



# Archiving Data Objects using Web Feeds

Marilena Oita, Pierre Senellart

► **To cite this version:**

Marilena Oita, Pierre Senellart. Archiving Data Objects using Web Feeds. International Workshop on Web Archiving, Sep 2010, Vienna, Austria. 2010. <inria-00537962>

**HAL Id: inria-00537962**

**<https://hal.inria.fr/inria-00537962>**

Submitted on 19 Nov 2010

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Archiving Data Objects using Web Feeds\*

Marilena Oita  
INRIA Saclay – Île-de-France  
& Télécom ParisTech; CNRS LTCI  
Paris, France  
marilena.oita@telecom-paristech.fr

Pierre Senellart  
Institut Télécom  
Télécom ParisTech; CNRS LTCI  
Paris, France  
pierre.senellart@telecom-paristech.fr

## ABSTRACT

Web feeds, either in RSS or Atom XML-based formats, are evolving descriptive documents that characterize a dynamic hub of a Web site and help subscribers keep up with what is the most recent Web content of interest. In this paper, we show how Web feeds can be useful instruments for information extraction and Web page change detection. Web pages referenced by feed items are usually blog posts or news articles, data with a dynamic (then ephemeral) nature and which is clustered topically in a feed channel. We monitor Web channels and extract from the associated Web pages the text and references corresponding to Web articles. The result is enriched with the timestamp and additional metadata mined from the feed, and encapsulated in a 'data object'. The data object will be in particular information devoided of all the template elements or advertisements. These irrelevant elements, generically called boilerplate, are not only consuming time and space from the crawler's point of view, but also hinder the data analysis process. We first make some statistics on a set of Web feeds, by crawling them for a period of time and observing their temporal aspects. Then we present the algorithm used for article extraction, algorithm that uses the feed semantics (more specifically the description and title of feed items) in order to identify the DOM node in the HTML page that contains the article. The data objects constructed in this way can be used as a semantic overlay collection for an archive or in the context of an incremental crawl, making it more efficient by detecting change at data object level. Experiments on the extraction technique are done in order to validate our approach, with good results even in cases when other techniques fail. We finally discuss useful applications based on the extraction and change detection of Web objects.

## General Terms

Algorithms, Experimentation

\*This research was funded by the European Research Council grant Webdam FP7-ICT-226513.

This work is licenced under an Attribution- NonCommercial -NoDerivs 2.0 France Creative Commons Licence.

## Keywords

Web archiving, data object, Web feed, Web page dynamics

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Web archiving [15] is the process of repeatedly collecting the content of parts of the World Wide Web, to ensure its preservation and to allow access to information even after it disappears from the Web. A Web archiving crawler follows the same basic steps as a search engine crawler does to construct indexes for Web pages. However, an archive crawler does not drop indexes to old versions when new ones are discovered, but instead stores and references versions in time. The final result is a collection of Web pages that can be browsed off-line and, in ideal settings, can as well be temporally and semantically queried.

Performing a coherent crawl of sites that are updating their supply of information very rapidly it is not an easy task. The common choice of crawling in snapshots, i.e., crawling the entire collection at distant (but frequent enough) intervals of time, can be quite expensive in terms of network bandwidth, and in the end, both redundant for some zones of the site, and incomplete for other zones that are more dynamic. Additionally, as running an integral crawl takes time and in the meanwhile the resource might change, it raises issues of temporal incoherence of a given snapshot [25].

What 'frequent enough' means is also to be clarified when one decides to perform an incremental crawl instead, which is better adapted to sites that have temporally heterogeneous parts in their structure. An incremental crawler will crawl once a full version of the site and, from that point, supposing the existence of a trigger that informs the crawler which content of a site has been added or updated, it will crawl only the modified content and store it as a delta data structure. The difficulty of performing an incremental crawl is essentially to determine this dynamics (how often new Web pages are added or current ones are modified), and to make this change detection effective, knowing that there are a lot of factors that can negatively influence the detection process.

Our contribution is related to techniques that can be used to improve this detection process, in the particular case where the Web pages that need to be crawled have RSS or Atom feeds attached.

The traditional way of detecting changes between two successive versions of a Web page is to perform a comparison

on content, using a similarity metrics, varying from hashing and content signatures to edit distances for flexibility. Whatever the way the change is detected, the importance of this change (regarding the types of content of a Web page) is not evaluated. Nevertheless, it is crucial to understand whether changes are relevant to the main content of the page from a semantic point of view, since, in some applications, changes that only impact *boilerplate* [12] parts of the page, such as menus, presentation templates, or advertisements, might be safely ignored.

Web feeds have been poorly studied, yet are a rapidly evolving phenomenon. We draw attention to the fact that they can be used as instruments in the analysis of a Web site before and during the crawl. In addition to being a way to advertise content, Web feeds are also used to classify sources of information and their types of content by search engines. In a nutshell, from Web feeds important aspects of a dynamic website can be extracted and exploited in the context of a Web crawl in order to make it more aware of the information it treats.

The nature of a feed is:

**informative:** it synthesizes what and when fresh information is published by a channel;

**descriptive:** it describes what kind of new resources are added, with the title, description, and other possible *tag elements*.

We aim at extracting, with the help of feeds, structured data from Web pages. The basic intuition behind our approach is that an item of a channel matches a data object in a Web page. Therefore, the metadata that we can get about the item in the feed can be used to recognize and extract the data object in the Web page.

The notion of data object has different interpretations in computer science; in order to clarify its signification, in our context a data object is an instance of a resource referenced by a feed, and which has some special metadata associated, similarly to [13] and [5]. The concept itself of data object it is a compound one, and from this point of view, can be explained as a 'logical document'(we would say semantic), in contrast with a 'hypertext document'(HTML page) [6].

