

### Phonological overgeneration in Paninian system

Malhar Kulkarni

### ▶ To cite this version:

Malhar Kulkarni. Phonological overgeneration in Paninian system. First International Sanskrit Computational Linguistics Symposium, INRIA Paris-Rocquencourt, Oct 2007, Rocquencourt, France. inria-00207929

### HAL Id: inria-00207929 https://inria.hal.science/inria-00207929

Submitted on 18 Jan 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

### PHONOLOGICAL OVERGENERATION IN PANINIAN SYSTEM

### Malhar Kulkarni

IIT, Powai, Mumbai- 400076 and

M.M. Vasudevashastri Abhyankarashastri Pathashala, Pune.

malhar@iitb.ac.in

### **ABSTRACT**

In this paper an attempt is made to study the problem of overgeneration that is caused by the application of the system of  $P\bar{a}nini$  The system of  $P\bar{a}nini$  is made up of certain rules stated by him and his commentators namely,  $K\bar{a}ty\bar{a}yana$  and  $Pata\tilde{n}jali$ . These rules are supposed to produce the forms that are used in the language, i.e. Sanskrit. However, sometimes the technical application of these rules produces such forms which are not actually used in the language. In fact, sometimes it is beyond human capacities to use such forms. In the present paper two such cases dealing with the phonological overgeneration are studied and possible solutions are proposed to avoid the problem.

### 1. INTRODUCTION:

It has been demonstrated by Kiparsky and Staal(1988) how Paninian system functions on four levels, namely, semantic, deep structure, surface and phonological. This system however sometimes over-generates in perhaps, some of these levels. Of course *Pāṇini* (P) has no doubt laid down certain constraints with the help of which the system produces supposedly un-overgenerated forms. Prince and Smolensky (2002), have devoted a section on Panini's theorem of constraint ranking (5.3) Of course our judgement regarding the over-generativeness of a rule in the *Aṣṭādhyāyī*(A), it must be admitted here, is based entirely upon whatever evidance in the form of pre-paninian literature available to us.

### 2. PHONOLOGICAL OVER-GENERATION

This paper is devoted to phonological over-generation that still happens with all the possible constraints applying. There are two aspects that are studied in this paper,

(1) Nasalization and (2) Phonetic doubling

#### 2.1. Nasalization:

8.4.45 states that *yar*<sup>1</sup> occurring at the end of a *pada*, is optionally, (*preferably*, according to Kiparsky1980:1) substituted by the nasal, if a nasal follows.

```
(1)
etad murāriḥ
= etan murāriḥ / etad murāriḥ ... 8.4.45
```

*Kātyāyana*(K) has added a *Vārttika*(V) on this rule, to the effect that this nasalization takes place permanently if the following nasal is a part of a suffix

```
tad + maya
= tan-maya ... 8.4.45 + K's V.
= tanmaya
```

### 2.1.1. Enviornment for nasalization:

However, if we look at the way P has stated this rule, we have to take into account following table which shows clearly all possible environments in which this rule should apply and the possible results in the form of substitution of a nasal consonant. The top row and the left column, in the table, show the possible environment. The bottom row shows the resultant nasal consonant in place of the consonant written in the same column in top row. Thus for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>These phonemes are- all the stops including the nasals, semi vowels(y, r,l,v) and sibilants except h.

instance,

[..y] 
$$+$$
 [n..] / [m..] / ...  $8.4.45 + \text{K's V}$  = [..y#]  $+$  [n..] / [m..] /

Table 1 shows that any consonant mentioned in the top row occuring at the end of a *pada* and followed by any of the nasal consonants mentioned in the left hand column, is substituted by the nasal consonant shown in the bottom row. # mark is used to show the nasal feature in the bottom row. \* shows that these substitutions are not attested in Sanskrit. The order of sounds followed by P in his *pratyāhāra sūtra*s is maintained here.

There are certain sounds in this table which are directly not applicable for this operation as they never occur at the end of a pada in Sanskrit. Such sounds are- y,l,, i,  $\tilde{n}$ , jh, bh, gh, dh, dh, kh, ph, ch. Some grammatical entries do end in some of these sounds and hence it can be argued that by applying operations related to 0 suffix, one can generate padas with these sounds at the end. However, this argument does not hold valid as in the case of these consonants, the other rules namely, 8.2.30, 8.2.39 etc. will substitute them with the other consonants.

