

# Approximations for All-to-all Uniform Traffic Grooming on Unidirectional Ring

Jean-Claude Bermond, David Coudert, Benjamin Lévêque

► **To cite this version:**

Jean-Claude Bermond, David Coudert, Benjamin Lévêque. Approximations for All-to-all Uniform Traffic Grooming on Unidirectional Ring. [Research Report] 2007, pp.11. <inria-00175795>

**HAL Id: inria-00175795**

**<https://hal.inria.fr/inria-00175795>**

Submitted on 1 Oct 2007

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Approximations for All-to-all Uniform Traffic Grooming on Unidirectional Ring\*

Jean-Claude Bermond, David Coudert  
Mascotte, I3S(CNRS/UNSA)-INRIA  
Sophia Antipolis, France  
`{firstname.lastname}@sophia.inria.fr`

Benjamin Lévêque  
Laboratoire G-SCOP  
38031 Grenoble, France  
`benjamin.leveque@g-scop.inpg.fr`

October 1, 2007

## Abstract

Traffic grooming in a WDM network consists of assigning to each request (lightpath) a wavelength with the constraint that a given wavelength can carry at most  $C$  requests or equivalently a request uses at most  $1/C$  of the bandwidth.  $C$  is known as the grooming ratio. A request (lightpath) need two SONET add-drop multiplexers (ADMs) at each end node ; using grooming different requests can share the same ADM. The so called traffic grooming problem consists of minimizing the total number of ADMs to be used (in order to reduce the overall cost of the network). Here we consider the traffic grooming problem in WDM unidirectional rings with all-to-all uniform unitary traffic. This problem has been optimally solved for specific values of the grooming ratio, namely  $C = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ . In this paper we present various simple constructions for the grooming problem providing good approximation of the total number of ADMs. For that we use the fact that the problem corresponds to a partition of the edges of the complete graph into subgraphs, where each subgraph has at most  $C$  edges and where the total number of vertices has to be minimized.

**Keywords:** Traffic Grooming, WDM Networks, ADM, Unidirectional Rings, Approximation Factor.

## 1 Introduction

Traffic grooming is the generic term for packing low rate signals into higher speed streams (see the surveys [7, 15, 23, 25, 31]). By using traffic grooming, one can bypass the electronics in the nodes for which there is no traffic sourced or destined to it and therefore reduce the cost of the network. Typically, in a WDM (Wavelength Division Multiplexing) network, instead of having one SONET Add Drop Multiplexer (ADM) on every wavelength at every node, it may be possible to have ADMs only for the wavelength used at that node (the other wavelengths being optically routed without electronic switching).

In SONET/WDM networks, we assign to each request  $\{i, j\}$  a fraction of the bandwidth offered by a wavelength along a path from node  $i$  to node  $j$ . If a given wavelength can carry at most  $C$  requests we can assign to each request at most  $\frac{1}{C}$  of the bandwidth.  $C$  is known as the grooming ratio. In the particular case of unidirectional rings, the routing is unique. If furthermore the traffic requirement is symmetric, then without loss of generality we will assign to each pair of symmetric requests, call a circle, a fraction of the bandwidth in the whole ring. In both cases, we need one ADM at node  $i$  and one at node  $j$ . Also, two requests with a common extremity assigned to the same wavelength shared an ADM. That is, if requests  $\{1, 2\}$  and  $\{2, 3\}$  are assigned to two different wavelengths, then we need 4 ADMs, while if they are assigned to the same wavelength we will need only 3 ADMs.

---

\*This work has been partially funded by the European project IST FET AEOLUS, COST 293 Graal and Marie-Curie RTN ADONET, and has been done in the context of the CRC CORSO with France Telecom.

The so called traffic grooming problem consists of minimizing the total number of ADMs to be used (in order to reduce the overall cost of the network). Here we study the problem for an unidirectional SONET ring with  $N$  nodes, a grooming ratio  $C$ , and an all-to-all uniform unitary traffic. This problem has been modeled as a graph partition problem in both [6] and [19]. The set of requests is modeled by a graph  $I$  where  $I = K_N$  in the all-to-all case. To a wavelength  $w$  is associated a subgraph  $B_w$  in which each edge corresponds to a request and each node to an ADM. The grooming constraint, that a wavelength can carry at most  $C$  requests, corresponds to the fact that the number of edges  $|E(B_w)|$  of each subgraph  $B_w$  is at most  $C$ . The objective is therefore to minimize the total number of vertices used in the subgraphs.

**Problem 1** (GROOMING ON UNIDIRECTIONAL CYCLE [6])

*Given a number of nodes  $N$  and a grooming ratio  $C$  find a partition of the edges of  $I = K_N$  into subgraphs  $B_w$ ,  $w = 1, \dots, W$  with  $|E(B_w)| \leq C$  such that  $\sum_{1 \leq w \leq W} |V(B_w)|$  is minimum. (This minimum will be denoted  $A(C, N)$ ).*

The traffic grooming problem has recently been extensively studied on unidirectional WDM ring, primarily in the context of variable traffic requirements [11, 14, 19, 26, 29], but the case of fixed traffic requirements has served as an important special case [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 15, 17, 18, 20, 21, 23, 27, 30]. The problem has also been studied on the path [2].

With a general set of requests,  $I \neq K_N$ , the grooming problem has been proved NP-Complete in unidirectional ring with grooming factor  $C \geq 1$  [11, 24] and in a directed path with  $C \geq 2$  [22] and so of course in general. Then a first approximation algorithm for computing the total number of ADMs with approximation factor  $\frac{C(1+\frac{1}{\lfloor C/2 \rfloor})}{\lfloor \frac{1+\sqrt{1+8C}}{2} \rfloor}$ , i.e.  $\sim \sqrt{C}$ , has been given in [19], and in [16] a  $\log(C)$ -approximation algorithm has been obtained. More recently, the grooming problem has been proved APX-Hard in [1] (i.e. there exists a constant  $c$ , such that Problem 1 can not be approximate within a factor  $c$ ).

With the all-to-all set of request,  $I = K_N$ , optimal constructions for given grooming ratio  $C$  were obtained using tools of graph and design theory [12, 13], in particular for grooming ratio  $C = 3$  [3],  $C = 4$  [20, 8],  $C = 5$  [5],  $C = 6$  [4] and  $C \geq N(N-1)/6$  [8]. However it will be a very long and difficult task to find optimal constructions for all grooming ratio. In this conditions, it is mandatory to produce good upper bounds.

