Geometric predicates as arrangements of hypersurfaces: Application to comparison of algebraic numbers

George Tzoumas

▶ To cite this version:

Geometric predicates as arrangements of hypersurfaces: Application to comparison of algebraic numbers

George M. Tzoumas

INRIA Nancy, France

Predicates

Formulation of a wide range of geometric predicates:

- arrangement of hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^d$
- cells of dimension $\leq d$ (i.e., vertices, edges, faces)
- point location queries

Geometry in the space of coefficients

> Given 2 polynomials of deg. 2, determine their root ordering among the 6 non-degenerate cases $AABB$, $ABAB$, $ABBA$, $BAAB$, $BABA$, $BBAA$.

|		
Coefficients	$\longleftrightarrow$	point in affine space
$F(x) = x^2 + Xx + Y$	$\longleftrightarrow$	$(X, Y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$
$A_1^2 + XA_1 + Y = 0$	$\longleftrightarrow$	line for root A_1 of $F(x)$
all polynomials with A_1 as root	$\longleftrightarrow$	points on the line
quadric $F(x)$ has 2 roots	$\longleftrightarrow$	2 lines intersect at a point
Resultant of 2 quadrics	$\longleftrightarrow$	union of 2 lines
Discriminant $X^2 - 4Y$	$\longleftrightarrow$	parabola Δ
roots of F	$\longleftrightarrow$	lines *tangent* to Δ
# roots of F	$\longleftrightarrow$	rel. pos. of F wrt. Δ

Arrangement consisting of the following hypersurfaces:

- Resultant (pair of lines)
- Discriminant (parabola)

points in same cell	$\longleftrightarrow$	same root ordering
crossing of resultant	$\longleftrightarrow$	roots of 2 polys equal
crossing of discriminant	$\longleftrightarrow$	roots of same poly equal

Decomposition

- exploiting conic properties of pole and polar (duality) or intersecting rays from F with Δ

- When $F(x) = a_2 x^2 + a_1 x + a_0$ and $G(x) = b_2 x^2 + b_1 x + b_0$ the tested quanties are:

$$R = b_0{}^2 a_2{}^2 - 2 b_0 a_0 b_2 a_2 + a_0{}^2 b_2{}^2 - a_1 b_1 b_0 a_2 - a_1 b_1 a_0 b_2 + a_1{}^2 b_0 b_2 + a_0 b_1{}^2 a_2$$
(resultant)

$$H = b_1 a_2 - a_1 b_2 \quad \text{(horizontal line)}$$
$$P = -2 b_0 a_2 + a_1 b_1 - 2 a_0 b_2 \quad \text{(polar)}$$
$$P_F = a_1 b_1 a_2 - a_1{}^2 b_2 - 2 b_0 a_2{}^2 + 2 a_0 a_2 b_2 \quad \text{(polar translated)}$$

> *To compare algebraic numbers of degree 2, we have to consider quantities of at most algebraic degree 4 in the worst case. This bound is tight and therefore optimal.*

Proof

- walls of arrangement defined by resultant (deg.4) and discriminant (deg.2)
- both irreducible, separating full-dimensional cells
- $\Rightarrow$ they are *necessary* to define the arrangement
- all subsidiary equations have alg. deg. ≤ 4

Cubics

> Given 2 polynomials of deg. 3, determine their root ordering among the $\binom{6}{3} + 2\binom{4}{1} + 2 = 30$ non-degenerate cases.

discriminant	$\longleftrightarrow$	deg.4 surface
roots	$\longleftrightarrow$	planes
resultant	$\longleftrightarrow$	union of planes

- quantities of alg. degree at most 6
- optimal, but geometric interpretation not yet known

Higher degree

- difficult to visualise
- *open problem:* optimal decomposition of the arrangement