Thus consider the following example-

		•
(3)		
gumph		 Dhātupāṭha 6.31
gumph	+ kvip	 3.2.178
gu ph	+ kvip	 6.4.24
gu ph	+ 0	 6.1.67
= guph		
guph	+ su	 4.1.1,2
guph	+ 0	 6.1.68
gub		 8.2.39
gub/gup		 8.4.56
= ouh / oun		

= gub / gup

In the same way, other consonants will be substituted.

### 2.1.2. Overgenerated nasalization:

Now the rule, applied to all the remaining consonants should also apply to the following example-

= catu nmukha

However, this resultant form is not acceptable in Sanskrit. This is clearly an over- eneration.

8.4.58 states the substitution of a nasal in place of an *anusvāra* when followed by almost same consonants(called as *yay* by P) mentioned in the top row of

Table 1 above except the last three. The rule can be shown as-

Thus by applying this rule we get forms like *kantha*, *aìkita*, *guṃphita* etc. Consider however, the following example-

```
(5)
kundam rathena
kundam rathena ... 8.3.23
kundan rathena ... 8.4.58
```

The resultant form here is not acceptable in Sanskrit. This is again over-generation.

One may argue about redundancy being the feature of use of the *pratyāhāras* in the metalangauge of However, the tradition has taken pains in creating a constraint to check such forms in the form of statements in this regard. Pa in the context of the above example says-

rephoṣmaṇām savarṇā na santi  $^2$ . (the sounds r and the sibilants do not have any homogenious(nasal))

There are at least some constraints in the form of statements of the later commentators to check the overgeneration as shown above. However, in the case of phonetic doubling mentioned below, we see hardly any constraint to check the overgeneration.

### 2.2. Phonetic doubling:

P in his A has dealt with the process of reduplication at three places; (i) 6.1.1-12<sup>3</sup>, (ii) 8.1.1-15, (iii) 8.4.46-52. (i) deals with the reduplication of verbal roots in the forms of present as well as perfect tense and also in forming complex verbal roots such as desiderative and frequentative. In a nutshell, this reduplication applies to the *aiga* in Paninian terminology. (ii) deals with the reduplication of the entire pada. The last section in the A mentioned above, deals with the reduplication of the consonants. The paninian tradition has augmented the existing set of rules laid down by P in this section, in the form of Vārttikas (maily written by K) in this regard and the later tradition has interpreted certain statements of Patañjali(Pa) in such a manner that the resultant forms can only be termed as over-generated ones. The later paninian tradition has done this exercise at many places and has come up with such overgenerated

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>VyākaraëaMahābhāsya of Patañjali, 2001, Vol.1, p 130.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>More recently, Kiparsky in a forthcoming article available on his webpage, has discussed it.

Table 1:	Consonants and	their substitutes	according to 8.4.45	
Iudic I.	Combonanto ana	dicii bacoditates	according to 0.1.15	

	у	v	r	1	ñ	m	ń	ņ	n	jh	bh	gh	фh	dh	j	b	g	ġ	d	kh	ph	ch	ţh	th	с	ţ	t	k	p	ś	ş	S
'n																																
ñ																																
ņ																																
n																																
m																																
	у	v	ņ	1	ñ	m	ń	ņ	n	ñ	m	ń	ņ	n	ñ	m	'n	ņ	n	ń	m	ñ	ņ	n	ñ	ņ	n	ń	m	ñ	ņ	n
	#	#	*	#																										*	*	*

Table 2: Enviornment for Phonetic doubling

1	2	3 Consonant Reduplicated	4	Rule of Panini
vowel	r/h	yar	_	8.4.46
_	vowel	yar	No vowel	8.4.47
vowel	Yaṇ	may	_	K & Pat on 8.4.47
vowel	may	yaṇ	_	As above
_	Ś ar	khay	_	As above
_	khay	Ś ar	_	As above

forms. The such extreme cases are presented in this paper and an attempt is made to study the approach of the Paninian system to handle this phenomenon.

