

Coupled Simulation-Measurements Platform for the Evaluation of Frequency-Reuse in the 2.45 GHz ISM band for Multi-mode Nodes with Multiple Antennas

Guillaume Villemaud, Pierre-François Morlat, Jacques Verdier, Jean-Marie Gorce, Marylin Arndt

► **To cite this version:**

Guillaume Villemaud, Pierre-François Morlat, Jacques Verdier, Jean-Marie Gorce, Marylin Arndt. Coupled Simulation-Measurements Platform for the Evaluation of Frequency-Reuse in the 2.45 GHz ISM band for Multi-mode Nodes with Multiple Antennas. EURASIP Journal on Wireless Communications and Networking, SpringerOpen, 2009. inria-00436622

HAL Id: inria-00436622

<https://hal.inria.fr/inria-00436622>

Submitted on 27 Nov 2009

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Coupled Simulation-Measurements Platform for the Evaluation of Frequency-Reuse in the 2.45 GHz ISM band for Multi-mode Nodes with Multiple Antennas

Guillaume Villemaud¹, Pierre-François Morlat¹, Jacques Verdier², J.M. Gorce¹ and Marylin Arndt³

¹CITI INRIA – INSA Lyon, 21 avenue Jean Capelle, 69621 Villeurbanne, France

²INL – INSA Lyon, 7 avenue Jean Capelle, 69621 Villeurbanne, France

³Orange Labs - 28, chemin du vieux chêne - 38243 Meylan - France

Correspondence should be addressed to Guillaume Villemaud, guillaume.villemaud@insa-lyon.fr

In this paper we address the problem of efficiently evaluate performance of concurrent radio links on overlapped channels. In complex network topologies with various standards and frequency channels, simulating a realistic PHY layer communication is a key point. The presented coupled simulation-measurement platform offers a very promising way of rapidly modelling and validating effective performance of multi-mode, multi-channel and multi-antenna radio nodes. An accurate of radio channel is performed and then realistic performance with or without antenna processing is shown, verifying theoretical performance. Finally, available performance of concurrent communications on overlapped channels is exposed, showing that this approach is viable to enhance network capacity.

1. Introduction

It is obvious to say that properly evaluating performance of an entire wireless network is a very hard task. There is for example a deep gap to fill between purely theoretical approaches of radio link capacity and effective QoS results on an exploited network. Moreover, the increasing complexity of RF systems conduces to numerous hard issues such as antenna coupling, RF impairments influence, interference mitigation capability, etc...

Large efforts are made to offer more and more precise simulation of large scale wireless networks (e.g. wireless sensor networks WSN) with enhanced modelling of radio link performance. [1] discusses the accuracy of radio link modelling needed to ensure efficient network simulators. Authors had proposed an event-driven network simulator with a particular attention paid to PHY layer modelling, whereas only based on analytical formulation transforming SINR (signal to interference plus noise ratio) information in terms of BER (bit error rate) or PER (packet error rate).

This relation between SINR and BER, whatever its formulation, is required to render the very complex behaviour of the radio link, far away from the often

used spherical or omnidirectional models for nodes coverage.

A radio link suffers from additional effects of pathloss, shadowing and fading. To overcome the limitation of only defining a static threshold depending on distance (i.e. mainly pathloss exponent), it is important to take into account the temporal and spatial variability of the link. Thus the real capacity of a link is not only depending on an average value of the received power, but also on the temporal behaviour of this power.

Most of capacity studies are based on statistical laws such as Rice, Rayleigh or Nakagami-m ones, allowing extracting some theoretical boundaries for example in terms of packet error outage [2]. These statistical laws were developed to fit intensive measurement campaigns. These campaigns try to represent all kinds of environments and topologies which is very difficult considering the very large number of possibilities. Indeed, numerous solutions are also proposed to replace empirical approaches based on measurements by wave propagation simulations. For example [3] and [4] propose some solutions to add statistical analysis upon a deterministic wave propagation tool. This kind of analysis allows a better prediction of wireless link capacity than a pure average power consideration. Hence, a good evaluation of wireless network performance could be

based on associating precise wireless link models with a network simulator. A very interesting study of extracting radio link behaviour from measurements to feed GloMoSim simulator [5, 6] could be found in [7]. Also [8] investigates 802.11 links through measurement values used to drive a Markov chains model of the considered network.

[9, 10] propose interconnection of NS2 [11] with raytracing wave propagation tools to offer more realism in each link.