Even if the object is often a Web article, it can also be a dictionary entry, a comment, a forum message, a video, a status, and any other kind of resources that are uniquely associated with Web feed items. Direct approaches to identifying the main content of a Web page, such as taking the elements that contain the largest amount of information from the HTML code after cleaning (baseline), studying the density of the text in specific regions of the page [12], or even identifying the most prominent visual areas of the page [29], are becoming obsolete as the manner of encoding becomes more complex and the notion itself of Web article evolves. Data objects can correspond to small portions of a Web page, can be simple or compound, can contain multimedia and even good JavaScript that should be exploited. Depending on the context, we can have one or multiple data objects per page

and the difference between them can be done either by using machine-readable semantics, or using human heuristics.

We leverage the semantics that is brought by Web feeds to dynamic Web pages. Written in a XML-like form, with standard elements, feeds can be used to capture some important aspects of the information that we want to extract. We get from the structure of a feed item, using classical information retrieval techniques, a semantic descriptor of the object that will be used as an input for the extraction algorithm. Next, we identify the zone in a Web page that contains the content of the data object and extract it by means of semantic density analysis. Having extracted the content in time and an important part of its properties, complex queries can be run, from the temporal and semantic point of view.

We present in the next section some related work, and describe in Section 3 the results of our studies on Web feeds done in order to determine the value of these feeds in the Web archiving process. We explain in Section 4 how the semantics revealed by specific elements in a feed can be used to extract data objects. In Section 5, experiments relative to the extraction of data objects are shown. We conclude by outlining the importance of extracted data objects in the context of applications.

## 2. RELATED WORK

Web archiving, seen as a necessity or duty, is acquiring a lot of importance lately due to the volatile nature of the Web, and more specifically due to the value that the lost information could have for future generations. Internet Archive [11] is one of the initiators of the Web archive movement. There exist many other actors that actively run crawls as part of their heritage preservation mission, and efforts are done to converge into a unified, global Web archive collection [28]. Though Web feeds are typically indexed as other kinds of Web documents by archive crawlers, limited effort has been made on exploiting their specificity in the archiving process. ArchivePress, a blog-archiving project [20] has been developing a Wordpress plugin that archives posts using Web feeds. The principal drawback is that only the content that can be delivered by the RSS feed is captured. In effect, an RSS feed can have a full coverage of the article and its media files, but this case is quite rare because a feed is often just a way of advertising content. In contrast, we harness the feed clues with the goal to extract the actual information associated. Additionally, we do not limit ourselves to a blogging platform, or blogging in particular.

When studying the dynamics of Web pages, there are two perspectives: the change can come from the publishing of *new* content or from the modifications that occur in the *existing* pages. To avoid excessive overload on servers of Web sites that provide timely content, [22] proposes an adaptative aggregator approach. An inhomogeneous Poisson model on Web feeds is used in order to learn the posting patterns in blogs and predict the optimal recheck for new content. In the context of an incremental crawl, we have the same problem; our statistics on the temporal aspects of feeds are done with the aim of stating their value in the process of learning the publishing strategy. In order to model the behavior of Web sites over time and crawl them more efficiently, other studies have been focusing on the understanding of the dynamics

of Web pages [8, 1, 16]: how fast the Web content changes and what is the nature of these changes, the implications on the structure and the correlation with the topic of pages. There are tentatives to automatically adapt the crawl to the predicted rate of change: [10] uses a textual vector space model to identify the patterns of the page and to train Kalman filters. In the end, the change is the event that does not match the prediction. However, the hypothesis on the system linearity and the incompleteness of the vector space model represent possible drawbacks. To detect and store only the modified content of a Web page, the open-source Heritrix archive crawler [23] uses heuristics and regular expressions to filter irrelevant changes. In the attempt to fairly estimate the Web page change rate, a more formal model of prediction is studied by Cho and Garcia-Molina in [3], where the authors study whether changes of a Web page follow a (homogeneous) Poisson process. However, accurately identifying the change rate is stated by both approaches as a challenge. We motivate the extraction of data objects within this issue. Once the acquisition of data objects is done in a timely manner, a measure of similarity can be applied on the contents or on the properties of data objects. Supposing they have acquired two successive versions of a Web page by using some technique for detecting change, Pehlivan, Ben Saad, and Gançarski [19] focus on detecting the changes that have occurred in the new version, based on the old one. For that purpose, the VIPS [29] algorithm is used to identify semantically related parts of a Web page, which are compared in order to detect structural and content changes. Heuristics on the visual appearance of a Web page are made to group together content that seems to have similar importance in the page. These heuristics do not exhaustively cover the problem, and the algorithm is computationally expensive. Our approach is more restrictive, as we need to pass through the feed; in the same time, it can be more effective: by identifying only “important” semantic zones in the Web page, we can focus our attention on changes that are relevant, with less effort.

A Web article identified using the feed item’s title and description has to be extracted from the HTML code of the associated Web page. Much work has been done on unsupervised extraction of structured data from Web pages; many of these are based on MDR [14], ExAlg [2] or RoadRunner [4]. Basically, these methods try to automatically generate a wrapper by inferring a grammar for the HTML code that contains the data of interest (generally called data records), in such a way that it does not rely on any a priori knowledge about the target pages and their contents. Usually, various pages that have the same template are needed in order to compare them in pairs and discover shared patterns and code regularities. These regularities are emphasized either by studying the similarities and dissimilarities between pages [4], or by constructing classes of equivalence [2]. As opposed to the previous works, MDR [14] considers the DOM tree structure of the HTML page, and identifies the data region by finding the generalized node that contains the largest number of children presenting the same patterns according to a similarity measure. Even though we are concentrating our attention on sites that contain Web articles, that are not necessarily consecutive in the way they appear in the Web page, we have a similar problem of extracting structured data (possibly of a more complex and isolated nature than in these approaches) and, as described in Section 4, we use

**Table 1: Dataset feed types**

Type	Number	Proportion
Atom	21	6.1%
RDF	30	8.8%
RSS 0.91	1	0.2%
RSS 2.0	288	84.7%
<b>Total</b>	340	100.0%

somehow related techniques.

