

On the equivalence of a-stability and g-stability

Claudio Baiocchi, Michel Crouzeix

► **To cite this version:**

Claudio Baiocchi, Michel Crouzeix. On the equivalence of a-stability and g-stability. [Research Report] RR-0609, INRIA. 1987. <inria-00075945>

HAL Id: inria-00075945

<https://hal.inria.fr/inria-00075945>

Submitted on 24 May 2006

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

INRIA

UNITÉ DE RECHERCHE
INRIA-RENNES

Institut National
de Recherche
en Informatique
et en Automatique

Domaine de Voluceau
Rocquencourt
BP105
78153 Le Chesnay Cedex
France
Tél. (1) 39 63 5511

Rapports de Recherche

N° 609

**ON THE EQUIVALENCE
OF A-STABILITY
AND G-STABILITY**

**Claudio BAIOCCHI
Michel CROUZEIX**

Février 1987

Campus Universitaire de Beaulieu
Avenue du Général Leclerc
35042 - RENNES CÉDEX
FRANCE
Tél. : (99) 36.20.00
Télex : UNIRISA 95 0473 F

On the equivalence of A-stability and G-stability

Equivalence entre A-stabilité et G-stabilité

Publication Interne n°330 - Décembre 1986
5 pages

Claudio Baiocchi
Dipartimento di Matematica
Università di Pavia
Strada Nuova, 65
27100 PAVIA (Italia)

Michel Crouzeix
IRISA Mathématiques et Informatique
Université de Rennes
Campus de Beaulieu
35042 RENNES Cedex (France)

Abstract : We prove an algebraic result which gives a new characterization of the A-stability property for linear multistep methods. This enables us to obtain a new simple proof of the equivalence between A-stability and G-stability for one-leg methods. Using the algebraic result, we also give an alternative proof of the second Dahlquist barrier.

Résumé : On montre un résultat algébrique qui fournit une nouvelle caractérisation de la A-stabilité pour les méthodes linéaires à pas multiples. Nous en déduisons une démonstration simple de l'équivalence entre A- et G-stabilité ainsi que la seconde barrière de Dahlquist.

" On the equivalence of A-stability and G-stability."

by Claudio Baiocchi and Michel Crouzeix.

Abstract : We prove an algebraic result which gives a new characterization of the A-stability property for linear multistep methods. This enables us to obtain a new simple proof of the equivalence between A-stability and G-stability for one-leg methods. Using the algebraic result, we also give an alternative proof of the second Dahlquist barrier.

1- The algebraic result.

Given two polynomials ρ and σ , of degree $r \geq 1$, with real coefficients, we consider the properties

$$(A) \quad " |z| \geq 1 \Rightarrow \operatorname{Re} \rho(z) \sigma(\bar{z}) \geq 0 "$$

and

there exist $(r+1)$ real polynomials p_1, \dots, p_r and q with

$$(B) \quad \deg(p_k) \leq r-1 \text{ and } \deg(q) \leq r, \text{ such that, for arbitrary } z, w \in \mathbb{C},$$

$$\rho(z) \sigma(w) + \rho(w) \sigma(z) + (1 - zw) \sum_{k=1}^r p_k(z) p_k(w) = q(z) q(w).$$

Theorem 1 . *The properties (A) and (B) are equivalent ; furthermore, if the polynomials ρ and σ are relatively prime, then the polynomials p_k are linearly independent .*

Proof . Implication (B) \Rightarrow (A) is obtained by taking $w = \bar{z}$. For the converse, we can assume that the polynomials ρ and σ are relatively prime, otherwise we may divide them by their common factor.

