



On DRC-covering of K_n by cycles

Jean-Claude Bermond, David Coudert, Min-Li Yu

► **To cite this version:**

Jean-Claude Bermond, David Coudert, Min-Li Yu. On DRC-covering of K_n by cycles. Journal of Combinatorial Designs, Wiley, 2003, 11 (2), pp.100 - 112. <10.1002/jcd.10040>. <inria-00429205>

HAL Id: inria-00429205

<https://hal.inria.fr/inria-00429205>

Submitted on 1 Nov 2009

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

On DRC-Covering of K_n by Cycles

Jean-Claude Bermond David Coudert
MASCOTTE, CNRS-I3S-INRIA
2004, route des Lucioles – B.P. 93
F-06902 Sophia Antipolis Cedex
FRANCE

{ Jean-Claude.Bermond }
{ David.Coudert }@sophia.inria.fr

Min-Li Yu
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University College of the Fraser Valley
Abbotsford – British Columbia
Canada – V2S 4N2.
yuj@ucfv.bc.ca

May 27, 2003

Abstract

This paper considers the cycle covering of complete graphs motivated by the design of survivable WDM networks, where the requests are routed on sub-networks which are protected independently from each other. The problem can be stated as follows : for a given graph G , find a cycle covering of the edge set of K_n , where $V(K_n) = V(G)$, such that each cycle in the covering satisfies the disjoint routing constraint (DRC), relatively to G , which can be stated as follows : to each edge of K_n we associate in G a path and all the paths associated to the edges of a cycle of the covering must be vertex disjoint. Here we consider the case where $G = C_n$, a ring of size n and we want to minimize the number of cycles in the covering. We give optimal solutions for the problem as well as for variations of the problem, namely, its directed version and the case when the cycle length is fixed to 4.

Keywords: Cycle covering, Survivability, Protection, WDM networks

1 Introduction

The problem of covering the edges of K_n by complete graphs K_k 's has been studied by many people. This problem is known as the covering design problem [8, 10]. Moreover, the problem of finding a perfect covering of the edges of K_n is the same as a partitioning problem and this is related to the existence of an $(n, k, 1)$ -design. Partitioning the edges of K_n into isomorphic graphs in particular cycles C_k 's has also been well studied [6, 7]. But there seems to be less known results for the problem of covering the edges of K_n by C_k 's, where $k \geq 4$. In [2], the answer was given for $k = 4$.

Here we consider a covering problem arising from the design of a survivable WDM network, where the communication requests are routed on sub-networks which are protected independently from each other. We model the WDM network by a graph, called the physical graph and denoted

by G . The vertices of the graph represent the optical switches and the edges the fiber-optics links. In fact, G is an oriented symmetric multigraph ; indeed each time there is a fiber optic from a node x to a node y there is also the opposite one. We will consider either the symmetric digraph or the underlying undirected graph

Usually the physical graph has no regularity properties, just enough connectivity in order to ensure a good routing for the demands in case of failures. However many designers of an optical network build it with loops interconnected between themselves. Thus, the first case to consider is when the physical graph is a loop (or ring), which means that the graph G is either an undirected cycle of length n , denoted C_n or the symmetric directed cycle C_n^* .

Routing a request over G consists in finding a path over G between the pair of nodes communicating in the request. The protection problem we consider consists in covering the family of requests by subgraphs I_k . In general, we wish the I_k to have a simple structure and a small number of vertices. Therefore the interesting case is when I_k is a small cycle. Indeed, on the cycle we use half of the capacity for the demands, and in case of failure we reroute the traffic through the failed link via the remaining part of the cycle using the other half of the capacity. It will be interesting to get very small cycles as subnetworks as they are easier to manage and less costly to reroute.

But there is another constraint due to the fact that the requests have to be routed on the physical network G , which can be modeled as follows (one can think that to each subnetwork, here cycle, we associate a wavelength) : each cycle formed by some requests must be routed vertex disjointly over G , or this is the same as saying that we can find a set of vertex disjoint paths corresponding to the set of requests over a cycle. We call this property the disjoint routing constraint (DRC). This property can be extended to the directed version of the problem in which the paths in the routing will be directed paths and the cycles in the covering will be directed cycles.

It is clear that not every cycle satisfies DRC. As an illustration, the cycle $(1, 2, 3, 4, 1)$ can be routed over C_4 and it satisfies DRC. However the cycle $(1, 3, 4, 2, 1)$ does not satisfy DRC as it is impossible to associate the requests $(1, 3)$ and $(2, 4)$ to vertex disjoint paths in C_4 (see Figure 1).

We can model all the requests as the edge set of a logical graph I undirected or not. The vertices represent the nodes of the physical graph and the edges correspond to the requests between these nodes. In general, it is a digraph ; but in telecommunication networks used for applications like telephone the requests are symmetric (i.e. for each communication request from node A to node B there is a similar request from node B to node A) and furthermore, the routing of symmetric requests is done by a symmetric routing. There is no constraint forcing that, but it is the way requests are actually managed. So the symmetry of the routing explains why we can also consider undirected graphs for the physical one. Finally the instance of communication called *total exchange* (or All-to-All), where each node wants to communicate with all the others simultaneously, is important. In such a case, the logical graph I will be the complete graph K_n (or the symmetric complete digraph K_n^*).