Two other approaches to the problem of extracting the main article from a Web page have been proposed recently by Kholschutter, Fankhauser, and Nejdı [12] and Pasternack and Roth [18]. While [12] uses the text density on the Web page to identify the article, [18] uses a subsequence text segmentation technique to achieve the same result. Our algorithm of data object extraction uses, similarly to MDR, some heuristics on the HTML code in order to identify the region where the article might be found, but in contrast with all enumerated approaches, we use the semantics retrieved from the feed to extract the data objects. This is obviously possible only for pages which are linked to a Web feed. In Section 5, we compare our results to those of the Boilerpipe [12] algorithm, seen as a baseline of what can be done without exploiting Web feed information. To our knowledge, there is no previous work that leverages semantic information (that may come from a Web feed or from any other source) to extract the relevant part of the Web page, in a general and unsupervised manner.

### 3. WEB FEEDS: STATISTICS AND TRENDS

In order to affirm the value of feeds as analysis tools in the process of Web change detection, we have crawled over a period of a little more than one month, twice a day, a number of 400 Web feeds with all associated Web pages. We first describe how these feeds were selected and then report some statistics of interest of our dataset.

#### 3.1 Acquisition

The set of feeds was collected from the Web by passing in large part through a feed search engine called Search4RSS [21]. This search engine returns a number of feeds and associated channel pages for a given keyword. We scraped the way the interface returns the results as records and put all URLs parsed in a file list to be further analyzed.

The keywords chosen in order to probe the search interface are names of domains: *art*, *biology*, *environment*, *medicine*, *science* and *universe*. We have used Wordnet in order to get hyponyms of these words (for example for *art*, a hyponym is *photography*) and constructed a bag of terms representing subdomains.

These bags of terms were used to automatically probe the Search4RSS interface and to construct, for each domain, a list of feed URLs. Semantics of terms permitted us to focus the search for feeds and to identify Web pages that were treating a certain subject. The reflection in the media of domains of interest can be observed through the eyes of feeds

captured in this way, which usually present some specific patterns and characteristics.

The purpose of this semi-automatic selection was to get an insight into the diversity of feeds, in terms of formats, update patterns, and varied structures of the corresponding Web pages. Additionally, to ensure coverage of common blog platforms as well as the more news-oriented results returned by Search4RSS, a number of blog sites were manually selected from a list of “best blogs” [24]. We obtained thus a list of about 400 sites that were systematically crawled (this number ensures coverage of the variety of Web feeds, while remaining manageable without any involved archiving infrastructure). At the end of the crawling period, we noticed that some of the feeds had not been updated at all, some others had disappeared, and some could not be parsed. Filtering them out, we obtained an archive of 340 *active* feeds and their associated pages.

A Web feed refers to a primary Web page, the *channel*, which is usually either the home page of the site, or a hub from which we can find links to information presented in the form of Web articles. The rest of the feed describes individual feed items corresponding to new or updated articles. For each domain, for each followed site, we have stored the feed and the resources associated, mainly the channel page, and the Web pages that were referred to by each item. In order not to lose new items that could be added in the feed, these crawls were performed twice a day (in addition to the crawls of the same feeds run daily by European Web Archive).

### 3.2 Web feed characteristics

For the feed analysis, we have used Eddie [7], a feed parsing library for Java, based on a SAX-based parser capable of parsing even (some of) the real-world ill-formed XML. Eddie supports the standard RSS, Atom, and RDF formats for feeds. The `FeedData` structure returned by this parser can be exploited to extract all kinds of useful information about the channel and its composing items. In particular, for the channel, metadata like language, tagline, description and title; as well for each item, plus author and categories in which the article is classed.

**Feed type.** Let us first look at the types of feed formats that we encountered in our dataset. As shown on Table 1, most feeds use the 2.0 dialect of RSS, while a minority use Atom or RDF. RSS 0.91 was only used once among the 340 feeds, and RSS 1.0 never at all, which might suggest the coming obsolescence of these two feed formats. However, it is quite possible that these numbers are also biased by the use of Search4RSS as our main source for feeds.

**Number of items.** We have looked at the number of items that were presented in a given feed. Indeed, though it is theoretically possible for a feed to refer to all previously published items, it is rarely done so to limit the size of the resulting Web feed. In effect, most feeds are truncated and present only the  $k$  most recent items for a given  $k$ . We show in Figure 1 a histogram of the number of items per feed in the dataset. Roughly 75% of the feeds present information about less than 30 items at a time. The other peaks observed in Figure 1 are explained by the “magic” values of  $k = 50$  and  $k = 100$ . If a feed only contains 10 items (the most





Figure 1: Number of items per feed in the dataset

frequent number), it means that by crawling it twice daily, we could capture a maximum of 20 new articles per day. As we shall see, there is a minority of feeds with a higher update frequency than that, for which some of the updates were missed in our crawl (basically, these feeds needed to be crawled more often than twice a day).

**Temporal information.** In the RSS specification (and similarly in other feed formats) temporal information can be given through the elements `lastBuildDate`, `ttl`, and `updateFrequency` for the channel, and `pubDate` and `lastModified` for items. From our experiments, we have observed that although `pubDate` is an optional element, it is present in the vast majority of feeds. This is not the case for the other types of time-related elements mentioned above, though `lastBuildDate` can be somehow inferred as the publication date of the most recent item. This observation is important as it shows that feeds can be used to determine when new data is added to a channel and of help in the task of change detection. By doing the analysis of a feed for a period of time, we can identify patterns in the publishing strategy and automatically adapt the crawl to it.