Existing heuristic algorithms [18, 27, 30] as well as the approximation algorithm proposed in [19, 16] are not satisfactory for the all-to-all case.

In this paper, we will first present an asymptotical  $1 + \frac{4C}{N} + o(\frac{1}{N})$ -approximation algorithm; unfortunately the construction is valid only for large  $N$ . Then we present a very simple construction using bipartite graphs which provides a  $\gamma(C, N)$ -approximation for the total number of ADMs, where  $\gamma(C, N)$  is at most  $\sqrt{2} \frac{\sqrt{C}}{\lfloor \sqrt{C} \rfloor}$  and in many cases better (for example, for  $C = 16$   $\gamma(16, N) = \frac{5}{4}$  and for  $C = 64$   $\gamma(64, N) = \frac{4}{3}$ ). Then we show several improvements of this construction by using other bipartite graphs or tripartite graphs ; in that case  $\gamma(C, N)$  is of order  $\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}$ . Values of the approximation factor obtained with different constructions are given in Table 2 for realistic values of  $C$ .

## 2 Lower bound

A tight lower bound for Problem 1 has been given in [8] and is recalled in Theorem 2. The idea consists in using in the partition subgraphs which for a given number of edges (less than  $C$ ) have the minimum number of vertices. So let us denote by  $\rho(G)$  the ratio of a subgraph  $G$ ,  $\rho(G) = \frac{|E(G)|}{|V(G)|}$ , and by  $\rho(m)$  the maximum ratio of a subgraph with  $m$  edges. Let finally  $\rho_{\max}(C)$  denote the maximum possible ratio among all the subgraphs with  $m \leq C$  edges, that is:

$$\rho_{\max}(C) = \max \{ \rho(G) \mid |E(G)| \leq C \} = \max_{m \leq C} \rho(m)$$

Recall that  $A(C, N)$  is the minimum number of ADM's needed in an unidirectional ring with the all-to-all set of request ( $I = K_N$ ) and with a grooming ratio  $C$ . As  $A(C, N) = \sum_{1 \leq w \leq W} |V(B_w)|$ ,  $|V(B_w)| \leq \rho_{\max}(C)|E(B_w)|$  and  $\sum_{1 \leq w \leq W} |E(B_w)| = \frac{N(N-1)}{2}$  we get the following lower bound:

**Theorem 2** (LOWER BOUND [6])  $A(C, N) \geq \frac{N(N-1)}{2\rho_{\max}(C)}$ .

The value of  $\rho_{\max}(C)$  has been evaluated in Proposition 3.

**Proposition 3** ([6])

- If  $\frac{x(x-1)}{2} \leq C \leq \frac{(x+1)(x-1)}{2}$ , then  $\rho_{\max}(C) = \frac{x-1}{2}$  and the value is attained for  $K_x$ .
- If  $\frac{(x+1)(x-1)}{2} < C < \frac{(x+1)x}{2}$ , then  $\rho_{\max}(C) = \frac{C}{x+1}$  and the value is attained for any graph with  $C$  edges and  $x+1$  vertices.

Values of  $\rho_{\max}(C)$  are given in Table 2 for realistic values of  $C$ . The following corollary gives also a lower bound easier to manipulate.

**Corollary 4**  $\rho_{\max}(C) \leq \sqrt{\frac{C}{2}}$  and so  $A(C, N) \geq \frac{N(N-1)}{\sqrt{2C}}$ .

*Proof* : From Proposition 3 we know that  $\rho_{\max}(C) = \frac{x-1}{2}$  (case 1) or  $\frac{C}{x+1}$  (case 2), and we can observe that  $x = \left\lfloor \frac{1+\sqrt{1+8C}}{2} \right\rfloor$ . Thus we have

- case 1 :  $2\rho_{\max}(C) = \left\lfloor \frac{1+\sqrt{1+8C}}{2} \right\rfloor - 1 \leq \left\lfloor \frac{\sqrt{1+8C}-1}{2} \right\rfloor \leq \frac{\sqrt{8C}}{2} \leq \sqrt{2C}$  and so  $\rho_{\max}(C) \leq \sqrt{\frac{C}{2}}$
- case 2 :  $\rho_{\max}(C) = \frac{C}{\left\lfloor \frac{1+\sqrt{1+8C}}{2} \right\rfloor + 1} \leq \frac{C}{\frac{1+\sqrt{1+8C}}{2}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{C}{2}}$

So  $A(C, N) \geq \frac{N(N-1)}{\sqrt{2C}}$ . □

### 3 Asymptotic construction

It has been shown in [6] that design theory can help to solve the grooming problem. In particular, a  $G$ -design of order  $N$  (see [12] VI.24 or [9] or [10]) is nothing else than a partition of the edges of  $K_N$  into subgraphs isomorphic to a given graph  $G$ . The interest of the existence of a  $G$ -design is shown by the following immediate proposition.

**Proposition 5** ([6]) *If there exists a  $G$ -design of order  $N$ , where  $G$  is a graph with at most  $C$  edges and with ratio  $\rho_{\max}(C)$ , then  $A(C, N) = \frac{N(N-1)}{2\rho_{\max}(C)}$ .*

**Necessary conditions 6 (Existence of a  $G$ -design)** *If there exists a  $G$ -design, then*

- $\frac{N(N-1)}{2}$  should be a multiple of  $E(G)$
- $N-1$  should be a multiple of the greatest common divisor of the degrees of the vertices of  $G$ .