Finding a cycle covering for all requests satisfying the DRC constraint is the same as finding a covering of the edge set of I , such that each cycle in the covering satisfies the DRC in G . Such a covering will be called a DRC-covering of I relatively to G .

As an illustration, let G be C_4 and I be K_4 (See Figure 1). A first covering is given by the two C_4 's $(1, 2, 3, 4, 1)$ and $(1, 3, 4, 2, 1)$ (See Figure 1.(c)), but there does not exist an edge disjoint routing for the cycle $(1, 3, 4, 2, 1)$. In counterpart, the covering given in Figure 1.(d) by the C_4 $(1, 2, 3, 4, 1)$ and the two C_3 's $(1, 2, 4, 1)$ and $(1, 3, 4, 1)$, satisfy the edge disjoint routing property.

Our aim is to minimize the cost of the network ; the cost function is a complicated one, but for the particular case, considered here, where $G = C_n$, it corresponds to minimize the number of cycles in the covering.




Figure 1: Cycle covering example.

In summary, we want to find the minimum number of cycles in a DRC-covering of K_n (or K_n^*) relatively to the cycle C_n (or C_n^*). As a variation of the problem, we can also add some restriction to the cycles in the covering, for example, we can consider the case when the size of the cycles is uniform or is bounded.

We denote $\rho(n)$ the minimum number of cycles needed in such a DRC-covering of K_n and similarly we define $\rho_k(n)$ for the case when the cycle size is restricted to be k . We prove the following results :

Theorem 3.3. *When $n = 2p + 1$, $\rho(n) = \frac{n^2-1}{8} = \frac{p(p+1)}{2}$. Furthermore, the DRC-covering of K_{2p+1} consists of p C_3 and $\frac{p(p-1)}{2}$ C_4 .*

Theorem 3.4. *When $n = 2p$, $p \geq 2$, $\rho(n) = \left\lceil \frac{n^2+4}{8} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{p^2+1}{2} \right\rceil$. Furthermore, when $n = 4q$, $q \geq 2$, a DRC-covering of K_{4q} consists of 4 C_3 and $2q^2 - 3$ C_4 , and when $n = 4q + 2$, $q \geq 1$, a DRC-covering of K_{4q+2} consists of 2 C_3 and $2q^2 + 2q - 1$ C_4 .*

Theorem 3.8. *When $n = 2p + 1$, $p \geq 3$, $\rho_4(2p + 1) = \frac{p(p+1)}{2} + 1$; when $n = 4q$, $q \geq 2$, $\rho_4(4q) = 2q^2 + 1$, and when $n = 4q + 2$, $q \geq 1$, $\rho_4(4q + 2) = 2q^2 + 2q + 2$.*

Let $\rho^*(n)$ be the minimum number of directed cycles needed in a DRC-covering of K_n^* and we also define $\rho_4^*(n)$ similarly. We have the following results.

Theorem 4.1. *When $n = 2p + 1$, $\rho^*(n) = p^2 + p$. Furthermore, a DRC-covering of K_{2p+1} consists of $2p$ \vec{C}_3 and $p^2 - p$ \vec{C}_4 .*

Theorem 4.2. *When $n = 2p$, $\rho^*(n) = p^2$. Furthermore, we have a DRC-covering of K_{2p} with p \vec{C}_2 and $p^2 - p$ \vec{C}_4 , and another covering with $2p$ \vec{C}_3 and $p^2 - 2p$ \vec{C}_4 .*

Theorem 4.3. *When $n = 2p + 1$, $p \geq 3$, $\rho_4^*(2p + 1) = p^2 + p + 2$; when $n = 2p$, $p \geq 4$, $\rho_4^*(2p) = p^2 + 2$.*

Remark Theorem 3.3 and 3.4 were presented in SPAA conference [3].

2 Related results

Our problem has not yet been studied in the literature. However, without the disjoint routing constraint, there are some known results for finding the covering of K_n or K_n^* by cycles. The following four results determine the covering numbers when the cycle sizes are three and four.

Theorem 2.1 ([8, 9]) *The minimum number of 3-cycles required to cover the edges of K_n is $\left\lceil \frac{n}{3} \left\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rceil \right\rceil$.*

Theorem 2.2 ([2]) *The minimum number of 4-cycles required to cover the edges of K_n is*

$$\left\lceil \frac{n}{4} \left\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rceil \right\rceil + \epsilon(n) \quad \text{where } \epsilon(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{8} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.3 ([2]) *The minimum number of \vec{C}_3 required to cover the arcs of K_n^* is $\frac{n(n-1)}{3}$ if $n \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{3}$, except for $n = 6$ for which it is 12, and $\frac{n(n-1)+4}{3}$ if $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$.*

Theorem 2.4 ([2]) *The minimum number of \vec{C}_4 required to cover the arcs of K_n^* is $\lceil \frac{n(n-1)}{4} \rceil$, $n > 4$.*

A related problem has been considered in the applications of optical networks where one wants to find the minimum number of colors (wavelengths), denoted $w(G, I)$, required to color the edges of the logical graph I such that the paths associated to the requests with the same color are edge (arc) disjoint in the physical graph G (see [1]). In the undirected case for $G = C_n$, the problem is similar as finding a DRC cycle covering of K_n ; indeed, we can associate to each cycle of a DRC-covering a color and conversely, we can build a cycle from the paths with the same color, as in C_n edge disjoint paths are also vertex disjoint. So our results give the values of $w(C_n, K_n)$. In the directed case, $w(C_n^*, K_n^*)$ has been determined in [4, 11]. But our results are stronger; indeed the paths of [4, 11] with the same color are not vertex disjoint and furthermore the subnetworks induced by a color are not small cycles.

