

Families of explicitly isogenous Jacobians of variable-separated curves

Benjamin Smith

► To cite this version:

Benjamin Smith. Families of explicitly isogenous Jacobians of variable-separated curves. LMS Journal of Computation and Mathematics, London Mathematical Society, 2011, 14, pp.179-199. <10.1112/S1461157010000410>. <inria-00516038>

HAL Id: inria-00516038

<https://hal.inria.fr/inria-00516038>

Submitted on 8 Sep 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

FAMILIES OF EXPLICITLY ISOGENOUS JACOBIANS OF VARIABLE-SEPARATED CURVES

BENJAMIN SMITH

ABSTRACT. We construct six infinite series of families of pairs of curves (X, Y) of arbitrarily high genus, defined over number fields, together with an explicit isogeny $J_X \rightarrow J_Y$ splitting multiplication by 2, 3, or 4. The families are derived from Cassou–Noguès and Couveignes’ explicit classification of pairs (f, g) of polynomials such that $f(x_1) - g(x_2)$ is reducible.

1. INTRODUCTION

Our goal in this article is to give algebraic constructions of explicit isogenies of Jacobians of high-genus curves; we are motivated by the scarcity of examples. Isogenies of Jacobians are special in genus $g > 3$, in the sense that quotients of Jacobians are generally not Jacobians. More precisely, if $\phi : J_X \rightarrow J_Y$ is an isogeny of Jacobians (that is, a geometrically surjective, finite homomorphism respecting the canonical principal polarizations), then its kernel is a maximal m -Weil isotropic subgroup of the m -torsion $J_X[m]$ for some integer m . On the other hand, if S is a subgroup of $J_X[m]$ satisfying this same property, then the quotient $J_X \rightarrow J_X/S$ is an isogeny of principally polarized abelian varieties, but in general J_X/S is only isomorphic to a Jacobian if the genus of X is ≤ 3 (see [34] and [14, Theorem 6]).

Nevertheless, families of non-isomorphic pairs of isogenous Jacobians of high-genus curves exist: recently Mestre [32] and the author [37] have constructed families of hyperelliptic examples. Here, we extend the results of [37] to derive new families of isogenies of non-hyperelliptic Jacobians in arbitrarily high genus. Theorem 1.1 summarises our results.

Definition 1.1. If ϕ is an isogeny with kernel isomorphic to a group G , then we say ϕ is a G -isogeny.¹

Definition 1.2. For all positive integers d and n , we define the integer $g_n(d)$ by

$$g_n(d) := \frac{1}{2}((n-1)(d-1) - (\gcd(n, d) - 1)).$$

Theorem 1.1. *For each integer $d > 1$ and for each row of the following table, there exists a ν -dimensional family of explicit G -isogenies of Jacobians of curves of genus $g_n(d)$, defined over a CM-field of degree e ; and if d is in S , then the generic fibre is an isogeny of absolutely simple Jacobians (here \mathcal{P} denotes the set of primes).*

n	ν	e	G	S
7	d	2	$(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{g_7(d)}$	$\mathbb{Z}_{>2}$
11	$d-1$	2	$(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^{g_{11}(d)}$	$\mathcal{P} \setminus \{11\}$
13	d	4	$(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^{g_{13}(d)}$	$\mathbb{Z}_{>2}$
15	d	2	$(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^{g_{15}(d)-g_5(d)-g_3(d)} \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{2g_5(d)+2g_3(d)}$	$\mathcal{P} \setminus \{3, 5, 7\}$
21	$d-1$	2	$(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^{g_{21}(d)-g_3(d)} \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{2g_3(d)}$	$\mathcal{P} \setminus \{3, 5, 7\}$
31	$d-1$	6	$((\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^2 \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2)^{g_{31}(d)/3}$	$\mathcal{P} \setminus \{3, 5, 31\}$

¹ This is not the conventional notation, which replaces G with a tuple of its abelian invariants; but it is much more useful in higher dimensions, where such tuples are typically very long.

Proof. Follows from Propositions 8.1 through 14.1. \square

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is organised as follows: In §3, we associate a family of pairs of curves $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ to each integer $d > 1$ and each pair of polynomials (Q_X, Q_Y) such that $Q_X(x_1) - Q_Y(x_2)$ has a nontrivial factorization. We also give a correspondence \mathcal{C} on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ inducing an explicit homomorphism $\phi_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Y}}$. In §4 and §5 we develop methods to determine the number of moduli and the kernel structure of $\phi_{\mathcal{C}}$. We recall the classification of Cassou–Noguès and Couveignes [9] in §6, and then apply our constructions to their polynomials in §§8–13. Finally, in §14 we list some values of d where $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ are known to be absolutely simple.

Connections to prior work. The chief contribution of this work is the construction of non-hyperelliptic families: to our knowledge, all of the families of isogenies of Jacobians in genus $g > 3$ in the literature are of hyperelliptic Jacobians. The non-hyperelliptic families (those with $d > 2$) are all new. Technically, the main improvement over [37] is a more sophisticated approach to computing the action on differentials: this allows us to treat all $d > 1$ simultaneously, and to determine the isogeny kernel structures when $d > 2$ (the approach in [37] uses an explicit description of the 2-torsion specific to hyperelliptic curves). The hyperelliptic families (those with $d = 2$) have all appeared in earlier works: The families $\phi_{2,7}$, $\phi_{2,11}$, $\phi_{2,13}$, $\phi_{2,15}$, $\phi_{2,21}$, and $\phi_{2,31}$ are isomorphic to the ‘linear construction’ families in [37]. The subfamily of $\phi_{2,7}$ with $s_2 = 0$ and the fibre of $\phi_{2,11}$ at $s_2 = 0$ appear in Kux’s thesis [30, Examples pp.59–60]. The endomorphisms of Proposition 7.1 with $d = 2$ are isomorphic to those described by Tautz, Top, and Verberkmoes [39].

Notation. Throughout, K denotes a field of characteristic 0 and ζ_n denotes a primitive n^{th} root of unity in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \overline{K}$. Automorphisms of K/\mathbb{Q} act on polynomials over K by acting on their coefficients.

Files. Six files accompany this article (`degree-n.m`, for n in $\{7, 11, 13, 15, 21, 31\}$), containing the coefficients of the polynomials and matrices that appear in §§8–13. (These objects are too big to be useful in printed form: for example, the matrix $M_{30}(A_{31})$ in the proof of Proposition 13.1 is a 30×30 matrix over a sextic number field, with 436 nonzero entries.) Each file is a program in the Magma language [3, 4], but they should be easily adaptable for use in other computational algebra systems; in any case, the reader need not be familiar with Magma to make use of the data. If the files are not attached to this copy of the article, then they may be found from the author’s webpage.

Acknowledgements. We thank John Voight, for his suggestions at the *Explicit Methods in Number Theory* workshop at the FWO in Oberwolfach, 2009; the workshop organisers and the FWO itself, for the fruitful environment in which this work was begun; Wouter Castryck, for his patient help and for pointing out Koelman’s thesis; and Frederik Vercauteren, for sharing his implementation of the Gaudry–Gürel point counting algorithm.

2. CORRESPONDENCES

We begin with a brief review of the theory of correspondences. (See [2, §11.5] and [22, §16] for further detail.)

Let X and Y be (projective, irreducible, nonsingular) curves over a field K , and let C be a curve on the surface $X \times Y$. The natural projections from $X \times Y$ restrict to morphisms $\pi_X^C : C \rightarrow X$ and $\pi_Y^C : C \rightarrow Y$, which in turn induce

pullback and pushforward homomorphisms on divisor classes: in particular, we have homomorphisms

$$(\pi_X^C)^* : \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(C) \quad \text{and} \quad (\pi_Y^C)_* : \text{Pic}(C) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y).$$

Both $(\pi_X^C)^*$ and $(\pi_Y^C)_*$ map degree-0 classes to degree-0 classes, and so induce homomorphisms of Jacobians (and, *a fortiori*, of principally polarized abelian varieties). Composing, we get a homomorphism of Jacobians

$$\phi_C := (\pi_Y^C)_* \circ (\pi_X^C)^* : J_X \longrightarrow J_Y;$$

we say C induces ϕ_C . We emphasize that ϕ_C is completely explicit, given equations for C : we can evaluate $\phi_C(P)$ for any P in J_X by choosing a representative divisor from the corresponding class in $\text{Pic}^0(X)$, pulling it back to C with $(\pi_X^C)^*$, and pushing the result forward onto Y with $(\pi_Y^C)_*$. Extending \mathbb{Z} -linearly so that $\phi_{C_1+C_2} = \phi_{C_1} + \phi_{C_2}$, we may take C to be an arbitrary divisor on $X \times Y$. We call divisors on $X \times Y$ *correspondences*.

The map $C \mapsto \phi_C$ defines a homomorphism $\text{Div}(X \times Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(J_X, J_Y)$; its kernel is generated by the principal divisors and the fibres of π_X and π_Y . The map is surjective: every homomorphism $\phi : J_X \rightarrow J_Y$ is induced by some correspondence Γ_ϕ on $X \times Y$ (we may take $\Gamma_\phi = (\phi \circ \alpha_X \times \alpha_Y)^* \mu^*(\Theta_Y)$, where $\alpha_X : X \hookrightarrow J_X$ and $\alpha_Y : Y \hookrightarrow J_Y$ are the canonical inclusions, Θ_Y is the theta divisor on J_Y , and $\mu : J_Y \times J_Y \rightarrow J_Y$ is the subtraction map). We therefore have an isomorphism

$$\text{Pic}(X \times Y) \cong \text{Pic}(X) \oplus \text{Pic}(Y) \oplus \text{Hom}(J_X, J_Y).$$

Exchanging the rôles of X and Y in the above, we obtain the image of ϕ_C under the Rosati involution:

$$\phi_C^\dagger = (\pi_X^C)_* \circ (\pi_Y^C)^* : J_Y \longrightarrow J_X.$$

(Recall that $\phi_C^\dagger := \lambda_X^{-1} \circ \hat{\phi}_C \circ \lambda_Y$, where $\hat{\phi}_C : \hat{J}_Y \rightarrow \hat{J}_X$ is the dual homomorphism and $\lambda_X : J_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{J}_X$ and $\lambda_Y : J_Y \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{J}_Y$ are the canonical principal polarizations.)

Composition of homomorphisms corresponds to fibred products of correspondences: if X, Y , and Z are curves, and C and D are correspondences on $X \times Y$ and $Y \times Z$ respectively, then $C \times_Y D$ is a correspondence on $X \times Z$ and

$$\phi_D \circ \phi_C = \phi_{(C \times_Y D)}.$$

Let $\Omega(X)$ and $\Omega(Y)$ denote the $g_n(d)$ -dimensional K -vector spaces of regular differentials on X and Y , respectively. The homomorphism $\phi_C : J_X \rightarrow J_Y$ induces a homomorphism of differentials

$$D(\phi_C) : \Omega(X) \longrightarrow \Omega(Y)$$

(see [36] for details). The image of a regular differential ω on X under $D(\phi_C)$ is

$$D(\phi_C)(\omega) = \text{Tr}_{\Omega(Y)}^{\Omega(C)}(\omega),$$

where the inclusion $\Omega(X) \hookrightarrow \Omega(C)$ and the trace $\Omega(C) \rightarrow \Omega(Y)$ are induced by the natural inclusions of $K(X)$ and $K(Y)$ in $K(C)$. The map $\phi_C \mapsto D(\phi_C)$ extends to a faithful representation

$$D(\cdot) : \text{Hom}(J_X, J_Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\Omega(X), \Omega(Y))$$

(the faithfulness depends on the fact that K has characteristic 0). We view differentials as row vectors, and homomorphisms as matrices acting by multiplication on the right. Composition of homomorphisms corresponds to matrix multiplication:

$$D(\phi_2 \circ \phi_1) = D(\phi_1)D(\phi_2)$$

for all $\phi_1 : J_X \rightarrow J_Y$ and $\phi_2 : J_Y \rightarrow J_Z$. In particular, if $Y = X$ then $D(\cdot)$ is a representation of rings; in general, $D(\cdot)$ is a representation of left $\text{End}(J_X)$ - and right $\text{End}(J_Y)$ -modules.