As a first step, we show that there exists a polynomial q , with real coefficients and $\deg(q) \leq r$, such that

$$E(z) \equiv \rho(z) \sigma(1/z) + \rho(1/z) \sigma(z) = q(z) q(1/z). \quad (1)$$

Indeed, if we exclude the trivial case $E \equiv 0$, the roots of E are different from zero and their number (counted with their multiplicities) cannot exceed $2r$; since $E(z) = E(1/z)$, if z_j is a root of E with multiplicity n_j , $1/z_j$ is also a root of E with multiplicity n_j ; when $|z| = 1$, property (A) yields $E(z) = 2 \operatorname{Re} \rho(z) \sigma(\bar{z}) \geq 0$, therefore the multiplicities of the unimodular roots of E are even. Then E can be written as follows :

$$E(z) = a_0 \prod_{j: |z_j| \geq 1} (z - z_j)^{m_j} (1/z - \bar{z}_j)^{m_j},$$

where $m_j = n_j$ if $|z_j| > 1$ and $m_j = n_j/2$ if $|z_j| = 1$. We have $a_0 > 0$ since $E(z) \geq 0$ for $|z| = 1$. Then we can choose $q(z) = \sqrt{a_0} \prod (z - z_j)^{m_j}$; the coefficients of the polynomial q are real, since each complex root is associated with its conjugate.

Now the relation (1) is satisfied; this means that the polynomial $q(z)q(w) - \rho(z)\sigma(w) - \rho(w)\sigma(z)$ is equal to zero when $zw = 1$. It can then be written as follows

$$q(z)q(w) - \rho(z)\sigma(w) - \rho(w)\sigma(z) = (1 - zw) \sum_{k, \ell=1}^r g_{k\ell} z^{\ell-1} w^{k-1}. \quad (2)$$

By lemma 2 below, there exists a real matrix $A = (a_{ij})$, such that $G = A^T A$; the theorem follows by taking

$$p_k(z) = \sum_{i=1}^r a_{ki} z^{i-1}.$$

Since the matrix A is regular, the polynomials p_k are linearly independent.

Lemma 2. *The symmetric matrix $G = (g_{k\ell})$ is positive definite.*

Proof. For a multiple root z of $\rho + \lambda\sigma$, we have $\rho(z) + \lambda\sigma(z) = \rho'(z) + \lambda\sigma'(z) = 0$, whence $\rho(z)\sigma'(z) - \rho'(z)\sigma(z) = 0$; so that the multiple roots have a finite number of possible values. Since the polynomials ρ and σ are supposed relatively prime, the number of values of λ such that the polynomial $\rho(z) + \lambda\sigma(z)$ has multiple roots is finite. It follows that there exist a real number λ and r distinct complex numbers z_i satisfying,

$$\lambda > 0, \quad \rho(z_i) + \lambda\sigma(z_i) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(z_i) \neq 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, r. \quad (3)$$

Furthermore, property (A) yields

$$|z_i| < 1, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, r. \quad (4)$$

Now we consider the Vandermonde, $r \times r$, matrix

$$V = (v_{ij}) \quad \text{where} \quad v_{ij} = z_j^{i-1};$$

from (1) we deduce $V^* G V = B = (b_{ij})$, where

$$\begin{aligned} b_{ij} &= [q(\bar{z}_i)q(z_j) - \rho(\bar{z}_i)\sigma(z_j) - \rho(z_j)\sigma(\bar{z}_i)] / (1 - \bar{z}_i z_j) \\ &= [q(\bar{z}_i)q(z_j) + 2\lambda\sigma(\bar{z}_i)\sigma(z_j)] / (1 - \bar{z}_i z_j). \end{aligned}$$

The bound (4) allows the expansion

$$b_{ij} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} [\bar{z}_i^m q(\bar{z}_i) q(z_j) z_j^{m+2} + 2 \lambda \bar{z}_i^m \sigma(\bar{z}_i) \sigma(z_j) z_j^m] .$$

Since $\sigma(z_i) \neq 0$, for $i = 1, \dots, r$, we deduce that the matrix B , and consequently the matrix G , is positive definite .