3 Undirected case

Let the vertices of the cycle C_n be labeled with integers modulo n , represented by the set $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. A C_k satisfies DRC if and only if its vertices can be ordered cyclically modulo n , that is if the vertices can be written (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) with $0 \leq a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_k \leq n-1$. As an example, in Figure 2, the cycle $(0, 2, 3, 6, 0)$ satisfies DRC, but the cycle $(0, 4, 3, 6, 0)$ does not satisfy it.




Figure 2: Disjoint Routing Constraint.

We will first give the lower bounds for $\rho(n)$ and $\rho_4(n)$ and then construct the coverings which attain the lower bounds. We then extend in the next section the results to the directed cases.

3.1 Lower bounds

Proposition 3.1 *When $n = 2p + 1$, $p \geq 1$, $\rho(2p + 1) \geq \frac{p(p+1)}{2}$, and when $n = 2p$, $p \geq 2$, $\rho(2p) \geq \frac{p^2+1}{2}$.*

Proof: Let C^j , $1 \leq j \leq \rho(n)$, be the cycles of a DRC-covering of K_n (remark that the cycles do not necessarily have the same length). The disjoint routing property implies that the vertices of any C^j are cyclically ordered modulo n . Thus C^j can be written $(a_1^j, a_2^j, \dots, a_{k_j}^j, a_1^j)$, with $0 \leq a_1^j \leq a_2^j \leq \dots \leq a_{k_j}^j \leq n-1$.

Let $\delta_i^j = a_{i+1}^j - a_i^j$, $1 \leq i \leq k_j - 1$, and $\delta_{k_j}^j = n + a_1^j - a_{k_j}^j$. The disjoint routing property implies $\sum_i \delta_i^j = n$.

For an edge $\{x, y\}$ of K_n with $x < y$, we call difference of the edge the value $y - x$ if $y - x \leq n/2$ or $x + n - y$ otherwise (it corresponds to the distance between x and y on a cycle of length n).

In the odd case, $n = 2p + 1$, the covering must contain for every d , $1 \leq d \leq p$ the n edges of difference d . Each difference corresponds to a δ_i^j , with $\delta_i^j = d$ or $n - d$. Thus, $\sum_{i,j} \delta_i^j \geq \sum_{d=1}^p nd = n \frac{p(p+1)}{2}$. Remind that $\sum_i \delta_i^j = n$. Consequently, if the covering contains $\rho(n)$ cycles, we have $n\rho(n) \geq n \frac{p(p+1)}{2}$ and hence, $\rho(n) \geq \frac{p(p+1)}{2}$.

In the even case, $n = 2p$, the covering must contain n edges of difference d , where $1 \leq d \leq p - 1$, and $\frac{n}{2} = p$ edges of difference p . Furthermore, since the degree of the nodes in K_n is odd (equal to $n - 1$) and the degree of the nodes of a cycle is even (equal to 2), the covering must contain extra edges (i.e. in each vertex, there is an edge covered at least twice). Thus, there are at least p extra edges of difference at least 1 in the covering. Consequently, $\sum_{i,j} \delta_i^j \geq \left(\sum_{d=1}^{p-1} nd\right) + pp + p = p(p^2 + 1)$ and if the covering contains $\rho(n)$ cycles, we obtain $n\rho(n) = 2p\rho(n) \geq p(p^2 + 1)$ and therefore, $\rho(n) \geq \frac{p^2+1}{2}$. \square

Note that for both odd and even cases, the length of the cycles involved in a DRC-covering of K_n has no influence on the lower bound of $\rho(n)$. We show, in this paper, that the optimal solution can be obtained by using cycles of both length 3 and 4. Also, from Theorem 2.1, we can see that by using only cycles of length 3, it will not give the optimal solution.

Proposition 3.2 *When $n = 2p + 1$, $p \geq 2$, $\rho_4(2p + 1) \geq \frac{p(p+1)}{2} + 1$; when $n = 4q$, $q \geq 2$, $\rho_4(4q) \geq 2q^2 + 1$, and when $n = 4q + 2$, $q \geq 1$, $\rho_4(4q + 2) \geq 2q^2 + 2q + 2$.*

Proof: In the odd case, $n = 2p + 1$, we know by Proposition 3.1 that $\rho_4(2p + 1) \geq \rho(2p + 1) = \frac{p(p+1)}{2}$. If there was an equality, as $4 \frac{p(p+1)}{2} = \frac{2p(2p+1)}{2} + p$, p extra edges are used because the covering is not a decomposition. So $\sum_{i,j} \delta_i^j \geq (2p + 1) \frac{p(p+1)}{2} + p$, as the sum of the distances of the p extra edges is at least p . Therefore, $(2p + 1)\rho_4(2p + 1) \geq (2p + 1) \frac{p(p+1)}{2} + p$ which implies $\rho_4(2p + 1) \geq \frac{p(p+1)}{2} + 1$.

