$  we define its height  $\text{up}A = \max_t \{t | (x, t) \in A\}$  and its top layer  $\text{sup}A = \{(x, t) \in A | t = \text{up}A\}$ .

By  $Z_t^2$  and  $\mathbb{R}_t^2$  we denote the sets  $Z \times \{t, t-1, \dots\}$  and  $\mathbb{R} \times [0, t]$  respectively. We shall write  $R^t(A)$  for the projection of the set  $A \subset Z^2$  into  $Z_t^2$ , so  $R^t(A) = A \cap Z_t^2$ ;  $L^t(A) = \{(x, \tau) \in A | \tau = t\}$  is a  $t$ -cut of the set  $A$ .

The set  $A \subset Z^2$  is called connected, if for any  $u, v \in A$  there exists  $z_1 = u, z_2, \dots, z_m = v$  such that  $z_i \in A$  and  $z_{i+1} \in O(z_i), i = 1, \dots, m-1$ . For points  $u$  and  $v$  of this sort we shall write  $u \stackrel{A}{\sim} v$ .

The designation  $\sigma_A^-$  will be used for the configuration of  $-1$  on  $A$  and  $\sigma_A^+$  for the configuration of  $+1$ . For convenience we shall write  $P(A_1^+, A_2^+, \dots, B_1^-, B_2^-, \dots, \sigma_{C_1}, \sigma_{C_2}, \dots)$  for the probability of the configuration, coinciding with  $\sigma_{A_i}^+$  on  $A_i$ ,  $\sigma_{B_i}^-$  on  $B_i$  and configuration  $\sigma_{C_i}$  on  $C_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$ . The number of sets in  $P(\cdot)$  is arbitrary.

A notation  $P(A_1^+, A_2^+, \dots, B_1^-, B_2^-, \dots, \sigma_{C_1}, \sigma_{C_2}, \dots, | D_1^+, D_2^+, \dots, E_1^-, E_2^-, \dots, \sigma_{F_1}, \sigma_{F_2}, \dots)$  will be used for the conditional probability of the configuration specified above given configuration which agrees with  $\sigma_{D_i}^+, \sigma_{E_i}^-, \sigma_{F_i}, i = 1, 2, \dots$ .

Having given these notions we are able to proceed to the meaningful part of our work.



## SECTION 2. STATEMENTS AND PROOFS

We shall prove the theorem under the condition, which is wider than that given by Toom, stated as follows:

“There exist two plus sets  $Q_l$  and  $Q_r$  and a line  $l$ , passing through the origin and separating these sets in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ”. (Modified Toom’s condition)

Obviously any stable PCA has at least one plus set, because if  $\Phi_0(\sigma_U^+) = -1$  the PCA is unstable. Thus we reformulated Toom’s condition in a wider version, since we don’t require monotonicity of the deterministic function.

### Theorem

Consider the PCA defined by (1.1)-(1.4) and satisfying the modified Toom’s condition. Then for sufficiently small  $\epsilon > 0$  the PCA converges exponentially to the stationary state.

We shall give the proof for the case  $T = 1$  only. The case  $T > 1$  is similar to rather annoying technicalities (see Berezner [8]). Besides, our method allows us to consider a few modifications of the considered models. For example, we can allow the perturbation  $f_0$  from (1.4) not to be bounded by  $\epsilon$  for configurations  $\sigma_U$  where  $\Phi_0(\sigma_U) = -1$ . We can apply our constructions for more values of  $\sigma_{(x,t)}$  (see [8]).

### Remark A

To prove the theorem we have to prove the exponential convergence of probability  $P(\sigma_{T\tau A}^-)$ ,  $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ .

Our goal is to obtain the exponentially convergent (uniformly in  $\tau$ ) series  $\sum_n C_n^\tau(A)$  for the probability  $P(\sigma_{T\tau A}^-)$ , where the coefficients of the two series  $\sum C_n^{\tau_1}(A)$  and  $\sum C_n^{\tau_2}(A)$  can differ only for  $n \geq \min(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ . This will immediately imply the exponential convergence of  $P(\sigma_{T\tau A}^-)$  as  $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ .

For this purpose we shall introduce the special construction, which we shall call cluster expansion.

### The Construction of the Cluster

For convenience we assume that the basic set  $U$  of the origin is the set of points  $(-\nu, -1), \dots, (\nu, -1), \nu \in \mathbb{N}$ , adding points of fictitious dependence.