2. Application 1. The equivalence of A- and G-stability.

In order to approximate the solution of differential equations, we consider the linear multistep method associated with the pair of polynomials (ρ, σ) . For a sequence $y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n, \dots$ of complex numbers, we denote by

E the unitary shift operator : $E y_n = y_{n+1}$. According to G. Dahlquist, the (ρ, σ) method is A-stable if, for all complex numbers λ such that $\text{Re } \lambda < 0$ and all sequences y_n satisfying $\rho(E) y_n = \lambda \sigma(E) y_n$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = 0$; or equivalently

$$\forall \lambda \in \mathbf{C}, \text{Re } \lambda < 0 \text{ and } \rho(z) - \lambda \sigma(z) = 0 \Rightarrow |z| < 1 .$$

Therefore, A-stability implies property (A), (and is equivalent to it, when the polynomials ρ and σ are relatively prime).

Consider the column vector $Y_n = (y_n, y_{n+1}, \dots, y_{n+r-1})^T$ in \mathbf{C}^r ; according to

G. Dahlquist, the (ρ, σ) method is G-stable if there exists a symmetric, positive definite matrix G such that

$$\text{Re } \rho(E) y_n \cdot \overline{\sigma(E) y_n} \leq 0 \Rightarrow Y_{n+1}^* G Y_{n+1} \leq Y_n^* G Y_n . \quad (5)$$

We have seen that the property (B) implies (2), therefore

$$q(E) y_n \cdot \overline{q(E) y_n} - 2 \text{Re } \rho(E) y_n \cdot \overline{\sigma(E) y_n} = Y_n^* G Y_n - Y_{n+1}^* G Y_{n+1} ,$$

which gives (5). Conversely, using the sequence $y_n = z^n$, we obtain the property (A) from (5). We get in another way, the following result of G. Dahlquist .

Theorem 3. *If the polynomials ρ and σ are relatively prime, A-stability and G-stability are equivalent.*

We remark also that the proof of theorem 1 provides a way to construct the matrix G .

3. Application 2. The second barrier of Dahlquist.

Theorem 4. *The order of accuracy of a linear multistep A-stable method is limited to two. For the method of order two, the best possible error constant is $1/12$.*

Proof. The order of a (ρ, σ) method is 2 if

$$\rho(e^z) / \sigma(e^z) = z - c z^3 + O(z^4), \quad (\text{as } z \rightarrow 0); \quad (6)$$

it is greater than 2 when the error constant c is zero. Furthermore A-stability and (6) imply property (B) and $\sigma(1) \neq 0$. Setting

$$\pi_k(z) = \rho_k(e^z) / \sigma(e^z), \quad \varphi(z) = \rho(e^z) / \sigma(e^z),$$

we obtain

$$z + w - c(z^3 + w^3) + (1 - e^{z+w}) \sum_{k=1}^r \pi_k(z) \pi_k(w) = \varphi(z) \varphi(w) + O(z^4 + w^4).$$

If we identify the coefficients in the Taylor expansion, we deduce that

$$\varphi(0) = \varphi'(0) = 0,$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^r \pi_k(0)^2 = 1, \quad \sum_{k=1}^r \pi_k(0) \pi_k'(0) = -1/2, \quad \sum_{k=1}^r \pi_k'(0)^2 = c + 1/6.$$

From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality $1/4 \leq c + 1/6$, whence $c \geq 1/12$.

References :

G. Dahlquist . A special stability problem for linear multistep methods. BIT 3, 27-43, 1963.

G. Dahlquist . Error analysis for a class of methods for stiff non-linear initial value problems. Lecture Notes in Mathematics n° 506, 60-74, 1976.

G. Dahlquist . G-stability is equivalent to A-stability. BIT 21, 384-401, 1978.

G. Wanner, E. Hairer and S.P. Nørsett . Order stars and stability theorems. BIT 18, 475-489, 1978.

Imprimé en France

par

l'Institut National de Recherche en Informatique et en Automatique

0
4
.
1
0

9
.
5

2
.
1