



**HAL**  
open science

## The minimum time function around the origin

Giovanni Colombo, Nguyen T. Khai, Antonio Marigonda, Peter Wolenski

► **To cite this version:**

Giovanni Colombo, Nguyen T. Khai, Antonio Marigonda, Peter Wolenski. The minimum time function around the origin. SADCO Kick off, Mar 2011, Paris, France. inria-00585867

**HAL Id: inria-00585867**

**<https://inria.hal.science/inria-00585867>**

Submitted on 14 Apr 2011

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# The minimum time function around the origin

Authors:

Giovanni [Colombo](#) (Padova)

[Nguyen T. Khai](#) (Padova)

and others (A. [Marigonda](#), P. [Wolenski](#))

The regularity of the minimum time function is a widely studied topic.

Which viewpoint?

We take the viewpoint started by Cannarsa and Sinestrari (Calc. Var. (1995) and book by Birkhäuser (2004)):

**semiconcavity or semiconvexity** of the minimum time function  $\mathcal{T}$  under two assumptions:

- (a) a first order small time controllability condition (Petrov's condition), which implies that  $\mathcal{T}$  is locally Lipschitz;
- (b) regularity conditions on the target and on the dynamics.

The starting question: can one prove **similar regularity results by weakening the controllability assumptions** (e.g., assuming  $\mathcal{T}$  merely continuous)?

Yes.

Nonsmooth analysis and geometric measure  
theory results.

Let's focus on sublevels of  $\mathcal{T}$ , or, equivalently, to reachable sets from the target for the reversed dynamics at time  $T$  (denoted by  $\mathcal{R}^T$ ).

**Semiconvexity** implies that sublevels of  $\mathcal{T}$  satisfy a kind of **external sphere condition**, while **semiconcavity** implies they satisfy the same kind of **internal sphere condition**.


**Definition.** Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be closed set. We say that

(a)  $K$  satisfies an **external (uniform) sphere condition** if  $\exists r > 0$  such that  $\forall x \in K$ , **there exists**  $v \in N(x; K)$ ,  $v \neq 0$ , for which

$$\langle v, y - x \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2r} \|v\| \|y - x\|^2 \quad \forall y \in K. \quad (1)$$

(b)  $K$  has **positive reach** (Federer TAMS '59) (proximally smooth, prox-regular,  $\varphi$ -convex, ...) if (1) holds **for all**  $v \in N(x; K)$ .

(c) internal sphere condition = external sphere condition for  $\overline{K^c}$ .



Of course,  $K$  is **convex** if and only if  $r = \infty$ . Inward corners are **forbidden**.

### Well known facts:

- if  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is semiconvex/semiconcave, then its epigraph/hypograph has positive reach;
- the epigraph of  $f(x) = \sqrt{|x|}$  has positive reach, but  $f$  is not locally Lipschitz;
- $K$  has positive reach iff the metric projection onto  $K$  is a singleton in a neighborhood of  $K$ .

In general, **(a) and (b) are different**. However (Nour-Stern-Takche, JCA (2009)) **if  $K$  is epi-Lipschitz** (= the normal cone is pointed), **then (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b)**.


## Properties of sets (and epigraphs) with positive reach.

1. Proximal, Fréchet, Clarke normal cones to  $K$  do coincide.

Moreover (Federer, TAMS (1959)), the set

$$K^{(k)} = \{x \in K : \mathcal{H} - \dim(N(x; K)) \geq n - k\}$$

is  $\mathcal{H}^k$ -rectifiable (analogous to a  $k$ -face of a convex set). In particular, the set of points where the normal cone has dimension  $\geq 2$  (i.e., where  $K$  has a “corner”) is  $\mathcal{H}^{n-2}$ -rectifiable.



2. (GC-Marigonda, Calc. Var. (2006)) Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be compact with positive reach. Then  $K$  has **finite perimeter** in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and the De Giorgi outer normal coincides with the unit proximal normal. Under a natural nondegeneracy condition, the reduced boundary coincides  $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ -a.e. with the topological boundary.

3. Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be open and let  $f : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be continuous and assume that its epigraph has positive reach.