But even if the use of concurrent communications in different standards is a hot topic, it still remains an important need for a complete system evaluation of radio transmission scheme with enhanced realism both for radio channel and terminal's architecture. Particularly, as far as we know, the influence of strongly overlapping interfering channel with a different communication standard is never considered. As we will present in the next section, trying to model performance in such a context without taking into account actual capabilities of terminal architectures often conduces to strong constraints on frequency reuse in dense areas. To tend to a realistic evaluation of a complete complex transmission scheme with concurrent signals, it is necessary to use some dedicated hardware connected to system-level simulator. System-level simulators are able to really create a radiofrequency signal based on the used communication standard that will be used in a measurement platform, and the measured received signal will be re-injected in the simulator to emulate the receiver part of the link.

In this paper, we propose the use of such a simulation-hardware connected solution to evaluate the capability of using overlapping channels in the 2.45 GHz ISM band with different PHY standards with an emphasis on a realistic multiple antenna architecture at the receiver. From our point of view, this approach is greatly necessary to address the whole complexity of such a system and to offer a good evaluation of expectable performance, possibly to extract better models for large scale simulation. Moreover, adding a complete modelling of the receiver architecture with non-ideal RF components to a real radio channel measurement will offer a reduced development cycle of future terminals with enhanced capacity.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 explains the context of overlapped channels and offered possibilities if a terminal can manage correctly two overlapped channels. Section 3 presents simulation and measurement tools used to precisely evaluate allowed performance in this study case. Detailed results comparing simulations and experiments are given in Section 4 showing the wide area of possible

studies with this approach. Section 6 includes discussion and conclusion.

2. Study Case and Possible Scenarios

2.1 Context. The 2.45 GHz ISM band is well known to host lots of competitive radio standards. Even if we only consider IEEE 802.11 standards, channels are defined with only 5 MHz separation while occupying at least a 20 MHz bandwidth.

So adjacent channels are strongly overlapping, therefore interfering. Then it is commonly admitted for WiFi system deployment that a frequency allocation panel (FAP) may use only 3 non-interfering channels in the whole band, otherwise it leads to complex optimization problems [12]. Moreover, additional technologies such as Bluetooth or ZigBee are sharing this band, producing a large amount of possible interference.

2.2 Study Case. The study case we depict here is one of a terminal able to receive a 40 MHz bandwidth signal (i.e. possibly containing up to 5 overlapped channels). Considering that this receiver has multimode capabilities (by the way of multiple dedicated chips or ideally software defined radio structure), we would like to appreciate possible performance while receiving information on two overlapped channels with different standards. Several approaches could be considered to mitigate or suppress interference. One way is to use interference cancellation techniques like multi-user detection as presented in [13, 14]. This way becomes harder (i.e. resource-consuming) in the case of interference from various standards. Another way is to use multiple-antenna techniques, enabling spatial rejection of the interfering signal. That is the way we here focus on, considering that more and more terminals tend to be equipped of two or more antennas in order to be compatible with new MIMO standards. MIMO systems require multiple antennas, hence multiple RF chains and digital processing capabilities, but all devices are not always using MIMO modes, depending on the network architecture and the radio channel conditions. However, multiple-antenna resources could be used to perform effective interference mitigation for the simpler radio modes, by the way operating a real multi-mode interference mitigation which is not dependent on the chosen standards.

For the sake of understanding, we will here present only results with non-MIMO standards, i.e. without space-time coding, but results are not limited to mono-antenna standards. Hence, considered communication standards will be IEEE 802.11b and IEEE 802.11g.




FIGURE 1: One multiband terminal receiving signals from two access points on overlapped channels.




FIGURE 2: One multiband terminal receiving signals from one access point and one other mobile unit (MU) on overlapped channels.




FIGURE 3: One multiband terminal receiving signals from two over mobile units on non-overlapped channels.

Obviously these standards are not new, but offer the advantage of a large background of theoretical study and complementarities of used waveforms. 802.11b uses a spread spectrum technique (DSSS) while 802.11g uses orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) signals. Those two kinds of waveforms do not have the same sensitivity to interference, and we of course suppose here that there is no coordination between concurrent signals, that is no common MAC layer. Moreover, DSSS and OFDM

are basis of lots of standards intended to be used for wireless personal area networks (WPAN), wireless sensor networks (WSN) or even body area networks (BAN).