**Update intervals.** We have collected all publication dates corresponding to the items appearing during the period of experiments; as an item has one publication date, the number of publication dates is equal to that of items. We are interested in the range of update intervals between two publications, as well as indications whether a feed has a regular publishing strategy. In Figure 2, we present the median update interval between two publications of each feed as a cumulative plot (in green, in the middle). Note that the x-axis has logarithmic scale. Figure 2 shows for instance that 20% of the feeds have a median update interval of less than an hour, and that around 10% of the feeds have a median update interval of exactly one day, which corresponds to feeds having regular, automatic, updates, every day. Globally, it is important to note that there is no



**Figure 2: Cumulated proportion of feeds with a given quartile value of interval between updates**

typical update interval, and that, even disregarding extreme cases, it can range to less than a hour to more than a week. Figure 2 also shows other quantities of the update interval of each feed, which helps to see the diversity of update patterns for a given feed: thus, even though 60% of the feeds have a median update interval of a day or less, less than 10% of them always have at least an update per day, and more than 90% of them have at least had a daily update in the period of observation. There is actually a big gap between the median update interval values and minimum and maximum values, which makes difficult to predict the next update of a given feed.

We show in Table 2 some other statistics on update intervals at domain level. For each domain, the average mean update interval is given, as is the *pooled standard deviation* of update intervals, which is the statistically-founded way to summarize the deviation of the union of series of numbers. As can be seen, there are huge variations among domains, another indication of the absence of typical update interval. We also note here the very high standard deviations in some domains<sup>1</sup>, especially since a given domain can represent Web sites of very different natures (news items, blogs, wiki entries, etc.). In the art domain, for instance, we have observed that there are several sites that publish small articles about paintings or photographs of the order of 100 entries per day. So the notion of item varies from a specialized article, that might contain a lot of text (as it is the case for news), to an article exclusively composed of images or videos. The more structurally homogeneous category of “popular” blogs has a more reasonable deviation of update intervals.

We conclude here the study of the dataset, that reflects in a

<sup>1</sup>Note that it is not impossible for a standard deviation to be much greater than a mean value, it just means that there are a few values much greater than the mean in the distribution.

certain measure the status-quo and diversity of Web feeds, to turn to the discussion to our technique of Web data objects extraction.

## 4. DATA OBJECT EXTRACTION

We describe in this section our algorithm for finding the data object in a given Web page, object that corresponds to a Web feed item.

### 4.1 Gathering semantic information

We first construct a semantic context from feed items, that will serve to extract the corresponding object. Feed items have three compulsory elements: link, title and description.

*Link.* The link gives us the URL of the Web page in which the data object resides.

*Title.* A feed item is required to have a title, which is usually a short text meant to characterize the content of an article.

*Description.* Most of the time, the description does not contain the whole content of the article, but only the first few lines of it, encoded in HTML for presentation purposes, with a link at the end (like “Read more...”) to the original Web page. In other cases, the description contains only a sentence, which summarizes the article rather than containing the first lines of it. Whatever the precise case, we can extract some reliable semantics about the article by exploiting this description.

We start by retrieving all textual content from the title and description; the HTML code of the description is stripped, only text is kept. The resulting sequences of words are transformed into two kinds of semantic entities: concepts and  $n$ -grams.

To obtain the concepts, we tokenize and stem the words, sort the resulting lexemes according to their frequency (seen as a measure of their importance) and keep only outstanding ones. In a sense, a concept will resemble a tag, being a term that describes a data object. The words coming from concepts can indeed be used as keywords to look for in a Web page, in order to identify the data object region, but can frequently digress the attention to other zones that are also rich in concepts, like the ones that contain comments or categories for a given Web article.

This is the reason why we turned our focus to  $n$ -grams. A  $n$ -gram represents in our context a sequence of  $n$  terms, taken as they appear, from the title and the description. The choice for  $n$  is a compromise between false positives and false negatives in the extraction process and it is further discussed.

### 4.2 Extraction

We present here a bottom-up algorithm that, given a feed, it identifies the items and for each feed item finds the wrapper element of the data object by matching  $n$ -grams against the textual content of the leaf nodes extracted from the HTML Web page. This algorithm is summarized in Algorithm 1.

**Table 2: Feed statistics per domain**

Domain	Number of feeds	Average mean update interval	Pooled standard derivation of update interval
Art	87	12 days, 14 hours, 12 min	82 days, 6 hours, 32 min
Biology	80	7 days, 13 min	8 days, 17 hours, 43 min
Blogs	29	15 hours, 35 min	8 hours, 39 min
Environment	7	19 hours, 49 min	4 days, 15 hours, 18 min
Medicine	8	3 days, 19 hours, 16 min	1 day, 22 hours, 43 min
Other	13	4 days, 16 hours, 48 min	4 days, 19 hours, 46 min
Science	112	22 days, 12 hours, 45 min	14 days, 21 hours, 35 min
Universe	4	4 hours, 44 min	7 hours, 5 min
<b>Total</b>	<b>340</b>	<b>12 days, 15 hours, 17 min</b>	<b>37 days, 16 hours, 49 min</b>

We first introduce the notion of conceptual node:

DEFINITION 1. *A conceptual node is a leaf node (a node with no children) that contains in its textual content at least one concept (or  $n$ -gram) from the item’s title and description.*

We extract all leaf nodes of the page and, for each, establish its semantic density.

DEFINITION 2. *The semantic density of a conceptual node is defined as the number of matched concepts (or  $n$ -grams), divided by the length of the textual content of the respective node.*

We classify the conceptual nodes according to the closest ancestor that is a block-level element. An effective heuristic is actually to take the closest ancestor that is a `div` element, since we have observed in our experiments that a data object is almost always confined in a `div` element. After this analysis, we are able to say which are the conceptual nodes that share the same ancestor. The list of ancestors will give us the semantic zones of the page, modeled at code level by semantic nodes.