Wilson [28] has shown that these necessary conditions are also sufficient when  $N$  is large enough. For example, we have

**Theorem 7** ([6]) *When  $N$  is large enough, we have*

- $A(3, N) = \frac{N(N-1)}{2}$  when  $N \equiv 1$  or  $3 \pmod{6}$
- $A(4, N) = \frac{N(N-1)}{2}$  when  $N \equiv 0$  or  $1 \pmod{8}$
- $A(5, N) = \frac{2N(N-1)}{5}$  when  $N \equiv 0$  or  $1 \pmod{5}$
- $A(6, N) = A(7, N) = \frac{N(N-1)}{3}$  when  $N \equiv 1$  or  $4 \pmod{12}$
- $A(8, N) = \frac{5N(N-1)}{16}$  when  $N \equiv 0$  or  $1 \pmod{16}$
- $A(9, N) = \frac{5N(N-1)}{18}$  when  $N \equiv 0$  or  $1 \pmod{9}$
- $A(10, N) = \frac{N(N-1)}{4}$  when  $N \equiv 1$  or  $5 \pmod{20}$
- $A(15, N) = A(16, N) = \frac{N(N-1)}{5}$  when  $N \equiv 1$  or  $6$  or  $16$  or  $21 \pmod{30}$

**Construction 8** If  $N$  does not satisfy the necessary Conditions 6, we can find two integers  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  with  $N_1 \leq N \leq N_2$  satisfying the necessary Conditions 6.

We will obtain a valid construction for  $N$  by removing  $N_2 - N$  nodes and the corresponding edges from an optimal construction for  $N_2$ .

Let  $f(C, N)$  denotes the number of ADMs obtained by this construction and let  $\gamma(C, N) = \frac{f(C, N)}{A(C, N)}$  be the approximation factor of this solution.

We have  $A(C, N_1) \leq A(C, N) \leq f(C, N) \leq A(C, N_2)$  and  $\gamma(C, N) = \frac{f(C, N)}{A(C, N)} \leq \frac{A(C, N_2)}{A(C, N_1)} = \frac{N_2(N_2-1)}{N_1(N_1-1)}$ .

So we have interest to find values of  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  very near. A solution is given by the following lemma:

**Lemma 9** Let  $\alpha(C)$  be defined as follows :

- If  $\frac{x(x-1)}{2} \leq C \leq \frac{(x+1)(x-1)}{2}$ , then  $\alpha(C) = x(x-1)$ .
- If  $\frac{(x+1)(x-1)}{2} \leq C < \frac{(x+1)x}{2}$ , then  $\alpha(C) = 2C$

Let  $N_1 = \alpha(C)t + 1$  and  $N_2 = \alpha(C)(t+1) + 1$  be such that  $N_1 \leq N \leq N_2$ .

There always exists a graph  $G$  with at most  $C$  edges and ratio  $\rho_{\max}(C)$  which satisfies Conditions 6 for  $N_1$  and  $N_2$ .

*Proof :* When  $\frac{x(x-1)}{2} \leq C \leq \frac{(x+1)(x-1)}{2}$ , then  $\rho_{\max}(C)$  is attained for  $K_x$ , and so let  $G = K_x$ . Both  $N_1 - 1$  and  $N_2 - 1$  are multiple of  $\alpha(C) = x(x-1)$ ; and so the number of edges of  $K_{N_1}$  (resp.  $K_{N_2}$ )  $\frac{N_1(N_1-1)}{2}$  (resp.  $\frac{N_2(N_2-1)}{2}$ ) is a multiple of  $E(G) = \frac{x(x-1)}{2}$ . Condition (ii) is also satisfied as the degree of a vertex of  $K_{N_1}$  (resp.  $K_{N_2}$ )  $N_1 - 1$  (resp.  $N_2 - 1$ ) is a multiple of  $x - 1$  the degree of  $K_x$ .

When  $\frac{(x+1)(x-1)}{2} \leq C < \frac{(x+1)x}{2}$ , then  $\rho_{\max}(C)$  is attained for any graph with  $C$  edges and  $x + 1$  vertices. Let  $r = \frac{(x+1)x}{2} - C$ . So  $0 < r < x$ . Let  $G$  be the graph obtained from  $K_{x+1}$  by removing the edges of a path of length  $r$ .  $G$  has  $C$  edges and so Condition (i) is satisfied as  $\frac{N_1(N_1-1)}{2} = (2Ct + 1)Ct$  and  $\frac{N_2(N_2-1)}{2} = (2C(t+1) + 1)C(t+1)$  are multiples of  $E(G)$ . As  $0 < r \leq x - 1$ ,  $G$  has a vertex which is not in the path that have been removed; this vertex has degree  $x$ , and the extremities of the path have degree  $x - 1$ , so the greatest common divisor of the degrees of the vertices of  $G$  is 1. Condition (ii) is trivially satisfied.  $\square$

**Proposition 10** When  $N$  is large enough to satisfy Wilson's Theorem, Construction 8 has an approximation factor  $\gamma(C, N) \leq 1 + \frac{4C}{N} + o\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)$ .

*Proof :* From Construction 8, we have :

$$\gamma(C, N) = \frac{f(C, N)}{A(C, N)} \leq \frac{A(C, N_2)}{A(C, N_1)} = \frac{N_2(N_2-1)}{N_1(N_1-1)} = 1 + \frac{2\alpha(C)}{N_1} + \frac{\alpha(C)(\alpha(C)+1)}{N_1(N_1-1)}.$$

As in both cases  $\alpha(C) \leq 2C$  and  $N - N_1 \leq \alpha(C)$ , we obtain  $\gamma(C, N) \leq 1 + \frac{4C}{N} + o\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)$ .  $\square$

Unfortunately, the values of  $N$  for which Wilson's Theorem and so Lemma 10 applies are very large and furthermore the constructions are not explicit. So there is a need to find simpler and general constructions.

## 4 Construction using bipartite graphs

In this section, we first present a simple construction which gives an upper bound on the number of ADM's and we analyze it's approximation factor. Then, we present some improvements of this construction.

Basically our construction consists of partitioning the edges of  $K_N$  into a maximum number of bipartite graphs with at most  $C$  edges plus some small complete graphs. A complete-bipartite graph with 2 sets of  $p$  nodes each has  $p^2$  edges and a ratio of  $\frac{p}{2}$ . Therefore choosing  $p^2$  to be  $C$  or almost  $C$  we get a ratio of  $\frac{\sqrt{C}}{2}$ . As we will see in the proof of Lemma 12, the number of ADMs due to bipartite graphs dominates the total cost of the construction, and w.l.o.g we can estimate the number of ADMs by  $\frac{N(N-1)}{\sqrt{C}}$ . From Theorem 2 we know that the lower bound is larger than  $\frac{N(N-1)}{2\rho_{\max}(C)}$ . So our construction gives an approximation factor close to  $\frac{2\rho_{\max}(C)}{\sqrt{C}} \leq \sqrt{2}$  by Corollary 4.