Consider arbitrary finite sets  $A, F \subset Z^2$ , such that  $\text{up}F < \text{up}A = t$ , and fix an arbitrary configuration  $\underline{\sigma}$  on  $Z_t^2$ , coinciding with  $\sigma_A^-$  on  $A$  and some fixed configuration  $\sigma_F$  on  $F$ .

#### Remark

The necessity of considering set  $F$  with fixed configuration on it will become clear later. Such a set will represent a set of points, where the configuration will be fixed as a result of using multistep cluster expansion.

For any such configuration we obtain a partition of  $Z_t^2$  into the maximal connected sets  $\{G_\omega^-\}$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega^-$ , where  $\underline{\sigma}$  is equal to  $-1$ , and sets  $\{G_\omega^+\}$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega^+$ , where  $\underline{\sigma}$  is equal to  $+1$ .

Let us choose sets  $G_1^-, \dots, G_m^-$  satisfying

$$\text{sup} A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m G_i^- \quad \text{and} \quad \text{sup} A \cap G_i \neq \emptyset \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \quad (2.1)$$

We shall call such sets carrier sets of the cluster. To any carrier set we assign a component of the cluster in the same way. So, to avoid unnecessary designations we shall consider in detail the case of the unique carrier set  $G_1^-$ , which we shall denote by omitting suffixes. The case of the multicomponent carrier will then be obtained by simply taking the union of corresponding components of the cluster.

Taking the set  $G$  we shall assign to it a set  $\Gamma \subset Z_t^2$ , which we shall call the cluster, a corresponding oriented contour  $\bar{\Gamma}$  in  $\mathbb{R}_t^2$ , and the set  $\partial\Gamma \subset Z_t^2$ , called the boundary of  $\Gamma$ .

### Remark

We shall consider only such configurations  $\underline{\sigma}$  on  $Z_t^2$  for which carrier sets are finite, because it is easy to prove that the probability of the infinite carrier set for a finite set  $A$  is zero. To do this let us take a sequence of lines  $l_k = l + (0, k(2\nu + 1))$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $k \in Z$  (remember that  $l$  is a separating line from the modified Toom's condition). By  $J_k$  we denote a set  $\{(x, \tau) \in Z_t^2, \tau \geq 0 \mid \text{either } (x, \tau) \text{ lies in the band between } l_k \text{ and } l_{k+1} \text{ or between } l_{-k} \text{ and } l_{-k-1}\}$ .

As a result of the choice of  $J_k$  and the properties of separating lines we have  $P(J_{2k}^+) \geq (1-\epsilon)^{|J_{2k}^+|}$ , and the configurations  $\sigma_{J_{2k}^+}^+, k \in \mathbb{N}$  are independent. As  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(J_{2k}^+) = \infty$  we obtain that with the probability one, there exist two bands of  $+1$  points, separating any set inside from infinity, which implies that any carrier set of the cluster is finite with probability one.

Now denote  $\bar{G} = \bigcup_{u,v \in G: u \in O(v)} [u, v] \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , where any  $[u, v]$  is a segment from  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

There exists a closed oriented anticlockwise contour  $\bar{\Gamma}$  formed by the ordered set of segments  $[z_0, z_1], [z_1, z_2], \dots, [z_{n-1}, z_n], [z_n, z_0]$  oriented from the first to the second point and satisfying the following conditions:

- (a)  $z_{i+1} \in O(z_i), [z_i, z_{i+1}] \cap G = \{z_i, z_{i+1}\}, i = 1, \dots, n$ .
- (b)  $O(z_i) \cap \bar{G} \cap \text{Ang}(z_{i-1}, z_i, z_{i+1}) = \emptyset, i = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $\text{Ang}(u, z, v)$  is the angle in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  formed by the rays  $[z, u)$  and  $[z, v)$ , turning from the first to the second ray anticlockwise.
- (c) The set  $\bar{G} \setminus \bar{\Gamma}$  belongs to the internal domain  $\hat{\Gamma} \subset \mathbb{R}_t^2$  of the contour  $\bar{\Gamma}$ , which lies to the left of the contour  $\bar{\Gamma}$ , while traversing  $\bar{\Gamma}$  anticlockwise.
- (d) There does not exist any closed subcontour, formed by the segments of  $\bar{\Gamma}$  and oriented clockwise.