Example 2.1. Suppose that X is a curve with affine plane model $X : F(x, y) = 0$, and let x_1, y_1 and x_2, y_2 denote the coordinate functions on the first and second factors of $X \times X$, respectively. Our first example of a nontrivial correspondence is the diagonal

$$\Delta_X := V(y_1 - y_2, x_1 - x_2) \subset X \times X,$$

which induces the identity map: $\phi_{\Delta_X} = [1]_{J_X}$. More generally, if ψ is an automorphism of X , then $(\text{Id} \times \psi)(X)$ is a correspondence on $X \times X$ inducing ψ .

Example 2.2. Let X and Y be curves with affine plane models $X : F_X(x_1, y_1) = 0$ and $Y : F_Y(x_2, y_2) = 0$. For any polynomial $A(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2)$, the correspondence $C = V(A)$ is rationally equivalent to a sum of fibres of π_X and π_Y , and so induces the trivial homomorphism: on the level of degree-0 divisor classes,

$$\phi_C \left(\left[\sum_{P \in X(\overline{K})} n_P(P) \right] \right) = \left[\text{div} \left(\prod_{P \in X(\overline{K})} A(x_1(P), y_1(P), x_2, y_2)^{n_P} \right) \right] = 0.$$

In particular, correspondences inducing nonzero homomorphisms must be cut out by more than one defining equation (cf. Example 2.1).

3. VARIABLE-SEPARATED CURVES AND CORRESPONDENCES

Now let X and Y be variable-separated plane curves over K : that is, we suppose that X and Y have affine plane models

$$X : P_X(y_1) = Q_X(x_1) \quad \text{and} \quad Y : P_Y(y_2) = Q_Y(x_2),$$

where P_X, Q_X, P_Y , and Q_Y are polynomials over K . (This includes elliptic, hyperelliptic, and superelliptic X and Y .) We restrict our attention to the case where P_X, P_Y, Q_X and Q_Y are indecomposable: that is, they cannot be written as compositions of polynomials of degree at least two (cf. Remark 6.1).

Our aim is to give examples of correspondences inducing nontrivial homomorphisms. If $C = V(A)$ for some polynomial A , then $\phi_C = 0$ (cf. Example 2.2); so we need to find divisors on $X \times Y$ defined by at least two equations. We investigate the simplest nontrivial case, where each involves only two variables:

$$C = V(A(x_1, x_2), B(y_1, y_2)) \subset X \times Y.$$

We immediately reduce to the case where $P_X = P_Y$ and $B(y_1, y_2) = y_1 - y_2$: Let Z be the curve defined by $Z : P_X(v) = Q_Y(u)$, and define correspondences $C_1 = V(A(x_1, u), y_1 - v)$ and $C_2 = V(u - x_2, B(v, y_2))$ on $X \times Z$ and $Z \times Y$, respectively. Then $C = C_1 \times_Z C_2$, so

$$\phi_C = \phi_{C_2} \circ \phi_{C_1}.$$

Replacing Y with Z and C with C_1 (or X with Z and C with C_2), we reduce to the study of curves and correspondences defined by

$$X : P(y_1) = Q_X(x_1), \quad Y : P(y_2) = Q_Y(x_2), \quad C = V(y_1 - y_2, A(x_1, x_2)).$$

For C to be one-dimensional, we must have $A(x_1, x_2) \mid (Q_X(x_1) - Q_Y(x_2))$; we will see in §6 that the existence of such a nontrivial factor is special. It is noted in [9, §2.1] that if Q_X and Q_Y are indecomposable, then the existence of a nontrivial A implies that Q_X and Q_Y have the same degree

$$n := \deg Q_X = \deg Q_Y;$$

and further that there exists some integer r such that

$$r = \deg_{x_1}(A(x_1, x_2)) = \deg_{x_2}(A(x_1, x_2)) = \deg_{\text{tot}}(A(x_1, x_2)),$$

so we may write

$$(1) \quad A(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{i=0}^r c_i(x_2) x_1^{r-i} \quad \text{with } \deg c_i \leq i \text{ for all } 0 \leq i \leq r.$$

We have no restrictions on P , so we let it be (almost) generic²: for each integer $d > 1$ we let s_2, \dots, s_d be free parameters, and define P_d to be the polynomial

$$P_d(y) := y^d + s_2 y^{d-2} + \dots + s_{d-1} y + s_d.$$

Note that P_d is indecomposable. Henceforward, therefore, we consider families of curves \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} and correspondences \mathcal{C} in the form

$$(2) \quad \boxed{\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{X} : P_d(y_1) = Q_X(x_1), \quad \mathcal{Y} : P_d(y_2) = Q_Y(x_2), \\ \mathcal{C} = V(y_1 - y_2, A(x_1, x_2)) \subset \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}, \\ \text{with } Q_X \text{ and } Q_Y \text{ indecomposable of degree } n, \text{ and } A \text{ as in Eq. (2)}. \end{array}}$$

The families are parametrized by s_2, \dots, s_d , together with any parameters in the coefficients of Q_X and Q_Y . The special case $d = 2$, which produces hyperelliptic families, is the *linear construction* of [37] (with $s = -s_2$).

The Newton polygon of \mathcal{X} (and \mathcal{Y}) is

$$\mathcal{N}(d, n) = \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^2 : d\lambda_1 + n\lambda_2 \leq dn\}.$$

The families \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} have (generically) nonsingular projective models in the weighted projective plane $\mathbb{P}(d, n, 1)$, which is the projective toric surface associated to $\mathcal{N}(d, n)$ (we see in [35] that $\mathbb{P}(d, n, 1) = \mathbb{P}(d/m, n/m, 1)$, where $m = \gcd(d, n)$).

We let $\mathcal{P}(d, n)$ denote the set of integer interior points of the Newton polygon:

$$\mathcal{P}(d, n) = \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^2 : d\lambda_1 + n\lambda_2 < dn\}.$$

The geometric genus of \mathcal{X} (and of \mathcal{Y}) is equal to $\#\mathcal{P}(d, n)$, and it is easily verified that if $g_n(d)$ is the function of Definition 1.2, then

$$g_{\mathcal{X}} = g_{\mathcal{Y}} = \#\mathcal{P}(d, n) = g_n(d).$$

Remark 3.1. Most known nontrivial examples of explicit isogenies of Jacobians, including the isogenies of Richelot [5], Mestre [32], and Vélú [40] and the endomorphisms of Brumer [6] and Hashimoto [26], are *not* induced by correspondences in the form of Eq. (2). However, the explicit real multiplications of Mestre [33] and Tautz, Top, and Verberkmoes [39] are in the form of Eq. (2).

Remark 3.2. Our construction generalizes readily to the case where P_d , Q_X , and Q_Y are rational functions instead of polynomials. While this yields many more families, it also complicates the algorithmic aspects of our constructions below.

4. ISOMORPHISMS AND MODULI

We want to compute the number of moduli of \mathcal{X} : that is, the dimension of the image of \mathcal{X} in the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g_n(d)}$ of curves of genus $g_n(d)$ over \overline{K} . By Torelli's theorem, this is also the dimension of the image of the family $\phi_{\mathcal{C}}$ in the appropriate moduli space of homomorphisms of principally polarized abelian varieties.

We will adapt the methods of Koelman's thesis [29] to compute the number of moduli. Up to automorphism, we can determine the form of the polynomials defining any isomorphism between curves in \mathcal{X} by considering column structures

² We could define P_d to be the generic monic polynomial of degree d , but we can always change variables to remove its trace term in characteristic zero, and this will be convenient in the sequel.

and column vectors on the projective toric surface associated to $\mathcal{N}(d, n)$, where \mathcal{X} has a convenient nonsingular embedding (see [7], [10], and [29] for details).

More specifically, for $d > 2$, we embed \mathcal{X} in $\mathbb{P}(d, n, 1)$. The \overline{K} -isomorphisms between distinct curves in \mathcal{X} must then take the form

$$(3) \quad (x, y) \mapsto (ax + b, ey)$$

for some a, b, e in \overline{K} with a and e nonzero. When $d = 2$, it is more convenient to embed \mathcal{X} in $\mathbb{P}(1, g_n(d) + 1, 1)$; the \overline{K} -isomorphisms must then take the form

$$(4) \quad (x, y) \mapsto ((ax + b)/(cx + d), ey/(cx + d)^{g_n(d)+1})$$

for a, b, c, d , and e in \overline{K} with e and $ad - bc$ nonzero.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $d > 1$ be an integer, K a subfield of \mathbb{C} , and $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i x^{n-i}$ a polynomial over K or $K(t)$, where t is a free parameter, such that $g_n(d) > 1$ and*

- (i) $f_0 = 1$, (ii) $f_1 = 0$, (iii) $f_2 \neq 0$, and (iv) $f_3 = \kappa f_2$ for some $\kappa \in K$.

Let \mathcal{X} be the family defined by $\mathcal{X} : P_d(y) = f(x)$. Then

- (1) if f_i is in $K(t) \setminus K$ for some $2 \leq i < n$, then \mathcal{X} has d moduli;
(2) otherwise, \mathcal{X} has $d - 1$ moduli.

Proof. Let \mathcal{U} be the open subfamily of \mathcal{X} where s_2, \dots, s_d are all nonzero. It suffices to show that the intersection of \mathcal{U} with the isomorphism class of any curve in \mathcal{U} is finite. First, observe that \mathcal{U} has no nontrivial constant subfamilies: the parameters s_1, \dots, s_d (and t in Case (1)) appear in distinct coefficients of the defining equation of \mathcal{U} . It is enough, therefore, to show that there are only finitely many possible defining equations for isomorphisms from a fixed curve in \mathcal{U} to other curves in \mathcal{U} . Every such isomorphism has the form of Eq. (3) (or Eq. (4) for $d = 2$). But the defining equation of the codomain curve must satisfy (i) through (iv), which determine e and $ax + b$ (or $(ax + b)/(cx + d)$) up to a finite number of choices. \square

5. THE REPRESENTATION ON DIFFERENTIALS

Let \mathcal{X} , \mathcal{Y} , and \mathcal{C} be as in Eq. (2). We want to make the representation $D(\phi_{\mathcal{C}})$ of §2 completely explicit, with a view to determining the structure of $\ker \phi_{\mathcal{C}}$. It suffices to consider the generic fibres X , Y , and C of \mathcal{X} , \mathcal{Y} , and \mathcal{C} respectively. In this section, K denotes the field of definition of X , Y , and C .