In the even case, for $n = 4q$, the bound is that of Proposition 3.1. For $n = 4q + 2$, by Proposition 3.1, we have $\rho_4(4q + 2) \geq \rho(4q + 2) = 2q^2 + 2q + 1$. If $\rho_4(4q + 2) = 2q^2 + 2q + 1$, then, as $4(2q^2 + 2q + 1) = \frac{(4q+2)(4q+1)}{2} + 2q + 3$, $2q + 3$ extra edges are used, and so $\sum_{i,j} \delta_i^j \geq (2q + 1)^3 + 2q + 3$, and $(4q + 2)\rho_4(4q + 2) \geq (2q + 1)^3 + 2q + 3$, which implies $\rho_4(4q + 2) \geq 2q^2 + 2q + 1 + \frac{2}{4q+2} > 2q^2 + 2q + 1$. \square

3.2 Minimum DRC covering

Theorem 3.3 *When $n = 2p + 1$, $\rho(n) = \frac{n^2-1}{8} = \frac{p(p+1)}{2}$. Furthermore, a DRC-covering of K_{2p+1} consists of p C_3 and $\frac{p(p-1)}{2}$ C_4 .*

Proof: (By induction on p) K_3 is covered using one C_3 . Thus, the theorem is true when $p = 1$.

Suppose now that the theorem is true for K_{2p+1} . We will show that it is also true for $n = 2p + 3$. For that, let us arrange the vertices of K_{2p+3} in the following order : $A, 0, 1, \dots, p - 1, B, p, \dots, 2p$.

We build a DRC-covering of K_{2p+3} from a DRC-covering of K_{2p+1} as follows. The cycles of a DRC-covering of K_{2p+3} will be

- the $p(p+1)/2$ cycles of a DRC-covering of the K_{2p+1} on vertices $0, 1, \dots, p-1, p, \dots, 2p$,
- the p C_4 's of a DRC-decomposition of the $K_{2p,2}$ constructed between vertices $0, \dots, p-1, p+1, \dots, 2p$ on one side and vertices A and B on the other side, namely $(A, p-1-i, B, p+1+i, A)$, $0 \leq i \leq p-1$. Note that these cycles satisfy DRC.
- the C_3 (A, B, p, A) .

One can check that each edge of K_{2p+3} is covered by exactly one of these cycles and altogether we have $p(p+1)/2 + p + 1 = (p+1)(p+2)/2$ cycles. Furthermore, there are exactly $p+1$ C_3 's and $p(p+1)/2$ C_4 's. \square

In Figure 3, we show a covering of K_5 obtained in that way. Let us call the vertices of K_5 $A, 0, B, 1, 2$ in that order. The DRC-covering of K_5 consists of the unique cycle $(0, 1, 2, 0)$ of the covering of K_3 , plus the C_4 $(A, 0, B, 2, A)$ of a DRC-decomposition of the $K_{2,2}$ constructed between vertices A and B and vertices 0 and 2 , plus the C_3 $(A, B, 1, A)$.




Figure 3: Covering of K_5 obtained from the covering of K_3 .

Theorem 3.4 When $n = 2p$, $p \geq 2$, $\rho(n) = \left\lceil \frac{n^2+4}{8} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{p^2+1}{2} \right\rceil$. Furthermore, when $n = 4q$, $q \geq 2$, a DRC-covering of K_{4q} consists of 4 C_3 and $2q^2 - 3$ C_4 , and when $n = 4q+2$, $q \geq 1$, a DRC-covering of K_{4q+2} consists of 2 C_3 and $2q^2 + 2q - 1$ C_4 .

A covering of K_4 with one C_3 and 2 C_4 is given in Fig 1. So $\rho(4) = 3$.

In order to prove this theorem for $n \geq 6$, we first need to prove some lemmas.

Lemma 3.5 K_6 can be covered by 2 C_3 and 3 C_4 .

Proof: The covering is given by the two C_3 : $(0, 1, 3, 0)$ and $(0, 1, 4, 0)$, plus three C_4 : $(0, 2, 4, 5, 0)$, $(1, 2, 3, 5, 1)$ and $(2, 3, 4, 5, 2)$, as shown in Figure 4. Furthermore, there are three edges, $\{0, 1\}$, $\{2, 3\}$ and $\{4, 5\}$, covered exactly twice (they form a perfect matching). \square

Lemma 3.6 If there exists a DRC-covering of K_{4q+2} with $\rho(4q+2) = 2q^2 + 2q + 1$ cycles, then there exists a DRC-covering of K_{4q+4} with $\rho(4q+4) = 2q^2 + 4q + 3$ cycles.




Figure 4: K_6

Proof: Let the vertices of K_{4q+4} be $A, 0, 1, \dots, 2q, B, 2q+1, \dots, 4q+1$ and arrange them in this order.

We build a DRC-covering of K_{4q+4} from a DRC-covering of K_{4q+2} as follows. The cycles of a DRC-covering of K_{4q+4} will be

- the $2q^2 + 2q + 1$ cycles of a DRC-covering of the K_{4q+2} on vertices $0, 1, \dots, 2q, 2q+1, \dots, 4q+1$,
- the $2q$ C_4 's of a DRC-decomposition of the $K_{4q,2}$ constructed on vertices $1, \dots, 2q, 2q+1, \dots, 4q$ on one side, and vertices A and B on the other side, namely $(A, i, B, 2q+i, A)$, $1 \leq i \leq 2q$,
- the 2 triangles $(A, 0, B, A)$ and $(A, B, 4q+1, A)$.