The conditions (a)-(d), and especially the condition (b), are very constructive, and one can easily check the existence of the contour mentioned by straightforward construction of the contour. To do this, one can start from the point  $z_0 \in \sup G$  with the minimal  $x$ -coordinate and then determine uniquely at every step the next segment of  $\bar{\Gamma}$  in keeping with the conditions in (a)-(b). Using loose but descriptive geometric terminology we can say that  $\bar{\Gamma}$  is an external oriented geometrical boundary of the set  $\bar{G}$ .

The cluster  $\Gamma$  corresponding to the set  $G$  (and to the configuration  $\underline{\sigma}$  coinciding with  $\sigma_A^-$  on  $A$  and  $\sigma_F$  on  $F$ ) is the set  $\Gamma = \bar{\Gamma} \cap G$ . The set

$$\partial\Gamma = \left\{ \bigcup_{z \in \Gamma} O(z) \right\} \setminus (\hat{\Gamma} \cup \Gamma) \cap Z_i^2 \quad (2.2)$$

is called the boundary of the cluster  $\Gamma$ .

In the case where the carrier of the cluster consists of few components  $G_1^-, \dots, G_m^-$  we assign to every component  $G_i^-$  the corresponding component  $\Gamma^i$  of the cluster, contour  $\bar{\Gamma}^i$ , and boundary  $\partial\Gamma^i$  and internal domain  $\hat{\Gamma}^i$  and then set  $\Gamma = \cup \Gamma^i$ ;  $\hat{\Gamma} = \cup \hat{\Gamma}^i$ ;  $\partial\Gamma = \cup \partial\Gamma^i$ ;  $\bar{\Gamma} = \cup \bar{\Gamma}^i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .

Thus to any configuration  $\underline{\sigma}$ , coinciding with  $\sigma_A^-$  on  $A$  and  $\sigma_F$  on  $F$ , we assign the cluster  $\Gamma$ , which we shall call the cluster  $\Gamma$  with kernel  $A$  and fixed configuration  $\sigma_F$ .

The immediate consequence of the construction of the cluster is the fact that  $\underline{\sigma}$  coincides with  $\sigma_{\bar{\Gamma}}^-$  on  $\Gamma$  and  $\sigma_{\partial\Gamma}^+$  on  $\partial\Gamma^+$ . Moreover, if any other configuration  $\sigma$  agrees with  $\sigma_A^-$ ,  $\sigma_{\bar{\Gamma}}^-$ ,  $\sigma_{\partial\Gamma}^+$  and  $\sigma_F$  then its cluster is the same.

Now we shall formulate one important property of clusters, which will be proved at the end of this section.

The point  $z \in \Gamma$  is called an error point if at least one of the plus sets  $z + Q_r$  or  $z + Q_l$  belongs to  $\partial\Gamma$ ; this will imply  $\Phi_z(\sigma_{U(z)}) = +1$ . The set of error points is denoted by  $\epsilon(\Gamma)$ .

**Lemma**

There exists sufficiently small  $\alpha > 0$  such that for any  $\Gamma$

$$|\epsilon(\Gamma)| > \alpha \cdot |\Gamma|. \quad (2.3)$$

This lemma will be proved at the end of this section.

Now we are ready to give the cluster expansion for the probability  $P(\sigma_{A_0}^-)$  of the configuration on an arbitrary finite set  $A_0 \subset Z_t^2$  (we introduce "0" to show that we are at the starting point of our expansion). Taking  $t = \text{up} A_0$  we consider all configurations  $\underline{\sigma}$  in  $Z_t^2$  coinciding with  $\sigma_{A_0}^-$  on  $A_0$ , and assign to each such configuration the cluster  $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma_0(\underline{\sigma})$  with kernel  $A_0$  and corresponding sets  $\partial\Gamma_0, \bar{\Gamma}_0, \hat{\Gamma}_0$ . Taking into account the relation between  $\underline{\sigma}$  and the cluster  $\Gamma_0$  we can give the expansion

$$P(\sigma_{A_0}^-) = \sum_{\Gamma_0} \sum_{\underline{\sigma}: \Gamma_0(\underline{\sigma}) = \Gamma_0} P(\sigma) = \sum_{\Gamma_0} P(A_0^-, \Gamma_0^-, \partial\Gamma_0^+), \quad (2.4)$$

where the sum is over all possible clusters  $\Gamma_0$ .