DEFINITION 3. *A semantic node is the lowest block-level common ancestor of a set of conceptual nodes.*

In order to clarify which of the semantic nodes represents the wrapper of the article, we calculate the following semantic density measure for each and take the node that has the largest value for it.

DEFINITION 4. *The wrapper node of the data object is the semantic node that contains the largest number of dense conceptual nodes.*

In the previous description, we have allowed the use of either concepts or  $n$ -grams for finding conceptual nodes and computing semantic density. When matching with terms that correspond to concepts, the number of semantic zones will

increase, while doing the matching with  $n$ -grams will visibly decrease this number. This happens because  $n$ -grams are more significant than concepts, relative to the article’s content. Nevertheless, in certain cases, the choice of  $n$ -grams is too restrictive. This happens quite rarely, when the description is rather summarizing the article with different words, than representing the first lines of it. Consequently, in order to detect this kind of cases where  $n$ -grams are not an option, we introduce the notion of semantic node consistency.

DEFINITION 5. *A node is semantically consistent if its text contains a large proportion of the concepts acquired from the item’s title and description.*

We say a “large” proportion (in practice,  $\geq 0.5$ ) of concepts because it is not necessary to check for the presence of all in order to assert a wrapper node. On the other hand, when the candidate ancestor node does not contain half of the existing concepts, we might suspect that it is not the wrapper of the article. If this happens, we conclude that the  $n$ -grams were not effective due to imperfection of the semantic acquisition assumptions, and therefore we diminish the value of  $n$  in  $n$ -grams and repeat the method of getting the wrapper node. In our experiments, we started with  $n = 3$  (the aim is to acquire an appropriate number of significant  $n$ -grams) which gave best results, and, in case of failure, directly tried matching with concepts. In general, data objects (news articles, blog posts) have comments associated. We want to make a clear difference between the comments of an article and the article itself because:

1. from a conceptual point of view, the information of interest in an article is not the same as comments about it
2. the crawl of the article should be separated from the crawl of the comments: if each time a comment is added, the article is considered to be changed, a new crawl is needed and the resulting object can be very redundant in comparison with its previous version; instead, the article should have a reference to its comments, tracked separately and synchronized on update
3. usually, the webmaster takes care to add in the feed the URL that can be used to track comments, but

```

Input: a URL of a Web feed feedUrl
Output: extracted data objects dobs
feedData = getFeedDataStructure(feedUrl);
items = feedData.getItems();
foreach item in items do
  dob.created = item.getPubDate();
  semanticInput = item.getTitle() +
    cleanHTMLTags(item.getDescription());
  tokens = tokenize(semanticInput);
  terms = rejectStopWords(tokens);
  normalizedTerms = applyStemming(terms);
  tags = setFrequencyAndFilter(normalizedTerms);
  dob.descripTags = tagConcepts;
  3grams =
    getSubsequencesOf3Tokens(semanticInput);
  articlePage = item.getLink();
  cleaned =
    getCleanedHTMLCodeFrom(articlePage);
  leafTagNames =
    cleaned.evaluateXPath("// * [not(*)]/name()");
  foreach leafType in leafTagNames do
    leafNodes =
      cleaned.getElementsByName(leafType);
    foreach leafNode in leafNodes do
      nbOfSemanticMatches =
        leafNode.nbMatches(3grams);
      if nbOfSemanticMatches ≥ 1 then
        conceptualNodes.put(
          leafNode, nbOfSemanticMatches);
      end
    end
  end
  foreach cnode in conceptualNodes do
    while cnode isNotA block do
      | ancestor = cnode.getParent();
    end
    semanticZones.add(ancestor);
  end
  foreach ancestor in semanticZones do
    nbConceptualNodes =
      intersectionSize(
        ancestor.descendant(),conceptualNodes);
    semanticDensitySum[ancestor] =
       $\sum_{n=1}^{nbConceptualNodes} \frac{cnode.nbOfSemanticMatches}{cnode.textualLength}$ ;
  end
  wrapperNode = the ancestor with the biggest value
    for semanticDensitySum ;
  if wrapperNode != null (matches at least a n-gram)
  then
    while wrapperNode
      doesNotContainsEnoughConcepts do
      | wrapperNode = wrapperNode.getParent();
      if wrapperNode is the root then
        | wrapperNode=null;
        | exit the while;
      end
    end
    if wrapperNode != null then
      | dob.setFullTextAndRefsFrom(wrapperNode);
    end
  end
  if dob.object is not set then
    | repeat the operation using random terms coming
    | from the concepts
  end
  dobs.add(dob);
end
return dobs

```

**Algorithm 1:** Data Object Extraction

## Blogs / Cosmic Variance

« Restrepo  
Zuzobra »

### A study on how to study


by daniel

One of the most delightful aspects of being a scientist is that you're always learning. Your colleagues teach you things. Your students teach you things. Journal articles teach you things. You sit quietly at your desk and figure things out. You're perennially a student. But how to be a better student?