First, we partition $\mathcal{P}(d, n)$ into disjoint ‘‘vertical’’ slices:

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{P}(d, n) = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{b_{d,n}} \{(i, j) : 1 \leq j \leq p_{d,n}(i)\},$$

where

$$b_{d,n} := \max\{i : (i, j) \in \mathcal{P}(d, n)\} = \lceil (1 - 1/n)d \rceil - 1$$

and

$$p_{d,n}(i) := \lceil (1 - i/d)n \rceil - 1 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq b_{d,n}.$$

We fix a basis for the spaces of regular differentials on X and Y :

$$\Omega(X) = \langle \omega_{i,j} : (i, j) \in \mathcal{P}(d, n) \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega(Y) = \langle \omega'_{i,j} : (i, j) \in \mathcal{P}(d, n) \rangle,$$

where

$$\omega_{i,j} := \frac{y_1^{i-1}}{P'_d(y_1)} d(x_1^j) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega'_{i,j} := \frac{y_2^{i-1}}{P'_d(y_2)} d(x_2^j).$$

This fixes isomorphisms of $\Omega(X)$ and $\Omega(Y)$ with $K^{g_n(d)}$; we view regular differentials on X and Y as row $g_n(d)$ -vectors over K . If we define subspaces

$$\Omega(X)_i := \langle \omega_{i,j} : 1 \leq j \leq p_{d,n}(i) \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega(Y)_i := \langle \omega'_{i,j} : 1 \leq j \leq p_{d,n}(i) \rangle$$

for $1 \leq i \leq b_{d,n}$, then the partition of Eq. (5) induces direct sum decompositions

$$(6) \quad \Omega(X) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{b_{d,n}} \Omega(X)_i \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega(Y) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{b_{d,n}} \Omega(Y)_i.$$

Since $y_1 = y_2$ in $K(C)$, the image of $\omega_{i,j}$ under $D(\phi_C)$ is

$$D(\phi_C)(\omega_{i,j}) = \text{Tr}_{\Omega(Y)}^{\Omega(C)} \left(\frac{y_1^{i-1} d(x_1^j)}{P'_d(y_1)} \right) = \frac{y_2^{i-1} d(\text{Tr}_{K(Y)}^{K(C)}(x_1^j))}{P'_d(y_2)} = \frac{y_2^{i-1}}{P'_d(y_2)} dt_j,$$

where

$$t_j := \text{Tr}_{K(x_2)}^{K(x_2)[x_1]/(A(x_1, x_2))}(x_1^j).$$

By definition, t_j is the j^{th} power-sum symmetric polynomial in the roots of A viewed as a polynomial in x_1 over $\overline{K(x_2)}$; but for $k > 0$, the k^{th} elementary symmetric polynomial in these same roots is equal to $(-1)^k c_k / c_0$, where c_k and c_0 are as in Eq. (1). We can therefore compute the t_j using the Newton–Girard recurrences

$$t_1 = -\frac{c_1}{c_0}, \quad t_2 = -\frac{2c_2 + t_1 c_1}{c_0}, \quad \dots, \quad t_j = -\frac{j c_j + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} c_j t_{j-k}}{c_0}.$$

Equation (1) implies $\deg t_j \leq \deg c_j \leq j$, so expanding t_j in terms of x_2 we write

$$t_j = \sum_{k=0}^j \mu_{j,k} x_2^k.$$

In terms of differentials we have $dt_j = d(\sum_{k=0}^j \mu_{j,k} x_2^k) = \sum_{k=1}^j \mu_{j,k} d(x_2^k)$, so

$$D(\phi_C)(\omega_{i,j}) = \sum_{k=1}^j \mu_{j,k} \omega'_{i,k}.$$

In particular, $D(\phi_C)$ respects the decomposition of Eq. (6): that is,

$$(7) \quad D(\phi_C)(\Omega(X)_i) \subset \Omega(Y)_i$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq b_{d,n}$. For each $0 < k < n$, we define a matrix

$$M_k(A) := \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{1,1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \mu_{2,1} & \mu_{2,2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \mu_{3,1} & \mu_{3,2} & \mu_{3,3} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \mu_{k,1} & \mu_{k,2} & \mu_{k,3} & \cdots & \mu_{k,k} \end{pmatrix}$$

representing $D(\phi_C)|_{\Omega(X)_k} : \Omega(X)_k \rightarrow \Omega(Y)_k$. Combining Equations (6) and (7), we have

$$(8) \quad D(\phi_C) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{b_{d,n}} M_{p_{d,n}(i)}(A).$$

The i^{th} summand in Eq. (8) is (by definition) the upper-left $p_{d,n}(i) \times p_{d,n}(i)$ submatrix of $M_{n-1}(A)$, because $p_{d,n}(i) \leq n-1$ for all i . Hence, we need only compute $M_{n-1}(A)$ to determine $D(\phi_C)$ for arbitrary d .

Algorithm 5.1. *Computes the maximal block $M_{n-1}(A)$ of the matrix $D(\phi_C)$.*

Input: An integer $n \geq 2$, and a polynomial $A(x_1, x_2)$ over K in the form of

Eq. (1): that is, $A(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{i=0}^r c_i(x_2) x_1^{r-i}$ with $\deg c_i \leq i$ for all i .

Output: The matrix $M_{n-1}(A)$.

1: Let $c_i := 0$ for $r < i < n$.

2: For i in $(1, \dots, n-1)$ do

2a: Set $t_i := -(ic_i + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} c_j t_{i-j})/c_0$.

2b: For j in $(1, \dots, n-1)$, let $\mu_{i,j} \in K$ be the coefficient of x_2^j in t_i .

3: Return the matrix $(\mu_{i,j})$.

The representation of the Rosati dual ϕ_C^\dagger is $D(\phi_C^\dagger) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{b_{d,n}} M_{p_{d,n}(i)}(A(x_2, x_1))$. We make the following definition for notational convenience.

Definition 5.1. We define an involution τ on $K[x_1, x_2]$ by

$$\tau(A(x_1, x_2)) := A(x_2, x_1).$$

Lemma 5.2. *With the notation above, if $M_{n-1}(A)M_{n-1}(\tau(A)) = mI_{n-1}$ for some integer m , then $\phi_C^\dagger\phi_C = [m]_{J_X}$ (that is, ϕ_C splits multiplication-by- m on J_X). Further, if m is squarefree, then ϕ_C is a $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^{g_n(d)}$ -isogeny.*

Proof. We have $D(\phi_C^\dagger\phi_C) = D(\phi_C)D(\phi_C^\dagger)$, so Eq. (8) implies

$$D(\phi_C^\dagger\phi_C) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{b_{d,n}} (M_{p_{d,n}(i)}(A)M_{p_{d,n}(i)}(\tau(A))).$$

As we noted above, $M_k(A)$ is the upper-left $k \times k$ submatrix of $M_{n-1}(A)$ for all k . Both $M_{n-1}(A)$ and $M_{n-1}(\tau(A))$ are lower-triangular, so $M_k(A)M_k(\tau(A))$ is the upper-left $k \times k$ submatrix of $M_{n-1}(A)M_{n-1}(\tau(A))$, which is mI_{n-1} by hypothesis. Hence $M_i(A)M_i(\tau(A)) = mI_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq b_{d,n}$, and therefore

$$D(\phi_C^\dagger\phi_C) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{b_{d,n}} mI_i = mI_{g_n(d)}.$$

The faithfulness of $D(\cdot)$ implies $\phi_C^\dagger\phi_C = [m]_{J_X}$, proving the first assertion. The kernel of ϕ_C must be a maximal subgroup of $J_X[m]$ with respect to the property of being isotropic for the m -Weil pairing; when m is squarefree, the second assertion follows from this together with the nondegeneracy of the Weil pairing. \square

Lemma 5.2 determines the kernel structure of isogenies splitting multiplication by a squarefree integer. In §§11-13, we will derive isogenies splitting multiplication by 4 and 8; we will need another method to determine their kernel structures. It is helpful to specialize to an isogeny defined over a number field, and then to view the specialized isogeny as an isogeny of complex abelian varieties.

Suppose that K is a number field. Fix an embedding of K into \mathbb{C} , and let σ denote complex conjugation; enlarging K if necessary, we assume $K^\sigma = K$. Viewing J_X and J_Y as complex tori, there exist coordinates on $\mathbb{C}^{g_n(d)}$ and lattices Λ_X and Λ_Y in $\mathbb{C}^{g_n(d)}$ such that the analytic representation $S(\phi_C) : \mathbb{C}^{g_n(d)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{g_n(d)}$ and the rational representation $R(\phi_C) : \Lambda_X \rightarrow \Lambda_Y$ are given by the matrices

$$(9) \quad S(\phi_C) = D(\phi_C) \quad \text{and} \quad R(\phi_C) = \begin{pmatrix} D(\phi_C) & 0 \\ 0 & D(\phi_C)^\sigma \end{pmatrix}.$$

We will compute the structure of $\ker(\phi_C)$ using the relation

$$(10) \quad \ker(\phi_C) \cong \text{coker}(R(\phi_C)) \cong \Lambda_Y / R(\phi_C)(\Lambda_X).$$

The first step is a restriction of scalars from K to \mathbb{Q} , since we do not know *a priori* how elements of K should act on our unknown lattices Λ_X and Λ_Y . Suppose that $R(\phi_C)$ is defined over the ring \mathcal{O}_K of integers of K (it is sufficient that A be a polynomial over \mathcal{O}_K). Fixing a \mathbb{Z} -basis $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_e$ of \mathcal{O}_K , we have a faithful representation $\rho : \mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow \text{Mat}_{e \times e}(\mathbb{Z})$ (made explicit in Algorithm 5.3), which extends to a homomorphism

$$\rho_* : \text{Mat}_{2g_n(d) \times 2g_n(d)}(\mathcal{O}_K) \longrightarrow \text{Mat}_{2eg_n(d) \times 2eg_n(d)}(\mathbb{Z})$$

mapping a matrix $(a_{i,j})$ to the block matrix $(\rho(a_{i,j}))$. We then have

$$(11) \quad (\Lambda_Y/R(\phi_C)(\Lambda_X))^e \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2eg_n(d)}/\rho_*(R(\phi_C))(\mathbb{Z}^{2eg_n(d)}),$$

so we can compute the isomorphism type of $(\ker \phi_C)^e$ by computing the elementary divisors of $\rho_*(R(\phi_C))$. Combining Equations (8) and (9), and applying ρ_* , we have

$$(12) \quad \rho_*(R(\phi_C)) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{b_{d,n}} \rho_* \left(M_{p_{d,n}(i)}(A) \oplus M_{p_{d,n}(i)}(A)^\sigma \right).$$

For each $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, we define

$$G(A, k) := \mathbb{Z}^{2ek} / (\rho_*(M_k(A) \oplus M_k(A)^\sigma)(\mathbb{Z}^{2ek}));$$

then combining Equations (10), (11), and (12), we have

$$(13) \quad (\ker(\phi_C))^e \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{b_{d,n}} G(A, p_{d,n}(i)).$$

We can use this relation to deduce the structure of $\ker(\phi_C)$.

Algorithm 5.3. *Computes the sequence $(G(A, k))_{k=1}^{n-1}$.*

Input: *A polynomial $A \in \mathcal{O}_K[x_1, x_2]$, and an integer n .*

Output: *The sequence of groups $G(A, k)$ for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$.*

1: *Compute $M_{n-1}(A)$ using Algorithm 5.1.*

2: *Set $e := [K : \mathbb{Q}]$, and compute a \mathbb{Z} -basis $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_e$ of \mathcal{O}_K .*

3: *For each $1 \leq i \leq e$, let $\Gamma^{(i)}$ be the $e \times e$ integer matrix such that*

$$\gamma_i \gamma_j = \sum_{k=1}^e \Gamma_{jk}^{(i)} \gamma_k \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq j \leq e,$$

and let $\rho : \mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow \text{Mat}_{e \times e}(\mathbb{Z})$ be the map $\sum_{i=1}^e a_i \gamma_i \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^e a_i \Gamma^{(i)}$.