(6) putrādinī tvam asi pāpe

(Oh! son-eater woman, shame on you!)

puttrādinī sarpinī

(she-snake is son-eater.)

In this case, t is seen reduplicated alongwith the change in the meaning. This case is noted by 8.4.48.

### 2.2.1. Enviornment for Phonetic doubling:

In the same section, some other phonemes are also noted for their reduplicated occurrence. K and pat have also noted down this tendency in some other phonemes. These phonemes are- same mentioned in fn 2. In table 2 they are referred to as *yar*, as used by P. In the table 2, these rules are explained with all details, namely environments- prior and posterior

Here 1, 2, 4 refer to the environment for phonetic doubling. The order indicates the positions of these environments and the position of the phoneme reduplicated. The examples for these two rows are-

- (7) haryyanubhavaḥ (ha-r-y anubhavaḥ > phonetic doubling of y)
- (8) (a)  $r\bar{a}m\bar{a}tt$ ( $r\bar{a}m\ \bar{a}$ -t-(no vowel) > phonetic doubling of t)

(b) s<u>udhdh</u>yupāsyaḥ (s-u-dh-y upasyah > phonetic doubling of y).

## 2.2.2. *K* and *Pa* on the environment for phonetic doubling:

While commenting upon 8.4.47, K notes- *dvirva-cane yaṇo mayaḥ* . On this Pa has a two fold comment. He says-

dvirvacane yaṇo maya iti vaktavyam. Kim udāharaṇam yadi yaṇa iti pañcamī maya iti ṣaṣṭhī ulkkā valmmikam ity udahāraṇam. Atha maya iti pancamī yaṇa iti ṣaṣṭhī dadhyyatra madhvvatrety udāharaṇam

This means- In the rules dealing with the process of phonetic doubling, the words yano mayah should be stated. What is the example? If yanah (yan is y, v,r, l) is taken to be ablative and mayah (may is all stops except nasal palatal) is taken to be genitive, then the examples are –

### (9) u**lkk**ā / va**lmm**ikam

and if *mayaḥ* is taken to be ablative and *yaṇaḥ* is taken to be genitive, then the examples are-

(10)dadhyyatra/madhvvatra.

Same argument is applied to another statement of K, namely ś araḥ khayaḥ<sup>4</sup> which provides us with the following examples-

- (11) **sthth**ālī / **sthth**ātā
- (12) va<u>tss</u>aḥ / <u>kss</u>īram / apssarāḥ

This way of interpreting the statements of K on the rules of P becomes a peculiar feature of the system of paninian grammar. Later tradition of paninian grammar thus by interpreting statements of K and Pa and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>This statement means that *khay* is reduplicated if it occurs after *ś*ar and *ś*ar is reduplicated if it occurs after *khay*. *ś*ar stands for all the sibilants except h and *khay* stands for all the voiceless stops.

P have noted down forms which we here address as overgenerated forms.

We note that this feature is also noted by non-paninian systems such as  $K\bar{a}tantra$ . A commentary on  $Prakriy\bar{a}Kaumud\bar{\iota}$  namely  $Prak\bar{a}\acute{s}$  a notes that according to  $K\bar{a}tantra$  school the phonetic doubling in a particular case will give rise to only 32 forms and not more<sup>5</sup>.

### 2.2.3. Twice Occurences of same consonant in Sanskrit

It is noteworthy to study the structure of the consonant cluster in Sanskrit. A list of such clusters is available in Coulson Michael, 2003, p 22-24. We concentrate on a cluster of two consonant of same phonetic value. In other words, we concentrate on the twice occurrence of the same consonant. In the table 3, a list of such consonant clusters is provided. Table 3 shows us the consonants which can have twice occurrence without applying the rules of phonetic doubling.