One can check that every edge of K_{4q+4} is covered by one of these cycles and that altogether we have $2q^2 + 2q + 1 + 2q + 2 = 2q^2 + 4q + 3 = \left\lceil \frac{(2q+2)^2 + 1}{2} \right\rceil$ cycles. Furthermore, there are exactly 4 C_3 's in the covering (2 from a DRC-covering of K_{4q+2} and the 2 extra C_3 's). \square

To illustrate this proof, we indicate in Figure 5 the cycles involved in the covering of K_8 .




Figure 5: Cycles involved in the covering of K_8 .

Lemma 3.7 *If there exists a DRC-covering of K_{4q+2} with $\rho(4q+2) = 2q^2 + 2q + 1$ cycles, then there exists a DRC-covering of K_{4q+6} with $\rho(4q+6) = 2q^2 + 6q + 5$ cycles.*

Proof: We will prove a stronger lemma, imposing some extra properties in the decomposition which will be kept in the construction.

Let us suppose that there exists a DRC-covering of K_{4q+2} , where the nodes $0, 1, \dots, 4q+1$ are cyclically ordered, with the following properties :

- the edges (of the perfect matching) $(0, 1), (2, 3), \dots, (4q, 4q+1)$ are covered exactly twice, while other edges are covered exactly once.
- the edge $\{0, 1\}$ belongs to the $C_3(0, 1, x, 0)$, for some x different from $0, 1$.

We will show that there exists a DRC-covering of K_{4q+6} with the same properties. Note that these properties are satisfied by the covering of K_6 of Lemma 3.5 (with x being either 3 or 4).

Let the vertices of K_{4q+6} be $0, A, B, 1, \dots, 2q+1, C, D, 2q+2, \dots, 4q+1$ and arrange them in this order. The cycles of a DRC-covering of K_{4q+6} will be

- the $2q^2 + 2q$ cycles of the covering of K_{4q+2} except the $C_3(0, 1, x, 0)$,
- the $2q$ C_4 's $(A, i, C, f(i), A)$, with $2 \leq i \leq 2q+1$ and where f is a bijection from $\{2, 3, \dots, 2q+1\}$ to $\{2q+2, \dots, 4q+1\}$,
- the $2q+1$ C_4 's $(B, j, D, g(j), B)$, $1 \leq j \leq 2q+1$, and where g is a bijection from $\{1, 2, \dots, 2q+1\}$ to $\{2q+2, \dots, 4q+1, 0\}$.
- the 3 C_4 's (A, B, C, D, A) , $(0, A, 1, x, 0)$, $(B, 1, C, D, B)$ and the $C_3(0, A, C, 0)$,

One can check that each edge of K_{4q+6} is covered by one of these cycles and that altogether, we have $2q^2 + 2q + 2q + 2q + 1 + 3 + 1 = 2q^2 + 6q + 5 = \left\lceil \frac{(2q+2)^2 + 1}{2} \right\rceil$ cycles. Furthermore, there are still exactly 2 C_3 's in the covering. Also, the edges $\{0, A\}, \{B, 1\}, \{2, 3\}, \dots, \{2q, 2q+1\}, \{C, D\}, \{2q+2, 2q+3\}, \dots, \{4q, 4q+1\}$ (corresponding to a perfect matching) are covered twice, while other edges are covered only once. Moreover, the edge $\{0, A\}$, which is covered twice, appears in the $C_3(0, A, C, 0)$. Let us relabel the vertices of K_{4q+6} as follows : A (resp. B) becomes 1 (resp. 2), i , for $1 \leq i \leq 2q+1$, becomes $i+2$, C (resp. D) becomes $2q+4$ (resp. $2q+5$), and j , $2q+2 \leq j \leq 4q+1$ becomes $j+4$. Therefore, K_{4q+6} satisfies the induction properties. \square

Remark For the proof of Theorem 3.8, we note that the two C_3 's of the decomposition of K_6 are $(0, 1, 3, 0)$ and $(0, 1, 4, 0)$. So assuming that $x = 3$ and after $q-1$ steps of induction, the two C_3 's of K_{4q+2} , if $q \geq 2$, become $(0, 1, 2q+2, 0)$ and $(0, 2q-1, 4q, 0)$. Furthermore, we have a cycle $(1, i_0, 2q+2, 4q, 1)$ (corresponding to the $C_4(A, i, C, f(i), A)$ with $f(i_0) = 4q$ in above construction).

Now, we are able to prove Theorem 3.4.

Proof of Theorem 3.4 : (By induction)

The theorem is true for $n = 6$ as shown by Lemma 3.5. Note that the covering of K_6 satisfies the two extra properties needed in the proof of Lemma 3.7. So using Lemma 3.7, one can build by induction a DRC-covering of K_{4q+2} , $q \geq 1$, by $\rho(4q+2) = 2q^2 + 2q + 1$ cycles. Then, using Lemma 3.6, one can build a DRC-covering of K_{4q+4} , $q \geq 1$, by $\rho(4q+4) = 2q^2 + 4q + 3$ cycles.