4: *For each $1 \leq k \leq n-1$,*

4a: *Let M be the $2ek \times 2ek$ block matrix*

$$M := (\rho(M_{n-1}(A)_{i,j}))_{i,j=1}^k \oplus (\rho(M_{n-1}(A)_{i,j}^\sigma))_{i,j=1}^k.$$

4b: *Compute the Hermite Normal Form of M , and let (d_1, \dots, d_{2ek}) be its elementary divisors.*

4c: *Set $G(A, k) := \prod_{i=1}^{2ek} (\mathbb{Z}/d_i \mathbb{Z})$.*

5: *Return $(G(A, 1), \dots, G(A, n-1))$.*

Remark 5.1. In our examples, the generic fibres X , Y , and C are defined over $K(s_2, \dots, s_d)$ or $K(s_2, \dots, s_d, t)$, where K is a number field. But if Q_X and Q_Y are defined over K then so is A , so we can apply Algorithm 5.3 and use Eq. (13) to deduce the structure of $\ker \phi_C$ without choosing any particular specialization.

6. PAIRS OF POLYNOMIALS

To produce nontrivial examples in the form of Eq. (2), we need a source of pairs of polynomials (Q_X, Q_Y) such that $Q_X(x_1) - Q_Y(x_2)$ is reducible. For indecomposable Q_X and Q_Y over \mathbb{C} , these pairs have been explicitly classified by Cassou-Noguès and Couveignes [9]. The pairs are deeply interesting in their own right: For further background, we refer to the work of Cassels [8], Davenport, Lewis, and Schinzel [12, 13], Feit [15, 16, 17], and Fried [18, 19, 20]. An excellent account of the context and importance of these results can be found on Fried's website [21]. The plane curves cut out by the factors themselves are also interesting; Avanzi's thesis [1] provides a good introduction to this topic.

Definition 6.1. We say that polynomials f_1 and f_2 over K are *linear translates* if $f_1(x) = f_2(ax+b)$ for some a, b in \overline{K} with a nonzero. We say pairs of polynomials (f_1, g_1) and (f_2, g_2) are *equivalent* if there exists some a, b in \overline{K} with a nonzero such that f_1 and $af_2 + b$ are linear translates and g_1 and $ag_2 + b$ are linear translates.

The “equivalence” of Definition 6.1 is indeed an equivalence relation on pairs of polynomials. From the point of view of constructing homomorphisms, equivalent pairs of polynomials give rise to isomorphic homomorphisms of Jacobians.

Proposition 6.1. *Let \mathcal{X} , \mathcal{Y} , and \mathcal{C} be as in Eq. (2). Suppose that (Q_Z, Q_W) is equivalent to (Q_X, Q_Y) (so $Q_Z(x) = aQ_X(a_1x + b_1) + b$ and $Q_W(x) = aQ_Y(a_2x + b_2) + b$ for some $a, b, a_1, b_1, a_2,$ and b_2 in \overline{K} with $a, a_1,$ and a_2 nonzero.)*

- (1) *If $A(x_1, x_2)$ is a \overline{K} -irreducible factor of $Q_X(x_1) - Q_Y(x_2)$, then $A'(x_1, x_2) = A(a_1x_1 + b_1, a_2x_2 + b_2)$ is a \overline{K} -irreducible factor of $Q_Z(x_1) - Q_W(x_2)$.*
- (2) *$(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is \overline{K} -isomorphic to $(\mathcal{W} : P_d(y_1) = Q_W(x_1), \mathcal{Z} : P_d(y_2) = Q_Z(x_2))$, and $\phi_{\mathcal{C}}$ is \overline{K} -isomorphic to $\phi_{\mathcal{D}}$ where $\mathcal{D} = V(y_1 - y_2, A'(x_1, x_2)) \subset \mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{Z}$.*

Proof. Part (1) is a straightforward symbolic exercise. For Part (2), let $\alpha := a^{-1/d}$. The family $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W})$ is \overline{K} -isomorphic to $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ via

$$\begin{aligned} (s_2, \dots, s_d) &\mapsto (\alpha^2 s_2, \dots, \alpha^{d-1} s_{d-1}, \alpha^d s_d - b/a), \\ (x_i, y_i) &\mapsto (a_i x_i + b_i, \alpha y_i). \end{aligned}$$

This induces a \overline{K} -isomorphism between \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} , so $\phi_{\mathcal{C}} \cong \phi_{\mathcal{D}}$. \square

The classification of pairs of indecomposable polynomials (Q_X, Q_Y) over \mathbb{C} such that $Q_X(x_1) - Q_Y(x_2)$ has a nontrivial factor splits naturally into two parts, according to whether Q_X and Q_Y are linear translates or not. Observe that if Q_X and Q_Y are linear translates, then by Proposition 6.1(1) we reduce to the case $Q_Y = Q_X$. We always have a factor $x_1 - x_2$ of $Q_X(x_1) - Q_X(x_2)$; this corresponds to the fact that the endomorphism ring of J_X always contains \mathbb{Z} (cf. Example 2.1).

Theorem 6.2 (Fried [18]). *Let Q_X be an indecomposable polynomial of degree at least 3 over \mathbb{C} . Then $(Q_X(x_1) - Q_X(x_2))/(x_1 - x_2)$ is \overline{K} -reducible if and only if (Q_X, Q_X) is equivalent to either*

- (1) *the pair (x^n, x^n) for some odd prime n , or*
- (2) *the pair $(D_n(x, 1), D_n(x, 1))$ for some odd prime n , where $D_n(x, 1)$ is the n^{th} Dickson polynomial of the first kind with parameter 1 (see Remark 6.3).*

Theorem 6.3 (Cassou–Noguès and Couveignes [9]). *Let (Q_X, Q_Y) be indecomposable polynomials of degree at least 3 over \mathbb{C} , and let σ denote complex conjugation. Assume the classification of finite simple groups (see Remark 6.2). If Q_X and Q_Y are not linear translates, then $Q_X(x_1) - Q_Y(x_2)$ is reducible if and only if (Q_X, Q_Y) is equivalent (possibly after exchanging Q_X and Q_Y) to*

- (1) *a pair in the one-parameter family (f_7, f_7^σ) defined in §8, or*
- (2) *the pair (f_{11}, f_{11}^σ) defined in §9, or*
- (3) *a pair in the one-parameter family (f_{13}, f_{13}^σ) defined in §10, or*
- (4) *a pair in the one-parameter family $(f_{15}, -f_{15}^\sigma)$ defined in §11, or*
- (5) *the pair (f_{21}, f_{21}^σ) defined in §12, or*
- (6) *the pair (f_{31}, f_{31}^σ) defined in §13.*

It follows from Proposition 6.1 that we can give a complete treatment of homomorphisms induced by correspondences in the form of Eq. (2) by applying our constructions to the polynomials of Theorems 6.2 and 6.3. We treat x^n and $D_n(x, 1)$ in §7, and the polynomials $f_7, f_{11}, f_{13}, f_{15}, f_{21}$, and f_{31} from Theorem 6.3 in §§8–13.

Remark 6.1. The restriction to indecomposable polynomials is not too heavy, since we are primarily interested in isogenies of absolutely simple Jacobians. If $Q_X(x) = Q_1(Q_2(x))$ with $\deg Q_2 > 1$, then we have a $(\deg Q_2)$ -uple cover $(x, y) \mapsto (Q_2(x), y)$ from \mathcal{X} to $\mathcal{X}' : P_d(y) = Q_1(x)$. If $d > 2$ and $\deg Q_1 > 1$, or if $d = 2$ and $\deg Q_1 > 2$, then \mathcal{X}' has positive genus and $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{X}'}$ is a nontrivial isogeny factor of $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{X}}$, so $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is reducible. If $d = \deg Q_1 = 2$, then $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is not necessarily reducible: a partial treatment of this case appears as the *quadratic construction* in [37].

Remark 6.2. Theorem 6.3 assumes the classification of finite simple groups [24]. The classification is only required to prove the completeness of the list of pairs of polynomials (and not for the existence of the factorizations). In particular, Theorem 1.1 does not depend on the classification of finite simple groups; but one corollary of the classification is that every isogeny induced by a correspondence in the form of Eq. (2) is isomorphic to a composition of endomorphisms from the families in §7 and isogenies from the families in Theorem 1.1.

Remark 6.3. Recall that $D_n(x, a)$ is the n^{th} Dickson polynomial of the first kind with parameter a (see [31]): that is, the unique polynomial of degree n such that $D_n(x + a/x, a) = x^n + (a/x)^n$. In characteristic zero $D_n(x, 1) = 2T_n(x/2)$, where T_n is the n^{th} classical Chebyshev polynomial. We have $D_n(x, a) = a^{n/2}D_n(a^{-1/2}x, 1)$ when $a \neq 0$, so $(D_n(x, a), D_n(x, a))$ is equivalent to $(D_n(x, 1), D_n(x, 1))$. On the other hand $D_n(x, 0) = x^n$, so Theorem 6.2(1) is essentially a specialization of Theorem 6.2(2).

7. FAMILIES WITH EXPLICIT COMPLEX AND REAL MULTIPLICATION

We now put our techniques into practice. First, consider Theorem 6.2(1): Let $Q_X(x) = Q_Y(x) = x^n$ for some odd prime n . For each $d > 1$, we derive a family

$$\mathcal{Z}_{d,n} : P_d(y) = x^n$$

of curves of genus $g_n(d)$ with an automorphism $\zeta : (x, y) \mapsto (\zeta_n x, y)$ of order n . We say $\mathcal{Z}_{d,n}$ is *superelliptic* if $n \nmid d$. The family has $d - 2$ moduli: restricting the isomorphisms of §4 to $\mathcal{Z}_{d,n}$, we see that every isomorphism class in $\mathcal{Z}_{d,n}$ contains a unique representative with $s_2 = 1$. We identify ζ with its induced endomorphism of $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Z}_{d,n}}$; its minimal polynomial is the n^{th} cyclotomic polynomial. Recalling that

$$x_1^n - x_2^n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (\zeta_n^i x_1 - x_2),$$

we consider the correspondences

$$\mathcal{C}_i := V(y_1 - y_2, \zeta_n^i x_1 - x_2) \subset \mathcal{Z}_{d,n} \times_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)(s_2, \dots, s_d)} \mathcal{Z}_{d,n}.$$

We have $\mathcal{C}_i = (\text{Id} \times \zeta^i)(\mathcal{Z}_{d,n})$ so $\phi_{\mathcal{C}_i} = \zeta^i$ (cf. Example 2.1); the \mathcal{C}_i therefore generate a subring of $\text{End}(\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Z}_{d,n}})$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$.