We could refer to ZigBee or Bluetooth 3.0 as examples, but also lots of customized technologies. Subsequently results will be presented on two concurrent communications on 20 MHz bandwidth each, possibly overlapping in the whole 40 MHz bandwidth of the receiver.

2.3 Scenarios. We could think about various topologies open to improvement with through approach. The main point is that the studied node has multi-mode (802.11b and 802.11g), multi-channel (40 MHz instantaneous received bandwidth) and multi-antenna (2 or more branches). We refer at this kind of terminal as a multi-* node.

Figures 1 to 3 present examples of possible usage enabling a more efficient reuse of frequencies. Figure 1 depicts the case of a multi-* node receiving signals from two access points (AP) using overlapped channels. If this terminal is able to efficiently separate those two incoming signals, it could receive twice the throughput of a classical system without the need of using two widely spaced channels. It emphasizes that not only the FAP is simplified, but also that multi-route approaches or network coding could be used rather than collaborative schemes or distributed MIMO, more difficult to implement (i.e. supposing modifying PHY or MAC layers). This scenario also offers the possibility for a multi-* node to get access to two different networks at the same time for two different applications without requiring two different hardware.

On Figure 2, we consider a case of a multi-* node receiving information from an AP and another mobile user at the same time. This could be in the case of a mesh network, where multi-* node could act as a relay for the MU in bad receiving conditions. This also could be, as in the first scenario, to maintain two different connections simultaneously, for example a laptop connected to Internet through the AP and to a wireless peripheral at the same time.

Finally, Figure 3 shows a case of a distributed network, ad hoc or WSN for example. Several neighbours could try to send data to the multi-* node at the same time on different channels. For the sake of comprehensiveness, in this figure we represent two non-overlapped channels, which could occur in the 40 MHz studied band, but one can understand that any combination of used channels is interesting to compare.

Of course, those presented scenarios are here to illustrate so possible network architectures where presented results offer interesting perspectives.

Following results will be only discussed in terms of radio link behaviour and could be applied in any of those topologies.

From this point it is important to underline that we only consider in this paper the receiver part of the problem. One reason is that, as said before, we do not want to analyze natively MIMO modes. Here is the point: our approach does not necessarily require that all nodes have multi-* ability and no pre-coding at the emitter is needed. The other reason is that our aim is to mutualise information from concurrent networks, that is to say received signals are never synchronized. The hard point is here clearly in the receiver part, aiming that the multi-* node could easily coordinate its emitted signals, and moreover could eventually use beamforming to emit signals simultaneously without interfering.

We will present in the next section the Agilent Platform used and the associated tools enabling to precisely evaluate the behaviour of such concurrent communications, and effective results are exposed in Section 4.

3. Simulation and Measurement Tools

3.1 Hardware Platform. Properly evaluate a complete transmission scheme with concurrent communications is not so simple. Practical experiment with existing materials, like existing nodes, could be very informative on the global network behaviour, but do not bring enough information at the signal level, because mainly based on Received Signal Strength Indicator (RSSI) values. To be able to deeply analyze actual performance of radio links, high quality measurement hardware is required to suitably generate and receive complex signals. Several solutions are proposed by different suppliers, we herein present our material from Agilent Technologies [15]. We will explain below the rationale behind the choice of this solution.

This radio platform is composed of (see Figure 4):

- 2 Arbitrary Waveform Generators ESG4438C with 6 GHz maximum RF frequency and up to 80 MHz bandwidth;
- One Vector Signal Analyzer VSA89641 with 2 RF inputs, with 6 GHz maximum received frequency and 40 MHz bandwidth.

We can use this platform to generate any kind of complex waveforms, connect any kind of antenna (omnidirectional or directional, simple or dual-polarized).




FIGURE 4: 2x2 MIMO radio platform with connected solution with ADS software.

The two ESG could be finely synchronized in the case of a real MIMO system, but of course in the present study case could also be completely de-synchronized and then emit different standards.

The two RF inputs of the VSA could also be connected to any kind of antennas, and the VSA chassis is mobile, enabling to test different radio channel conditions.

3.2 Software Tools. Our choice of hardware platform was greatly influenced by the ability of interconnection with a very powerful system-level software solution: Advanced Design System (ADS) [15]. This software, very popular in the RF engineering community, offers a very wide range of libraries and also various simulation engines.

Figure 4 presents a high-level representation of a complete transmission scheme with Ptolemy simulator. This simulator works in dataflow, so each wireless source (left-hand side of the figure) generates real time-domain 802.11b or g frames with random data payload.