So Theorem 3.4 is proved. \square

Theorem 3.8 When $n = 2p + 1$, $p \geq 3$, $\rho_4(2p + 1) = \frac{p(p+1)}{2} + 1$; when $n = 4q$, $q \geq 2$, $\rho_4(4q) = 2q^2 + 1$, and when $n = 4q + 2$, $q \geq 1$, $\rho_4(4q + 2) = 2q^2 + 2q + 2$.




Figure 6: Cycles involved in the covering of K_{10} .

Proof:

Case 1 : $n = 2p + 1$. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.3, and by induction, we will prove that there exists a C_4 -covering of K_{2p+1} with vertices labeled $0, 1, \dots, 2p$, containing a C_4 of the form $(0, p - 1, p, p + 1, 0)$ and the edge $\{p - 1, p\}$ covered twice.

Note that it is true for $p = 3$ as we have a covering of K_7 with the 7 C_4 's $(i, i + 1, i + 2, i + 5, i)$, $0 \leq i \leq 6$, containing in particular the C_4 $(2, 3, 4, 0, 2)$ and with the edge $\{2, 3\}$ covered twice. Suppose that the induction hypothesis is true for K_{2p+1} . We will show that it is true for K_{2p+3} . Let the vertices of K_{2p+3} be $A, 0, 1, \dots, p - 1, B, p, \dots, 2p$ and arrange them in this order. The C_4 's of a DRC-covering of K_{2p+3} will be :

- the $\frac{p(p+1)}{2}$ C_4 's of the covering of K_{2p+1} , except the C_4 $(0, p - 1, p, p + 1, 0)$,
- the $p - 1$ C_4 's of a DRC decomposition of $K_{2p-2,2}$, constructed between vertices $0, 1, \dots, p - 2, p + 2, \dots, 2p$ on one side, and the vertices A and B on the other side, namely the C_4 's $(A, p - 1 - i, B, p + 1 + i, A)$, $1 \leq i \leq p - 1$,
- the 3 C_4 's $(0, p - 1, B, p + 1, 0)$, $(A, p - 1, B, p, A)$ and $(A, B, p, p + 1, A)$.

One can check that all the edges are covered and there are altogether $\frac{p(p+1)}{2} + p - 1 + 3 = \frac{(p+1)(p+2)}{2} + 1$ C_4 's. The covering contains the cycle $(A, p - 1, B, p, A)$ which becomes, if we label the vertices of K_{2p+3} from 0 to $2p + 2$, the cycle $(0, p, p + 1, p + 2, 0)$; furthermore the edge $\{p - 1, B\}$ which becomes $\{p, p + 1\}$ after relabeling, is covered twice.

Case 2 : $n = 4q + 2$, $q \geq 1$. Note that in the proof of Lemma 3.7, we obtain a covering of K_{4q+2} with $2q^2 + 2q - 1$ C_4 's and two C_3 's which are (see remark) $(0, 1, 2q + 2, 0)$ and $(0, 2q - 1, 4q, 0)$. Delete these C_3 's and consider the 3 C_4 's $(0, 1, 2q + 2, 4q, 0)$, $(0, 2q - 1, 4q, 4q + 1, 0)$ and $(0, \alpha, \beta, 2q + 2, 0)$, with $0 < \alpha < \beta < 2q + 2$. They contain all the edges of the deleted C_3 's. Thus, we have a covering of K_{4q+2} with $2q^2 + 2q - 1 + 3 = 2q^2 + 2q + 2$ C_4 's.

Case 3 : $n = 4q + 4$. We assume that $q > 1$, and let us prove that $\rho_4(4q + 4) = 2q^2 + 4q + 3$. Like in the proof of Lemma 3.6, let the vertices be $A, 0, 1, \dots, 2q, B, 2q + 1, \dots, 4q + 1$. The proof of Lemma 3.6 also gives a decomposition of K_{4q+4} into the $2q^2 + 2q - 1$ C_4 's plus the 2 C_3 's of the covering of K_{4q+2} , plus $2q + 1$ C_4 's of the decomposition of the $K_{4q+2,2}$ (with vertices $0, 1, \dots, 4q + 1$ on one side and A, B on the other side), and plus the edge $\{A, B\}$. Using the remark of the proof of Lemma 3.7, we have a decomposition with $2q^2 + 4q$ C_4 's plus the edge $\{A, B\}$, plus the C_3 's $(0, 1, 2q + 2, 0)$ and $(0, 2q - 1, 4q, 0)$, and we know that one C_4 is of the form $(1, i_0, 2q + 2, 4q, 1)$. Let us replace the edge $\{A, B\}$, the 2 C_3 's and this C_4 by the following 4 C_4 's which cover all their edges : $(A, 0, 2q - 1, B, A)$, $(0, 1, 2q + 2, 4q, 0)$, $(0, 1, i_0, 2q + 2, 0)$ and $(1, \alpha, 2q - 1, 4q, 1)$, for some $1 < \alpha < 2q - 1$, for example $\alpha = 2$ (which supposes $q > 1$). Hence, if $q \geq 2$, we have a covering with $2q^2 + 4q + 3$ cycles.