Now consider Theorem 6.2(2): $Q_X(x) = Q_Y(x) = D_n(x, 1)$ for some odd prime n . For each $d > 1$, we derive a family

$$\mathcal{W}_{d,n} : P_d(y_i) = D_n(x_i, 1)$$

of curves of genus $g_n(d)$ with $d - 1$ moduli. In [31, Theorem 3.12] we see that

$$D_n(x_1, 1) - D_n(x_2, 1) = (x_1 - x_2) \prod_{i=1}^{(n-1)/2} A_{n,i}(x_1, x_2),$$

where

$$A_{n,i}(x_1, x_2) := x_1^2 + x_2^2 - (\zeta_n^i + \zeta_n^{-i})x_1x_2 + (\zeta_n^i - \zeta_n^{-i})^2.$$

Proposition 7.1. *The endomorphisms of $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{W}_{d,n}}$ induced by the correspondences*

$$C_i := V(y_1 - y_2, A_{n,i}(x_1, x_2)) \subset \mathcal{W}_{d,n} \times_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)(s_2, \dots, s_d)} \mathcal{W}_{d,n}$$

generate a subring of $\text{End}(\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{W}_{d,n}})$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n + \zeta_n^{-1}]$.

Proof. The family $\mathcal{U}_{d,n} : P_d(v) = u^n + 1/u^n$ has an involution $\iota : (u, v) \mapsto (1/u, v)$ and an automorphism $\zeta : (u, v) \mapsto (\zeta_n u, v)$. The double cover $\pi : \mathcal{U}_{d,n} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{d,n}$ defined by $(u, v) \mapsto (u + u^{-1}, v)$ is the quotient of $\mathcal{U}_{d,n}$ by $\langle \iota \rangle$, and $\pi_* \pi^* = [2]_{\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{W}_{d,n}}}$. Let (x, y) be a generic point on $\mathcal{W}_{d,n}$. On the level of divisors we have

$$\phi_{C_i}((x, y)) = (\alpha_1, y) + (\alpha_2, y),$$

where $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = (\zeta_n^i + \zeta_n^{-i})x$ and $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 = x^2 + (\zeta_n^i - \zeta_n^{-i})^2$. On the other hand,

$$\pi_*(\zeta^i + \zeta^{-i})\pi^*((x, y)) = 2(\zeta_n^i \beta + \zeta_n^{-i} \beta^{-1}, y) + 2(\zeta_n^{-i} \beta + \zeta_n^i \beta^{-1}, y),$$

where $\beta + \beta^{-1} = x$. But $\{\zeta_n^i \beta + \zeta_n^{-i} \beta^{-1}, \zeta_n^{-i} \beta + \zeta_n^i \beta^{-1}\} = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$, so $\pi_*(\zeta^i + \zeta^{-i})\pi^*((x, y)) = 2\phi_{C_i}((x, y))$, and hence

$$\pi_*(\zeta^i + \zeta^{-i})\pi^* = [2]\phi_{C_i}.$$

Let m_i be the minimal polynomial of $\zeta_n^i + \zeta_n^{-i}$; it is irreducible, and $m_i(\zeta^i + \zeta^{-i}) = 0$. Working in $\mathbb{Q}(\phi_{C_i})$, we have

$$2m_i(\phi_{C_i}) = 2m_i\left(\frac{1}{2}\pi_*(\zeta^i + \zeta^{-i})\pi^*\right) = \pi_* m_i(\zeta^i + \zeta^{-i})\pi^* = 0;$$

hence $m_i(\phi_{C_i}) = 0$, and the proposition follows. \square

Remark 7.1. The family $\mathcal{W}_{2,n}$ is isomorphic to the family \mathcal{C}_t of hyperelliptic curves of genus $(n-1)/2$ described by Tautz, Top, and Verberkmoes [39]. Their families extend earlier families of Mestre [33], replacing subgroups of the n -torsion of elliptic curves with the group of n^{th} roots of unity in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Our construction of $\mathcal{W}_{d,n}$ readily generalizes in the other direction to give more families of Jacobians in genus $g_n(d)$ with Real Multiplication by $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n + \zeta_n^{-1}]$ (though for these families, the Dickson polynomials are replaced by certain rational functions).

8. GENUS $g_7(d)$ FAMILIES FROM THEOREM 6.3 (1)

Consider Theorem 6.3(1): Let α_7 be an element of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ satisfying

$$\alpha_7^2 + \alpha_7 + 2 = 0,$$

so $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_7) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$. The involution $\sigma : \alpha_7 \mapsto 2/\alpha_7$ generates $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_7)/\mathbb{Q})$. Let t be a free parameter, and let f_7 be the polynomial over $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_7)[t]$ defined by

$$f_7(x) := x^7 - 7\alpha_7 t x^5 - 7\alpha_7 t x^4 - 7(2\alpha_7 + 5)t^2 x^3 - 7(4\alpha_7 + 6)t^2 x^2 + 7((3\alpha_7 - 2)t^3 - (\alpha_7 + 3)t^2)x + 7\alpha_7 t^3$$

(so $f_7 = 7g$, where g is the polynomial of [9, §5.1] with $a_2 = \alpha_7$ and $T = t$). We have a factorization $f_7(x_1) - f_7^\sigma(x_2) = A_7(x_1, x_2)B_7(x_1, x_2)$, where

$$A_7 = x_1^3 - x_2^3 - \alpha_7^\sigma x_1^2 x_2 + \alpha_7 x_1 x_2^2 + (3 - 2\alpha_7^\sigma)t x_1 - (3 - 2\alpha_7)t x_2 + (\alpha_7 - \alpha_7^\sigma)t.$$

Both A_7 and B_7 are absolutely irreducible, and $\tau(A_7) = -A_7^\sigma$ and $\tau(B_7) = B_7^\sigma$.

Proposition 8.1. *Let $d > 1$ be an integer, and consider the families defined by*

$$\mathcal{X}_{d,7} : P_d(y_1) = f_7(x_1), \quad \mathcal{Y}_{d,7} : P_d(y_2) = f_7^\sigma(x_2),$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{d,7} = V(y_1 - y_2, A_7(x_1, x_2)) \subset \mathcal{X}_{d,7} \times_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_7)(s_2, \dots, s_d, t)} \mathcal{Y}_{d,7}.$$

The induced homomorphism $\phi_{d,7} = \phi_{\mathcal{C}_{d,7}} : \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{X}_{d,7}} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Y}_{d,7}}$ is a d -dimensional family of $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{g_7(d)}$ -isogenies.

Proof. Both $\mathcal{X}_{d,7}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{d,7}$ have genus $g_7(d)$, with d moduli by Lemma 4.1. Applying Algorithm 5.1 to A_7 , we find that $M_6(A_7)$ is equal to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -3(2\alpha_7 + 1)t & 0 & \alpha_7^\sigma & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -4(\alpha_7 + 4)t & -4(\alpha_7 + 4)t & 0 & \alpha_7 & 0 & 0 \\ 35(\alpha_7 + 2)t^2 & -5(2\alpha_7 + 1)t & -5(\alpha_7 - 3)t & 0 & \alpha_7^\sigma & 0 \\ -42(\alpha_7 - 3)t^2 & -21(2\alpha_7 - 3)t^2 & -6(\alpha_7 - 3)t & -6(2\alpha_7 + 1)t & 0 & \alpha_7^\sigma \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have

$$M_6(A_7)M_6(\tau(A_7)) = M_6(A_7)M_6(-A_7^\sigma) = M_6(A_7)M_6(A_7)^\sigma = 2I_6$$

(since $\tau(A_7) = -A_7^\sigma$), so $\phi_{d,7}$ is a family of $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{g_7(d)}$ -isogenies by Lemma 5.2. \square

Remark 8.1. We may view $\phi_{d,7}$ as a deformation of an endomorphism of the superelliptic Jacobian $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Z}_{d,7}}$ of §7. Embed $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha_7]$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_7]$, identifying α_7 with $\zeta_7 + \zeta_7^2 + \zeta_7^4$. At $t = 0$, both $\mathcal{X}_{d,7}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{d,7}$ specialize to $\mathcal{Z}_{d,7}$, which has an automorphism $\zeta : (x, y) \mapsto (\zeta_7 x, y)$ of order 7, while $\mathcal{C}_{d,7}$ specializes to

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 &= V(y_1 - y_2, x_1^3 - x_1^2 x_2 + \alpha_7^\sigma x_1 x_2^2 - x_2^3) \\ &= \sum_{i \in \{1, 2, 4\}} V(y_1 - y_2, \zeta_7^i x_1 - x_2) \subset \mathcal{Z}_{d,7} \times_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_7)(s_2, \dots, s_d)} \mathcal{Z}_{d,7}. \end{aligned}$$

Each $V(y_1 - y_2, \zeta_7^i x_1 - x_2)$ induces ζ^i on $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Z}_{d,7}}$, so $\phi_{C_0} = \zeta + \zeta^2 + \zeta^4 = [\alpha_7]_{\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Z}_{d,7}}}$. Therefore, $\phi_{d,7}$ is a one-parameter deformation of $[\alpha_7]_{\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Z}_{d,7}}}$, which splits $[2]_{\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Z}_{d,7}}}$. (This gives an alternative proof of Proposition 8.1.)

Remark 8.2. Given any hyperelliptic curve X of genus 3 and a maximal 2-Weil isotropic subgroup S of $J_X[2]$, there exists a (possibly reducible, and generally non-hyperelliptic) curve Y of genus 3 and an isogeny $\phi : J_X \rightarrow J_Y$ with kernel S , which may be defined over a quadratic extension of $K(S)$. An algorithm to compute equations for Y and ϕ when S is generated by differences of Weierstrass points appears in [38]. Mestre [32] gives a 4-parameter family of $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$ -isogenies of hyperelliptic Jacobians; their kernels are also generated by differences of Weierstrass points. Since $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{X}_{2,7}[2]}$ is generated by differences of Weierstrass points, which correspond to roots of f_7 together with the point at infinity, we can factor f_7 (or a reduction at some well-chosen prime) over its splitting field, and then explicitly compute the restriction of $\phi_{2,7}$ to $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{X}_{2,7}[2]}$ to show that its kernel is *not* generated by differences of Weierstrass points. Therefore, $\phi_{2,7}$ is not one of the isogenies of [38] or [32].

9. GENUS $g_{11}(d)$ FAMILIES FROM THEOREM 6.3 (2)

Consider Theorem 6.3(2): Let α_{11} be an element of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ satisfying

$$\alpha_{11}^2 + \alpha_{11} + 3 = 0,$$

so $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_{11}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-11})$. The involution $\sigma : \alpha_{11} \mapsto 3/\alpha_{11}$ generates $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_{11})/\mathbb{Q})$. Let f_{11} be the polynomial over $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_{11})$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{11}(x) &:= x^{11} + 11\alpha_{11}x^9 + 22x^8 - 33(\alpha_{11} + 4)x^7 + 176\alpha_{11}x^6 \\ &\quad - 33(7\alpha_{11} - 5)x^5 - 330(\alpha_{11} + 4)x^4 + 693(\alpha_{11} + 1)x^3 \\ &\quad - 220(5\alpha_{11} - 1)x^2 - 33(8\alpha_{11} + 47)x + 198\alpha_{11} \end{aligned}$$

(so $f_{11} = 11g$, where g is the polynomial of [9, §5.2] with $a_2 = \alpha_{11}^\sigma$). We have a factorization $f_{11}(x_1) - f_{11}^\sigma(x_2) = A_{11}(x_1, x_2)B_{11}(x_1, x_2)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{11}(x_1, x_2) &= x_1^5 - \alpha_{11}x_1^4x_2 - x_1^3x_2^2 + (4\alpha_{11} + 2)x_1^3 + x_1^2x_2^3 + (\alpha_{11} + 6)x_1^2x_2 \\ &\quad - (2\alpha_{11} - 10)x_1^2 - (\alpha_{11} + 1)x_1x_2^4 + (\alpha_{11} - 5)x_1x_2^2 \\ &\quad - (12\alpha_{11} + 6)x_1x_2 + (8\alpha_{11} - 7)x_1 - x_2^5 + (4\alpha_{11} + 2)x_2^3 \\ &\quad - (2\alpha_{11} + 12)x_2^2 + (8\alpha_{11} + 15)x_2 + 12\alpha_{11} + 6. \end{aligned}$$

Both A_{11} and B_{11} are absolutely irreducible, and $\tau(A_{11}) = -A_{11}^\sigma$ and $\tau(B_{11}) = B_{11}^\sigma$.