Then, the key point is that we have two possible processes:

- complete simulation scheme: the whole transmission scheme for both signals is composed of simulation blocks, modelling emitting antennas, radio channels, additive noise, receiving antennas and receiver structures;
- connected solution: generated frames are used to feed ESGs, which really radiate signals through RF antennas and real propagation environment, thus signals are received on other RF antennas connected to both RF inputs of the VSA.

In each of these cases, this interaction with software analysis could bring a lot of information: power level, frequency domain spectrum, time domain received frames, Error Vector Magnitude (EVM), constellation, and finally the more representative one for performance evaluation: bit-error-rate (BER). With the connected solution, received signals could be directly analyzed through VSA software tool, or could be re-injected in an ADS simulation to feed simulated receiver structures.

It is important to understand that each block on Figure 4 is a high-level representation, Ptolemy offering the possibility to finely describe the content of each part of the system down to discrete RF or digital components. Moreover, a great interest of this solution is co-simulation possibilities. For example, the dataflow simulator can be linked to a frequency domain simulator called harmonic balance in order to accurately take into account the influence of RF components spectral behaviour. Furthermore, co-simulation with Matlab is also helpful, enabling to easily implement signal processing algorithms in the receiver structure (e.g. multi-antenna processing).

3.3 Radio channel analysis. To be sure that further performance evaluation in the context of concurrent communications is significant, first validation of our platform is there presented. Further, an analysis of a realistic indoor radio channel is exposed.

3.3.1 AWGN validation. At first, in order to validate the structure of our radio platform, first tests were realized in a Single-Input Single-Output (SISO) configuration under Average White Gaussian Noise (AWGN) condition, i.e. a single path between emitter and receiver without shadowing or fading. Figure 5 presents results we obtained for an 11 Mbps AWGN 802.11b transmission.

For each Eb/No, 10 000 frames of 100 bytes are emitted and demodulated to accurately estimate the corresponding BER. The theoretical 11 Mbps 802.11b BER variation was computed according to (1) [16]:

$$BER = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-X}^{\infty} \left(\int_{-v-X}^{v+X} \exp\left(-\frac{y^2}{2}\right) dy \right)^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{v^2}{2}\right) dv \quad (1)$$

Where $X = \sqrt{\frac{2 \cdot Eb}{No}}$ and N=8 in the case of an 11 Mbps transmission.

Whereas those measurements were not obtained in an anechoic chamber but in a close and static line-of-sight working condition, one can see a very good

agreement between theory, pure simulation and measurements.

Other tests were done for an uncoded 36 Mbps 802.11g SISO transmission, under an AWGN propagation channel. For such a data rate, a 16-QAM modulation scheme is used. The theoretical Symbol Error Probability P_s for a M-QAM is computed considering two independent \sqrt{M} PAM modulations on both I-and Q-channels [17]:

$$P_s = 1 - \left(1 - P_{\sqrt{M}}\right)^2 \quad (2)$$

with :

$$P_{\sqrt{M}} = 2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}\right) Q\left(\sqrt{\frac{3 \log_2(M)}{M-1}} \gamma_b\right) \quad (3)$$

where γ_b denotes the energy per bit to noise ratio (Eb/No) and Q the Gaussian function.

The relationship between the Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR), the transmission data rate, the coding rate Rc, the signal bandwidth (BW) and γ_b is given by:

$$SNR = \frac{data_rate \cdot R_c}{BW} \cdot \gamma_b \quad (4)$$

Where BW = 20 MHz, and Rc=3/4 in the case of a 802.11g transmission [18].

In the end, the theoretical Bit Error Probability (BER) is:

$$BER = \frac{P_s}{\log_2(M)} \quad (5)$$




FIGURE 5: 802.11b AWGN compared results.




FIGURE 6: 802.11g AWGN compared results.

Figure 6 compares simulated, measured and theoretical BER for an uncoded 36 Mbps 802.11g (16-QAM) transmission. In order to obtain a targeted BER value estimation (variance of 10^{-2}), 10 000 frames of 200 bytes are transmitted in measure and simulation tests for each SNR value. A good matching between the different data can be observed (deviation is around only 1 dB).