Finally, if $q = 1$ a covering of K_8 is given by the following 9 C_4 's : $(i, i + 1, i + 4, i + 5, i)$, $0 \leq i \leq 3$, $(0, 1, 2, 6, 0)$, $(1, 2, 4, 5, 1)$, $(0, 2, 3, 4, 0)$, $(3, 4, 6, 7, 3)$ and $(1, 3, 5, 7, 1)$. Note that the edges $\{0, 1\}$ and $\{4, 5\}$ are covered twice. \square

Remark For $n = 5$, $\rho_4(5) = 5$. Indeed in any C_4 , there is exactly one edge $\{i, j\}$ such that $\delta_i^j = 2$ since the only way to have $\sum_i \delta_i^j = 5$ is to have 3 edges such that $\delta_i^j = 1$ and one with $\delta_i^j = 2$; therefore, $\rho_4(5) \geq 5$. Finally a covering of K_5 is given by the 5 C_4 's : $(i, i + 1, i + 2, i + 4, i)$, $0 \leq i \leq 4$.

4 Directed Case

We will now denote by $\rho^*(n)$ the minimum number of directed cycles needed in a DRC-covering of K_n^* .

Theorem 4.1 *When $n = 2p + 1$, $\rho^*(n) = p^2 + p$. Furthermore, a DRC-covering of K_{2p+1}^* consists of $2p$ \vec{C}_3 and $p^2 - p$ \vec{C}_4 .*

Proof: A proof similar to that of Theorem 3.3 gives $\rho^*(n) \geq p^2 + p$. Given a DRC-covering of K_{2p+1} , obtained in Theorem 3.3 using p C_3 's and $\frac{p^2-p}{2}$ C_4 's, we replace each C_4 by two opposite \vec{C}_4 and each C_3 by two opposite \vec{C}_3 . Thus, we obtain a DRC-covering using exactly $p^2 + p$ directed cycles, consisting of $2p$ \vec{C}_3 and $p^2 - p$ \vec{C}_4 . \square

Theorem 4.2 *When $n = 2p$, $\rho^*(n) = p^2$. Furthermore, we have a DRC-covering of K_{2p}^* with p \vec{C}_2 and $p^2 - p$ \vec{C}_4 , and another covering with $2p$ \vec{C}_3 and $p^2 - 2p$ \vec{C}_4 .*

Proof: Using a similar proof to that of Proposition 3.1, one can check that the lower bound is $\rho^*(2p) \geq p^2$ (we have no more the degree condition).

We will prove Theorem 4.2 by induction on p . First, a DRC-covering of K_4^* is given by the 4 \vec{C}_3 $(0, 1, 2, 0)$, $(0, 2, 3, 0)$, $(0, 3, 1, 0)$ and $(1, 3, 2, 1)$, and another is given by the 2 \vec{C}_4 $(0, 1, 2, 3, 0)$ and $(0, 3, 2, 1, 0)$ plus the 2 \vec{C}_2 $(0, 2, 0)$ and $(1, 3, 1)$.

Now, suppose that $\rho^*(2p) = p^2$ and let us prove that $\rho^*(2p + 2) = (p + 1)^2$. Let the vertices of K_{2p+2}^* be $A, 0, 1, \dots, p - 1, B, p, \dots, 2p - 1$ and arrange them in this order. A directed DRC-covering is given by the p^2 cycles of the covering of K_{2p}^* , plus the $2p$ \vec{C}_4 $(A, i, B, p + i, A)$ and $(A, p + i, B, i, A)$, $0 \leq i \leq p - 1$, and plus the \vec{C}_2 (A, B, A) . Thus, we have a covering of K_{2p+2}^* with

$p^2 + 2p + 1 = (p + 1)^2$ cycles. If the p^2 cycles of the covering of K_{2p}^* are $p \vec{C}_2$ and $p^2 - p \vec{C}_4$, we obtain a covering of K_{2p+2}^* with $p + 1 \vec{C}_2$ and $p^2 + p \vec{C}_4$.

Furthermore, one can replace the $\vec{C}_4 (A, 0, B, p, A)$ and the $\vec{C}_2 (A, B, A)$ by the $2 \vec{C}_3 (A, 0, B, A)$ and (B, p, A, B) to obtain a covering without \vec{C}_2 , if we start from a covering of K_{2p}^* without \vec{C}_2 . \square

Theorem 4.3 *When $n = 2p + 1$, $p \geq 3$, $\rho_4^*(2p + 1) = p^2 + p + 2$; when $n = 2p$, $p \geq 4$, $\rho_4^*(2p) = p^2 + 2$.*

Proof: Case 1 : $n = 2p + 1$. Suppose that $\rho_4^*(n) = p^2 + p + 1$. Then, as $4(p^2 + p + 1) = 2p(2p + 1) + 2p + 4$, $2p + 4$ extra edges are used and so $\sum_{i,j} \delta_i^j \geq (2p + 1)p(p + 1) + 2p + 4$, which implies $\rho_4^*(n) \geq p(p + 1) + \frac{2p+4}{2p+1} > p^2 + p + 1$ (recall that the sum of the distances over each cycle is $2p + 1$).

A covering with $p^2 + p + 2 \vec{C}_4$'s can be deduced from that of Theorem 3.8 by replacing each C_4 of this covering by two opposite \vec{C}_4 .