Several constructions are possible. We first present a simple construction (Construction 11) and then some improvements.

**Construction 11** Let  $C = p^2 + p'$ ,  $0 \leq p' \leq 2p$ ; let  $N = qp + r$ ,  $0 \leq r < p$ , and let the vertices of  $K_N$  be  $V = \cup_{i=1}^q V_i \cup V_{q+1}$  with  $|V_i| = p$  and  $|V_{q+1}| = r$ .

We partition the edges of  $K_N$  into  $\frac{q(q-1)}{2} K_{p,p}$  on  $V_i \cup V_j$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq q$ , plus  $q K_{p,r}$  on  $V_i \cup V_{q+1}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq q$ , plus  $q K_p$  on  $V_i$  and one  $K_r$  on  $V_{q+1}$ .

**Lemma 12** Construction 11 is valid and uses  $(q+1)N$  ADMs.

*Proof*: First all the subgraphs of the decomposition have at most  $p^2 \leq C$  edges. Since a bipartite graph  $K_{x,y}$  has  $x+y$  vertices and a complete graph  $K_x$  has  $x$  vertices, we have  $2p \frac{q(q-1)}{2} + (p+r)q + qp + r = (q+1)(qp+r) = (q+1)N$  ADMs.  $\square$

**Corollary 13** When  $C = p^2 + p'$ ,  $0 \leq p' \leq 2p$ , and  $N = qp + r$ ,  $0 \leq r < p$ , Construction 11 provides a  $\frac{2\rho_{\max}(C)}{\lfloor \sqrt{C} \rfloor} + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) < \sqrt{2} \frac{\sqrt{C}}{\lfloor \sqrt{C} \rfloor} + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)$ -approximation of the number of ADMs.

*Proof*: Let  $\gamma(C, N)$  be the approximation factor that is the ratio between the upper bound construction and the lower bound for a given grooming factor  $C$ . We know from Theorem 2 that  $A(C, N) \geq \frac{N(N-1)}{2\rho_{\max}(C)}$ . So

$$\gamma(C, N) = (q+1)N \frac{2\rho_{\max}(C)}{N(N-1)} = 2\rho_{\max}(C) \frac{q+1}{N-1}$$

Since  $C = p^2 + p'$ , we have  $p = \lfloor \sqrt{C} \rfloor$  and  $q = \frac{N-r}{p} = \frac{N-r}{\lfloor \sqrt{C} \rfloor}$ . Thus we obtain

$$\gamma(C, N) = \frac{2\rho_{\max}(C)}{\lfloor \sqrt{C} \rfloor} \left( 1 + \frac{\lfloor \sqrt{C} \rfloor - r + 1}{N-1} \right)$$

$\square$

The above construction is very simple and provides a better approximation factor than [16]. The values of the approximation factor for some values of  $C$  are indicated in Table 2. A first improvement can be obtained by noting that some bipartite subgraphs of the decomposition have strictly less than  $C$  edges and therefore we can add to them some edges of the  $K_p$ 's and of the  $K_r$ . That is always the case for the  $K_{p,r}$  as  $pr < p^2 \leq C$  and also for the  $K_{p,p}$  when  $C > p^2$ . Doing so we can get ride of the  $O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)$  in Corollary 13.

	Upper bound	Ratio Upper bound over Lower bound
$q + 1 \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$	$\frac{q+2}{2}N$	$\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{1 + \frac{p'}{3p^2}} \left(1 + \frac{2p-r+1}{N-1}\right)$
$q + 1 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$	$\frac{q+2}{2}N + 2p$	$\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{1 + \frac{p'}{3p^2}} \left(1 + \frac{2p-r+1}{N-1} + \frac{4p^2}{N(N-1)}\right)$
$q + 1 \equiv 0, 4 \pmod{12}$	$\frac{q+2}{2}N + p\frac{q+1}{4}$	$\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{1 + \frac{p'}{3p^2}} \left(1 + \frac{5p-2r+2}{2(N-1)} + \frac{p(p-r)}{2N(N-1)}\right)$
$q + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$	$\frac{q+2}{2}N + p \lceil \frac{q-1}{4} \rceil + 2p$	$\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{1 + \frac{p'}{3p^2}} \left(1 + \frac{2p-r+1}{N-1} + \frac{2p^2 \lceil \frac{q+7}{4} \rceil}{N(N-1)}\right)$
$q + 1 \equiv 6, 10 \pmod{12}$	$\frac{q+2}{2}N + p\frac{q+3}{4}$	$\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{1 + \frac{p'}{3p^2}} \left(1 + \frac{5p-2r+2}{2(N-1)} + \frac{p(3p-r)}{2N(N-1)}\right)$

Table 1: Upper bound and ratio with lower bound for Construction 21

**Construction 14** Let  $C = p^2$ ,  $N = qp + r$ ,  $0 < r < p$  be such that  $\frac{r(r-1)}{2} \leq q \left(C - pr - \frac{p(p-1)}{2}\right)$ .

The construction consists of partitioning the edges of  $K_N$  into  $\frac{q(q-1)}{2} K_{p,p}$  on  $V_i \cup V_j$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq q$ , plus  $q$  subgraphs on  $V_i \cup V_{q+1}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq q$  containing the  $pr$  edges of the  $K_{p,r}$  between  $V_i$  and  $V_{q+1}$  plus the  $\frac{p(p-1)}{2}$  of the  $K_p$  on  $V_i$  and some edges of the  $K_r$  on  $V_{q+1}$ .

**Lemma 15** Let  $C = p^2$ ,  $N = qp + r$ ,  $0 < r < p$  be such that  $\frac{r(r-1)}{2} \leq q \left(C - pr - \frac{p(p-1)}{2}\right)$ . Construction 14 is valid and provides a  $\frac{2\rho_{\max}(C)}{\sqrt{C}} \leq \sqrt{2}$ -approximation of the total number of ADMs.

*Proof* : The subgraphs  $K_{p,p}$  have  $p^2 = C$  edges. Each other subgraph contains the  $pr$  edges of the  $K_{p,r}$  between  $V_i$  and  $V_{q+1}$  plus the  $\frac{p(p-1)}{2}$  of the  $K_p$  on  $V_i$ . So we can still use  $C - pr - \frac{p(p-1)}{2} > 0$  edges of the  $K_r$  on  $V_{q+1}$ ; and altogether we can use all the edges of  $K_r$  as  $\frac{r(r-1)}{2} \leq q \left(C - pr - \frac{p(p-1)}{2}\right)$ .