Let us consider the set  $D_0$  from  $Z \times \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  defined by

$$D_0 = \left\{ \bigcup_{z \in \text{sup} \partial\Gamma_0} U(z) \right\} \setminus (A_0 \cup \partial\Gamma_0 \cup \hat{\Gamma}_0 \cup \Gamma_0). \quad (2.5)$$

So the points of  $D_0$  belong to the basic set of  $\text{sup} \partial\Gamma_0$  and lie outside the interior of  $\bar{\Gamma}_0$  and the values of the configuration  $\underline{\sigma}$  at these points are not fixed by the algorithm for constructing the cluster at the previous step. We can rewrite the probabilities on the right hand side of (2.4) as

$$P(A_0^-, \Gamma_0^-, \partial\Gamma_0^+) = \sum_{\sigma_{D_0}} P(A_0^-, \Gamma_0^-, \partial\Gamma_0^+, \sigma_{D_0}). \quad (2.6)$$

We shall write  $P_t(A^-, B^+, \sigma_C, \dots)$  for the probability of the corresponding configuration on the projection of the sets in the parenthesis in  $Z_t^2$ .

Then the probability on the right side of (2.6) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
P(A_0^-, \Gamma_0^-, \partial\Gamma_0^+, \sigma_{D_0}) &= P_t(A_0^-, \Gamma_0^-, \partial\Gamma_0^+, \sigma_{D_0}) \\
&= P_{t-1}(A_0^-, \Gamma_0^-, \partial\Gamma_0^+, \sigma_{D_0}) \\
&\times P(L^t(A_0 \cup \Gamma_0)^-, L^t(\partial\Gamma_0)^+ | R^{t-1}(A_0 \cup \Gamma_0)^-, R^{t-1}(\partial\Gamma_0)^+, \sigma_{D_0}).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

The second probability on the right-hand side is the conditional probability of the configuration on the  $t$ -cut  $L^t(A_0 \cup \Gamma_0 \cup \partial\Gamma_0)$  under the condition of fixed configuration on the projection of the set  $A_0 \cup \Gamma_0 \cup \partial\Gamma_0 \cup D_0$  in  $Z_{t-1}^2$ .

Taking into account that any configuration  $\sigma_{D_0}$  can be written as  $\sigma_{B_0}^- \cup \sigma_{D_0 \setminus B_0}^+$  for some  $B_0 \subset D_0$  we write

$$P(\sigma_{D_0}) = P(B_0^- \cup (D_0 \setminus B_0)^+) = \sum_{W_0: B_0 \subset W_0 \subset D_0} (-1)^{|W_0 \setminus B_0|} \cdot P(W_0^-). \tag{2.8}$$

Using the argument similar to what is used in the deriving of (2.8) and expression (2.7) the expansion (2.6) could be written as

$$P(A_0^-, \Gamma_0^-, \partial\Gamma_0^+) = \sum_{W_0 \subset D_0} Q_{\text{cond}}^t(A_0, \Gamma_0, \partial\Gamma_0, W_0) \cdot P_{t-1}(A_0^-, \Gamma_0^-, \partial\Gamma_0^+, W_0^-) \tag{2.9}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
&Q_{\text{cond}}^t(A_0, \Gamma_0, \partial\Gamma_0, W_0) \\
&= \sum_{B_0 \subset W_0} (-1)^{|W_0 \setminus B_0|} \cdot P(L^t(A_0 \cup \Gamma_0)^-, L^t(\partial\Gamma_0)^+ | R^{t-1}(A_0 \cup \Gamma_0 \cup B_0)^-, R^{t-1}(\partial\Gamma_0 \cup D_0 \setminus B_0)^+).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

The 4-tuple of sets  $A_0, \Gamma_0, \partial\Gamma_0, W_0$  is denoted by  $H_0$ . A very important consequence of the definition (2.5) of the set  $D_0$  and property (2.2) of the boundary  $\partial\Gamma_0$  is the fact that conditional probabilities in (2.10) do not depend on the time shift  $T^\tau, \tau \in \mathbb{N}$ , of all constructed sets. Thus if we apply our construction to the probabilities  $P(\sigma_{T^\tau, A_0}), i = 1, 2$ , we obtain

$$Q_{\text{cond}}^{t+\tau_1}(T^{\tau_1} H_0) = Q_{\text{cond}}^{t+\tau_2}(T^{\tau_2} H_0). \tag{2.11}$$