Proposition 9.1. *Let $d > 1$ be an integer, and consider the families defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_{d,11} : P_d(y_1) &= f_{11}(x_1), & \mathcal{Y}_{d,11} : P_d(y_2) &= f_{11}^\sigma(x_2), \\ \mathcal{C}_{d,11} &= V(y_1 - y_2, A_{11}(x_1, x_2)) \subset \mathcal{X}_{d,11} \times_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_{11})(s_2, \dots, s_d)} \mathcal{Y}_{d,11}. \end{aligned}$$

The induced homomorphism $\phi_{d,11} = \phi_{\mathcal{C}_{d,11}} : \mathcal{J}\mathcal{X}_{d,11} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}\mathcal{Y}_{d,11}$ is a $(d-1)$ -dimensional family of $(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^{g_{11}(d)}$ -isogenies.

Proof. Both $\mathcal{X}_{d,11}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{d,11}$ have genus $g_{11}(d)$, and $d-1$ moduli by Lemma 4.1. As in Proposition 8.1, we calculate $M_{10}(A_{11})$ (given in `degree-11.m`) using Algorithm 5.1; its diagonal entries are all either α_{11} or α_{11}^σ . Using $\tau(A_{11}) = -A_{11}^\sigma$, we find

$$M_{10}(A_{11})M_{10}(\tau(A_{11})) = M_{10}(A_{11})M_{10}(A_{11})^\sigma = 3I_{10},$$

so $\phi_{d,11}$ is a family of $(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^{g_{11}(d)}$ -isogenies by Lemma 5.2. \square

10. GENUS $g_{13}(d)$ FAMILIES FROM THEOREM 6.3 (3)

Consider Theorem 6.3(3): Let β_{13} and α_{13} be elements of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ satisfying

$$\beta_{13}^2 - 5\beta_{13} + 3 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{13}^2 + (\beta_{13} - 2)\alpha_{13} + \beta_{13} = 0.$$

The field $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_{13}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3\sqrt{13} + 1})$ is an imaginary quadratic extension of the real quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\beta_{13}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{13})$. The involution $\sigma : \alpha_{13} \mapsto \beta_{13}/\alpha_{13}$ generates $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_{13})/\mathbb{Q}(\beta_{13}))$. Let t be a free parameter, and let

$$f_{13}(x) = x^{13} + 39((3\beta_{13} - 13)\alpha_{13} - 2\beta_{13} + 8)tx^{11} + \dots$$

be the polynomial of degree 13 over $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_{13})[t]$ defined in the file `degree-13.m` (we have $f_{13} = 13g$, where g is the polynomial of [9, §5.3] with $a_1 = \alpha_{13}$ and $T = t$). We have a factorization $f_{13}(x_1) - f_{13}^\sigma(x_2) = A_{13}(x_1, x_2)B_{13}(x_1, x_2)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{13}(x_1, x_2) &= x_1^4 + x_2^4 + (\beta_{13} - 3)x_1^2x_2^2 - 9(3\beta_{13} - 14)tx_1x_2 + 12(47\beta_{13} - 202)t^2 \\ &\quad - ((\beta_{13} - 4)\alpha_{13} + 2)x_1^3x_2 + ((\beta_{13} - 4)\alpha_{13} - \beta_{13} + 3)x_1x_2^3 \\ &\quad + 3((17\beta_{13} - 73)\alpha_{13} - 12\beta_{13} + 50)tx_1^2 \\ &\quad - 3((17\beta_{13} - 73)\alpha_{13} - 10\beta_{13} + 45)tx_2^2 \\ &\quad + 3((5\beta_{13} - 22)\alpha_{13} - 9\beta_{13} + 38)tx_1 \\ &\quad - 3((5\beta_{13} - 22)\alpha_{13} + 2\beta_{13} - 9)tx_2. \end{aligned}$$

Both A_{13} and B_{13} are absolutely irreducible, and $\tau(A_{13}) = A_{13}^\sigma$ and $\tau(B_{13}) = -B_{13}^\sigma$.

Proposition 10.1. *Let $d > 1$ be an integer, and consider the families defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_{d,13} : P_d(y_1) &= f_{13}(x_1), & \mathcal{Y}_{d,13} : P_d(y_2) &= f_{13}^\sigma(x_2), \\ \mathcal{C}_{d,13} &= V(y_1 - y_2, A_{13}(x_1, x_2)) \subset \mathcal{X}_{d,13} \times_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_{13})(s_2, \dots, s_d, t)} \mathcal{Y}_{d,13}. \end{aligned}$$

The induced homomorphism $\phi_{d,13} := \phi_{\mathcal{C}_{d,13}} : \mathcal{J}\mathcal{X}_{d,13} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}\mathcal{Y}_{d,13}$ is a d -dimensional family of $(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^{g_{13}(d)}$ -isogenies.

Proof. Both $\mathcal{X}_{d,13}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{d,13}$ have genus $g_{13}(d)$, with d moduli by Lemma 4.1. We compute $M_{12}(A_{13})$ (given in `degree-13.m`) using Algorithm 5.1; its diagonal is

$$(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_1^\sigma, \lambda_2, \lambda_2, \lambda_2^\sigma, \lambda_2^\sigma, \lambda_1, \lambda_1^\sigma, \lambda_2^\sigma, \lambda_1^\sigma),$$

where $\lambda_1 = (\beta_{13} - 4)\alpha_{13} + 2$ and $\lambda_2 = \alpha_{13} + 1$ both have norm 3 in $\mathbb{Q}(\beta_{13})$. We find

$$M_{12}(A_{13})M_{12}(\tau(A_{13})) = M_{12}(A_{13})M_{12}(A_{13})^\sigma = 3I_{12}$$

(since $\tau(A_{13}) = A_{13}^\sigma$), so the result follows from Lemma 5.2. \square

Remark 10.1. As in §8, we may view $\phi_{d,13}$ as a deformation of an endomorphism of a superelliptic Jacobian. We embed $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha_{13}]$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{13}]$, identifying α_{13} with $1 + \zeta_{13}^3 + \zeta_{13}^9$; then $\lambda_1 = 1 + \zeta_{13}^7 + \zeta_{13}^8 + \zeta_{13}^{11}$. At $t = 0$, both $\mathcal{X}_{d,13}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{d,13}$ specialize to the family $\mathcal{Z}_{d,13}$ of §7, while $\mathcal{C}_{d,13}$ specializes to

$$C_0 = \sum_{i \in \{0,7,8,11\}} V(y_1 - y_2, \zeta_{13}^i x_1 - x_2) \subset \mathcal{Z}_{d,13} \times_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_{13})(s_2, \dots, s_d)} \mathcal{Z}_{d,13}.$$

Each $V(y_1 - y_2, \zeta_{13}^i x_1 - x_2)$ induces the automorphism $\zeta^i : (x, y) \mapsto (\zeta_{13}^i x, y)$ of $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Z}_{d,13}}$, so

$$\phi_{C_0} = [1] + \zeta^7 + \zeta^8 + \zeta^{11} = [\lambda_1]_{\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Z}_{d,13}}};$$

hence $\phi_{d,13}$ is a one-parameter deformation of $[\lambda_1]_{\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Z}_{d,13}}}$, which splits $[3]_{\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Z}_{d,13}}}$.

11. GENUS $g_{15}(d)$ FAMILIES FROM THEOREM 6.3 (4)

Consider Theorem 6.3(4): Let α_{15} be an element of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ satisfying

$$\alpha_{15}^2 - \alpha_{15} + 4 = 0,$$

so $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_{15}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-15})$; the involution $\sigma : \alpha_{15} \mapsto 4/\alpha_{15}$ generates $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_{15})/\mathbb{Q})$. Let

$$f_{15}(x) = x^{15} + 15(\alpha_{15} - 1)tx^{13} + 15(\alpha_{15} + 7)tx^{12} + \dots$$

be the polynomial of degree 15 over $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_{15})[t]$ defined in the file `degree-15.m` (so $f_{15} = 15g$, where g is the polynomial of [9, §5.4] with $a_1 = \alpha_{15}$ and $T = t$). We have a factorization $f_{15}(x_1) - (-f_{15}^\sigma(x_2)) = A_{15}(x_1, x_2)B_{15}(x_1, x_2)$, where A_{15} and B_{15} are absolutely irreducible polynomials of total degree 7 and 8 respectively (also defined in `degree-15.m`), with $\tau(A_{15}) = A_{15}^\sigma$ and $\tau(B_{15}) = B_{15}^\sigma$.

Proposition 11.1. *Let $d > 1$ be an integer, and consider the families defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_{d,15} : P_d(y_1) &= f_{15}(x_1), & \mathcal{Y}_{d,15} : P_d(y_2) &= f_{15}^\sigma(x_2), \\ \mathcal{C}_{d,15} &= V(y_1 - y_2, A_{15}(x_1, x_2)) \subset \mathcal{X}_{d,15} \times_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_{15})(s_2, \dots, s_d, t)} \mathcal{Y}_{d,15}. \end{aligned}$$

The induced homomorphism $\phi_{d,15} := \phi_{\mathcal{C}_{d,15}} : \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{X}_{d,15}} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Y}_{d,15}}$ is a d -dimensional family of $(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^{g_{15}(d) - g_5(d) - g_3(d)} \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{2(g_5(d) + g_3(d))}$ -isogenies.