Construction 14 uses  $q(q-1)p + q(p+r) = q(qp+r) = qN$  ADMs. So it has an approximation factor  $\gamma(C, N) = qN \frac{2\rho_{\max}(C)}{N(N-1)} = \frac{2\rho_{\max}(C)}{p} \frac{N-r}{(N-1)}$ . Since  $C = p^2$ , we have  $\gamma(C, N) = \frac{2\rho_{\max}(C)}{\sqrt{C}} \left(1 - \frac{r-1}{N-1}\right)$ , and since  $0 < r$ , we obtain  $\gamma(C, N) \leq \frac{2\rho_{\max}(C)}{\sqrt{C}} \leq \sqrt{2}$ .  $\square$

This strategy allows us to win a small amount of ADMs (at most  $N$ ). For example, when  $C = 16$ ,  $p = 4$ ,  $q = 4$ ,  $r = 1$  and so  $N = 17$ , Construction 11 use  $5 \times 17 = 85$  ADMs and Construction 14 use 68 ADMs, that is a saving of 17 ADMs.

**Construction 16** Let  $C = p^2 + p'$ ,  $0 < p' \leq 2p$  and  $N = qp + r$ ,  $0 \leq r < p$ , be such that  $(q-1)p' \geq p(p-1)$ .

The construction consists of partitioning the edges of  $K_N$  into  $\frac{q(q-1)}{2}$  subgraphs on  $V_i \cup V_j$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq q$  containing the  $p^2$  edges of the  $K_{p,p}$  between  $V_i$  and  $V_j$  plus some edges of one of the  $K_p$ , plus  $q$  subgraphs on  $V_i \cup V_{q+1}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq q$  containing the  $pr$  edges of the  $K_{p,r}$  between  $V_i$  and  $V_{q+1}$  plus some edges of the  $K_r$  on  $V_{q+1}$ .

**Lemma 17** Let  $C = p^2 + p'$ ,  $0 < p' \leq 2p$  and  $N = qp + r$ ,  $0 \leq r < p$  be such that  $(q-1)p' \geq p(p-1)$ .

Construction 16 is valid and provides a  $\frac{2\rho_{\max}(C)}{\lfloor \sqrt{C} \rfloor} \leq \sqrt{2} \frac{\sqrt{C}}{\lfloor \sqrt{C} \rfloor}$ -approximation of the total number of ADMs.

*Proof* : The subgraphs on  $V_i \cup V_j$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq q$  use the  $p^2$  edges of  $K_{p,p}$  and  $p'$  edges of one of the  $K_p$ . Altogether we can use all the edges of the  $K_p$  as by the condition  $\frac{q(q-1)}{2}p' \geq \frac{p(p-1)}{2}q$ . In each  $K_{p,r}$  we can use  $p^2 + p' - pr = p(p-r) + p' > p$  edges (since  $r < p$ ) of the  $K_r$  and altogether all the edges of  $K_r$ .

Construction 16 uses  $q(q-1)p + q(p+r) = q(qp+r) = qN$  ADMs. So it has the desired approximation factor.  $\square$

Note that in some cases the approximation factor can be strictly larger than  $\sqrt{2}$  due to the integer part of  $\sqrt{C}$ . For example if  $C = 8$ ,  $\lfloor \sqrt{C} \rfloor = 2$  but  $\rho_{\max}(C) = \frac{8}{5}$  and the approximation factor is  $\frac{8}{5} = 1.6 > \sqrt{2}$ . For  $C = 15$ ,  $\lfloor \sqrt{C} \rfloor = 3$ ,  $\rho_{\max}(C) = \frac{5}{2}$  and the approximation factor is  $\frac{5}{3}$ . The next construction helps to deal with these cases where  $C = p_1 p_2$ .

**il faut revoir l'ensemble de la construction et les calculs, car Benjamin a raison...**

**Construction 18** Let  $C = p_1 p_2 + p'$ ,  $p_1 \leq p_2$  and  $0 \leq p' \leq p_1 p_2$ ; let also  $N = q p_1 p_2 + r$ ,  $0 \leq r < p_1 p_2$ . Let the vertices of  $K_N$  be  $V = \cup_{i=1}^q V_i \cup V_{q+1}$  with  $|V_i| = p_1 p_2$  and  $|V_{q+1}| = r$ .

We partition the edges of  $K_N$  into  $\frac{q(q-1)}{2} K_{p_1 p_2, p_1 p_2}$  on  $V_i \cup V_j$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq q$ , plus  $q K_{p_1 p_2, r}$  on  $V_i \cup V_{q+1}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq q$ , plus  $q K_{p_1 p_2}$  on  $V_i$  and one  $K_r$  on  $V_{q+1}$ .

Then we partition each  $K_{p_1 p_2, p_1 p_2}$  into  $p_1 p_2 K_{p_1, p_2}$  and each  $K_{p_1 p_2, r}$ , where  $r = \alpha_1 p_1 + \beta_1$ , into  $p_1 \alpha_1 K_{p_1, p_2}$  plus  $p_1 K_{\beta_1, p_2}$ .

Finally, we partition each  $K_{p_1 p_2}$  into  $\frac{p_2(p_2-1)}{2} K_{p_1, p_1}$  plus  $p_2 K_{p_1}$ , and each  $K_r$  into  $\frac{\alpha_1(\alpha_1-1)}{2} K_{p_1, p_1}$  plus  $\alpha_1 K_{p_1, \beta_1}$  and  $\alpha_1 K_{p_1}$  and  $1 K_{\beta_1}$ . All this subgraphs have size  $\leq p_1^2 \leq C$ .

**Lemma 19** Let  $C = p_1 p_2 + p'$ , and  $N = q p_1 p_2 + r$ ,  $0 \leq r < p_1 p_2$ , Construction 18 is valid and provides a  $\frac{\rho_{\max}(C)(p_1+p_2)}{p_1 p_2}$ -approximation of the total number of ADMs.