This morning the New York Times has an article on "study habits". It argues against the conventional wisdom (find a clean, neutral space, and bear down on a single topic), and in favor of what might be called intellectual cross-training: "alternating study environments, mixing content, spacing study sessions, self-testing". The basic philosophy seems to be encapsulated:



### New Joe Genius Episode



**Figure 3:** A typical Web article and its corresponding data item from the feed:

```

<item>
<title>A study on how to study </title>
<link>http://feedproxy.google.com/i/CosmicVarianceBlog/3/-
uatEVOIO0g/</link>
<comments>http://blogs.discovermagazine.com/
cosmicvariance/2010/09/07/a-study-on-how-to-study/#comments
</comments>
<pubDate> Wed, 08 Sep 2010 03:16:54 +0000 </pubDate>
<dc:creator> daniel </dc:creator>
<category> <![CDATA[Advice]]> </category>
<guid isPermaLink="false"> http://blogs.discovermagazine.com/
cosmicvariance/?p=5353 </guid>
<description> <![CDATA[One of the most delightful aspects of being a
scientist is that you&#8217;re always learning. Your colleagues teach you
things. Your students teach you things. Journal articles teach you things.
You sit quietly at your desk and figure things out. You&#8217;re perennially
a student. But how to be a better student? This morning the New
York [...]]]> </description>
<content:encoded><![CDATA[<p>One of the most delightful aspects of
being a scientist is that you&#8217;re always learning. .../>]]>
</content:encoded>
<wfw:commentRss> http://blogs.discovermagazine.com/cosmicvariance/
2010/09/07/a-study-on-how-to-study/feed/ </wfw:commentRss>
<slash:comments>6 </slash:comments>
<feedburner:origLink> http://blogs.discovermagazine.com/cosmicvariance/
2010/09/07/a-study-on-ow-to-study/ </feedburner:origLink>
</item>

```

when it is not the case, we can still identify the zone of comments by using heuristics in our algorithm

### 4.3 An example

To illustrate, we will take the example of a Web page, which is partially presented in Figure 3. The Web page will be cleaned and well-formatted using HtmlCleaner. This step is necessary in order to reliably select leaf nodes from the DOM tree of the page (and keep for further analysis only those that contain at least one semantic term).

We observe that the title of the article is present in the feed item, as well as the first two lines of text, which are encoded in the feed description. There is also a timestamp that corresponds to the publication date. The tag <content:encoded> is not an element which appears very often, therefore we do not make assumptions about its usefulness in our algorithm.

In Figure 4 we observe that the title of the article is also present in the zone of comments and on the right, where are presented some of the most recent articles of the Web site. The title only is not sufficient as a hint: we also need



often seek out new and efficient ways to work, finding that changing the venue paradoxically helps me focus and facilitates progress. In addition, at any given time I'm often working on a number of different topics, and alternating between them seems to increase my clarity and productivity. I think the real message is that everyone is different, and there's no "magic desk" to ensure that you become organized and brilliant. One thing that does seem apparent: hiding away in the corner for days at a time struggling with a single topic is not necessarily the road to enlightenment.



September 7th, 2010 8:46 PM  
in [Advice](#) | [6 comments](#) | [RSS feed](#) | [Trackback](#)

6 Responses to "A study on how to study"

1. Tweets that mention A study on how to study | [Cosmic Variance](#) | [Discover Magazine](#) -- [Topsy.com](#) Says:  
September 7th, 2010 at 8:44 pm

[...] This post was mentioned on Twitter by Ron Simon, Maggie, World Amazing Things, Al Poe, Sains & Teknologi and others. Sains & Teknologi said: A study on how to study | [Cosmic Variance](#): One of the most delightful aspects of being a scientist is that you're ... <http://bit.ly/auVRjn> [...]

2. PSBaker Says:  
September 7th, 2010 at 11:19 pm

Yes I found the NYT piece very interesting. This resonated: "The brain makes subtle associations

#### Recent Posts

- [Zozobra](#)
- [A study on how to study](#)
- [Restrepo](#)
- [Stephen Hawking Settles the God Question Once and For All](#)
- [The last countdown](#)
- [Calculus Day!](#)
- [Death from the Universe](#)
- [Google Talk](#)
- [The BP Oil Plume](#)
- [The Next 10 Years of Exoplanets](#)
- [Peering into the future](#)
- [The Next Decade of US Ground Based Astronomy](#)
- [The Next Decade of US Space Astronomy](#)
- [The Next 10 Years of Astronomy](#)
- [Portable Electronic Devices](#)

#### Recent Comments




Figure 4: An illustration of fact that the title alone is not enough to identify the zone of the Web article.

Figure 6: A simplified DOM subtree corresponding to the zone of comments. We notice that this zone is organized as a(n ordered) list and presents also concepts (or n-grams) of the article, but in a smaller proportion.




Figure 5: A fictive DOM subtree (for illustration reasons) corresponding to the Web article. The value attached to a leaf node represents the number of concepts contained in its inherent text.

the item's description. Some random examples of n-grams from the description are "delightful aspects"(n=2), "being a scientist"(n=3), "This morning the New"(n=4). In general, the n-grams have a smaller chance to be massively clustered in other zones of the Web page. More the sequences are large, more rapid will be to identify the article. On the contrary, if the description of the article is very short or a reformulation of the idea, using the concepts is a better choice. In this particular example, the algorithm identifies the article even using only "This morning the New". This happens because "This morning the New" is a unique n-gram in the Web page. Using only "delightful aspects" or "being a scientist" will not identify the article, as these n-grams appear also in the first comment (Figure 6, div/ol/li/div/p). Therefore, we cannot make the assumption that the sequences are unique or that we can make them unique, so we take various samples from the title and description. For explaining the principle of the algorithm, let's assume that the process of matching n-grams returns the two semantic nodes illustrated in Figure 5 and Figure 6 (in practice, for this Web page the algorithm returns three possible semantic nodes, that have actually a more complex structure). As we can see, the leaf nodes in the first DOM subtree (Figure 5) are richer in concepts than those in the second one (Figure 6). We then select the first node as it is the most significant regarding our semantic density measure.

## 5. EXPERIMENTS

In order to prove the validity of our approach for extracting data objects, we have fully implemented the system and performed experiments in order to evaluate its precision.

The experiments were done using the feeds collected as responses from the Search4RSS engine, the same dataset mentioned in Section 3. Recall that the dataset was quite

diversified, in terms of structure and types of data objects.

For each feed, we have retrieved the channel data structure and applied the method of extraction for all its item components.