Proof. Both $\mathcal{X}_{d,15}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{d,15}$ have genus $g_{15}(d)$, with d moduli by Lemma 4.1. We compute $M_{14}(A_{15})$ (given in `degree-15.m`) using Algorithm 5.1. We find

$$M_{14}(A_{15})M_{14}(\tau(A_{15})) = M_{14}(A_{15})M_{14}(A_{15})^\sigma = 4I_{14}$$

(using $\tau(A_{15}) = A_{15}^\sigma$), so $\phi_{d,15}$ splits multiplication-by-4 by Lemma 5.2. After specializing t , Algorithm 5.3 gives $G(A_{15}, k) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^{2(k - m(k))} \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{4m(k)}$, where $m(k) = \#\{i : 1 \leq i \leq k, \gcd(i, 15) \neq 1\}$, for each $1 \leq k \leq 14$. Each of the $g_{15}(d)$ points (i, j) in $\mathcal{P}(d, 15)$ therefore contributes a factor of either $(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^2$ or $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4$ to $(\ker(\phi_{d,15}))^2$, according to whether $\gcd(j, 15) = 1$ or not. The number of points (i, j) in $\mathcal{P}(d, 15)$ with $\gcd(j, 15) \neq 1$ is equal to $g_3(d) + g_5(d)$, so

$$(\ker \phi_{d,15})^2 \cong (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^{2(g_{15}(d) - g_5(d) - g_3(d))} \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{4(g_5(d) + g_3(d))};$$

the result follows. (See Remark 11.1 for more detail on the kernel structure.) \square

Remark 11.1. As in §8 and §10, we may view $\phi_{d,15}$ as a deformation of an endomorphism of a superelliptic Jacobian. Let $S = \{0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 10\}$; we embed $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha_{15}]$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{15}]$, identifying α_{15} with $\sum_{i \in S} \zeta_{15}^i$. At $t = 0$, the family $\mathcal{X}_{d,15}$ specializes to $\mathcal{Z}_{d,15} : P_d(y_1) = x_1^{15}$, which has an automorphism $\zeta : (x_1, y_1) \mapsto (\zeta_{15} x_1, y_1)$, while $\mathcal{Y}_{d,15}$ specializes to $\mathcal{Z}'_{d,15} : P_d(y_2) = -x_2^{15}$, which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Z}_{d,15}$

via $\iota : (x_2, y_2) \mapsto (-x_2, y_2)$. Meanwhile, A specializes to $A_0 = \prod_{i \in S} (\zeta_{15}^i x_1 + x_2)$, so $\mathcal{C}_{d,15}$ specializes to

$$C_0 = \sum_{i \in S} V(y_1 - y_2, \zeta_{15}^i x_1 + x_2) \subset \mathcal{Z}_{d,15} \times_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_{15})(s_2, \dots, s_d)} \mathcal{Z}'_{d,15},$$

and $\phi_{C_0} = \iota \sum_{i \in S} \zeta^i = \iota[\alpha_{15}]_{\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Z}_{d,15}}}$. Hence $\phi_{d,15}$ is a one-parameter deformation of an isogeny isomorphic to the endomorphism $[\alpha_{15}]_{\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Z}_{d,15}}}$.

We gain further insight into the structure of $\ker \phi_{C_0}$, and hence $\ker \phi_C$, by decomposing $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Z}_{d,15}}$. We may view $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Z}_{d,5}}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Z}_{d,3}}$ as abelian subvarieties of $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Z}_{d,15}}$ via the covers $\mathcal{Z}_{d,15} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{d,5}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{d,15} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{d,3}$, defined by $(x_i, y_i) \mapsto (x_i^3, y_i)$ and $(x_i, y_i) \mapsto (x_i^5, y_i)$, respectively. The endomorphism $\psi = \iota \circ \phi_{C_0}$ of $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Z}_{d,15}}$ is induced by $V(y_1 - y_2, A_0(x_1, -x_2))$. The matrix $M_{14}(A_0(x_1, -x_2))$ is diagonal:

$$M_{14}(A_0(x_1, -x_2)) = \text{diag}(\alpha_{15}^\sigma, \alpha_{15}^\sigma, 2, \alpha_{15}^\sigma, -2, 2, \alpha_{15}, \alpha_{15}^\sigma, 2, -2, \alpha_{15}, 2, \alpha_{15}, \alpha_{15}).$$

Considering Eq. (8), we see that $D(\psi)(\omega_{i,j}) = 2\omega_{i,j}$ whenever $j = 3, 6, 9$, and 12 (that is, when $\omega_{i,j}$ is the pullback of a differential on $\mathcal{Z}_{d,5}$), so ψ acts as $[2]_{\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Z}_{d,15}}}$ on $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Z}_{d,5}} \subset \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Z}_{d,15}}$. Similarly, $D(\phi_{C_0})(\omega_{i,j}) = -2\omega_{i,j}$ for $j = 5$ and 10 (when $\omega_{i,j}$ is the pullback of a differential on $\mathcal{Z}_{d,3}$), so ψ acts as $[-2]_{\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Z}_{d,15}}}$ on $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Z}_{d,3}} \subset \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Z}_{d,15}}$. Looking at the other entries on the diagonal, we see that ψ acts as multiplication-by- α_{15} on the $(g_{15}(d) - g_5(d) - g_3(d))$ -dimensional complimentary subvariety \mathcal{A} of $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Z}_{d,3}} \times \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Z}_{d,5}}$ in $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Z}_{d,15}}$. This gives us a clearer description of the isomorphism in the proof of Proposition 11.1: the factors $(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^{g_{15}(d) - g_3(d) - g_5(d)}$, $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{2g_3(d)}$, and $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{2g_5(d)}$ correspond to $\ker(\psi|_{\mathcal{A}})$, $\ker(\phi|_{\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Z}_{d,3}}})$, and $\ker(\phi|_{\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Z}_{d,5}}})$ respectively.

12. GENUS $g_{21}(d)$ FAMILIES FROM THEOREM 6.3 (5)

Consider Theorem 6.3(5): Let α_{21} be an element of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ satisfying

$$\alpha_{21}^2 - \alpha_{21} + 2 = 0,$$

so $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_{21}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$; the involution $\sigma : \alpha_{21} \mapsto 2/\alpha_{21}$ generates $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_{21})/\mathbb{Q})$. Let

$$f_{21}(x) = x^{21} + (42\alpha_{21} + 42)x^{19} + (84\alpha_{21} + 84)x^{18} + (2331\alpha_{21} - 861)x^{17} + \dots$$

be the polynomial of degree 21 over $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_{21})$ defined in the file `degree-21.m` (such that $f_{21}(x) = 2^{21}g(x/2)$, where g is the polynomial of [9, §5.5] with $a_1 = \alpha_{21}$). We have a factorization $f_{21}(x_1) - f_{21}^\sigma(x_2) = A_{21}(x_1, x_2)B_{21}(x_1, x_2)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{21}(x_1, x_2) = & x_1^5 + (\alpha_{21} + 1)x_1^4x_2 + 2\alpha_{21}x_1^3x_2^2 + (10\alpha_{21} + 18)x_1^3 \\ & + (2\alpha_{21} - 2)x_1^2x_2^3 + (32\alpha_{21} - 8)x_1^2x_2 + (20\alpha_{21} + 4)x_1^2 \\ & + (\alpha_{21} - 2)x_1x_2^4 + (32\alpha_{21} - 24)x_1x_2^2 + (32\alpha_{21} - 16)x_1x_2 \\ & + (107\alpha_{21} + 55)x_1 - x_2^5 + (10\alpha_{21} - 28)x_2^3 + (20\alpha_{21} - 24)x_2^2 \\ & + (107\alpha_{21} - 162)x_2 + 136\alpha_{21} - 68. \end{aligned}$$

Both A_{21} and B_{21} are absolutely irreducible, and $\tau(A_{21}) = -A_{21}^\sigma$ and $\tau(B_{21}) = B_{21}^\sigma$.

Proposition 12.1. *Let $d > 1$ be an integer, and consider the families defined by*

$$\mathcal{X}_{d,21} : P_d(y_1) = f_{21}(x_1), \quad \mathcal{Y}_{d,21} : P_d(y_2) = f_{21}^\sigma(x_2),$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{d,21} = V(y_1 - y_2, A_{21}(x_1, x_2)) \subset \mathcal{X}_{d,21} \times_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_{21})(s_2, \dots, s_d)} \mathcal{Y}_{d,21}.$$

The induced homomorphism $\phi_{d,21} := \phi_{\mathcal{C}_{d,21}} : \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{X}_{d,21}} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Y}_{d,21}}$ is a $(d-1)$ -dimensional family of $(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^{g_{21}(d) - g_3(d)} \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{2g_3(d)}$ -isogenies.

Proof. Both $\mathcal{X}_{d,21}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{d,21}$ have genus $g_{21}(d)$, with $d-1$ moduli by Lemma 4.1. We compute $M_{20}(A_{21})$ (given in `degree-21.m`) using Algorithm 5.1. We find that

$$M_{20}(A_{21})M_{20}(\tau(A_{21})) = M_{20}(A_{21})M_{20}(A_{21})^\sigma = 4I_{20}$$

(since $\tau(A_{21}) = -A_{21}^\sigma$), so ϕ_{21} splits multiplication-by-4 by Lemma 5.2. Applying Algorithm 5.3, we see that $G(A_{21}, k) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^{k-\lfloor k/7 \rfloor} \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{2\lfloor k/7 \rfloor}$ for $1 \leq k \leq 20$. Hence each point (i, j) in $\mathcal{P}(d, 21)$ contributes a factor of either $(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^2$ or $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4$ to $(\ker(\phi_{d,21}))^2$, according to whether 7 divides j or not. Therefore

$$(\ker \phi_{d,21})^2 \cong (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^{2(g_{21}(d)-g_3(d))} \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{4g_3(d)},$$

and the result follows. \square

13. GENUS $g_{31}(d)$ FAMILIES FROM THEOREM 6.3 (6)

Consider Theorem 6.3(6): Let α_{31} and β_{31} be elements of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ satisfying

$$\beta_{31}^3 - 13\beta_{31}^2 + 46\beta_{31} - 32 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{31}^2 - 1/2(\beta_{31}^2 - 7\beta_{31} + 4)\alpha_{31} + \beta_{31} = 0.$$

Note that $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_{31})$ is a sextic CM field, and $\mathbb{Q}(\beta_{31})$ is its totally real cubic subfield. The involution $\sigma : \alpha_{31} \mapsto \beta_{31}/\alpha_{31}$ generates $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_{31})/\mathbb{Q}(\beta_{31}))$. Let

$$f_{31}(x) = x^{31} - 31\left(\frac{1}{4}(\beta_{31}^2 - 5\beta_{31} - 10)\alpha_{31} - (\beta_{31}^2 - 7\beta_{31} + 12)\right)x^{29} \\ - 31\left(\frac{1}{2}(\beta_{31}^2 - 5\beta_{31} - 10)\alpha_{31} - (2\beta_{31}^2 - 14\beta_{31} + 24)\right)x^{28} + \dots$$

be the polynomial of degree 31 over $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_{31})$ defined in the file `degree-31.m` (such that $f_{31}(x) = 2^{31}g(x/2)$, where g is the polynomial of [9, §5.6] with $a_1 = \alpha_{31}$). We have a factorization $f_{31}(x_1) - f_{31}^\sigma(x_2) = A_{31}(x_1, x_2)B_{31}(x_1, x_2)$, where A_{31} and B_{31} are absolutely irreducible polynomials of total degree 15 and 16, respectively, with $\tau(A_{31}) = -A_{31}^\sigma$ and $\tau(B_{31}) = B_{31}^\sigma$.

Proposition 13.1. *Let $d > 1$ be an integer, and consider the families defined by*

$$\mathcal{X}_{d,31} : P_d(y_1) = f_{31}(x_1), \quad \mathcal{Y}_{d,31} : P_d(y_2) = f_{31}^\sigma(x_2), \\ \mathcal{C}_{d,31} = V(y_1 - y_2, A_{31}(x_1, x_2)) \subset \mathcal{X}_{d,31} \times_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_{31})} \mathcal{Y}_{d,31}.$$

The induced homomorphism $\phi_{d,31} := \phi_{\mathcal{C}_{d,31}} : \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{X}_{d,31}} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Y}_{d,31}}$ is a $(d-1)$ -dimensional family of $(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^{g_{31}(d)/3} \times (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^{2g_{31}(d)/3} \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{2g_{31}(d)/3}$ -isogenies.