Table 3: Twice occurrences of same consonant

k	(i)Final + initial of the next word
	(ii)Prefinal
g	Final + initial of the next word
С	Final + initial of the next word
j	Final + initial of the next word
t	Final + initial of the next word
d	Final + initial of the next word
p	Final + initial of the next word
b	Final + initial of the next word
'n	Final + initial of the next word
n	(i) Final + initial of the next word
	(ii)Pre-final
.n	Final + initial of the next word
m	Final + initial of the next word
´s	Final + initial of the next word
.s	Final + initial of the next word
S	Final + initial of the next word

A careful glance at table 3 will point out that all these consonants fall in the domain of the application of the phonetic doubling rules mentioned above in Table 2. Therefore, if the rule for phonetic doubling is applied to these already existing two consonants, we get three same consonants occurring one after another. Such a form is noted to exist optionally by P in the case of consonants except nasals by the 8.4.65.

2.2.4. Generation of Phonetic doubling in later tradition:

A 17<sup>th</sup> century grammar text, *Vaiyākaraṇa-SiddhāntaKaumudī* (VSK) records following cases of phonetic doubling-

- (13)  $r\bar{a}m\bar{a}tt$   $r\bar{a}m\bar{a}dd.l$  VSK 206, dvitve  $r\bar{u}pacatustayam$ . (in the forms  $r\bar{a}m\bar{a}t$  and  $r\bar{a}m\bar{a}d$ , after applying the rules of phonetic doubling we get 4 forms).
- (14) aidhidhvam / VSK 2258, dhadhayor vasya masya ca dvitvavikalpātsodaṣarūpāṇi. (by reduplicating v and m when immediately before dha and dha we get 16 forms.)
- (15)saṃskartā / VSK 138, anusvāravatām anusvārasyāpi dvitve dvādasa. (after reduplicating the anusvāra in the forms already containing it, we get 12 forms).

(16) gavāk / VSK 443

Cases (15) and (16) deserve a special attention as they pose a problem.

## 2.2.4.1. 2.2.4.1 Generation of Phonetic doubling in the forms of samskartā

(15)  $samskart\bar{a}$  - This word is formed in the following way<sup>6</sup>-

 $\begin{array}{rcl}
 sam + kart\bar{a} \\
 sam + s - kart\bar{a} & \dots & 6.1.134 \\
 sar + s - kart\bar{a} & \dots & 8.3.5 \\
 samr + s - kart\bar{a} & \dots & 8.3.2 / 8.3.4 \\
 sams + s - kart\bar{a} & \dots & 8.3.15 \\
 \end{array}$ 

Along with this form there is an optional form that is available in which in place of m there occurs an *anusvāra*. In the following two tables (Table 4 and Table 5), forms with m and *anusvāra* are presented.

In Table 4 and 5, we see phonetic doublings of s, t, k and more problematically of the  $anusv\bar{a}ra$ . This phonetic doubling of  $anusv\bar{a}ra$  is based on the argument of K that  $ayogav\bar{a}ha^7$  s are to be included in the  $praty\bar{a}h\bar{a}ra$  at as well as sar by the statement- $ayogav\bar{a}h\bar{a}nam$  atsunatvam sarsu jas tvasatve.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>PrakriyāKaumudī, 2000, Vol.I, p 158.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>I have to turn to Devnagari fonts for these two case to stress the amount of problem.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>The term *ayogavāha* refers to *anusvāra*, *visarga*, *jihvāmulīya* and upadhmānīya, Vy∖=akaraëaMahābhāṣya of Patañjali, 2001, Vol.1, p 132.

Table 4: Forms of saṃskartā with a first nasal vowel

<u>Forms</u>	Explanation							
संस्कर्तां	Deletion of first s - comment of Pa-samo							
संस्कृतां	vā lopam ity eke Mbh. on P.8.3.5							
संस्स्कर्तां	Phonetic doubling of 1st s by P. 8.4.47							
संस्कृतां	Phonetic doubling of k-sarah khayah							
संस्स्वतां संस्टस्वतां	referred to in Table 2 above.							
<u>Forms</u>	संस्कृतां संस्स्कृतां संस्स्कृतां संस्कृतां संस्स्कृतां संस्स्कृतां Phonetic doubling of t in all the above 6							
<u>Forms</u>	forms by dvir vacane yano mayah संस्वत्तां संस्रवत्तां संस्रस्वत्तां 2 <sup>nd</sup> Phonetic doubling of t in the first 6							
	forms by dvirvacane yano mayah संस्कर्ता संस्स्कर्ता संस्स्कर्ता							