*Proof* : As  $\beta_1 < p_1$  and so each  $K_{\beta_1, p_2}$  and  $K_{p_1, p_2}$  has at most  $p_1 p_2 \leq C$  edges, the construction is valid.

The total number of ADMs is  $\frac{q(q-1)}{2} p_1 p_2 (p_1 + p_2) + q(\alpha_1 p_1 (p_1 + p_2) + p_1 (\beta_1 + p_2)) + q p_1 p_2^2 + \alpha_1 (\alpha_1 - 1) p_1 + \alpha_1 (2 p_1 + \beta_1) + \beta_1$ . Using  $N = q p_1 p_2 + r$  we get  $\frac{N(N-1)(p_1+p_2)}{p_1 p_2} + O(N)$  ADMs and so the approximation factor.  $\square$

Remark : We can also modify the construction like we did before to include the edges of the  $K_{p_1 p_2}$  or  $K_r$  in the bipartite subgraphs, and therefore get ride in many cases of the  $o(\frac{1}{N})$ .

Note that for Construction 18, we have many possible choices for  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ , and  $p'$  in the decomposition. Of course we have interest to choose  $p'$  as small as possible, but also to choose  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  in order to minimize  $\frac{p_1+p_2}{p_1 p_2}$ ; that can be achieved by choosing  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  near from each other but not necessarily equal.

For example, let  $C = 32$ . We can write  $32 = 4 \times 8$ , or  $32 = 5 \times 5 + 7$ , or  $32 = 5 \times 6 + 2$ . If we use Construction 11 with  $C = 5 \times 5 + 7$  the approximation factor is  $\frac{2}{5} \rho_{\max}(C)$ ; if we choose  $32 = 4 \times 8$  in Construction 18 we get an approximation factor  $\frac{12}{32} \rho_{\max}(C)$  which is better since  $\frac{12}{32} < \frac{2}{5}$ . But we can do better using  $32 = 5 \times 6 + 2$  in Construction 18 getting an approximation factor  $\frac{11}{30} \rho_{\max}(C)$ .

It follows that for many values, Construction 18 is better than Construction 11 (or its variants), which in fact corresponds to the particular case where  $p_1 = p_2$ .

For example if  $C = 8 = 2 \times 4$ ,  $\rho_{\max}(C) = \frac{8}{5}$  and the approximation factor is  $\frac{8}{5} \frac{6}{8} = 1.2$  to be compared with  $\frac{8}{5} = 1.6$ . For  $C = 15 = 3 \times 5$ ,  $\rho_{\max}(C) = \frac{5}{2}$  and the approximation factor is  $\frac{5}{2} \times \frac{8}{15} = \frac{4}{3}$  to be compared with  $\frac{5}{3}$ .

## 5 Construction with tripartite graphs

In the previous section we have shown that using a partition of  $K_N$  into small bipartite graphs, it is possible to obtain a  $\frac{2\rho_{\max}(C)}{\lfloor \sqrt{C} \rfloor} + O(\frac{1}{N})$ -approximation of the total number of ADMs. We will now show that using small multipartite graphs it is possible to drastically improve the approximation factor.

We will use the optimal decomposition of  $K_N$  obtained in [3] for grooming factor  $C = 3$ , and reported here in Theorem 20, to obtain a  $\frac{\rho_{\max}(C)}{\lfloor \sqrt{\frac{C}{3}} \rfloor} + O(\frac{1}{N})$

**Theorem 20 (Theorem 4 of [3])** Let  $n \geq 2$ . There exist a partition of  $K_n$  using



- if  $n \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$ ,  $\frac{n(n-1)}{6} K_3$
- if  $n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ ,  $\frac{n(n-1)-8}{6} K_3$  and  $2 P_3$
- if  $n \equiv 0, 4 \pmod{12}$ ,  $\frac{n(n-1)}{6} - \frac{n}{4} K_3$  and  $\frac{n}{4} K_{1,3}$
- if  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$ ,  $\frac{n(n-1)-2}{6} - \lceil \frac{n-2}{4} \rceil K_3$ ,  $\lceil \frac{n-2}{4} \rceil K_{1,3}$  and  $1 e$
- if  $n \equiv 6, 10 \pmod{12}$ ,  $\frac{n(n-1)}{6} - \frac{n+2}{4} K_3$ ,  $\frac{n-2}{4} K_{1,3}$  and  $1 P_4$

where  $P_3$  is a path with 3 vertices,  $P_4$  a path with 4 vertices,  $e$  a single edge and  $K_{1,3}$  a complete bipartite graph between a set of size 1 and a set of size 3 (also call a claw or a 3-star).

**Construction 21** Let  $C = 3p^2 + p'$ ,  $0 \leq p' < 6p + 3$  and  $N = qp + r$ ,  $0 \leq r < p$ , and let the vertices of  $K_N$  be  $\cup_{i=1}^q V_i \cup V_{q+1}$ , with  $|V_i| = p$  and  $|V_{q+1}| = r$ .

Given a partition of  $K_{q+1}$  obtained from Theorem 20, we associate to node  $i$  of  $K_{q+1}$  the set of nodes  $V_i$  when  $1 \leq i \leq q + 1$  and we replace each edge of the partition of  $K_{q+1}$  by the corresponding  $K_{p,p}$  or  $K_{p,r}$ . By adding the  $q K_p$  plus the  $K_r$  corresponding to the groups  $V_i$  and  $V_{q+1}$ , we obtain a valid partition of  $K_N$ .

**Lemma 22** Construction 21 provides a  $\frac{\rho_{\max}(C)}{\lfloor \sqrt{\frac{C}{3}} \rfloor} + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)$ -approximation of the total number of ADMs.

*Proof :*

Let us analyze the number of ADMs of Construction 21.