3.3.2 Realistic indoor channel analysis. While we could be confident in our connected solution to model AWGN conditions, we can then try to analyze the main characteristics of the indoor radio channel we will use in our scenarios. Our aim is to study SIMO performance under realistic working conditions. In this context, antenna coupling, channel correlation, and also different propagation conditions must be introduced in the measurement system. Depending on the current capabilities of our platform only 1x2 SIMO configuration is possible for each concurrent link.

Correlation of received signals and antenna coupling introduce loss of information diversity, and then a reduction of SIMO performance. Envelope correlation ρ between two signals x and y is computed according to (6) [19]:

$$\rho = \frac{E[(x - \bar{x}) \cdot (y - \bar{y})]}{\sqrt{E[(x - \bar{x})^2] \cdot E[(y - \bar{y})^2]}} \quad (6)$$

where $E(\cdot)$ denotes the expected value and $\bar{x} = E(x)$.

Table 1 presents correlation value in function of the antenna spacing d (a fraction of wave length λ) in the case of a NLOS (Non Line of Sight) transmission configuration. For each distance value, we also give

the BER (Bit Error Rate) value obtained thanks SIMO processing applied to the two recorded signals used to compute the correlation (same 802.11b frames than previously).

d	0.3	0.5	0.75	1	1.25	1.5
ρ	0.45	0.43	0.02	0.07	0.1	0.12
BER	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.01

TABLE 1: Correlation value as a function of antenna spacing.

A very low envelope correlation ($\rho < 0.5$) is observed. Obviously, a quite constant BER is also obtained, proving that for all distance between the two antennas, correlation has no influence on system's performance. For different antenna spacing, coupling between the two arms (S12 parameter) is computed and the maximum value, obtained for a distance of 0.3λ is equal to -20 dB. That is why, coupling effect between receiving antennas could be considered as negligible.

Another point is studying polarization behaviour. This point is often omitted in wireless link studies, whereas polarization diversity could be as rich as spatial one. By the use of dual-polarized patch antennas, we also have tested received power on each polarization (with only vertical or horizontal polarization at the emitter) and correlation between cross polarizations. Results shown in Figure 7 emphasize the power balance between vertical and horizontal polarization at the receiver for a vertically polarized emitter. This power balance reveals that in short range LOS conditions, the emitted polarization could be 7.5 dB above the perpendicular one, but till NLOS conditions are established, the power is equally divided between horizontal and vertical polarizations at the receiver. Moreover, correlation factor between orthogonal polarizations was always found lower than 0.35. Therefore we could consider that resorting to dually polarized antennas offers the high degree of diversity needed for interference rejection.

Finally, fine characterization of the propagation channel was realized. To be able to describe multi-path behaviour of indoor channels, we use the emission of repetitive pseudo-noise sequences (PN9). At the receiver, recorded received signals are correlated with the reference PN9 sequence in order to separate all delayed paths. This approach allows to extract the Power Delay Profile (PDP) of the measured channel, and also to analyze the temporal evolution of this PDP on a long-term point of view. One example of measured PDP in indoor multi-path environment is given on Figure 8.




FIGURE 7: Map of indoor polarization measurements and average power balance (in dB) between vertical and horizontal polarizations at receiving points.




FIGURE 8: Power Delay Profile

The measured NLOS channel used has a delay spread much less important (about 76 ns, $\tau_{rms} = 35$ ns) than the often used channel model ETSI-A for office environment (delay spread = 390 ns, $\tau_{rms} = 50$ ns) [20].

Amplitude distribution fits a Rayleigh law, which increases the interest of spatial diversity, and the coherence bandwidth of the considered multi-path channel is 5.2 MHz, signifying that also frequency diversity has to be considered for 802.11g OFDM signals.

Therefore, some feedback in our connected solution was performed. Actually, in order to ensure an easier comparison between simulation and measurements, a customized multipath channel block is defined in Ptolemy schemes fed with measurements data.




FIGURE 9: Example of received 40 MHz signal on one antenna and obtained signals after channel filtering.

This approach is twofold: used channel model is a better representation of our measurement conditions than ETSI-A models, and also this also a reduction of the number of simulated paths, i.e. reduction of simulation time. Consequently, we have a finely tuned connected solution, permitting a quick comparison between simulated and measured performance. This platform will then be used in the next section to analyze possible performance with multi-* devices.

4. Simulated and Experimental results for multi-* nodes

4.1 Mono-mode performance. Let us remind here that studied multi-* nodes have a receive bandwidth of 40 MHz (hence multi-channel, see Figure 9), multi-mode capability (802.11b or 802.11g received frames) and multi-antenna structure. A first point is to verify available performance with multi-antenna processing in this kind of architecture.




