

Distributed model for sensorimotor control: anticipatory coordination and lateral competition

Jean-Charles Quinton

► **To cite this version:**

Jean-Charles Quinton. Distributed model for sensorimotor control: anticipatory coordination and lateral competition. Anticipatory Behavior in Adaptive Learning Systems (ABiALS), Feb 2011, Bielefeld, Germany. <inria-00568919>

HAL Id: inria-00568919

<https://hal.inria.fr/inria-00568919>

Submitted on 23 Feb 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Distributed Model for Sensorimotor Control

Anticipatory Coordination & Lateral Competition

Introduction & Framework

We propose a two layer **modular infrastructure** and evaluate various **distributed algorithms** for competition and spatiotemporal coordination in order to control artificial sensorimotor systems. Both layers are **topologically organized**, providing to the system generalization and interpolation capabilities. Since the proposed models are massively distributed, software and hardware optimization techniques are presented to allow **real-time interactions**.

The (upper) **coordination layer** is composed of anticipatory spatiotemporal representations that, once acquired through interaction, determine goal-oriented actions through local coordination and remove ambiguities from the noisy sensorimotor flow. The resulting future-oriented activity is projected on the (lower) **competition layer**, that unifies bottom-up and top-down signals. This layer not only produces an interpretation of the sensory flow but also dynamically selects the most adequate actions by merging activity from past knowledge and immediate context.

Learning of Anticipations

In the coordination layer, predictions can be learned locally and independently of the current goals of the agent:

- **Imitation** of the observed and unexpected dynamics
- **Statistical rules** (LWR, or bio-plausible rules like STDP, BCM)
- **Variation/selection** mechanisms (constructivist approach)


The learning rule must account for:

- **Feature selection** (spaces might be high dimensional)
- **Redundancy** (context-specific/general predictions)
- **Delays** (complex dynamics of the other structures)

The anticipations activity can be modulated by a normative confidence level, which can also be used to select useful predictions (see table).

| s_k | c_k | a_k |
|-------|-------|-------|
| 1 | 1 | +1 |
| 1 | 0 | -1 |
| 0 | ? | 0 |

Coordination & Planning




Local anticipations can coordinate by **back-propagating** their activity (distance based on common dimensions). **Chains of predictions** can then be formed to link distant goals to the current situation.


Interpolation between anticipations also occurs as all their activities are projected to the lower layer.

Activity spreads from local sensations to large scale potentialities about future interactions.

Competition



Through lateral **large scale inhibition and local excitation**, bottom-up stimuli and top-down predictions (expected sensations and proposed actions) can dynamically compete. They are merged into a single **perception and decision** (actions to perform). The **CNFT model** is adapted to sparse high dimensional inputs.



Evolution & Development

Embodied approach even for artificial agents (focus on the coupling):

- **Bootstrap and constrain** the exploration/exploitation (reflexes)
- **Scaffolding** through the body/environment (constraints from others)
- Provide **implicit goals** to the agent (slow and stable body dynamics)

Evolutionary approach to tune the parameters (propagation, lateral connectivity schemes) in order to **guarantee the agent viability**.

Robustness

The input flow is ambiguous:

- **Distracters, noise, occlusions**

A stable interpretation of the sensorimotor flow is however needed to interact in a coherent manner, and made possible by:

- **Generalization** capability of the topology
- **Attentional properties** of the competition layer (temporal integration of new stimuli)
- Top-down **projections of expectations** (matched against the stimuli)

Optimization

Hardware implementations:

- Symmetric & low complexity on parallel devices (**GPU, FPGA**)
- Asymmetric computations (**DSP, Cell-BE, cluster, grid**)

Algorithmic optimization (to reduce the complexity or number of components):

- **Matrix based** optimization (truncated SVD, FFT, sparse matrices)
- **Gaussian mixture** models (function/field approximation, high dimensional CNFT)
- **Tree structures** (kd-trees extended to sparse spaces and sensorimotor systems)

Layers Comparison & Complementarity

| Common characteristics | Coordination layer | Competition layer |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| distributed | flexibility | robustness |
| continuous update | dynamic propagation | differential equation |
| population coding | asymmetry (predictions) | symmetry (kernel) |
| lateral connectivity | future-oriented activity | reduced temporal window |
| input/output projections | local → global | global → local |
| | spread out (trajectory) | localized activity (focus) |
| | excitatory connections | inhibitory connections |
| | planning/navigation | action selection/decision |
| | memory/learning | perception |

Inspirations & Interpretations

Piagetian & **interactivist framework** (anticipation, normativity)

Dynamic neural fields (CNFT, place/goal cells, cortex organization)


Artificial intelligence (D* optimal planning, anticipatory inferences)

Markov processes (FPDPOMDP), Classifiers (XCS with interpolation)

Function approximation (LWPR), Bayesian framework (fields as probability distributions, anticipation as prior)

Limitations & Perspectives

Conceptual complexity (**sparse spaces**), computational complexity (**parallelism**), abstraction (HTM like construction of **hierarchies**)



Jean-Charles Quinton - **INRIA/CORTEX** project
615 rue du Jardin Botanique 54600 Villers-lès-Nancy France