- When  $q + 1 \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$ , each node of  $K_{q+1}$  appears in  $\frac{q}{2} K_3$ . So the partition uses  $pq\frac{q}{2} + r\frac{q}{2} + qp + r = \frac{q+2}{2}N$  ADMs.
- When  $q + 1 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$  and according to the proof of Theorem 20 of [3], the  $2 P_3$  of the partition of  $K_{q+1}$  contains the edges  $x - u, u - y$  and  $x - v, v - y$ . So nodes  $x$  and  $y$  appears one more time than the others. Assuming that these nodes are replaced by groups of size  $p$ , the partition of  $K_N$  uses  $\frac{q+2}{2}N + 2p$  ADMs.
- When  $q + 1 \equiv 0, 4 \pmod{12}$ ,  $\frac{q+1}{4}$  nodes of  $K_{q+1}$  appears one more time than the others. Let us assume that these nodes are replaced by groups of size  $p$ . So we obtain  $\frac{q+2}{2}N + p\frac{q+1}{4}$  ADMs.
- When  $q + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$ ,  $\lceil \frac{q-1}{4} \rceil$  nodes of  $K_{q+1}$  appears one more time than the others and two other nodes appears one more times. Let us assume that these nodes are replaced by groups of size  $p$ . So we obtain  $\frac{q+2}{2}N + \lceil \frac{q-1}{4} \rceil p + 2p$  ADMs.
- When  $q + 1 \equiv 6, 10 \pmod{12}$ ,  $\frac{q+3}{4}$  nodes of  $K_{q+1}$  appears one more time than the others. Let us assume that these nodes are replaced by groups of size  $p$ . We obtain  $\frac{q+2}{2}N + \frac{q+3}{4}p$  ADMs.

The results are summarized in Table 1 In all the cases the total number of ADMs is  $\frac{N(N-1)}{2p} + O(N)$  giving the approximation factor  $\frac{\rho_{\max}(C)}{\lfloor \sqrt{\frac{C}{3}} \rfloor}$  as  $p = \lfloor \sqrt{\frac{C}{3}} \rfloor$  □

This approximation factor can be improved by using the optimal construction for grooming factor  $C = 6$  presented in [4], that is a partition of  $K_N$  into quadripartite graphs and so on.

$C$	8	9	12	15	16	32	48	64	192
$\rho_{\max}(C)$	$\frac{8}{5}$	$\frac{9}{5}$	2	$\frac{5}{2}$	$\frac{5}{2}$	$\frac{32}{9}$	$\frac{9}{2}$	$\frac{16}{3}$	$\frac{19}{2}$
$\gamma$ for Cons. 11	1.6	1.2	1.33	1.67	1.25	1.42	1.5	1.33	1.46
$\gamma$ for Cons. 18	1.2	1.2	1.17	1.33	1.25	1.3	1.31	1.33	1.39
$\gamma$ for Cons. 21	1.6	1.8	1	1.25	1.25	1.19	1.13	1.33	1.19

Table 2: Approximation factor of the different constructions (up to  $O(\frac{1}{N})$ ).

## 6 Construction with multipartite graphs

Here we give some constructions using decomposition of multipartite graphs which work for some values of  $N$ .

**Construction 23** Let  $C = 3p^2$  and  $N = 3^a p$ ,  $a \geq 1$ .

From the existence of 3-GDD of type  $u^3$  [12], that is a partition of the tripartite graph  $K_{u,u,u}$  into  $K_3$ ,  $u \geq 1$ , we know that  $K_{up,up,up}$  can be partition into  $u^2$   $K_{p,p,p}$ . Thus, we partition the edges of  $K_N$  as follows

1. If  $N = 3p$  (i.e.  $a = 1$ ), partition  $K_{3p}$  into one  $K_{p,p,p}$  and 3  $K_p$ .
2. Otherwise
  - (a) Partition the edges of  $K_N$  into 3  $K_{3^{a-1}p}$  and one  $K_{3^{a-1}p, 3^{a-1}p, 3^{a-1}p}$
  - (b) Partition  $K_{3^{a-1}p, 3^{a-1}p, 3^{a-1}p}$  into  $(3^{a-1})^2$   $K_{p,p,p}$
  - (c) Repeat the process on each  $K_{3^{a-1}p}$

One can check that we have partitioned  $K_N$  into  $\sum_{i=0}^{a-1} 3^i (3^{a-i-1})^2 = \frac{3^a(3^a-1)}{6} = \frac{N(N-p)}{6p^2}$   $K_{p,p,p}$  and  $3^a = \frac{N}{p}$   $K_p$ .

**Lemma 24** Construction 23 uses  $\frac{N(N+p)}{2p}$  ADMs and provide a  $\frac{\rho_{\max}(C)}{\sqrt{\frac{C}{3}}} + O(\frac{1}{N})$ -approximation of the total number of ADMs.

*Proof:* Construction 23 uses  $3p \frac{N(N-p)}{6p^2} + p \frac{N}{p} = \frac{N(N+p)}{2p}$  ADMs. Thus it has approximation factor  $\gamma(C, N) = \frac{N(N+p)}{p} \frac{\rho_{\max}(C)}{N(N-1)} = \frac{\rho_{\max}(C)}{\sqrt{\frac{C}{3}}} \left(1 + \frac{p+1}{N-1}\right)$ .  $\square$

The same simple idea can be used when  $C = 6p^2$  and  $N = 4^a p$ , using a partition of  $K_{up,up,up,up}$  into  $u^2$   $K_{p,p,p,p}$ , and we will obtain a  $\sqrt{\frac{4}{3}} + O(\frac{1}{N})$ -approximation. Similarly, when  $C = 10p^2$  and  $N = 5^a p$  we will obtain a  $\sqrt{\frac{5}{4}} + O(\frac{1}{N})$ -approximation, and more generally, when  $C = \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} p^2$  and  $N = \alpha^a p$  we will obtain a  $\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} + O(\frac{1}{N})$ -approximation.

Unfortunately, such construction applies only for a few values of  $N$ . So the constructions that we have presented in Section 4 and 5 are more interesting in practice.

Also, depending on the value of the grooming factor, one has to choose the most efficient construction. For example, for small values of  $C$ , Construction 18 performs better than the others, which is no longer the case for larger values of  $C$  as shown in Table 2.

## 7 Conclusion

In this paper, using tools of design theory, we have given different approximate constructions for all-to-all traffic grooming in unidirectional ring. These simple constructions might also be used to compute good solutions for very dense set of requests, i.e. instances that are almost all-to-all, for which only  $O(\log C)$ -approximation algorithms are known so far. The traffic grooming problem being APX-Hard [1], this work represents an important step toward the conception of tight approximation algorithms for practical instances.