#स्कर्ता संस्कर्ता संस्प्कर्ता

Forms

संस्कर्ता संस्प्कर्ता संस्प्कर्ता

संस्कर्ता संस्प्कर्ता संस्प्कर्ता

संस्कर्ता संस्प्कर्ता संस्प्कर्ता

संस्कर्ता संस्पकर्ता संस्पकर्ता

संस्कर्ता संस्पकर्ता संस्पकर्ता

संस्कर्ता संस्पकर्ता संस्पकर्ता

Nasalization of the final vowel by 8.4.57 in

all the 12 forms mentioned above. In all,

we have 24 forms in this row.

# 2.2.4.2. 2.2.4.2 Generation of Phonetic doubling in the forms of Gavāk

The *Paninian Dhātupāṭha* notes that the root  $a\tilde{n}c$  is used in two senses viz. gati(to go) and  $p\bar{u}jana(to worship/respect)$ . In the sense of 'one who goes to a cow' and in the sense of 'one who worships a cow', the derivations that take place according to the rules of A are shown in the table 6 and table 7 respectively.

In the above tables, the underlined forms are the forms of a noun derived from a verbal root. Note that the difference in the forms in these tables is a mere n which

Table 6: Derivation of *Gavāk* (one who goes to a cow)

Table 7: Derivation of *Gavāñc* (one who worships a cow)

```
(in the sense of to go)
         + añc
go
20
         + a\tilde{n}c + kvin
                                     A. 3.2.59
                               . . .
         + añc
                                     A 6.1.67
90
goñc
                                     A 6.1.123
         / gava añc
                               . . .
                                     A.6.1.109,122,123,
goñc
         / gavāñc / go añc
```

has brought about a sea of change in the meaning as well as the form itself. That is why P has noted them with all their variations.

When we take these 6 forms as the base and start adding the *sup* terminations, we get tables 8 and 9 for these two tables. Tables 8 and 9 correspond to Tables 6 and 7 mentioned above. These are the forms in neuter gender. There are certain specific processes for neuter forms. That is why they are selected here. In these tables, in each slot, there are many optional forms shown. They result out of the optional application of the rules namely, 6.1.109, 6.1.122 and 6.1.123.

The final square in Table 9 has got 9 forms. The last 3 forms are a result of the application of the statement of  $K^8$  according to which the  $1^{st}$  class consonant is replaced by the  $2^{nd}$  class consonant of the same class. Thus we see here k is replaced by kh. These are the forms, we can say on the authority of A and K, which are actually spoken by people. So far there is no problem. When we apply the rules of phonetic doubling of certain consonants to these abovementioned Table 8 and 9, we start facing a problem.

## 2.2.4.3. Effects of phonetic doubling on forms in Tables 8 and 9:

In Table 10 and 11 (as shown in the appendix), the reduplicated forms of the forms mentioned in Table 8 and 9 respectively are presented.

In the table 10 (as shown in Appendix), we note that the phonetic doubling of k, g,  $\tilde{n}$ , , y, m has increased the number of forms (which are indicated in each square).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>cayo dvitīyā śari pauṣkarasādeḥ / on 8.4.48

The reasoning for the phonetic doubling of k, g,  $\tilde{n}$  is 8.4.47. The reasoning for the phonetic doubling of y and m is the same as mentioned in Table 5 namely, dvirvacane yaṇo mayaḥ. We also note that there is a phonetic doubling of even a visarga in certain forms. The reasoning for this phonetic doubling is same as mentioned after Table 5, namely, inclusion of visarga in the pratyāhāra yar. Also there is nasalization which is marked by a sign on certain forms which has added those many forms.

We note that in the table 11 (as shown in the Appendix) the following consonants apart from the ones mentioned in Table 7 are reduplicated-i, s. The reasoning for phonetic doubling of i is 8.4.47 and for s is the one mentioned in Table 4. namely, s arah khayah. We also note that in some forms even the *visarga* is reduplicated like in the previous table. In Table 10 and 11 (as shown in the Appendix), we also note that in some forms three consonants are simultaneously reduplicated. We also see that nasalization is marked with the sign in some forms.