This fact together with the property (2.3) and with the expansion (2.9) is the corner-stone of the expansion mentioned in Remark A. We need only to show how the probabilities in the right hand side of (2.9) can be expressed through the probabilities in  $Z_{t-2}^2$  in a similar way. Considering the probability  $P_{t-1}(A_0^-, \Gamma_0^-, \partial\Gamma_0^+, W_0^-)$  in (2.9) we determine  $A_1 = W_0 \cup (A_0 \setminus (\Gamma_0 \cup \hat{\Gamma}_0))$  and take an arbitrary configuration  $\underline{\sigma}$  on  $Z_{t-1}^2$  coinciding with  $\sigma_{A_1}^-$  on  $A_1$  and  $\sigma_{F_1}$  on the set  $F_1 = R^{t-1}(H_0) \setminus A_1$ , where  $\sigma_{F_1}$  has values fixed on the set  $F_1$  by our algorithm at previous steps. Here we write  $R^{t-1}(H_0)$  for the union of the sets  $R^{t-1}(A_0)$ ,  $R^{t-1}(\Gamma_0)$ ,  $R^{t-1}(\partial\Gamma_0)$ ,  $R^{t-1}(W_0)$ . For each such configuration  $\underline{\sigma}$  on  $Z_{t-1}^2$  with fixed configuration on  $A_1 \cup B_1$  we construct cluster  $\Gamma_1$  with the kernel  $A_1$  (see the section "The construction of the cluster"). Thus

$$P_{t-1}(A_0^-, \Gamma_0^-, \partial\Gamma_0^+, W_0^-) = \sum_{\Gamma_1} P_{t-1}(\sigma_{F_1}, A_1^-, \Gamma_1^-, \partial\Gamma_1^+). \quad (2.12)$$

Defining  $D_1$  in the same way as we have defined  $D_0$  (see general definition (2.13)) we can get the expansion for the probability on the left hand side of (2.12) through the probabilities in  $Z_{t-2}^2$ . Thus the expansion of the type of (2.9) can be iterated many times. The difference is only in the growing number of points where the value of the configuration is fixed at the previous stages. Remember that at every stage we move down the  $t$ -axis by a unit step. Assuming that we have constructed the chain of 4-tuples  $H_k = \{A_0, \Gamma_0, \partial\Gamma_0, W_0\}, \dots, \{A_k, \Gamma_k, \partial\Gamma_k, W_k\}$  let us determine the 4-tuple of the  $(k+1)$ -st step. We denote  $A_{k+1} = A_k \setminus (\Gamma_k \cup \hat{\Gamma}_k) \cup W_k$  and  $F_{k+1} = R^{t-k-1}(H_k) \setminus A_{k+1}$ . The cluster  $\Gamma_{k+1}$  with the kernel  $A_{k+1}$  is then constructed for the configuration  $\underline{\sigma}$  in  $Z_{t-k-1}^2$  with fixed values at the points of  $R^{t-k-1}(H_k)$ . The set  $D_{k+1}$  is the set from  $Z \times \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  defined by

$$D_{k+1} = U \left( \bigcup_{j=0}^{k+1} (\partial\Gamma_j \cap Z_{t-k-1}^2) \right) \setminus (A_{k+1} \cup \partial\Gamma_0 \cup \dots \cup \partial\Gamma_{k+1} \cup \hat{\Gamma}_0 \cup \dots \cup \hat{\Gamma}_{k+1}), \quad (2.13)$$

where  $U(G) = \bigcup_{z \in G} U(z)$  is the basic set of the set  $G$ .