Proof. Both $\mathcal{X}_{d,31}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{d,31}$ have genus $g_{31}(d)$, with $d-1$ moduli by Lemma 4.1. We compute $M_{30}(A_{31})$ (given in `degree-31.m`) using Algorithm 5.1. We see that

$$M_{30}(A_{31})M_{30}(\tau(A_{31})) = M_{30}(A_{31})M_{30}(A_{31})^\sigma = 8I_{30}$$

(using $\tau(A_{31}) = -A_{31}^\sigma$), so $\phi_{d,31}$ splits multiplication-by-8 by Lemma 5.2. Algorithm 5.3 gives $G(A_{31}, k) \cong ((\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^2 \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2)^{2k}$ for $1 \leq k \leq 30$, so

$$(\ker(\phi_{d,31}))^6 \cong ((\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^2 \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2)^{2g_{31}(d)};$$

the result follows. \square

14. ABSOLUTE SIMPLICITY

We want to verify that our isogenies $\phi : \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ do not arise from products of isogenies of lower-dimensional abelian varieties. To this end, where possible, we show that the generic fibres of $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ are absolutely simple.

Proposition 14.1. *The generic fibres of $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{X}_{d,n}}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Y}_{d,n}}$ are absolutely simple for*

- (1) $n = 7$ and all $d \geq 2$;
- (2) $n = 11$ and all prime $d \neq 11$;
- (3) $n = 13$ and all $d \geq 2$;
- (4) $n = 15$ and all prime $d \notin \{3, 5, 7\}$;
- (5) $n = 21$ and all prime $d \notin \{3, 5, 7\}$;
- (6) $n = 31$ and all prime $d \notin \{3, 5, 31\}$.

Proof. We need only prove absolute simplicity for each $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{X}_{d,n}}$ (the existence of the isogeny $\phi_{d,n}$ then implies that $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{Y}_{d,n}}$ is absolutely simple). If $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{X}_{d,n}}$ is reducible, then so are all of its specializations; so it suffices to exhibit an absolutely simple specialization of $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{X}_{d,n}}$. We can do this for many (d, n) by applying results of Zarhin to hyperelliptic or superelliptic specializations. For $n = 7$ and 13 , we specialize at $t = 0$; then we apply [42, Theorem 1.1] for $d \geq 5$, and [44, Theorem 1.2] for $d = 3$ and 4 . (We cannot use this approach for $n = 15$, because the specialization at $t = 0$ is always reducible: cf. Remark 11.1.) For $n = 11, 15, 21$, and 31 and all prime d not dividing $n(n-1)$ we specialize at $(s_2, \dots, s_d) = (0, \dots, 0)$ and apply [41, Corollary 1.8]. For $(d, n) = (2, 7)$, $(2, 21)$, and $(2, 31)$, we specialize at $s_2 = 0$ and apply [43, Theorem 2.3]. For some of the remaining cases, we can use the fact that $\mathcal{X}_{d,n}$ is defined over a number field; by [11, Lemma 6], it suffices to exhibit an absolutely simple reduction of a specialization of $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{X}_{d,n}}$ modulo a prime of good reduction. We prove absolute simplicity of reductions by computing Weil polynomials (using Gaudry and Gürel’s algorithm [23] for superelliptic curves, and the Magma system’s implementation [25] of Kedlaya’s algorithm [28] for hyperelliptic curves) and applying [27, Proposition 3]. For $(d, n) = (2, 11)$ we specialize at $s_2 = 0$ and reduce at a prime over 7 ; for $(d, n) = (2, 13)$ we specialize at $(s_2, t) = (1, 0)$ and reduce at a prime over 53 ; for $(d, n) = (2, 15)$ we specialize at $(s_2, t) = (0, 1)$ and reduce at a prime over 17 ; and for $(d, n) = (5, 11)$ we specialize at $(s_2, \dots, s_5) = (0, \dots, 0)$ and reduce at a prime over 31 . \square

The list of values of n and d in Proposition 14.1 is not intended to be exhaustive; it simply reflects the practical and theoretical limits of the results used in the proof. We would like to prove simplicity for at least all prime d ; but the Gaudry–Gürel algorithm requires n and d to be coprime, so we cannot apply it to cases such as $(d, n) = (11, 11)$. Further, the reduction of a superelliptic Jacobian can only be simple if the residue field contains a primitive d^{th} root of unity (otherwise the superelliptic automorphism does not commute with Frobenius, so the endomorphism ring is noncommutative, so the reduction is not simple). This rules out many small primes of reduction, rendering the computation much more expensive. Computing Weil polynomials for $(d, n) = (7, 15)$, $(5, 21)$, $(3, 31)$, and $(5, 31)$ will therefore require highly optimised implementations and significant computing resources.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. M. Avanzi, *A study on polynomials in separated variables with low genus factors*. Ph.D. thesis, Universität Essen (2001)
- [2] C. Birkenhake and H. Lange, *Complex abelian varieties* (2e). Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften **302**, Springer-Verlag Berlin (2004)
- [3] W. Bosma, J. J. Cannon, and C. Playoust, The Magma algebra system. I. The user language. *J. Symbolic Comput.* **24**(3-4) (1997), 235–265
- [4] W. Bosma, J. J. Cannon, et. al., *Handbook of Magma Functions*. School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Sydney (1995)
- [5] J.-B. Bost and J.-F. Mestre, Moyenne arithmético-géométrique et périodes des courbes de genre 1 et 2. *Gaz. Math.* **38** (1988), 36–64
- [6] A. Brumer, The rank of $J_0(N)$. *Astérisque* **228** (1995), 41–68
- [7] W. Bruns and J. Gubeladze, Polytopal linear groups. *J. Algebra* **218** (1999), 715–737
- [8] J. W. S. Cassels, Factorization of polynomials in several variables, In *Proceedings of the 15th Scandinavian Congress, Oslo 1968*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics **118** (1970), 1–17
- [9] P. Cassou–Nogues and J.-M. Couveignes, Factorisations explicites de $g(y) - h(z)$. *Acta Arith.* **87** no. 4 (1999), 291–317
- [10] W. Castryck and J. Voight, On nondegeneracy of curves. *Algebra Number Theory* **3** no. 3 (2009), 255–281
- [11] C.-L. Chai and F. Oort, A note on the existence of absolutely simple Jacobians. *J. Pure. Appl. Algebra* **155** (2001), no. 2-3, 115–120

- [12] H. Davenport, D. J. Lewis, and A. Schinzel, Equations of the form $f(x) = g(y)$. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* **12** (1961), 304–312
- [13] H. Davenport and A. Schinzel, Two problems concerning polynomials. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **214** (1964), 386–391
- [14] R. Donagi and R. Livné, The arithmetic-geometric mean and isogenies for curves of higher genus. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)* **28** no. 2 (1999), 323–339
- [15] W. Feit, Automorphisms of symmetric balanced incomplete block designs. *Math. Z.* **118** (1970), 40–49
- [16] W. Feit, On symmetric balanced incomplete block designs with doubly transitive automorphism groups. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **14** (1973), 221–247
- [17] W. Feit, Some consequences of the classification of finite simple groups. *Proc. Symposia Pure Math.* **37** (1980), 175–181
- [18] M. Fried, On a conjecture of Schur. *Michigan Math. J.* **17** (1970), 41–55
- [19] M. Fried, The field of definition of function fields and a problem in the reducibility of polynomials in two variables. *Illinois J. Math.* **17** (1973), 128–146
- [20] M. Fried, Exposition on an arithmetic-group theoretic connection via Riemann’s existence theorem. *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Math.* **37** (1980), 571–602
- [21] Home page of M. Fried. <http://www.math.uci.edu/~mfried/>
- [22] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory* (2e). Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1998)
- [23] P. Gaudry and N. Gurel, An extension of Kedlaya’s point-counting algorithm to superelliptic curves. In C. Boyd (ed.), *Advances in cryptology: ASIACRYPT 2001*, LNCS **2248** (2001), 480–494
- [24] D. Gorenstein, R. Lyons, and R. Solomon, *The classification of the finite simple groups*. AMS Mathematical surveys and monographs **40.1** (1994)
- [25] M. C. Harrison, *Some notes on Kedlaya’s algorithm for hyperelliptic curves*. arXiv math.NT / 1006.4206 v1 (2010)
- [26] K.-I. Hashimoto, On Brumer’s family of RM-curves of genus two. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)* **52** no. 4 (2000), 475–488
- [27] E. W. Howe and H. J. Zhu, On the existence of absolutely simple abelian varieties of a given dimension over an arbitrary field. *J. Number Theory* **92** (2002), 139–163
- [28] K. S. Kedlaya, Counting points on hyperelliptic curves using Monsky–Washnitzer cohomology. *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **16** no. 4 (2001), 323–338
- [29] R. J. Koelman, *The number of moduli of families of curves on toric surfaces*. Ph.D. thesis, Radboud Universiteit Nijmegen (1991)
- [30] G. Kux, *Construction of algebraic correspondences between hyperelliptic function fields using Deuring’s theory*. Ph.D. thesis, Universität Kaiserslautern (2004)
- [31] R. Lidl, G. L. Mullen and G. Turnwald, *Dickson polynomials*. Pitman monographs and surveys in pure and applied mathematics **65**, Longman Scientific and Technical (1993)
- [32] J.-F. Mestre, *Couples de jacobiniennes isogènes de courbes hyperelliptiques de genre arbitraire*. arXiv math.AG / 0902.3470 v1 (2009)
- [33] J.-F. Mestre, Familles de courbes hyperelliptiques à multiplications réelles. In *Arithmetic algebraic geometry (Texel, 1989)*, *Progr. Math.* **89** (1991), Birkhäuser Boston.
- [34] F. Oort and K. Ueno, Principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension two or three are Jacobian varieties, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect IA: Math.* **20** (1973), 377–381.
- [35] M. Reid, *Graded rings and varieties in weighted projective space*. Manuscript available from www.maths.warwick.ac.uk/~miles/
- [36] G. Shimura, *Abelian varieties with complex multiplication and modular functions*. Princeton mathematical series **46**, Princeton University Press (1998)
- [37] B. Smith, Families of explicit isogenies of hyperelliptic Jacobians. In D. Kohel and R. Rolland (eds.), *Arithmetic, Geometry, Cryptography and Coding Theory 2009*, *Contemp. Math.* **521** (2010), 121–144
- [38] B. Smith, Isogenies and the discrete logarithm problem in Jacobians of genus 3 hyperelliptic curves. In N. Smart (ed.), *EUROCRYPT 2008*, LNCS **4965** (2008), 163–180
- [39] W. Tautz, J. Top, and A. Verberkmoes, Explicit hyperelliptic curves with real multiplication and permutation polynomials. *Canad. J. Math.* **43** no. 5 (1991), 1055–1064
- [40] J. Vélu, Isogénies entre courbes elliptiques. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **273** (1971), 238–241
- [41] Y. Zarhin, Endomorphisms of superelliptic Jacobians. arXiv math.AG / 0605028 v4 (2008)
- [42] Y. Zarhin, The endomorphism rings of Jacobians of cyclic covers of the projective line. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **136** no. 2 (2004), 257–267
- [43] Y. Zarhin, Hyperelliptic Jacobians without Complex Multiplication, doubly transitive permutation groups and projective representations. In S. Vostokov and Y. Zarhin (eds.), *Algebraic number theory and algebraic geometry*, *Contemp. Math.* **300** (2002), 195–210
- [44] Y. Zarhin, Superelliptic Jacobians. arXiv math.AG / 0601072 v4 (2006)

INRIA SACLAY-ÎLE-DE-FRANCE / LABORATOIRE D'INFORMATIQUE DE L'ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE
(LIX), 91128 PALAISEAU CEDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: `smith@lix.polytechnique.fr`

URL: `http://www.lix.polytechnique.fr/Labo/Ben.Smith/`