Thus if we compare the tables 10 and 11 (as shown in the Appendix) statistically we come up with the following picture-

Unduplicated	Duplicated + Nasalized
49 (Table 8)	196 (Appendix: Table 10)
69 (Table 9)	267 (Appendix: Table 11)

If we are adopting the Paninian framework for generating forms by machine we will face similar problems if we apply the rules of phonetic doubling.

### 3. PROPOSED SOLUTION:

This overgeneration of forms is caused by-

- (i) redundency of the *pratyāhāra*.
- (ii) application of the rules of phonetic doubling mechanically.
- (iii) application of statements and interpretations of later paninian commentators.

To solve this problem we propose the following: If we are going to apply the rules of phonetic doubling we must make a rule that -

R1 The visarga should never be reduplicated.

- R2 An anusvara should never be reduplicated.
- R3 The rule of phonetic doubling should not be applied more than once to one consonant.
- R4 The rule of phonetic doubling should not be applied to more than one consonant simultaniously.

In order to remove the redundency, we have to rely upon the statements of the later comentators and take note of their statements and modify the rule accordingly.

### 4. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to express gratitude to my students Ms.A.Ajotikar, Ms.T.Aajotikar and Ms.Sarnaik, at the Abhyankarashastri Pathashala, Pune for helping me type out the forms in tables presented in this paper. I also wish to express my gratitude to all the scholars who made suggestions and remarks which helped improve this article immensely. I wish to thank Prof. Kiparsky for providing me with the details of the reference of one of his forthcoming publications. I wish to thank also my student Ms. Chatali Dangarikar for helping me format the text of this article.

### 5. REFERENCES

K. V. Abhyankar, editor. 1943. *Vyākaraṇa-Mahābhāṣya of Patañjali with Marathi Translation (7volumes)*. D.E.Society. reprint, Sanskrit Vidya Parisamstha, Pune, 2007.

Prof. Balshastri, editor. 2001. *Vyākaraṇa-Mahābhāṣya of Patañjali, alongwith Pradīpa, Udyota and Śabdakaustubha,*. Pratibha Prakashan, New Delhi. edited originally by Shri. GuruprasadShastri, reedited by Prof. Balshastri.

B.K.Dalai, editor. 2007. *Phonology, in Studies in Sanskrit Linguistics*,. Bharatiya kala Prakashan, New Delhi.

Otto Böhtlingk, editor. 1998.  $P\bar{a}ninis$  Grammatik. Motilal Benarsidass, New DElhi,  $1^{st}$  indian edition edition. Aṣṭādhyāyī edited and translated into German.

George Cardona and Dhanesh Jain, editors. 2003. *Indo-Aryan Languages*. Number 11 in Routledge Language Family Series. New Fetter Lane, London EC4P4EE.

George Cardona. 2004. Recent researches in Paninian studies. Motilal Benarsidas, Delhi, 2nd revised edition edition.

Michael Coulson. 2003. *Teach yourself Sanskrit*. Hodder Headline Ltd., revised by prof.richard gombrich and dr james benson edition. 1st published in 1976.

Dr. GirijaKumar Dixit, editor. 1987. Paribhāśenduśekhara of Nāgeśa, alongwith the commentary Sarvamaṅgalā,. Sampurnananda Sanskrit University, varanasi edition.

Dr. Sitaramashastri, editor. 1996. *Bṛhacchabdenduśekhara of Nāgeśa (in 3 parts)*. Sampurnananda Sanskrit University, Varanasi,  $1^{st}$  edition edition.

G.Caturveda and P.Bhaskar, editors. 1987. Vaiyākaraṇa-Siddhānta-Kaumudī, alongwith Bāla-Manoramā and Tattvabodhinī,. Motilal Benarsidass.

Paul Kiparsky and Staal J.F, 1988. *Modern Studies in Sanskrit*, chapter Syntactic and Semantic relations in Panini.

Paul Kiparsky. 1980. *Panini as a variationist*. Centre of Advanced Study in Sanskrit, University of Poona, in collaboration with MIT press,, Cambridge (Massachusetts, U.S.A.) and London (England).