FIGURE 10: Diversity combining structure

Lots of different algorithms exist to take advantage of spatial diversity and to combine different signals arriving at the same receiver [21]. In our case, we consider mobile receivers mostly used in indoor environments, with large angular spread, so it is very difficult to calculate the Direction of Arrival of Signal of Interest with algorithms such as ESPRIT or MUSIC [22] which also have an important computational complexity.

Therefore we prefer to take advantage of the training sequence used in 802.11 receivers [18] and to compute the optimal combination (Figure 10) of different signals with the help of an adaptive algorithm with a Minimum Mean Square Error (MMSE) criterion.

A simple adaptive algorithm is the Least Mean Square (LMS) which has a low complexity of $O(N)$, but this algorithm has a very slow convergence.

Taking into account that the training sequence time of an 802.11g burst is small, it is preferable to use SMI which offers a faster convergence, even if SMI has a greater complexity of $O(N^3)$.

We apply the Sample Matrix Inversion (SMI) algorithm [23] in the temporal domain, directly after downconversion on an N elements array. The array element spacing is $\lambda/2$ with λ the wavelength.

We define the signals at the element inputs by $s_1(i), \dots, s_N(i)$ and the input signal vector by:

$$S(i) = [s_1(i), \dots, s_N(i)]^T \quad (7)$$

where T denotes the transpose operation.

The covariance matrix R and the cross covariance vector r are estimated with K samples of the signal vector (K is the number of sample of the training sequence d):

$$R = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{n=1}^K S^H(i) \cdot S(i) \quad (8)$$

where H denotes the transpose and conjugate operation.

$$r = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{n=1}^K S^H(i) \cdot d(i) \quad (9)$$

Denoting $w = [w_1, \dots, w_N]^T$ the complex weight to apply to different signals, an approximate solution to the MMSE problem is calculated as:

$$w = R^{-1} \cdot r \quad (10)$$

Of course, results presented in this paper using connected solution will only use two branches of diversity but results could be extended to larger cases.

Dedicated Matlab codes were developed for ADS co-simulation for two purposes. The first one is the detection of received signals on each RF inputs of the VSA, the second one to apply SMI algorithm to signals after all steps of synchronization performed by ADS blocks.

As first results of our multi-antenna testbed, we can see on figures 11 and 12 the bit-error-rate performance of 1x2 SIMO transmissions (i.e. one emitting antenna and two receiving antennas) compared to a classical SISO case for both standards in a simple AWGN channel. In both case, we retrieve the well-known consideration that in an AWGN channel, a diversity structure of order 2 produces a 3 dB decrease of required SNR for a targeted BER.

Another point presented in Figure 13 is the available diversity depending of channel characteristics. As previously discussed in section 3.3.2, studied multipath channel with our radio platform is shorter than ETSI-A model. Consequently, BER performance appears better for an equivalent SNR, while diversity degree is quite the same, offering the same rejection capacity.




FIGURE 11: BER versus E_b/N_0 for an 802.11b transmission with one or two received antennas in an AWGN channel.




FIGURE 12: BER versus Eb/No for an 802.11g transmission with one or two received antennas in an AWGN channel.




FIGURE 13: BER versus Eb/No for an 802.11g transmission with two received antennas in different channels.

4.2 Multi-* performance. In multi-* operating mode, we would like to evaluate performance when two overlapped channels with different modes are received. The first scenario presented corresponds to two emitters, one on 802.11b mode, the other one on 802.11g mode. We analyze here results in a multi-path environment, hence non-line-of-sight (NLOS) conditions. Nevertheless received SNR for both channels are kept constant, corresponding in each case of the required SNR to reach a $5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ BER without any concurrent communication in a SISO configuration (only one received antenna). This supposes that the radio link would be quite good with only one of those two links. But in our configuration, both links are maintained at the same time and moreover on overlapped channels (see Figure 14). The separation between centre frequency of each

channel, also called channel spacing Δ is then varied from 0 (co-channel interference) to 15 MHz.

Table 2 summarizes mean measured values obtained with our radio platform. We compare BER obtained with or without SIMO processing at receiver. Obviously, with co-channel interference in SISO configuration, radio links are unusable, BER reaches 0.5. In this co-channel configuration, SIMO processing does not bring a sufficient performance enhancement to expect channel reuse. But it can be observe a quick decrease of BER till a 5 MHz channel spacing with the use of multi-antenna processing. This supposes that multi-* devices allow a better frequency reuse and can support simultaneous connections with different modes on strongly overlapped channels.