As a first test, we have tried to return the zone of the Web page associated with the item’s title. This method was giving poor results, however, for several reasons: the title may not fully match due to encoding characters, or it may appear at several different places in the page (Figure 4). Additionally, given only the location of the title element, and its levels of imbrication in the HTML code blocks, it is not an easy task to identify the limits of the whole data object.

We compare now the performance of our algorithm, i.e., the precision of extracted data objects, to Boilerpipe [12] which constitutes a state-of-the-art method for identifying the main content of a page in the absence of extra semantic information. We stress that, as we use more information than Boilerpipe has access to, obtaining a better precision does not diminish the interest of this approach, which is more general.

We observed that our result is often more precise, because we are not simply considering the text density in the Web page, but rather its semantic coherence according to the feed item. There are cases where a node may contain a lot of text, but may be judged as worthless with respect to our semantic density measure. Moreover, note that when the Web page contains various consecutive articles, our method will make the difference between them and identify the specific article that corresponds to an item. In contrast, Boilerpipe will take the textual content of all the articles or just of the densest one, depending on the case.

The experiments were performed for 60 randomly selected sites from the dataset in the art domain (the first crawled), corresponding to a total of 1314 feed items. We manually checked the Web article, the result of our algorithm of extraction and that of Boilerpipe [12]. We only compare the textual result of the extraction because it is the output of the freely usable Boilerpipe implementation<sup>2</sup>. Our method actually extracts the whole content of the identified zone, including links and images.

Numerical results are given in Table 3. We consider an object as correctly extracted when its text is exactly that of the gold standard, obtained by manual annotation of the Web page. Partial matches are disregarded. The overall precision of the algorithm reaches the satisfactory number of around 79%, compared to the 62% precision obtained by Boilerpipe.

Finally, note that when our technique fails, the algorithm actually manages to identify a richer conceptual zone than the one of the data object, which is still relevant to the article, even though not strictly identifying with it.

## 6. DISCUSSION OF APPLICATIONS

We conclude this article by discussing a number of applications that can be integrated in the Web archiving process,

<sup>2</sup><http://code.google.com/p/boilerpipe/>

**Table 3: Experimental results**


Method	Correctly extracted	Precision
Our technique	1038/1314	79.0%
Boilerpipe	821/1314	62.5%

and which use the data object extraction approach we propose.

*Perenniality of Web archives.* Time is a particularly important factor of influence also for interpreting crawled content. While data might remain intact, the way we understand it and the rendering of it changes, mostly due to the fact that the language itself, the culture and the technological means of expression evolve. One of the most serious problems encountered in Web archiving is when the format of crawled data becomes obsolete or not generally used. The solutions given by the authors of [9], [27] and [26] are software or hardware emulation, migrating content, or to include a proxy that will incorporate format translating capabilities and will do this dynamically, at user request or when the need is detected. While these works are trying to fight technology evolution, it is possible to take the opposite approach: adapt data to existing technology. In order to do this, one can imagine to encapsulate the relevant information of the crawled Web page, and to store the resulting object independently of the original encoding format. In this manner, the fact that technology evolves will not be anymore something to resist against, instead it will enhance the possibility of rendering the existing data in new ways, adapting the actual content to preferences. The extraction of data objects that we highlight in this paper is a first step towards storage of information freed from the specific way of encoding.

While it can be argued that for Web archiving the original form of a Web page matters, this is more related to the requirement of placing the actual data in its correct context. We note that our goal is not to try to change the current ways of storing the archived content, but for the cases in which it works (dynamic data with feed associated), some interesting overlay applications can be created for the people using the collection or for the archivists themselves. The extraction of data objects in the context of Web archiving is closely related to the semantic analysis of content in time and the possibility of providing value-added services. Indeed, what can very useful is to be able to run complex queries over the content, add interactivity and facilities to target consumers of the information presented as a Web archive.

*Reconstruction of Web pages.* Recall from Figure 2 that Web pages associated with Web feeds can have very lively dynamics, in some cases with update intervals of the order of the minute. In these conditions, it becomes unreasonable to try and capture every successive version of the corresponding channel Web page. However, since the Web feed keeps reference to a number of items (and, hopefully, a rather large number for such a lively channel), it is still possible to regularly crawl and archive it. In the cases where (most of) the content of each data object is stored in the Web feed, one application of the data extraction technique can be the reconstruction the Web page at a given point in time



**Figure 7: Application for reconstructing Web pages from data objects**

using the crawled Web feed items and references to template elements, inferring thus a version of a Web page that had not actually been crawled in the classical way.

Moreover, using our algorithm (and possibly some heuristics), we can detect not only the DOM node that contains the article, but also other semantic nodes of the page: the ones that contain the comments, categories or tags. These zones can be mined for textual content and references, and stored independently of the template of the page (that consists basically of everything that remains after the extraction). A relation between the resulting components can be recreated or inferred using the semantics, and used to analyze these components. We could as reinvent the way information is rendered by mixing the objects (in mashups) to adapt to user preferences.

Figure 7 depicts the flow of a demonstration scenario pertaining to this reconstruction of Web pages from data objects, as described in [17].

**Crawling and semantic exploitation of archives.** Finally, we want to briefly recall two other applications, already mentioned earlier in this paper. Firstly, it is possible to use the data object extraction technique for change detection. Using a technique of temporal analysis on feeds similar to the one presented in this paper, we can determine the strategy of publishing of the channel that represents the dynamic part of the website. Having this awareness, we can adapt the rate of crawl to the approximated frequency. Moreover, for already crawled articles, we can detect if a new version has appeared in order to crawl it or not. This can be done by applying the algorithm of data object extraction on the crawled article and on the possibly new version of it (the current Web page). By comparing the resulting data objects referring to the same URL, we can see if a change in the text or references has occurred in the intervening period of time. Secondly, a Web archive that contains data objects (maybe in addition

to Web pages) can be used by analysts more effectively than just an archive of Web pages. For instance, a linguist could focus on the new terms that appear in newspaper articles, without bothering about the terms that appear in the comments. Generally, the aim is to add exploitable meaning and temporality (at finer-grained levels) to collections of Web archives, that can become more expressive and adapted to user needs.