Case 2 : $n = 2p$. Suppose that $\rho_4^*(n) = p^2 + 1$. Then, as $4(p^2 + 1) = 2p(2p - 1) + 2p + 4$, $2p + 4$ extra edges are used and so $\sum_{i,j} \delta_i^j \geq 2p(p - 1)p + 2p^2 + 2p + 4$, which implies $\rho_4^*(n) \geq p^2 + \frac{2p+4}{2p} > p^2 + 1$.

A covering of K_8^* by 18 \vec{C}_4 's is deduced from that of K_8 by 9 C_4 's, given in the proof of Theorem 3.8, by replacing each C_4 of this covering by two opposite \vec{C}_4 . Note that this covering contains the $\vec{C}_4 (0, 1, 4, 5, 0)$, which is of the form $(0, 1, p, p + 1, 0)$, and that the arcs $(0, 1)$ and $(4, 5)$ are covered twice.

We will prove by induction that there exists a covering of K_{2p}^* with $p^2 + 2$ directed cycles containing the $\vec{C}_4 (0, 1, p, p + 1, 0)$ and with the arcs $(0, 1)$ and $(p, p + 1)$ covered twice. That is true for $2p = 8$ as we have seen before. Suppose that it is true for K_{2p}^* and let the vertices of K_{2p+2}^* be $0, A, 1, \dots, p, B, p + 1, \dots, 2p - 1$ and arrange them in this order. A \vec{C}_4 's DRC-covering of K_{2p+2}^* is given by the $p^2 + 1$ cycles of the covering of K_{2p}^* excluding the $\vec{C}_4 (0, 1, p, p + 1, 0)$, plus the $2p \vec{C}_4 (A, i, B, p + i, A)$ and $(A, p + i, B, i, A)$, $1 \leq i \leq p$, and plus the $2 \vec{C}_4 (A, 1, p, B, A)$ and $(B, p + 1, 0, A, B)$. Thus, we have a covering of K_{2p+2}^* with $p^2 + 1 + 2p + 2 = p^2 + 2p + 3 = (p + 1)^2 + 2$ cycles. Furthermore, this covering contains the cycle $(0, A, B, p + 1, 0)$ which becomes after relabeling $(0, 1, p + 1, p + 2, 0)$, and the arcs $(0, A)$ and $(B, p + 1)$ are covered twice. \square

Note that the above covering of K_n^* also gives an optimal covering of $2K_n$ as the lower bound was derived using $2K_n$.

5 Conclusion

The problem of the design of a survivable WDM network was considered as an extension of the classical edge covering problem by adding of the disjoint routing constraint. In particular, we have studied the case of a physical ring network with the all-to-all (K_n) communication instance. For this design problem, we give a solution with the optimal number of cycles as sub-networks.

Recently, in [5], we have extended the results to G being a torus (instead of C_n). It will be interesting to look further into other WDM networks like tree of rings and grids. One can also consider other communications instances like λK_n , $\lambda K_{m,n}$ or general logical graphs.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank L. Chacon and F. Tillerot of France Telecom R&D for stimulating discussions. The work of the first two authors was partially supported by the french RNRT program (under the PORTO contract). The last author would like to thank the support of CNRS-INRIA-UNSA and the hospitality of the MASCOTTE project where the research was done during his visit.

References

- [1] B. Beauquier. *Communications dans les réseaux optiques par multiplexage en longueur d'onde*. PhD thesis, Université de Nice Sophia-Antipolis, Janvier 2000.
- [2] J-C. Bermond. *Cycles dans les graphes et G-configurations*. Thèse d'Etat, Université de Paris Sud, Orsay, 1975.
- [3] J-C. Bermond, L. Chacon, D. Coudert, and F. Tillerot. A Note on Cycle Covering. In *ACM Symposium on Parallel Algorithms and Architectures – SPAA*, Crete, 4-6 July 2001.
- [4] J-C. Bermond, L. Gargano, S. Perennes, A. Rescigno, and U. Vaccaro. Efficient collective communication in optical networks. *Theoretical Computer Science*, (233):165–189, 2000.
- [5] J-C. Bermond and M-L. Yu. Vertex disjoint routing of request cycles over a tori. In preparation.
- [6] K. Heinrich. Graph decompositions and designs. In J.H. Dinitz and D.R. Stinson, editors, *Contemporary designs a collection of surveys*. Wiley, 1992.
- [7] C.C. Lindner and C.A. Rodger. Decomposition into Cycles II : cycle systems. In J.H. Dinitz and D.R. Stinson, editors, *Contemporary designs a collection of surveys*. Wiley, 1992.
- [8] W.H. Mills and R.C. Mullin. Coverings and packings. In J.H. Dinitz and D.R. Stinson, editors, *Contemporary designs a collection of surveys*. Wiley, 1992.
- [9] R.G. Stanton and M.J. Rogers. Packings and covering by triples. *Ars combinatoria*, 13:61–69, 1982.
- [10] D.R. Stinson. Coverings. In C.J. Colbourn and J.H. Dinitz, editors, *CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs*, chapter 8. CRC Press, 1996.
- [11] G. Wilfong. Minimizing wavelengths in all-optical ring network. In *the 7th International Symposium on Algorithms and Computation (ISAAC'96)*, volume 1178 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 346–355. Springer-Verlag, 1996.