Of course, obtained BER values depend directly on exact operating conditions (emitters positions, received power, channel type...). But in every tested condition we found the same global behaviour meaning that till a 10 MHz channel spacing parallel communications could be maintained.

Another test is presented on Figure 15. On that case, channel spacing is fixed at 10 MHz. The 802.11b link (in red channel) is maintained as previously at the SNR level corresponding to a $5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ BER without any concurrent communication in a SISO. Then the power of the 802.11g channel is progressively increased. BER curves are shown on Figure 16.




FIGURE 14: Definition of study case with overlapping channels.

Δ	802.11b SISO	802.11b SIMO	802.11g SISO	802.11g SIMO
0 MHz	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.4
5 MHz	0.3	0.08	0.4	0.07
10 MHz	0.2	0.01	0.35	0.02
15 MHz	0.01	0	0.01	$1.2 \cdot 10^{-4}$

TABLE 2: Obtained BER with measured channels depending on channel spacing and antenna configuration.




FIGURE 15: Definition of study case with overlapping channels and variable power of 802.11g signal.




FIGURE 16: BER depending on 802.11g received power for a channel spacing of 10 MHz in a multi-path environment.

We can observe that in a SISO case, 802.11b link is rapidly degraded when the 802.11g interferer increases in power, thus none of those two links appear exploitable. But with antenna processing (blue lines), we can see that an interesting trade-off could be found to ensure two parallel available radio links.

5. Discussion and Conclusion

Of course multi-antenna processing is not a novelty and already often considered in large-scale wireless networks studies. Hybrid multi-mode terminal use is also a largely studied item. But as far as we know combining multiple modes with multiple antennas in multiple overlapped channels was never considered, whereas it here appears to be an interesting way of enhancing spectral usage. This way of considering simultaneous connections could be compared to distributed or collaborative MIMO techniques, where several nodes transmit at the same time on common channels. But here we have shown that without any precoding and even with different standards we could reach interesting performance and ensure a better frequency reuse.

Our approach was to establish a complete connected solution with simulation-measurement coupling for a complete and fast analysis of complex radio links.

This solution allows a great realism of node architectures and can be studied further on. We are now focusing on enhancing the simulation of RF architecture in order to design efficient terminal structures for multi-* nodes.

One first step is a better simulation of realistic RF components, particularly of RF impairments. A study of the impact of such impairments on effective radio link performance could be found in [24].

Another important point is to find efficient way of creating multi-* nodes without notably increasing complexity and consumption. Some possible ideas are proposed in [25], but this aspect greatly depends on targeted networks.

From this point we can emphasize some observations. Multiplying degrees of freedom surely offers promising possibilities at the PHY layer in order to increase spectral efficiency of networks. Nevertheless, it remains that the number of available parallel channels is directly related to the number of antennas. To overcome this limitation, our approach needs to be combined with interference cancellation algorithms. Furthermore, to be efficient in a mesh or ad hoc configuration, this approach needs to be studied in a cross-layer point-of-view, supposing that a common MAC layer could use two different simultaneous PHY links.

Therefore, this coupled system-level simulation and measurement platform could bring some efficient evaluation of realistic radio links and could be used to feed network-level simulators with precise values. This platform could also be used to calibrate wave propagation prediction tools, or also to implement natively MIMO standards like WiMax or LTE. First results with this connected solution of frequency-agile RF architectures proposed for LTE-Advanced multi-band operation are very promising. Only this kind of high quality hardware could offer the possibility of studying future possible architectures at a reasonable cost with trustable results.

Acknowledgements

Authors wish to thank Orange Labs for supporting this work and Agilent technologies for collaborating on connecting solution development.

References

- [1] E. Ben Hamida, G. Chelius, J.M. Gorce, Impact of the Physical Layer Modeling on the Accuracy and Scalability of Wireless Network Simulation, To

appear in Transactions of The Society for Modeling and Simulation International. Accepted 2009.

[2] P. Mary, M. Dohler, J.-M. Gorce, G. Villemaud, M. Arndt, M-ary Symbol Error Outage Over Nakagami-m Fading Channels in Shadowing Environments, to appear in IEEE Transactions on Communications.