Then (GC-Marigonda, Calc. Var. (2006)) **for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  there exists  $\delta_x > 0$  such that  $f$  is semiconvex in  $B(x, \delta_x)$ .** In particular,  $f$  is a.e. differentiable in  $\Omega$ , is locally BV in  $\Omega$  and admits a second order Taylor expansion around a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ .

Moreover, (GC-Marigonda-Wolenski (2011)) a representation formula for the generalized gradient of  $f$  using limits of convex combinations of gradients, similar to the classical formula for Lipschitz functions, holds.

Therefore **epi/hypo  $f$  with positive reach is a reasonable substitute of semiconvexity/concavity.**

## Properties of sets (and epigraphs) with uniform external sphere condition.

**Theorem.** (Khai T. Nguyen, JMAA 2010) Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous and such that its epigraph satisfies a (locally) uniform external sphere condition. Then the set of points  $x$  where  $N(\text{epi} f; (x, f(x)))$  is not pointed (= contains lines) is a **closed set with Lebesgue  $n$ -dimensional measure zero**.

Therefore, such functions enjoy essentially the same properties of functions whose epigraph has positive reach.

Results for the minimum time function.

Consider the controlled dynamics

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + g(x)u, \quad x(0) = x$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $u \in U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $U$  compact and convex.

We are given a closed target  $S$ .

Let  $\mathcal{T}(x)$  be the minimum time to reach the target  $S$  from  $x$ .

### Assumptions.

- 1)  $f$  and  $g$  are  $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ .
- 2)  $S$  satisfies an internal sphere condition with uniform radius  $\rho > 0$ .
- 3)  $\mathcal{T}$  is **continuous** on  $\overline{S^c}$  (controllability + small time controllability).

**Theorem.** (Khai T. Nguyen, JMAA 2010). Under the above assumptions, the hypograph of  $\mathcal{T}$  satisfies a **locally uniform internal sphere condition**.

**Theorem.** (GC- Khai T. Nguyen, SICON 2010) Assume furthermore that the normal cone to the hypograph of  $\mathcal{T}$  is **pointed**. Then the hypograph of  $\mathcal{T}$  has **positive reach** and we can compute the generalized gradient of  $T$ .

The result is perhaps natural: if the target satisfies an internal sphere condition and the dynamics is reasonably regular, than one can expect the sublevels of  $\mathcal{T}$  to satisfy the same condition. In fact they are backwards reachable sets from the target, therefore they can be seen (essentially) as union of sets with internal spheres.

There is a lot of work in progress (Khai T. Nguyen, A. Marigonda, D. Vitone) for the case where the target satisfies a “uniform internal Fréchet normal condition”. Similar results are being obtained, but with entirely different methods. This work will have applications to the regularity of  $\mathcal{T}$  in the case of a  $\mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}$ -dynamics,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

The case where the target is “fat” seems to be well understood.

We switch now to the “opposite” case: we take the target to be the origin.

**Theorem.** (G. C, A. Marigonda, P. Wolenski, SICON (2006)) Let the target  $S$  be **convex** and the dynamics be **linear**:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} &= Ax + Bu \\ x(0) &= \xi. \end{cases}$$

If  $\mathcal{T}$  is **continuous** on  $\overline{S^c}$ , then  $\text{epi}(\mathcal{T})$  has **positive reach**.

The proof uses a computation of the proximal subdifferential of  $\mathcal{T}$  using normals to the target (transported using the adjoint equation), which give the direction, and the Hamiltonian, which gives the norm (see Wolenski-Yu, 1998).


This is a generalization of the first result of this type, due to Cannarsa and Sinestrari (Calc. Var. '95), where **semiconvexity** of  $\mathcal{T}$  is proved, provided  $T$  is locally Lipschitz.

**Example 1 (rocket car).** Consider the problem of reaching in minimum time the origin subject to the dynamics

$$\ddot{x} = u \in [-1, 1].$$


The minimum time function is:

$$\mathcal{T}(x, y) = \begin{cases} y + 2\sqrt{y^2/2 + x} & \text{for } x \geq -y|y|/2, \\ -y + 2\sqrt{y^2/2 - x} & \text{for } x < -y|y|/2 \end{cases}$$




**Example 2 (Cannarsa and Sinestrari).** Consider the problem of reaching in minimum time the origin subject to the dynamics

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = -y^2 + u_1, & u_1 \in [-1, 1] \\ \dot{y} = u_2, & u_2 \in [-1, 1]. \end{cases}$$



### Example 3.

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} &= y^2 + y \\ \dot{y} &= -y^2 + u, \quad u \in [-1, 1]. \end{cases}$$



The linearization around the origin is:

Example 1.