The expansion (2.9) of the  $(k+1)$ -st step is then

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{t-k-1}(A_0^-, \Gamma_0^-, \partial\Gamma_0^+, \dots, A_{k+1}^-, \Gamma_{k+1}^-, \partial\Gamma_{k+1}^+) &= P_{t-k-1}(\sigma_{F_{k+1}}, A_{k+1}^-, \Gamma_{k+1}^-, \partial\Gamma_{k+1}^+) \quad (2.14) \\
&= \sum_{\Gamma_{k+1}, W_{k+1} \subset D_{k+1}} Q_{\text{cond}}^{t-k-1}(H_{k+1}) P_{t-k-2}(\sigma_{F_{k+1}}, A_{k+1}^-, \Gamma_{k+1}^-, \partial\Gamma_{k+1}^+, W_{k+1}^-),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_{\text{cond}}^{t-m}(H_m) &= \sum_{B_m \subset W_m} (-1)^{|W_m \setminus B_m|} \times \\
P &\left( L^{t-m}(A_m \cup \Gamma_m)^-, L^{t-m}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^m \partial\Gamma_i\right)^+ | R^{t-m-1}(A_m \cup \Gamma_m \cup B_m)^-, R^{t-m-1}(\sigma_{F_m}), (D_m \setminus B_m)^+ \right).
\end{aligned}$$

At the step  $j$  when for the first time

$$\emptyset = A_{j+1} = W_j = R^{t-j}(\partial\Gamma_0 \cup \dots \cup \partial\Gamma_j) \cap Z \times \{0, 1, 2, \dots\} \quad (2.15)$$

we say that the chain  $H_j$  is truncated. The contribution  $S(H_j)$  of the truncated chain  $H_j$  in the expansion of probability  $P(\sigma_{A_0}^-)$  is

$$S(H_j) = \prod_{k=0}^j Q_{\text{cond}}^{t-k}(H_k), \quad (2.16)$$

where  $Q_{\text{cond}}^{t-j}(H_j)$  is equal to 1.

Thus we can write the complete expansion for  $P(\sigma_{A_0}^-)$  as the sum of contributions over all truncated chains  $H_j$

$$P(A_0^-) = \sum_{j=0}^t \sum_{H_j} S(H_j). \quad (2.17)$$

To each truncated chain  $H_j$  we assign the value  $|\Gamma| = |\Gamma_0| + \dots + |\Gamma_j|$ . Then we have

$$P(A_0^-) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{H_j: |\Gamma|=n} S(H_j) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n(A). \quad (2.18)$$

Let us estimate the terms of this series. Consider  $H_j$  with  $|\Gamma| = n$ . It follows from (2.3) that

$$|S(H_j)| = \left| \prod_{k=0}^j Q_{\text{cond}}^{t-k}(H_k) \right| < 2^{|D|} \cdot \epsilon^{\alpha n}, \quad (2.19)$$



where  $|D| = |D_0| + \dots + |D_j|$ . Now we shall show that the number of  $H_j$  with  $|\Gamma| = n$  is bounded by  $C^n$  for some  $C > 0$ . We have four obvious estimates. The first one is

$$|\{\Gamma_i \mid |\Gamma_i| = k\}| < d_1^k \text{ for } d_1 > 0, \quad (2.20)$$

the second is

$$|\partial\Gamma| = |\partial\Gamma_0| + \dots + |\partial\Gamma_j| < d_2 \cdot |\Gamma| \text{ for some } d_2 > 0, \quad (2.21)$$

the third

$$|D| < d_3 \cdot |\partial\Gamma| \text{ for some } d_3 > 0 \quad (2.22)$$

and the fourth, which claims that the number  $\|W\|$  of choices of the sets  $W_0, \dots, W_j$  from the sets  $D_0, \dots, D_j$  is bounded by

$$\|W\| \leq 2^{|W_0| + \dots + |W_j|} \leq 2^{|D|}. \quad (2.23)$$

From these estimates we obtain

$$|\{H_j \mid |\Gamma| = n\}| \leq C^n \text{ for some } C > 0. \quad (2.24)$$

Combining (2.19)-(2.24) we get that for some constant  $\Psi > 0$

$$|C_n(A)| < (\Psi \cdot \epsilon^\alpha)^n. \quad (2.25)$$

This proves that for sufficiently small  $\epsilon > 0$  the series (2.18) converges exponentially. Considering a similar expansion for  $P(\sigma_{T^r A}^-)$

$$P(\sigma_{T^r A}^-) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n^r(A) \quad (2.26)$$

we note that the coefficients  $C_n^r(A)$  and  $C_n(A)$  for  $n < t$  are the sums over congruent sets  $T^r H_j$  and  $H_j$ . Taking into account the equality (2.11) we find that these coefficients coincide for  $n < t$ . Having proved this fact we refer the reader to Remark A, which completes the proof of the theorem.