[3] G. De la Roche, X. Gallon, J.M. Gorce, G. Villemaud, On predicting Fast Fading Strength from indoor 802.11 Simulations, ICEAA 2007, Torino, Italy, September, 2007

[4] Pereira C., Pousset Y., Vauzelle R., Combeau P., Sensitivity of the MIMO Channel Characterization to the Modeling of the Environment, IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation (2009)

[5] Zeng X., Bagrodia R., and Gerla M., GloMoSim: A Library for Parallel Simulation of Large-Scale Wireless Networks. In The 12th Workshop on Parallel and Distributed Simulations, 1998.

[6] <http://pcl.cs.ucla.edu/projects/glomosim/>

[7] Zhou G., He T., Krishnamurthy S., and Stankovic J.A., Models and solutions for radio irregularity in wireless sensor networks, ACM Trans. on sensor networks 2006, Vol. 2, No. 2, pp. 221_262, may 2006.

[8] Kashyap A., Ganguly S. and Das S., A Measurement-Based Approach to Modeling Link Capacity in 802.11-based Wireless Networks, Proceedings of ACM MOBICOM, Montreal, September 2007.

[9] Stepanov I. , Rothermel K., On the impact of a more realistic physical layer on MANET simulations results, Elsevier Ad Hoc Networks, Volume 6 , Issue 1, Pages 61-78, January 2008.

[10] Dricot J.M. and De Doncker P., High-accuracy physical layer model for wireless network simulations in NS-2, In Proc. of the Int. Workshop on Wireless Ad-hoc Networks, IWWAN'04, Oulu, Finland, May-June 2004.

[11] <http://www.isi.edu/nsnam/ns/>

[12] de la Roche G., Rebeyrotte R., Jaffres-Runser K. and Gorce J.M., A QoS-based FAP criterion for Indoor 802.11 wireless LAN optimization, IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC 2006), Istanbul, Turkey, pp. 5676 – 5681, June 2006.

[13] P. Mary, J.M. Gorce, G. Villemaud, M. Dohler, M. Arndt, Performance Analysis of Mitigated Asynchronous Spectrally-Overlapping WLAN Interference, WCNC 2007, Hong Kong.

[14] P. Mary, J.M. Gorce, M. Dohler, G. Villemaud, M. Arndt, Reduced Complexity MUD-MLSE Receiver for Partially-Overlapping WLAN-Like Interference in Heterogeneous Multipath Channels, In VTC spring 2007, Dublin, Irland, 2007.

[15] <http://www.home.agilent.com/>

[16] M. Borgo, A. Zanella, P. Bisaglia, S. Merlin, Analysis of the hidden terminal effect in multi-rate IEEE 802.11b networks, *WPMC 04*, sept. 2004.

[17] J.G. Proakis, Digital Communications, fourth edition, Mc Graw Hill Ed.

[18] IEEE Std 802.11g-2003, Part 11: Wireless LAN Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) specifications: Further Higher Data Rate Extension in the 2.4 GHz Band.

[19] D.K. Dietze, C.B. Dietrich, W.L. Stutzman., Analysis of a two-branches maximal ratio an selection diversity system with unequal SNRs and correlated Inputs for a Rayleigh Fading Channel, IEEE Transactions on wireless communications, Vol 1, april 2002.

[20] Channels Models of Hiperlan/2 in different indoor Scenarios, ETSI EP BRAN 3ER1058B, march 1998.

[21] C. Godara, Application of antenna arrays to mobile communications, part II: beam forming and direction of arrival considerations, Proceedings of the IEEE, vol. 85, no. 8, pp 1195-1245, 1998.

[22] T. K. Sarkar, M. C. Wicks, M. Salazar-Palma, and R. J. Bonneau, Smart Antennas, Wiley Series in Microwave and Optical Engineering, 2003.

[23] J. Gupta, SMI adaptive antenna arrays for weak interfering signals, IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, vol. AP-34, no. 10, pp 1237-1242, Oct. 1986.

[24] P.F. Morlat, G. Villemaud, J. Verdier, and J.M. Gorce, On the compensation of RF Impairments with Multiple Antennas in SIMO-OFDM Systems, In Proceedings of IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference, VTC Fall, Montreal Canada, Sept. 2006.

[25] M. Gautier, P.F. Morlat and G. Villemaud, IQ imbalance reduction in a SMI multi-antenna receiver by using a code multiplexing front-end, In IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC09-Spring), Barcelona, Spain, 26-29 april 2009.