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} u$$

Example 2.

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Example 3.

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} u$$

The linear part in Example 2 is not strong enough.

**Definition.** The linear system

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad u \in \mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^m, \quad (2)$$

where  $B = (b_1, \dots, b_m) \in \mathbb{M}_{n \times m}$  is **normal** if for all  $i = 1, \dots, m$

$$\text{rank}[b_i, Ab_i, \dots, A^{n-1}b_i] = n$$

(i.e., the system is controllable at the origin by using each control separately). Of course the linearized dynamics of Example 2 is not normal.

Classical (Hermes-LaSalle): the system (2) is **normal** iff the reachable set from the origin at time  $T$  is **strictly convex** (for all times  $T$ ).

A refinement of this classical result.

**Theorem.** (GC, Khai T. Nguyen). Assume that (2) is normal. Then for all  $T > 0$  there exists  $\gamma > 0$  (depending only on  $A, B, n, m, T$ ) such that for all  $x \in \mathcal{R}^T$ , for all  $v \in N(\mathcal{R}^T; x)$  one has

$$\langle v, y - x \rangle \leq -\gamma \|v\| \|y - x\|^n \quad \forall y \in \mathcal{R}^T.$$

**Remark.** The power  $n$  is optimal:  $x^{(n)} = u \in [-1, 1]$ .

The proof is rather technical and has two main ingredients:

- an analysis of the zeros of the switching functions

$$g_i(s) = \langle e^{As} b_i, \zeta \rangle, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and of its derivatives (essentially the interval  $[0, T]$  is split into  $n$  disjoint sets where one of  $g_i, g_i', \dots, g_i^{(n-1)}$  is bounded away from zero; those sets are disjoint union of a finite [and computable] number of intervals);

- an integral inequality

$$\int_a^b (t - a)^k K(t) dt \geq \frac{1}{k + 1} \left( \int_a^b K(t) dt \right)^{k+1},$$

where  $K \in L^\infty$ ,  $0 \leq K \leq 1$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

It is natural conjecturing that for a (smooth enough) nonlinear system

$$\dot{x} = F(x) + G(x)u, \quad u \in \mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^m, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

such that

- $F(0) = 0$
- the linearization at the origin is normal
- $\|G(x) - G(0)\| = o(\|x\|^n)$  for  $x \rightarrow 0$

then the reachable set from the origin  $\mathcal{R}^T$  at any time  $T$  sufficiently small is strictly convex (or at least satisfies a uniform external sphere condition).

However, for the moment we can prove the following

**Theorem.** (GC, Khai T. Nguyen) consider the dynamics

$$\dot{x} = F(x) + G(x)u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad |u| \leq 1.$$

Assume

$$F(0) = 0, \quad DG(0) = 0, \quad \text{rank}[G(0), DF(0)G(0)] = 2.$$

Then, for any  $T > 0$  sufficiently small,

- $\mathcal{R}^T$  is strictly convex;
- every  $x \in \mathcal{R}^T$  is optimal (i.e., there exists a time optimal trajectory passing through  $x$ ; this requires **extending** the optimal control/adjoint vector **after**  $x$ );
- the epigraph of  $\mathcal{T}$  has positive reach.

This result is obtained by linearization. We show that the switching function of the nonlinear problem is essentially the same as the switching function of the linearized (at the origin) problem.

The obstruction for obtaining a result in higher dimension is the approximation of the optimal trajectory with a suitable trajectory of the linearized system: we need a power  $n$  with respect to the distance between two final points, but we are able to obtain only a power 2. So  $n = 2$  is OK.

Trying to obtain a similar result in higher dimension is the **first open problem** I would like to mention.

The **second** open problem is studying cases where both type of singularities may occur (upwards/downwards corners). This happens, e.g., for larger times, i.e., not too close to the origin.

This is wide open, both from the viewpoint of nonsmooth analysis and of control theory.