**Acknowledgments.** We would like to thank European Web Archive (especially, Julien Masanès, Gabriel Vasile and Radu Pop) for discussions about this topic and their help in obtaining the experimental dataset.

## 7. REFERENCES

- [1] E. Adar, J. Teevan, S. T. Dumais, and J. L. Elsas. The web changes everything: Understanding the dynamics of web content. In *Proc. WSDM*, Barcelona, Spain, Feb. 2009.
- [2] A. Arasu and H. Garcia-Molina. Extracting structured data from Web pages. In *Proc. SIGMOD*, San Diego, USA, June 2003.
- [3] J. Cho and H. Garcia-Molina. The evolution of the Web and implications for an incremental crawler. In *Proc. VLDB*, Cairo, Egypt, Sept. 2000.
- [4] V. Crescenzi, G. Mecca, and P. Merialdo. Roadrunner: Towards automatic data extraction from large Web sites. In *Proc. VLDB*, Roma, Italy, Sept. 2001.
- [5] H. V. de Sompel, M. L. Nelson, C. Lagoze, and S. Warner. Resource harvesting within the oai-pmh framework. In *D-Lib Magazine*, volume 10, number 12, Dec. 2004.
- [6] P. Dmitriev, C. Lagoze, and B. Suchkov. As we may perceive: Inferring logical documents from hypertext. In *Proc. HT*, Salzburg, Austria, Sept. 2005.
- [7] Eddie java feed parser. Website, 2010. <http://www.davidpashley.com/projects/eddie.html>.
- [8] D. Fetterly, M. Manasse, M. Najork, and J. Wiener. A large-scale study of the evolution of web pages. In *Proc. WWW*, Budapest, Hungary, May 2003.
- [9] J. Hunter and S. Choudhury. Implementing preservation strategies for complex multimedia objects. In *Proc. ECDL*, Trondheim, Norway, Aug. 2003.
- [10] P. L. B. II, J. Johnson, U. P. Karadkar, R. Furuta, and F. Shipman. Application of kalman filters to identify unexpected change in blogs. In *Proc. JCDL*, Pittsburgh, USA, June 2008.
- [11] Internet Archive. Website, 2010. <http://web.archive.org/collections/web.html>.
- [12] C. Kholschutter, P. Fankhauser, and W. Nejdi. Boilerplate detection using shallow text features. In *Proc. WSDM*, New York, USA, Feb. 2010.
- [13] G. Knight and M. Pennock. Data without meaning: Establishing the significant properties of digital research. *International Journal of Data Curation*, 4(1), 2009.
- [14] B. Liu, R. Grossman, and Y. Zhai. Mining data records in Web pages. In *Proc. KDD*, Washington, USA, Aug. 2003.
- [15] J. Masanès, editor. *Web Archiving*. Springer-Verlag,

Heidelberg, Allemagne, 2006.

- [16] A. Ntoulas, J. Cho, and C. Olston. What's new on the web? the evolution of the web from a search engine perspective. In *Proc. WWW*, New York, USA, May 2004.
- [17] M. Oita and P. Senellart. Archivage du contenu éphémère du Web à l'aide des flux Web. In *Proc. BDA*, Toulouse, France, Oct. 2010. Conference without formal proceedings. (Demonstration).
- [18] J. Pasternack and D. Roth. Extracting article text from the web with maximum subsequence segmentation. In *Proc. WWW*, Madrid, Spain, Apr. 2009.
- [19] Z. Pehlivan, M. Ben Saad, and S. Gançarski. A novel Web archiving approach based on visual pages analysis. In *Proc. IAWW*, Corfu, Greece, Sept. 2009.
- [20] M. Pennock and R. Davis. ArchivePress: A really simple solution to archiving blog content. In *Proc. iPRES*, San Francisco, USA, 2009.
- [21] Search4RSS feed search engine. Website, 2010. <http://www.search4rss.com/>.
- [22] K. C. Sia, J. Cho, and H.-K. Cho. Efficient monitoring algorithm for fast news alerts. In *IEEE Trans. on Knowl. and Data Eng.*, vol. 19 nb.7, Piscataway, NJ, USA, 2007. IEEE Educational Activities Department.
- [23] K. Sigurdsson. Incremental crawling with Heritrix. In *Proc. IAWW*, Vienna, Austria, Sept. 2005.
- [24] Blogger's choice awards. Website, 2010. <http://bloggerschoiceawards.com/>.
- [25] M. Spaniol, D. Denev, A. Mazeika, and G. Weikum. Catch me if you can. Temporal coherence of Web archives. In *Proc. IAWW*, Aarhus, Denmark, Sept. 2008.
- [26] S. Strodl, P. P. Beran, and A. Rauber. Migrating content in warc files. In *Proc. IAWW*, Corfu, Greece, Sept. 2009.
- [27] D. S. Swaney, F. McCown, and M. L. Nelson. Dynamic Web file format transformations with grace. In *Proc. IAWW*, Vienna, Austria, Sept. 2005.
- [28] H. van de Sompel, M. L. Nelson, R. Sanderson, L. L. Balakireva, S. Ainsworth, and H. Shankar. Extracting structured data from Web pages. In *Proc. SIGMOD-to be modified*, San Diego, USA, June 2009.
- [29] S. Yu, D. Cai, J.-R. Wen, and W.-Y. Ma. Improving pseudo-relevance feedback in web information retrieval using web page segmentation. In *Proc. WWW*, Budapest, Hungary, May 2003.