Paul Kiparsky. (forthcoming). Reduplication in stratal ot. Available at: http://www.stanford.edu/kiparsky/Papers/reduplication.pdf.

Pt. Muralidhar Mishra, editor. 2000. Prakriyākaumudī with Prakāśa (in 3 parts). Sampurnanada Sanskrit University, Varanasi.

#### **Abbreviations**

A - Aṣṭādhyāyī K - Kātyāyana P - Pāṇini Pa - Patañjali V - Vārttika

VSK - Vaiyākaraṇa-Siddhānta-Kaumudī

### Appendix: Table 10:Phonetic doubling in the declension of $Gav\bar{a}c$

	100	2	3
1	गोऽक्क् गोऽग्ग् गोअक्क् गोअग्ग् गवाक्क् गवाग्ग् 6	गोची	गोऽव्सि गोऽव्सि गोऽसि गोअव्सि गोअव्सि गोअसि गवव्सि गवव्सि गवसि १
2	गोऽक्क् गोऽम्य् गोअक्क् गोअग्य् गवाक्क् गवाम्य् ६	गोची	गोऽव्यि गोऽव्यि गोऽधि गोअव्यि गोअव्यि गोअधि गवव्यि गवव्यि गवधि
3	गोचाँ	गोडग्भ्याम् गोडग्भ्याम् गोडग्भ्याम् गोअग्भ्याम् गोअग्भ्याम् गोअग्भ्याम् गोडग्भ्याम् गोडग्भ्यामम् गोडग्भ्यामम् गोडग्भ्याम् गोअग्भ्यामम् गोडग्भ्यामम् गोअग्भ्याम् गोअग्भ्यामम् गोअग्भ्यामम् गोडग्भ्याम् गोअग्भ्यामम् गोडग्भ्यामम् गोडग्भ्यामम् गोडग्भ्यामम् गोडग्भ्यामम्	गोड मिनाः गोड मिनाः गोड मिनाः गोडिंगनाः गोडिंगिः गोडिंगिनाः गोडिंगिनाः गोडिंगिनाः गविंगिनः गञ्जभिनाः गविंगिनाः गविंगिनाः 12
4	गोचे	Same as above 24	गोडगभ्यः गोडगभ्यः गोडगभ्याः गोडगभ्याः गोअगभ्यः गोअगभ्यः गोअगभ्याः गोअगभ्याः गवागभ्यः ग्रह्मगभ्यः ग्रह्मगभ्याः गोडगभ्याः गोडगभ्यः गोडगभ्यः गोडगभ्याः गोडगभ्याः गोअगभ्यः गोअगभ्यः गोअगभ्याः गोअगभ्याः गवागभ्यः ग्रह्मगभ्यः ग्रह्मगभ्याः ग्रह्मगभ्याः
5	गोचः:	Same as above (24)	Same as above 24
6	गोचःः	गोचोःः	गेम्नाम्
7	गोचि	गोनोःः	गोऽस्तु गोऽक्सु गोऽस्खु गोऽक्स्खु गोऽस्तुं गोऽक्सुं गोऽस्तुं गोअस्तु गोअक्सु गोअस्सु गोअक्सु गोअस्तुं गोअक्सुं गोअस्ट्वं गवास्तु गवाक्सु गवास्खु गवाक्सु गवासुं गवाक्सुं गवास्तुं गोऽक्सुनुं गोअक्सुनुं गवाक्सुनुं 24