## Proof of Lemma

Obviously it is enough to prove (2.3) in the case when  $\Gamma$  consists of one component.

Let  $n_+$  be the number of plus vectors in the oriented contour  $\bar{\Gamma} = [z_0, z_1], \dots, [z_{n-1}, z_n], [z_n, z_0]$  where the oriented segment  $\vec{z_i z_{i+1}}$  is called a plus vector, if  $z_{i+1}$  lies to the right of the line  $z_i + l$ . Consider an arbitrary plus vector  $\vec{z_i z_{i+1}}$ . We claim that either  $z_i$  or  $z_{i+1}$  is an error point. Certainly, if  $\text{pr}_{\vec{e}} \vec{z_i z_{i+1}} > 0$  (where  $\vec{e}$  is a unit vector  $\vec{Qe}, e = (0, 1)$  and  $\text{pr}_{\vec{e}} \vec{b}$  is the orthogonal projection of the vector  $\vec{b}$  on the vector  $\vec{a}$ ) then  $\text{pr}_{\vec{e}} \vec{z_{i+1} z_{i+2}} \geq 0$  (otherwise  $z_i$  and  $z_{i+2}$  will be connected by the segment  $[z_i, z_{i+2}]$ , but this contradicts the construction of the contour  $\bar{\Gamma}$ ). This fact implies that  $z_{i+1} + Q_r(z_{i+1}) \subset \partial\Gamma$  and, correspondingly,  $z_{i+1} \in \epsilon(\Gamma)$ . If  $\text{pr}_{\vec{e}} \vec{z_i z_{i+1}} < 0$  then by the same argument  $\text{pr}_{\vec{e}} \vec{z_{i-1} z_i} \leq 0$  and  $z_i \in \epsilon(\Gamma)$ . If  $\text{pr}_{\vec{e}} \vec{z_i z_{i+1}} = 0$  then either  $z_{i-1}$  lies to the left of  $z_i + l$  (and this implies  $z_i \in \epsilon(\Gamma)$ ) or  $z_{i+2}$  lies to the right of  $z_{i+1} + l$  - which implies that  $z_{i+1} \in \epsilon(\Gamma)$ . Thus we assign the error point to an arbitrary plus vector.

Let us note that each error point corresponds to no more than  $2|O|$  plus vectors, so that

$$|\epsilon(\Gamma)| > \alpha_1 \cdot n_+ \quad , \quad \alpha_1 = 1/2|O| . \quad (2.27)$$

As the contour  $\bar{\Gamma}$  is closed there exists a constant  $\alpha_2 > 0$  such that

$$n_+ > \alpha_2(n + 1 - n_+) . \quad (2.28)$$

Since  $|\bar{\Gamma}| = n + 1 > \alpha_3|\Gamma|$  for some  $\alpha_3 > 0$  then summing (2.27) and (2.28) we obtain

$$|\epsilon(\Gamma)| > \alpha|\Gamma| \quad \text{for some } \alpha > 0 .$$

## REFERENCES

1. Liggett, T.M. (1985) Interacting particle systems. Springer-Verlag, New York.
2. Toom, A.L. and others. (1986) Probabilistic Cellular Automata (in Russian). Pustchino.
3. Vasilyev, A.V. (1970) Correlation equations for the stationary measure of one Markov chain (in Russian). Prob. Theor. Appl. v.15, N3, pp.536-541.
4. Pirogov, S.A. (1986) Clustering expansion for cellular automata (in Russian). Probl. Perd. Inf., v.22, pp.60-66.
5. Ignatyuk, I.A., Malyshev, V.A., Turova, T.S. (1990) Processes with small local interaction (in Russian). Itogi Nauki. Ser. Probability Theory. Moscow, (in print).
6. Toom, A.L. (1979) Stable and attractive trajectories of multicomponent systems. In Multicomponent Random Systems, ed. R.L. Dobrushin and Ya.G. Sinai, Dekker, New York, 1980.
7. Lebowitz, J.L., Maes, Ch., Speer, E. (1990) Statistical mechanics of probabilistic cellular automata. J. Stat. Phys. 59, pp.117-168.
8. Berezner, S.A. (1990) Thesis for Ph.D. degree. Moscow State University (in Russian).

ISSN 0249-6399