## References

- [1] O. Amini, S. Pérennes, and I. Sau. Hardness of approximating the traffic grooming problem. In *9ème Rencontres Francophones sur les Aspects Algorithmiques des Télécommunications*, pages 45–48, Ile d’Oléron,, May 2007.
- [2] J.-C. Bermond, L. Braud, and D. Coudert. Traffic grooming on the path. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 2007, to appear.
- [3] J.-C. Bermond and S. Ceroi. Minimizing SONET ADMs in unidirectional WDM ring with grooming ratio 3. *Networks*, 41(2):83–86, 2003.
- [4] J.-C. Bermond, C. Colbourn, D. Coudert, G. Ge, A. Ling, and X. Muñoz. Traffic grooming in unidirectional WDM rings with grooming ratio  $C=6$ . *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 19(2):523–542, 2005.
- [5] J.-C. Bermond, C. Colbourn, A. Ling, and M.-L. Yu. Grooming in unidirectional rings:  $K_4 - e$  designs. *Discrete Mathematics, Lindner’s Volume*, 284(1-3):57–62, 2004.
- [6] J.-C. Bermond and D. Coudert. Traffic grooming in unidirectional WDM ring networks using design theory. In *IEEE ICC*, Anchorage, Alaska, May 2003. ON07-3.
- [7] J.-C. Bermond and D. Coudert. *The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs (2nd edition)*, volume 42 of *Discrete Mathematics and Its Applications*, chapter VI.27, Grooming, pages 493–496. CRC Press, C.J. Colbourn and J.H. Dinitz edition, Nov. 2006.
- [8] J.-C. Bermond, D. Coudert, and X. Muñoz. Traffic grooming in unidirectional WDM ring networks: the all-to-all unitary case. In *The 7th IFIP Working Conference on Optical Network Design & Modelling – ONDM’03*, pages 1135–1153, Feb. 2003.
- [9] J.-C. Bermond, C. Huang, A. Rosa, and D. Sotteau. Decomposition of complete graphs into isomorphic subgraphs with five vertices. *Ars Combinatoria*, pages 211–254, 1980.
- [10] J.-C. Bermond and D. Sotteau. Graph decompositions and  $G$ -designs. In *5th British Combinatorial conference*, Congressus Numerantium 15 Utilitas math. Pub., pages 53–72, Aberdeen, 1975.
- [11] A. L. Chiu and E. H. Modiano. Traffic grooming algorithms for reducing electronic multiplexing costs in WDM ring networks. *IEEE/OSA Journal of Lightwave Technology*, 18(1):2–12, Jan. 2000.
- [12] C. Colbourn and J. Dinitz, editors. *The CRC handbook of Combinatorial designs (2nd edition)*, volume 42. CRC Press, Nov. 2006.
- [13] J. Dinitz and D. Stinson, editors. *Contemporary design theory: A collection of Surveys*. Discrete Mathematics and Optimization. Wiley-Interscience, 1992.
- [14] R. Dutta and N. Rouskas. On optimal traffic grooming in WDM rings. *IEEE Journal of Selected Areas in Communications*, 20(1):1–12, Jan. 2002.

- [15] R. Dutta and N. Rouskas. Traffic grooming in WDM networks: Past and future. *IEEE Network*, 16(6):46–56, November/December 2002.
- [16] M. Flammini, L. M. M. Shalom, and S. Zaks. Approximating the traffic grooming problem. In *16th Annual International Conference on Algorithms and Computation (ISAAC)*. LNCS, 2005.
- [17] O. Gerstel, P. Lin, and G. Sasaki. Wavelength assignment in a WDM ring to minimize cost of embedded SONET rings. In *IEEE Infocom*, pages 94–101, San Francisco, California, 1998.
- [18] O. Gerstel, R. Ramaswani, and G. Sasaki. Cost-effective traffic grooming in WDM rings. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking*, 8(5):618–630, 2000.
- [19] O. Goldschmidt, D. Hochbaum, A. Levin, and E. Olinick. The SONET edge-partition problem. *Networks*, 41(1):13–23, 2003.
- [20] J. Hu. Optimal traffic grooming for wavelength-division-multiplexing rings with all-to-all uniform traffic. *OSA Journal of Optical Networks*, 1(1):32–42, 2002.
- [21] J. Hu. Traffic grooming in wdm ring networks: A linear programming solution. *OSA Journal of Optical Networks*, 1(11):397–408, 2002.
- [22] S. Huang, R. Dutta, and G. N. Rouskas. Traffic grooming in path, star, and tree networks: Complexity, bounds, and algorithms. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 24(4):66–82, Apr. 2006.
- [23] E. Modiano and P. Lin. Traffic grooming in WDM networks. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 39(7):124–129, July 2001.
- [24] M. Shalom, W. Unger, and S. Zaks. On the complexity of the traffic grooming problem in optical networks. In *4th International Conference on Fun With Algorithms*, Castiglioncello (LI), Tuscany, Italy, June 2007.
- [25] A. Somani. Survivable traffic grooming in WDM networks. In D. Gautam, editor, *Broad band optical fiber communications technology – BBOFCT*, pages 17–45, Jalgaon, India, December 2001. Nirtali Prakashan. Invited paper.
- [26] P.-J. Wan, G. Calinescu, L. Liu, and O. Frieder. Grooming of arbitrary traffic in SONET/WDM BLSRs. *IEEE Journal of Selected Areas in Communications*, 18(10):1995–2003, Oct. 2000.
- [27] J. Wang, W. Cho, V. Vemuri, and B. Mukherjee. Improved approaches for cost-effective traffic grooming in WDM ring networks: Ilp formulations and single-hop and multihop connections. *IEEE/OSA Journal of Lightwave Technology*, 19(11):1645–1653, Nov. 2001.
- [28] R. Wilson. Decomposition of complete graphs into subgraphs isomorphic to a given graph. *Congressus numerantium*, 15:647–659, Nov. 1976.
- [29] X. Yuan and A. Fulatory. Wavelength assignment to minimize the number of SONET ADMs in WDM rings. In *IEEE ICC*, New York, Apr. 2002.
- [30] X. Zhang and C. Qiao. An effective and comprehensive approach for traffic grooming and wavelength assignment in SONET/WDM rings. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking*, 8(5):608–617, 2000.
- [31] K. Zhu and B. Mukherjee. A review of traffic grooming in WDM optical networks: Architectures and challenges. *Optical Networks Magazine*, 4(2):55–64, March/April 2003.