### Appendix: Table 11:Phonetic doubling in the declension of $Gav\bar{a}\tilde{n}c$

		गीऽञ्च गोअञ्च गवाञ्च पूजारी दित्व	
1	गोऽ इन्ड्	गोऽ ज्बी	गोऽिञ्च गोऽिञ्च गोऽिञ्च
	गोअङ्ङ्	गोअन्बी	गोअिञ्च गोअिञ्च गोअिञ्च
	गव इन्ड्	गवान्बी	गवाञ्चि गवाञ्चि गवाञ्चि १
2	गोडहडू	गोऽज्ञी	गोड िस गोडिसे गोडिसे
	गोअहडू	गोअज्ञी	गोलिस गोलिसे गोलिसे
	गवाहडू	गवाज्ञी	गवाहिस गवाहिसे गवासि 9
3	गोऽ झौं गोऽ न्झा गोऽन्झौं	गोऽह्भ्याम् गोऽह्ङ्भ्याम् गोऽह्भ्य्याम्	गोऽ हि मः: गोऽ हिन्ह् मः गोऽ हिन्ह् मः:
	गोअझौ गोअन्झा गोअन्झौ	गोअङ्भ्याम् गोअङ्ङ्भ्याम् गोअङ्भ्य्याम्	गोअहिमः: गोअहिन्ह् मः गोअहिन्ह्मः:
	गवाझौ गवान्झा गवान्झौ १	गवाङ्भ्याम् गवाङ्क्याम् गवाङ्भ्याम्	गवाह्मिः: गवाहिन्ह्मः गवाहिन्ह्मः: ९
4	गोऽब्बे	गोऽह्भ्यामम् गोऽह्रङ्भ्य्याम् गोऽह्रङ्भ्य्यामम्	गोऽङ्भ्यः गोऽङ्ङ्भ्यः गोऽङ्भ्यः
	गोअब्बे	गोअङ्भ्यामम् गोअङ्ङ्भ्य्याम् गोअङ्ङ्भ्य्यामम्	गोअङ्भ्यः गोअङ्ङ्भ्यः गोअङ्भ्यः गोअङ्भ्यः
	गवाब्बे	गवाङ्भ्यामम् गव छःङ्भ्य्याम् गवाङङ्भ्य्यामम्	गवाङ्भ्यः गवाङ्भ्यः गवाङ्भ्यः
5	गोऽन्नः गोऽञ्जः गोअन्नः गोअञ्जः गवाज्ञः गवाञ्जः6	गोऽहरूभ्याम्म् गोऽङ्भ्य्यामम् गोअहरूभ्यामम् गोअङ्भ्य्यामम् गवाहरूभ्यामम् गवाङ्भ्य्यामम् २४	गोऽङ्क्भ्याः गोऽङ्क्भयः गोऽङ्भ्याः गोअङ्क्भ्याः गोअङ्क्भयः गोअङ्भ्याः गवङ्क्भ्याः गवाङ्क्भयः गवाङ्भ्याः <sup>24</sup> गोऽङ्क्भ्याः गोअङ्क्भयाः गवाङ्क्भ्याः
6	same as above 6	गोऽ ब्रोः: गोऽ ब्बोः: गोऽ ब्बोः: गोअब्रोः: गोअब्बोः: गोअब्बोः: गवाब्रोः: गवाब्बोः: गवाब्बोः: 9	गोऽज्ञाम्म् गोऽञ्जाम् गोऽञ्जाम्म् गोअज्ञाम्म् गोअञ्जाम् गोअञ्जामम् गाज्ञम् गाञ्जम् गाञ्जम् १
7	गोऽन्ति गोऽव्ञि गोऽव्यि	गोऽञ्चोः गोऽञ्चोः गोऽञ्चोः	गोऽ दहसूँ गोऽ दहसू गोऽ दहसू गोऽदहसू
	गोअन्ति गोअव्यि गोअव्यि	गोअञ्चोः गोअञ्चोः गोअञ्चोः	गोऽ दस्पै गोऽ दस्यु गोऽ दस्य गोअदहसू
	गवान्ति गवाव्यि गवाव्यि १	गवाञ्चोः गवाञ्चोः गवाञ्चोः <sup>9</sup>	गोअदहसूँ गोअदहसू गोअदहस्यु गोअदहस्य
1	ोऽङ्ख्स्यु गोऽङ्ख्ळच्च गोऽङ् ोऽङ्ख्यु गोऽङ्ख्यु गोअङ्ख्स्यु ोअङ्ख्ख्यु गोअङ्ध्यु गोअङ्ख्यु ोऽङ्ख्य्कयु गोअङ्ख्यु	गोऽङ्ख्युं गवाङ्ख्युं गोअङ्ख्युं गवाङ्ड्युं	गवाङ्क्षु गवाङ्क्षु गवाङ्क्षु गवाङ्क्षु गवाङ्कषु गोअङ्क्षु गोऽङ्क्षु गोऽङ्क्षु